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#### Abstract

A problem of estimation of the critical Mach number for a class of carrying wing profiles with a fixed theoretical angle of attack is considered. The Chaplygin gas model is used to calculate the velocity field of the flow. The original problem is reduced to a special minimax problem. A solution is constructed for an extended class of flows including multivalent ones, hence $M^{*}$ is estimated from above. For a fixed interval $\left[0, \beta_{0}\right], \beta_{0} \cong 3 \pi / 8$, an estimate of $M^{*}$ is given from below.


## 1. Introduction

An important problem in the theory of gas flow around a body with given shape is to determine the range of Mach number $M_{\infty}$ of the free stream in order that the flow be subsonic everywhere. The upper bound $M^{*}$ of the range is called the critical Mach number and serves as a parameter by which aerodynamical characteristics of transonic wing profiles are evaluated.

The critical Mach number is a functional of the profile shape. Estimating $M^{*}$ for various classes of profiles and determining configurations for which the maximum values of $M^{*}$ are attained is not a simple problem. This problem was solved for some classes of symmetric profiles with zero lift in $[4,6,8,9]$ (see also [3]) by Gilbarg and Shiffman, and Loewner in 1954, by Brutyan and Lyapunov in 1981 and by Kraǐko in 1987. Moreover, in 1992 Aul'chenko [1] used a method of numerical design for some carrying profiles with increased critical Mach number. An analytical method to estimate $M^{*}$ under isoperimetrical constraints was proposed in [2]. Thus, estimates of $M^{*}$ for carrying profiles are of actual importance.
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Figure 1. Formulation of the problem for an isolated airfoil.

## 2. Statement of the problem and description of principal results

We consider the isentropic potential flow of an ideal gas with free stream velocity $\lambda_{\infty}$ and theoretical angle of attack $\beta$. All velocities are referred to the critical velocity $v_{*}$.

In this flow we consider an airfoil with closed boundary $L_{z}$. This contour is assumed to be smooth except for the sharp edges $A$ and $B$ (see Figure 1). The edges are the leading and trailing critical points of the flow to provide a finite maximum of velocity. The exterior angles at the points $A$ and $B$ are equal to $\pi \varepsilon_{1}$ and $\pi \varepsilon$ respectively, $1 \leq \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{1} \leq 2$. We choose $B$ to be the origin of coordinates. By fixing $\beta \in[0, \pi / 2]$ we obtain a class of airfoils.

Problem. For the described class of airfoils it is required to determine a value of the free stream velocity $\lambda^{*}(\beta)$ such that
(a) for $\lambda_{\infty}<\lambda^{*}(\beta)$ there exist airfoils with subsonic velocities;
(b) for $\lambda_{\infty}>\lambda^{*}(\beta)$ there exist no airfoils with subsonic velocities.

Obtaining an exact solution of the problem for an isentropic flow is difficult. To simplify the problem we use a model of subsonic gas flow developed by Chaplygin (see for example [3]). We make use of Chaplygin's gas model to guarantee a satisfactory approximation in the whole subsonic region. By Stepanov [10] we find that the relative error of the dependence of the density $\rho$ on the Mach number is larger than the error of an approximate dependence $\rho$ on the relative velocity $\lambda$. Therefore, we use Chaplygin's approximation only to determine the velocity $\lambda$, and then we calculate $M$

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\kappa+1} \lambda}: \sqrt{1-\frac{\kappa-1}{\kappa+1} \lambda^{2}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa$ is the isentropic exponent. In this case $\rho(\lambda)=\left(1+4 c^{2} \lambda^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}$, where $c^{2}$ is the positive constant chosen on the condition that in Chaplygin's gas model the adiabatic curve has the best linear approximation. In particular, in [10] it was proposed that $c^{2}=0.296$. The choice $c^{2}=0$ corresponds to incompressible fluid ( $\rho=$ const).

Combining Chaplygin's gas model with formula (1) means that maximization of $M^{*}$ is equivalent to maximization of $\lambda_{\infty}$ on the condition that $\lambda \leq 1$ everywhere in the flow (see $[2,5]$ ).

It is well known [5] that in Chaplygin's model of gas flow the flow region is an image of the region $\{\zeta:|\zeta|>1\}$ under a quasiconformal mapping by a function $z=z(\zeta)$, which satisfies Beltrami's equation

$$
z_{\zeta}+\mu(\zeta) z_{\zeta}=0
$$

where

$$
\mu(\zeta)=c^{2} \overline{h(\zeta)} \exp [\overline{\chi(\zeta)}+\chi(\zeta)] / h(\zeta)
$$

the transition to the $z$-plane being realized by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
d z=u_{0}\left\{h(\zeta) \exp [-\chi(\zeta)] d \zeta-c^{2} \overline{h(\zeta) \exp [\chi(\zeta)] d \zeta}\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{align*}
& h(\zeta)=\exp (-i \beta)\left[1-e^{2 i \beta} / \zeta^{2}+\left(e^{2 i \beta}-1\right) / \zeta\right] \\
& \chi(\zeta)=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} S(\gamma) \frac{e^{i \gamma}+\zeta}{e^{i \gamma}-\zeta} d \gamma, \quad|\zeta|>1 \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

and $S(\gamma)$ is an integrable function. Set

$$
A_{0}(c)=\ln \left\{\left[\left(1+4 c^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}-1\right] /\left(2 c^{2}\right)\right\}
$$

The constant $u_{0}$ in (2) sets a linear scale and does not influence the solution of the problem. It can be uniquely defined, for example, by giving the perimeter $L$ of the profile contour. We set

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{\infty} & =v_{\infty} / v_{*}, \quad \lambda_{\infty}^{*}(\beta)=v_{\infty}^{*}(\beta) / v_{*} \\
\lambda_{\infty}^{(k)}(\beta) & =\exp \left(T_{k}\right) /\left(1-c^{2} \exp \left(2 T_{k}\right)\right), \quad k=1,2 \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $T_{k}$ is the root of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
T-A_{0}(c)+k \sin \beta \frac{1-c^{2} \exp (2 T)}{1+c^{2} \exp (2 T)}=0, \quad k=1,2 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our principal result is a proof of the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\infty}^{(2)}(\beta) \leq \lambda_{\infty}^{*}(\beta) \leq \lambda_{\infty}^{(1)}(\beta) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

the right-hand side inequality being proved for each $\beta \in[0, \pi / 2]$, and the one on the left-hand side being proved for each $\beta \in\left[0, \beta_{0}\right], \beta_{0} \cong 3 \pi / 8$. The left-hand side inequality is verified by examples which solve a certain auxiliary problem. Equality in the right-hand side case is attained only for multivalent profiles. Thus for real profiles
we have $\lambda_{\infty}^{*}(\beta)<\lambda_{\infty}^{(1)}(\beta)$. It means that if $\lambda_{\infty} \geq \lambda_{\infty}^{(1)}(\beta)$, then for each profile for which gas flow is in accordance with Chaplygin's model with angle of attack $\beta$, there exist parts of the profile contour on which $\lambda>1$.

From (1) and (6) it follows that both upper and lower estimates for the critical Mach number obey

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{(2)}(\beta) \leq M^{*}(\beta) \leq M^{(2)}(\beta) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for $k=1,2, M^{(k)}(\beta)$ is determined by the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{(k)}(\beta)=\sqrt{\frac{2 \exp \left(T_{k}\right)\left[1-c^{2} \exp \left(2 T_{k}\right)\right]}{(\kappa+1)\left[1-c^{2} \exp \left(2 T_{k}\right)\right]^{2}-(\kappa-1) \exp \left(2 T_{k}\right)}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that we may state another corollary of (6) for the case of an ideal incompressible fluid. Taking $c=0$ we obtain $A_{0}(0)=0$ and $T_{k}=-k \sin \beta$. We denote by $v_{\max }^{*}$ the minimum of all $v_{\max }$ over the class of profiles with given $v_{\infty}$ and $\beta$. Then from (6) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin \beta \leq \ln \left(v_{\max }^{*} / v_{\infty}\right) \leq 2 \sin \beta \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, for any profile in the path of the flow of an ideal incompressible fluid with angle of attack $\beta$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\max } / v_{\infty} \geq \exp (\sin \beta) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus to prove that the estimates (7), (9) and (10) hold it suffices to prove (6).

## 3. An outline of the proof of (6) and comments on the figures

By (2) and (3), for each $2 \pi$-periodic function $S(\gamma) \in L_{1}[0,2 \pi]$ we have some profile (which may be multivalent) if $S(\gamma)$ satisfies the condition [5]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} S(\gamma) e^{i \gamma} d \gamma=2 \pi i e^{i \beta} A(T, \beta) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A(T, \beta)=\sin \beta\left(1-c^{2} \exp (2 T)\right) /\left(1+c^{2} \exp (2 T)\right)
$$

The condition (11) provides the closeness of the profile contour. The condition $\lambda=v / v_{*} \leq 1$ is equivalent to the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(\gamma) \leq A_{0}(c), \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq 2 \pi \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2. Dependences $M=M^{(j)}(\beta)$ for $\kappa=1.4$.

Next we have $\lambda_{\infty}=\exp (T) /\left(1-c^{2} \exp (2 T)\right)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} S(\gamma) d \gamma \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence maximization of $\lambda_{\infty}$ is equivalent to maximization of the functional $T$ for $2 \pi$-periodic functions $S(\gamma) \in L_{1}[0,2 \pi]$ under the restrictions (11), (12).

Using subordinate functions and Lindelöf's principle (see, for example, [7]), we can show that for a fixed $T$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf \sup _{|k| 1} \Re[\chi(\zeta)-\chi(\infty)]=A(T, \beta) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where infimum is taken for all functions $S(\gamma) \in L_{1}[0,2 \pi]$ which satisfy (11) and (12) ( $\mathfrak{P}$ denotes real part). Since

$$
S(\gamma)=T+\Re\left[\chi\left(e^{i \gamma}\right)-\chi(\infty)\right]
$$

the restriction (12) and the equality (14) imply the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
T-A_{0}(c)+A(T, \beta) \leq 0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

As the left-hand part of (15) is monotonic with respect to $T$, the maximum value of $T$, satisfying (15), is obtained as the solution of (5) for $k=1$ (taking into account that (5) has a unique root for any $\beta \in[0, \pi / 2]$ ). Thus $T_{1}=\max T$. Hence, by virtue of the given relation between $\lambda_{\infty}$ and $T$, the right-hand inequality in (6) follows. The formula (8) determines $M^{(1)}(\beta)$ by $T$ and the right-hand inequality in (7). In the limit case for $\beta=0$ we have $T_{1}=A_{0}(c), M^{(1)}(0)=1$, so we have a symmetric flow around a plate. In the general case the graph of $M^{(1)}=M^{(1)}(\beta)$ for $\kappa=1.4$ may be seen, labelled as line 1, in Figure 2. Notice that $M^{(1)}(\pi / 2)=0.298$.

To estimate $\lambda_{\infty}^{*}$ and $M^{*}$ from below, that is, to prove that the left-hand inequalities of (6) and (7) hold, it suffices to take these values for some flow which satisfies


Figure 3. Contours of univalent profiles for $\beta=10^{\circ}, 20^{\circ}, 40^{\circ}$.
the condition $\lambda \leq 1$. We shall construct such a flow as a solution of a certain new variational problem. We define a characteristic of the deviation of the flow from the non-perturbed flow as

$$
B\left[\lambda_{\infty}, \beta, \lambda, \theta\right]=\sup \left[\ln ^{2}\left(\Lambda / \Lambda_{\infty}\right)+\left(\theta_{\infty}-\theta\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}=\sup \left|\ln \left[\Lambda e^{-i \theta} /\left(\Lambda_{\infty} e^{-i \theta_{\infty}}\right)\right]\right|
$$

Here $\lambda e^{i \theta}$ is a relative velocity vector of Chaplygin's gas flow,

$$
\Lambda=\left[\left(1+4 c^{2} \lambda^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}-1\right] /\left(2 c^{2} \lambda\right)
$$

is a generalized modulus of the relative velocity and the supremum is taken over all points of the flow region around a single profile with the same angle of attack $\beta \in[0, \pi / 2]$. We wish to minimize

$$
\begin{equation*}
B\left[\lambda_{\infty}, \beta, \lambda, \theta\right] \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for given $\lambda_{\infty}$ and $\beta$. We denote this minimum by $B\left[\lambda_{\infty}, \beta\right]$. By (2) and (3), $\chi(\zeta)=$ $\ln \left(\Lambda e^{-i \theta}\right)$, and by Lindelöf's principle we have

$$
B\left[\lambda_{\infty}, \beta\right]=\underset{|\zeta|>1}{\min \sup |\chi(\zeta)-\chi(\infty)|=2 A(T, \beta), ~}
$$

the minimum being attained for the function $\chi(\zeta)=a(T, \beta) / \zeta+\chi(\infty)$, where $a(T, \beta)=2 i e^{i \beta} A(T, \beta), T=\chi(\infty)$ and $\theta_{\infty}=0$. Therefore by (2) we can restore a profile which is the minimum of (16). For this profile the condition $\max \lambda=1$, that is, the condition $\max S(\gamma)=A_{0}(c)$, implies (5) for $k=2$, where $T_{2}<T_{1}$. Then we can determine $M^{(2)}(\beta)$ by (8).

The graph of $M^{(2)}(\beta)$ for $\kappa=1.4$ is shown by the line labelled 2 in Figure 2, where $M^{(2)}\left(\beta_{0}\right)=0.11, \beta_{0} \cong 3 \pi / 8$. The values obtained for $\lambda_{\infty}^{(2)}(\beta)$ and $M^{(2)}(\beta)$ give


Figure 4. Contours of univalent profiles for (a) $\beta=60^{\circ}$; (b) $\beta=\beta_{0} \cong 3 \pi / 8$; and contour of non-univalent profile for (c) $\beta=72^{\circ}$.
the left-hand side estimates in (6) and (7) if and only if the corresponding profiles are univalent. The calculations show that the functions $\chi(\zeta)=a(T, \beta) / \zeta+T$ for $0 \leq T \leq T_{2}$ correspond to univalent flow regions only for $0 \leq \beta \leq \beta_{0}<\pi / 2$. The corresponding contours for $T=T_{2}(\beta)$ and for several values of $\beta$ are presented in Figures 3 and 5. The contours in Figure 3 correspond to $\beta=2 \pi / 9$ ( $40^{\circ}$ ) (line 3), $\beta=\pi / 9\left(20^{\circ}\right)$ (line 2 ) and $\beta=\pi / 18\left(10^{\circ}\right)$ (line 1 ), respectively. As $\beta$ decreases, the contours tend to a plate. If $\beta$ increases, then at first two points of inflexion appear (for $\beta=\pi / 3$ the shape of the contour is shown in Figure 4 (a)), next there appears the self-intersection point on the upper surface of the profile (in Figure 4 (b) $\beta=\beta_{0}$ ), and further the flow region becomes multivalent (the contour in Figure 4 (c) corresponds to $\beta=72^{\circ}$ ). In addition the lower surface of the profile becomes straight and again tends to a plate. The authors are not aware of the value of $M^{(2)}(\beta)$ for $\beta>\beta_{0}$.

## 4. Generalization to the case of a straight uniserial profiles cascade

Let a cascade of airfoils be disposed along the ordinates axis with a given step $t$, $t>0$ (Figure 5). We denote the flow velocities at infinity in front of the cascade and behind the cascade as $\lambda_{1} \exp \left(i \theta_{1}\right)$ and $\lambda_{2} \exp \left(i \theta_{2}\right)$, respectively. Without loss of generality we suppose $\theta_{1}=0$. From the continuity equation and the condition of flow potentiality it follows that

$$
\lambda_{2}=\lambda_{1} \sqrt{1+4 d^{2}}, \quad \theta_{2}=\arcsin \frac{2 d \rho\left(\lambda_{1}\right)}{\sqrt{1+4 d^{2}}}
$$

where $d=\Gamma /\left[2 t \lambda \rho_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)\right], \rho\left(\lambda_{1}\right)=\left(1+4 c^{2} \lambda^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}$ and $\Gamma$ is the velocity circulation.
It is known that the flow domain around the cascade is an image of the infinite Riemann surface $R_{\zeta}$, and also that the projection of the bounds of $R_{\zeta}$ coincides with


Figure 5. Formulation of the problem for an airfoil cascade.
the unit circle. The sheets of $R_{\zeta}$ are the exterior of the unit circle with two branching points $\zeta= \pm R \exp (i \delta)$. The point $\zeta=1$ corresponds to the airfoils' trailing edges.

For Chaplygin's gas model the flow domain is obtained from (2), namely

$$
\begin{gathered}
h(\zeta)=\exp (-i \beta)\left(\zeta-\zeta_{1}\right)(\zeta-1)\left[\left(\zeta^{2} / R^{2}-1\right)\left(\zeta^{2}-R^{-2}\right)\right] \\
\zeta_{1}=\exp (i(\pi+2 \beta)), \quad u_{0}=\lambda_{1} \rho\left(\lambda_{1}\right) t\left(R^{2}+1\right) /\left[\pi R^{3} \cos (\beta+\delta)\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

The parameters $\beta$ and $\delta$ depend on $R$ and $d$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta=\arcsin \frac{d\left(R^{2}-R^{-2}\right)}{2 \sqrt{\left(R+R^{-1}\right)^{2}+d^{2}\left(R-R^{-2}\right)}} \\
& \delta=\beta+\arctan \frac{d\left(R-R^{-1}\right)}{R+R^{-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

So a cascade of the considered class is determined by the function $S(\gamma)$ and two parameters $R$ and $d$.

The analogue of the problem (11)-(13) is variational:

$$
\begin{gathered}
T \equiv \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \Phi(\gamma) S(\gamma) d \gamma \rightarrow \max \\
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \Phi(\gamma) S(\gamma) e^{i \gamma} d \gamma=\pi e^{-i \delta}\left(A_{1}-i A_{2}\right), \quad S(\gamma) \leq A_{0}(c)
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi(\gamma)=\left(R^{4}-1\right) /\left[\left(R^{2}+1\right)^{2}-4 R^{2} \cos ^{2} \gamma\right] \\
& A_{1}(T)=\frac{\left(R^{2}+1\right)}{2 R} \ln \frac{H(\gamma)+\sqrt{1+4 d^{2}}}{1+\sqrt{H^{2}(T)-4 d^{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
A_{2}(T)=-\frac{\left(R^{2}-1\right)}{2 R} \arcsin \frac{2 d}{H(T)}, \quad H(T)=\sqrt{\left(1+4 d^{2}\right)\left(1+4 c^{2} g^{2}(T)\right.}
$$

and where the monotone function

$$
\lambda_{1}=g(T) \equiv \frac{\exp T\left[\left(1+\sqrt{1+4 d^{2}} c^{2} \exp 2 T\right)\left(\sqrt{1+4 d^{2}}+c^{2} \exp 2 T\right]^{1 / 2}\right.}{\sqrt{1+4 d^{2}}\left(1-c^{2} \exp 4 T\right)}
$$

connects $\lambda_{1}$ and $T$.
The following statements are proved:
(1) A maximal possible value $T$ is the greatest of the roots of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{A^{2}(T)+A^{2}(T)} / 2+T-A_{0}=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) Uniqueness of the root of (17) is provided by the inequality $R \leq 1+2 /\left(d_{*}-1\right)$, where

$$
d_{*}=\left(1+4 c^{2}\right) \sqrt{1+4 d^{2}} /\left[\sqrt{\left(1+4 c^{2}+4 d^{2}\right)\left(1+4 c^{2}\right)}-8 c^{2} d\right]
$$

As $R \rightarrow \infty(t \rightarrow \infty)$ we have

$$
\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} d=0, \quad \lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(\gamma)=1, \quad \lim _{R \rightarrow \infty}\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right)=i e^{i \beta} A(T, \beta)
$$

Consequently in the limit case we obtain the problem (11)-(13).
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