A NOTE ON THE *p*-SUPERSOLUBILITY OF FINITE GROUPS

CHANGWEN LI[∞], XIAOLAN YI and SHOUHONG QIAO

(Received 14 March 2016; accepted 2 April 2016; first published online 3 June 2016)

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the influence of certain subgroups of fixed prime power order on the p-supersolubility of finite groups.

2010 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 20D10; secondary 20D20. *Keywords and phrases*: *p*-soluble group, *p*-supersoluble group, *E–S*-supplemented.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, all groups are assumed to be finite. The terminology and notation employed agree with standard usage, as in Doerk and Hawkes [4]. Throughout, G always denotes a finite group, p denotes a prime and $Z_{\mathfrak{U}}(G)$ is the \mathfrak{U} -hypercentre of G, that is, the product of all normal subgroups H of G such that all G-chief factors of H are cyclic.

Recall that a subgroup H of G is said to be S-permutable [5] in G if H permutes with all the Sylow subgroups of G. This notion is useful in establishing results concerning the group structure. The study of the generalisations of S-permutability has become a fruitful research area. For example, Ballester-Bolinches and Pedraza-Aguilera [3] defined H to be S-permutably embedded in G if every Sylow subgroup of H is also a Sylow subgroup of some S-permutable subgroup of G, and Skiba [11] called Hweakly S-permutable in G if there is a subnormal subgroup T of G such that G = HTand $H \cap T \leq H_{sG}$, where H_{sG} is the subgroup of H generated by all those subgroups of H which are S-permutable in G. In order to unify the above-mentioned subgroups, Li *et al.* [8] introduced the following concept.

DEFINITION 1.1. Let *H* be a subgroup of *G* and let H_{eG} denote the subgroup of *H* generated by all those subgroups of *H* which are *S*-permutably embedded in *G*. If there is a subnormal subgroup *T* of *G* such that G = HT and $H \cap T \leq H_{eG}$, then *H* is called E-S-supplemented in *G*.

The project is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11401264 and 11571145) and the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions.

^{© 2016} Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc. 0004-9727/2016 \$16.00

We say that *G* is *p*-nilpotent if every *p*-chief factor of *G* is central and *G* is *p*-supersoluble if every *p*-chief factor of *G* is cyclic. In [8], the authors obtained the following result: let *P* be a Sylow *p*-subgroup of a group *G*, where *p* is a prime divisor of |G| with (|G|, p - 1) = 1. Suppose that there exists a subgroup *D* of *P* with 1 < |D| < |P| such that every subgroup *H* of *P* with order |D| and every cyclic subgroup of *P* with order 4 (if *P* is a nonabelian 2-group and |D| = 2) is *E*–*S*-supplemented in *G*. Then *G* is *p*-nilpotent. From [6, Lemma 2.6], we know that *p*-supersolubility of *G* implies *p*-nilpotency of *G* whenever (|G|, p - 1) = 1. In this paper, we remove the hypothesis (|G|, p - 1) = 1 in the Theorem from [8] to arrive at the following main result. Our main result also extends the work of Li *et al.* [7].

THEOREM 1.2. Let *E* and *X* be *p*-soluble normal subgroups of G with $F_p(E) \le X \le E$, where *p* is a prime divisor of |E|. Suppose that G/E is *p*-supersoluble, and a Sylow *p*-subgroup *P* of *X* has a subgroup *D* with 1 < |D| < |P| such that every subgroup *H* of *P* with order |D| and every cyclic subgroup of *P* with order 4 (if *P* is a nonabelian 2-group and |D| = 2) is *E*–*S*-supplemented in *G*. Then *G* is *p*-supersoluble.

REMARK 1.3. Generally, Theorem 1.2 does not hold if we remove the hypothesis that *E* is *p*-soluble. For example, let $G = \mathbb{Z}_5 \times A_5$, where A_5 is the alternating group of degree 5. It is not hard to see that each subgroup of *G* with order 5 is *E*–*S*-supplemented in *G*. However, *G* is not 5-supersoluble.

2. Preliminaries

LEMMA 2.1 [8, Lemma 2.2]. Suppose that H is E–S-supplemented in G.

(1) If $H \le L \le G$, then H is E-S-supplemented in L.

- (2) If $N \leq G$ and $N \leq H \leq G$, then H/N is E-S-supplemented in G/N.
- (3) If H is a π -subgroup and N is a normal π' -subgroup of G, then HN/N is E-S-supplemented in G/N.

LEMMA 2.2 [8, Theorem 1.4]. Let *E* be a normal subgroup of a group *G* and $X \le E$. Suppose that for every noncyclic Sylow subgroup *P* of *X*, there exists a subgroup *D* of *P* with 1 < |D| < |P| such that every subgroup *H* of *P* with order |D| and every cyclic subgroup of *P* with order 4 (if *P* is a nonabelian 2-group and |D| = 2) is *E*–*S*-supplemented in *G*. If X = E or $X = F^*(E)$, then every *G*-chief factor of *E* is cyclic.

LEMMA 2.3 [2, Lemma 2.10]. Let p be a prime and G a group.

- (1) $Soc(G) \leq F_n^*(G)$.
- (2) $O_{p'}(G) \leq F_p^*(G)$. In fact, $F^*(G/O_{p'}(G)) = F_p^*(G/O_{p'}(G)) = F_p^*(G)/O_{p'}(G)$.
- (3) If $F_p^*(G)$ is p-soluble, then $F_p^*(G) = F_p(G)$.

LEMMA 2.4 [12, Theorem C]. Let E be a normal subgroup of G. If every G-chief factor of $F^*(E)$ is cyclic, then every G-chief factor of E is also cyclic.

LEMMA 2.5 [7, Theorem 3.1]. Let p be a fixed prime dividing the order of G and L a p-soluble normal subgroup of G such that G/L is p-supersoluble. If there exists a Sylow p-subgroup P of L such that every maximal subgroup of P is E-S-supplemented in G, then G is p-supersoluble.

Combining [8, Lemma 2.2(5)] and [11, Lemma 2.11] gives the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.6. Let N be an elementary abelian normal p-subgroup of a group G. If there is a subgroup D of N with 1 < |D| < |N| such that every subgroup of N with order |D| is E-S-supplemented in G, then there exists a maximal subgroup M of N such that M is normal in G.

LEMMA 2.7 [9, Lemma 2.3]. Suppose that H is S-permutable in G and let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of H. If $H_G = 1$, then P is S-permutable in G.

LEMMA 2.8 [10, Lemma A]. If P is an S-quasinormal p-subgroup of a group G for some prime p, then $N_G(P) \ge O^p(G)$.

LEMMA 2.9 [1, Theorem 2.1.6]. If G is p-supersoluble and $O_{p'}(G) = 1$, then the Sylow p-subgroup of G is normal in G.

LEMMA 2.10 [8, Theorem 1.5]. Let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of a group G, where p is a prime divisor of |G| with (|G|, p - 1) = 1. Suppose that there exists a subgroup D of P with 1 < |D| < |P| such that every subgroup H of P with order |D| and every cyclic subgroup of P with order 4 (if P is a nonabelian 2-group and |D| = 2) is E-S-supplemented in G. Then G is p-nilpotent.

3. Main results

THEOREM 3.1. Let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of a p-soluble group G, where p is an odd prime divisor of |G|. If every cyclic subgroup of P with order p is E-S-supplemented in G, then G is p-supersoluble.

PROOF. Suppose that the theorem is false and let *G* be a counterexample of minimal order. Assume that $O_{p'}(G) \neq 1$. From Lemma 2.1(3), it is easy to see that every cyclic subgroup of $P/O_{p'}(G)$ with order *p* is *E*–*S*-supplemented in $G/O_{p'}(G)$. By the minimal choice of *G*, $G/O_{p'}(G)$ is *p*-supersoluble and so *G* is also *p*-supersoluble. This contradiction implies that $O_{p'}(G) = 1$. Since *G* is *p*-soluble, we have $O_p(G) \neq 1$. In view of Lemma 2.3, $F^*(G) = F_p^*(G) = F_p(G) = O_p(G)$. By hypothesis, every cyclic subgroup of $F^*(G)$ with order *p* is *E*–*S*-supplemented in *G*. Applying Lemma 2.2 shows that *G* is *p*-supersoluble.

THEOREM 3.2. Let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of a p-soluble group G, where p is an odd prime divisor of |G|. If there exists a subgroup D of P with 1 < |D| < |P| such that every subgroup H of P with order |D| is E-S-supplemented in G, then G is p-supersoluble.

PROOF. Suppose that the theorem is false and let G be a counterexample of minimal order.

Step 1: $O_{p'}(G) = 1$. If $O_{p'}(G) \neq 1$, then, from Lemma 2.1(3), $G/O_{p'}(G)$ satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem. Thus, $G/O_{p'}(G)$ is *p*-supersoluble by the minimal choice of *G*. But then *G* is *p*-supersoluble, which is a contradiction.

Step 2: |D| > p. This follows from Theorem 3.1.

Step 3: |P:D| > p. This follows from Lemma 2.5.

Step 4: For any minimal normal subgroup N of G, we have p < |N|. Since G is p-soluble and $O_{p'}(G) = 1$, it follows that $N \le P$. Assume that |N| = p and consider the factor group G/N. By Lemma 2.1(2) and Step 2, we know that G/N satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem. Hence, G/N is p-supersoluble by the minimal choice of G. But then G is p-supersoluble, which is a contradiction.

Step 5: For any minimal normal subgroup N of G, we have $|N| \le |D|$. This follows from Lemma 2.6.

Step 6: *G* has the unique minimal normal subgroup *N* such that *G/N* is *p*-supersoluble and $\Phi(G) = 1$. Let *N* be a minimal normal subgroup of *G*. If |N| < |D|, then, from Lemma 2.1(2), it is easy to see that *G/N* satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem. Thus, *G/N* is *p*-supersoluble by the minimal choice of *G*. Therefore, we may assume that |N| = |D| by virtue of Step 5. Let *K/N* be a subgroup of *P/N* with order *p*. According to Step 2, *N* is noncyclic. Hence, there is a maximal subgroup *L* of *K* such that K = LN. Of course, |N| = |D| = |L|. Since *L* is *E*–*S*-supplemented in *G*, there is a subnormal subgroup *T* of *G* such that G = LT and $L \cap T \leq L_{eG}$. If $N \notin O^p(G)$, then $N \cong NO^p(G)/O^p(G) \leq G/O^p(G)$. Since $G/O^p(G)$ is a *p*-group, it follows that $|NO^p(G)/O^p(G)| = |N| = |D| = p$, contrary to Step 2. Hence, $N \leq O^p(G)$. Since |G : T|is a power of *p* and *T* is subnormal in *G*, $O^p(G) \leq T$. Then G/N = (K/N)(T/N)and $K/N \cap T/N = LN/N \cap T/N = (L \cap T)N/N \leq L_{eG}N/N \leq (LN/N)_{eG/N}$. This shows that every cyclic subgroup of *P/N* with order *p* is *E*–*S*-supplemented in *G/N*. By Theorem 3.1, *G/N* is *p*-supersoluble. Since the class of all *p*-supersoluble groups is a saturated formation, the uniqueness of *N* and $\Phi(G) = 1$ are obvious.

Step 7: Final contradiction. By Step 6, there is a maximal subgroup M of G such that G = NM. Furthermore, $P = N(P \cap M)$ and $P \cap M \neq 1$. Pick a maximal subgroup P_1 of P containing $P \cap M$. Then $P = NP_1$ and $N \cap P_1 < N$. If $N \cap P_1 = 1$, then N is of prime order, which is a contradiction. Hence, $N \cap P_1 \neq 1$. By Step 3, we can choose a subgroup H of P_1 containing $N \cap P_1$ such that |H| = |D| and H is normal in P. Furthermore, $N \cap H = N \cap P_1 \neq 1$. By hypothesis, H is E-S-supplemented in G. Then there is a subnormal subgroup T of G such that G = HT and $H \cap T \leq H_{eG}$. Since |G:T| is a power of p and $T \lhd \Box G$, $N \leq O^p(G) \leq T$. It follows that $N \cap H = N \cap H_{eG}$. Let U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_s be all the nontrivial subgroups of H which are S-permutably embedded in G. For every $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, s\}$, there is an S-permutable subgroup K_i of G such that U_i is a Sylow p-subgroup of K_i . Suppose that for some $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, s\}$, we have $(K_i)_G \neq 1$. By Step 6, $N \leq (K_i)_G \leq K_i$. Hence, $N \leq U_i \leq H < P_1$. This contradiction shows that

for all $i \in \{1, 2, ..., s\}$, we have $(K_i)_G = 1$. By Lemma 2.7, the U_i $(i \in \{1, 2, ..., s\})$ are *S*-permutable in *G*. It follows that H_{eG} is *S*-permutable in *G* and so $N \cap H_{eG} = N \cap H$ is *S*-permutable in *G*. Since $N_G(H \cap N) \ge O^p(G)$ by Lemma 2.8 and $H \cap N$ is normal in *P* by the choice of $H, H \cap N$ is normal in *G*. Therefore, $H \cap N = 1$ by the minimality of *N*, which is a contradiction.

THEOREM 3.3. Let *E* be a *p*-soluble normal subgroup of *G* and *P* a Sylow *p*-subgroup of *E*, where *p* is a prime divisor of |E|. Suppose that there exists a subgroup *D* of *P* with 1 < |D| < |P| such that every subgroup *H* of *P* with order |D| and every cyclic subgroup of *P* with order 4 (if *P* is a nonabelian 2-group and |D| = 2) is *E*–*S*-supplemented in *G*. Then $E/O_{p'}(E) \le Z_{II}(G/O_{p'}(E))$.

PROOF. By Lemma 2.1(1), every subgroup *H* of *P* with order |D| and every cyclic subgroup of *P* with order 4 (if *P* is a nonabelian 2-group and |D| = 2) is *E*–*S*-supplemented in *E*. If p = 2, then *E* is 2-nilpotent by Lemma 2.10. In particular, *E* is 2-supersoluble. If p > 2, then *E* is also *p*-supersoluble by Theorem 3.2. If $O_{p'}(E) \neq 1$, then, from Lemma 2.1(3), the hypothesis is still true for $(G/O_{p'}(E), E/O_{p'}(E))$. By induction, $E/O_{p'}(E) = (E/O_{p'}(E))/O_{p'}(E/O_{p'}(E)) \leq Z_{\mathfrak{U}}((G/O_{p'}(E))/(O_{p'}(E/O_{p'}(E)))) = Z_{\mathfrak{U}}(G/O_{p'}(E))$. Now assume that $O_{p'}(E) = 1$. By virtue of Lemma 2.9, $P \leq E$. Obviously, *P* is also normal in *G*. By Lemma 2.2, $P \leq Z_{\mathfrak{U}}(G)$. Since *E* is *p*-soluble, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that $F^*(E) = F_p^*(E) = F_p(E) = O_p(E) = P$ and so $F^*(E) \leq Z_{\mathfrak{U}}(G)$. Applying Lemma 2.4, $E \leq Z_{\mathfrak{U}}(G)$.

THEOREM 3.4. Let *E* and *X* be *p*-soluble normal subgroups of G with $F_p(E) \le X \le E$, where *p* is a prime divisor of |E|. Suppose that a Sylow *p*-subgroup *P* of *X* has a subgroup *D* with 1 < |D| < |P| such that every subgroup *H* of *P* with order |D| and every cyclic subgroup of *P* with order 4 (if *P* is a nonabelian 2-group and |D| = 2) is *E*–*S*-supplemented in *G*. Then $E/O_{p'}(E) \le Z_{\mathfrak{U}}(G/O_{p'}(E))$.

PROOF. By Theorem 3.3, $X/O_{p'}(X) \leq Z_{\mathfrak{ll}}(G/O_{p'}(X))$. Since $F_p(E) \leq X \leq E$, it is easy to see that $O_{p'}(X) = O_{p'}(E)$. Hence, $X/O_{p'}(E) \leq Z_{\mathfrak{ll}}(G/O_{p'}(E))$. Consequently, $F_p(E)/O_{p'}(E) \leq Z_{\mathfrak{ll}}(G/O_{p'}(E))$. Since *E* is *p*-soluble, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that $F^*(E/O_{p'}(E)) = F_p(E)/O_{p'}(E) = F_p(E)/O_{p'}(E) \leq Z_{\mathfrak{ll}}(G/O_{p'}(E))$. Applying Lemma 2.4, $E/O_{p'}(E) \leq Z_{\mathfrak{ll}}(G/O_{p'}(E))$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2. By the conclusion of Theorem 3.4, $E/O_{p'}(E) \le Z_{\mathfrak{ll}}(G/O_{p'}(E))$. Since $(G/O_{p'}(E))/(E/O_{p'}(E)) \cong G/E$ is *p*-supersoluble, it follows that $G/O_{p'}(E)$ is *p*-supersoluble and so *G* is *p*-supersoluble.

References

- [1] A. Ballester-Bolinches, R. Esteban-Romero and M. Asaad, *Products of Finite Groups* (Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2010).
- [2] A. Ballester-Bolinches, L. M. Ezquerro and A. N. Skiba, 'Local embeddings of some families of subgroups of finite groups', *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* 25 (2009), 869–882.
- [3] A. Ballester-Bolinches and M. C. Pedraza-Aguilera, 'Sufficient conditions for supersolubility of finite groups', J. Pure Appl. Algebra 127 (1998), 113–118.

C. Li, X. Yi and S. Qiao

- [4] K. Doerk and T. Hawkes, *Finite Solvable Groups* (Walter de Gruyter, Berlin–New York, 1992).
- [5] O. H. Kegel, 'Sylow Gruppen und subnormalteiler endlicher Gruppen', *Math. Z.* **78** (1962), 205–221.
- [6] C. Li, N. Yang and N. Tang, 'Some new characterizations of finite *p*-supersoluble groups', *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **89** (2014), 514–521.
- [7] C. Li, X. Yu and N. Tang, 'Finite *p*-supersoluble groups with some *E*–*S*-supplemented subgroups', *Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova* 133 (2015), 79–90.
- [8] C. Li, X. Zhang and X. Yi, 'On E–S-supplemented subgroups of finite groups', Colloq. Math. 131 (2013), 41–51.
- [9] Y. Li, Y. Wang and H. Wei, 'On *p*-nilpotency of finite groups with some subgroups π -quasinormally embedded', *Acta Math. Hungar.* **108** (2005), 283–298.
- [10] P. Schmidt, 'Subgroups permutable with all Sylow subgroups', J. Algebra 207 (1998), 285–293.
- [11] A. N. Skiba, 'On weakly S-permutable subgroups of finite groups', J. Algebra **315** (2007), 192–209.
- [12] A. N. Skiba, 'A characterization of the hypercyclically embedded subgroups of finite groups', J. Pure Appl. Algebra 215 (2011), 257–261.

CHANGWEN LI, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Jiangsu Normal University, Xuzhou 221116, China e-mail: lcw2000@126.com

XIAOLAN YI, School of Science, Zhejiang Sci-Tech University, Hangzhou 310018, China e-mail: gshqsh513@163.com

SHOUHONG QIAO, School of Applied Mathematics, Guangdong University of Technology, Guangzhou 510006, China e-mail: yixiolan2005@126.com