The Smarter Sleep educational interventions: an initiative to reduce hypnotic prescribing in in-patient psychiatric care
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Aims and method In-patients on mental health wards are commonly prescribed hypnotics for the long-term management of disturbed sleep. Specific sleep disorders remain underdiagnosed and effective behavioural interventions are underused. We developed a suite of three educational interventions (a video, poster and handbook) about sleep, sleep disorders, the safe prescribing of hypnotics and use of psychological strategies (sleep hygiene and cognitive-behavioural therapy for insomnia, CBTi) using co-design and multiprofessional stakeholder involvement. This controlled before-and-after study evaluated the effectiveness of these interventions across seven in-patient psychiatric wards, examining their impact on hypnotic prescribing rates and staff confidence scores (data collected by retrospective drug chart analysis and survey respectively).

Results A marked reduction was seen in the percentage of patients prescribed hypnotics on in-patient prescription charts (−24%), with a 41% reduction in the number of hypnotics administered per patient (mean reduction −1.142 administrations/patient).

Clinical implications These simple educational strategies about the causes and treatment of insomnia can reduce hypnotic prescribing rates and increase staff confidence in both the medical and psychological management of insomnia.
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Sleep and mental health are inextricably linked, with associations between abnormal sleep and depression, bipolar disorder, schizophrenia, autism spectrum disorders, attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and relapse rates in addiction. Adequate duration and timing of sleep are essential for maintaining good physical and mental health. Sleep disturbance has been associated with a two- to threefold increase in risk of psychiatric in-patient admission and of suicide. The psychiatric in-patient setting in itself can be detrimental to sleep quality. As many as two-thirds of in-patients on psychiatric wards experience insomnia and poor sleep is a predictor for psychiatric readmission.

If left untreated, sleep disorders (Table 1) such as insomnia, narcolepsy, circadian rhythm disorders, parasomnias and restless legs syndrome can have deleterious effects on cardiovascular, metabolic and mental health, and some are linked to an increase in mortality. ‘Poor sleep’ is not in its own right a diagnosis. This evidence–practice gap contributes to delays in diagnosis and treatment, an
overprescribing of hypnotic medication,\textsuperscript{20} has a negative impact on recovery from psychiatric disorders and can cause misattribution of symptoms.\textsuperscript{21} Teaching on distinct sleep disorders and effective behavioural interventions for insomnia is generally lacking in UK undergraduate medical curricula.\textsuperscript{22} In practice, an increase in prescribing rates, mortality and drug spend indicates a culture of inappropriate medication.\textsuperscript{32} Of concern, overprescribing of hypnotic medication beyond licensed timescales,\textsuperscript{30} has a negative impact on recovery from psychiatric disorders and can cause misattribution of symptoms.\textsuperscript{21}

Hypnotics are commonly overprescribed: a 2015 survey of English general practice populations described inappropriate hypnotic prescribing as a public health problem, estimating that over a quarter of a million people take hypnotic medication beyond licensed timescales.\textsuperscript{30}

Hypnotic medication should only be considered after appropriate assessment and after, or in combination with, psychological therapies such as cognitive–behavioural therapy for insomnia (CBT-I).\textsuperscript{31} The deprescribing (cessation of inappropriate medication) of hypnotic medication is considered problematic.\textsuperscript{32} A lack of institutional structures, inadequate resources, and the attitudes and practice of more senior clinicians have been cited as barriers to this process.\textsuperscript{34}

At present, compliance with evidence-based care in sleep management is suboptimal.\textsuperscript{35} Increasingly, pharmacological agents are chosen over non-pharmacological treatments.\textsuperscript{36} Disturbances to sleep are multifactorial in nature.\textsuperscript{37} Although causal components can be tied to the patient’s psychiatric diagnosis, underlying physical health condition or a distinct sleep disorder, there is a need for improved resourcing and greater awareness of assessment and treatment strategies to aid practitioners in managing insomnia\textsuperscript{27} and, more broadly, improving sleep in in-patient units. Barriers to implementation include: workload/time pressures, a lack of resources, a lack of authority to change practice, a workplace culture resistant to change and a lack of support from other staff members.\textsuperscript{39} There are modifiable behavioural factors that can markedly improve sleep.\textsuperscript{40} In in-patient settings, staff-related factors include reducing ward noise and overnight nursing observations, optimising the patient’s bedroom for sleep and increasing staffing.\textsuperscript{41} Patient-related factors include decreasing caffeine, alcohol and nicotine consumption,\textsuperscript{42} setting a routine wake up time, introducing a wind-down period prior to attempting sleep and avoiding prolonged periods in bed while awake.\textsuperscript{43} Strategic factors include educational meetings, printed educational materials, local opinion leaders, revising professional roles and practice facilitation. Multifaceted, tailored strategies may be more effective at creating sustained change in practice.\textsuperscript{44}

This study examines whether improving awareness of sleep, insomnia and behavioural sleep interventions among clinicians supports the deprescribing of hypnotics. The impact of a simple, online educational package on the prescribing patterns in in-patient mental health units was evaluated.

Table 1 Overview of specific sleep disorders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sleep disorder</th>
<th>Nature</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insomnia disorder</td>
<td>Difficulty initiating and/or maintaining sleep, with daytime impact</td>
<td>Cognitive-behavioural therapy for insomnia (CBT-I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narcolepsy</td>
<td>Neurological condition causing symptoms of excessive sleepiness and sleep attacks</td>
<td>Refer to specialist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parasomnia</td>
<td>Includes: sleepwalking, confusional arousals, night terrors, rapid eye movement (REM) sleep behaviour disorder, sleep paralysis, nightmare disorder</td>
<td>Refer to specialist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circadian rhythm disorders</td>
<td>Disorder of the sleep–wake cycle (e.g. advanced/delayed sleep phase syndrome, free running circadian rhythm disorder)</td>
<td>Sleep diaries/actigraphy for diagnosis and referral to specialist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restless leg syndrome (RLS)</td>
<td>Characterised by an unpleasant aching/creeping/crawling sensation in the legs resulting in the irresistible urge to move; often worse at night or at rest; may cause limb twitching Precipitating factor for insomnia</td>
<td>General practitioner management initially, with RLS-UK for patient support; Lifestyle: avoid stimulants in the evening; quit smoking; exercise; good sleep habits Medicines: dopamine antagonists (pramipexole, ropinirole, rotigotine) must be given with supervision given risk of impulse control disorder.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstructive sleep apnoea</td>
<td>More prevalent in men over 50 who are overweight, particularly in those with neck circumference &gt;43 cm (&gt;17 inches) Alcohol and sedative medications also risk factors Consider in those who have daytime sleepiness and a witnessed snore</td>
<td>Refer to specialist for sleep study for diagnosis; First line: continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) device Second line (for mild to moderate disease only): mandibular advancement device (MAD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Method

Design

A co-design approach\textsuperscript{45} was employed to develop a package of educational interventions designed to be generalisable...
to practitioners across all care settings. The package, part of the Smarter Sleep educational materials (available from https://www.cntw.nhs.uk/resource-library/smarter-sleep/), comprised

- an overview video: a 9 min overview of normal sleep, specific sleep disorders, hypnotics and psychological therapies for insomnia
- a poster: to prompt nursing staff towards simple sleep interventions instead of hypnotic administration
- a handbook: a detailed review of sleep, causes of sleep disturbance and basic principles of CBTi.

These interventions were introduced to wards, and emails were sent each month as reminders that the interventions were active. Acute and rehabilitation wards for both male and female patients on one hospital site were eligible to participate. Wards on other sites within the trust (other than the control ward) were excluded.

Development of the intervention

The development, embedding and evaluation of these interventions can be mapped to the knowledge-to-action (KTA) framework46 (Fig. 1). This framework involves two phases – knowledge creation and the action cycle – which are interlinked and feed dynamically between each other.

Knowledge creation

Represented by a ‘knowledge funnel’, this consists of knowledge inquiry, synthesis and products/tools. This process encapsulates primary literature (knowledge inquiry) and secondary literature (synthesis), which are condensed down to produce ‘third-generation knowledge’ in the form of products/tools (decision aids, guidelines and pathways).

The action cycle

This part of the KTA framework represents the application of the knowledge creation process. It involves identifying the problem (the overprescribing of hypnotics) and then selecting the knowledge required for the intervention. We did this through literature review.47,48 Knowledge was then adapted to the local context through discussions with ward managers, and barriers to knowledge use were identified (time, ward pressures, resource availability). The interventions were tailored and implemented in the form of a video, poster and handbook, and knowledge use was monitored through the survey completion data and hypnotic prescribing rate data. Outcomes were evaluated using these data and case studies from implementation of the interventions. Knowledge use is being sustained through the embedding of interventions into everyday practice, and the hosting of the resources on the trust website (https://www.cntw.nhs.uk/smartersleep).

Fig. 1 The knowledge-to-action (KTA) framework (based on Graham et al46).
Hypnotic prescribing and administration rates

A controlled before-and-after approach was taken to assess the impact of the interventions. Point prevalence data were collected via retrospective drug chart analysis over 2 full months (September 2019 and December 2019), with 2 months between for interventions to become embedded. These data consisted of a count of the number of active hypnotic prescriptions written on the drug charts of each ward during these periods. Data were also collected on the number of hypnotic administrations (i.e. individual doses) that took place on each pilot ward over this same periods. All doses in these time periods were counted, even if a patient was prescribed multiple hypnotic agents. These outcomes were relevant in evaluating the impact of the interventions on practice.

For example, seven doses administered against a prescription of ‘zopiclone 7.5 mg at night when required’ would count as one active prescription and seven total administrations. Data were analysed using descriptive statistics.

Pre- and post-intervention survey

The survey was undertaken entirely online. A pre-intervention survey was emailed to all participants prior to watching the video, along with a link to the video and electronic copies of the resources. This was followed by a post-intervention survey 10–12 weeks later (Table 2). The survey assessed baseline knowledge and attitudes towards current sleep management (pre-intervention survey) and then measured any changes that had taken place in the knowledge and attitudes of individuals over the intervention period (post-intervention survey). These outcomes were relevant in assessing whether the interventions had an impact on staff knowledge and behaviours, even if this impact was not enough to change prescribing and administration rates on the wards.

Participants

The package of educational interventions was designed by a stakeholder group consisting of hospital pharmacists (n = 8), primary care pharmacists (n = 4), a neurologist with specialist interest in sleep (n = 1) and consultant psychiatrists (n = 3). Stakeholders were recruited through local networks and selected for their knowledge and experience in insomnia, hypnotics or local practice. A patient and public involvement group was also consulted to consider which messages they would want to ensure were communicated to healthcare staff caring for them. Extensive consultation in the form of draft videos and resources shared via email ensured that these interventions would be generalisable across all care settings. A draft video was also shown at a regional pharmacy conference and feedback was collected on the content and quality, to be integrated into the final version.

The resulting interventions were introduced on in-patient wards (n = 7) in one hospital and compared against a control ward. A geographically distant hospital within the same trust was selected for the control ward, to mitigate the risk of migration of practice if staff cross-covered pilot and control wards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Comparison of the questions in the pre- and post-intervention surveys</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-intervention survey</td>
<td>Post-intervention survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Please indicate your job role</td>
<td>1. Please indicate your job role</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. How confident do you feel using mediation to help patients sleep (/5)</td>
<td>2. How confident do you now feel using mediation to help patients sleep (/5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Please rank the following sleeping tablets from 1 ‘most commonly used’ to 5 ‘least commonly used’: - Melatonin - Temazepam - Zopiclone - Zolpidem - Promethazine</td>
<td>3. Please rank the following sleeping tablets from 1 ‘should be most commonly used’ to 5 ‘should be least commonly used’: - Melatonin - Temazepam - Zopiclone - Zolpidem - Promethazine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Please explain why you have ranked the above sleeping tablets in the order you have listed</td>
<td>4. Please explain why you have ranked the above sleeping tablets in the order you have listed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. In your own words, please describe how you would manage a patient reporting poor sleep</td>
<td>5. How confident do you now feel about using psychological strategies such as cognitive-behavioural therapy for insomnia (CBTi) to help patients sleep?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. How confident do you personally feel about using psychological strategies such as CBTi to help patients sleep?</td>
<td>6. Has your approach to managing a patient who is reporting poor sleep changed since before you did this training? If so, how?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ethics

All participants gave their informed consent for inclusion before they participated in the study. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and the protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Newcastle University Faculty of Medical Sciences. The project was also registered with the research department at Cumbria, Northumberland, Tyne and Wear NHS Foundation Trust.

Standards

The SQUIRE statement was used as a scaffold in the writing of this paper.49

All wards received the same level of resources for implementation of the intervention. Variation in levels of staff engagement with the interventions may have led to variation between wards, but the interventions themselves were standardised across all pilot wards. The control (comparator) ward had no interventions delivered, but staff were informed that data would be collected to allow for comparison, and interventions made available after the evaluation phase had been completed.

Results

Hypnotic prescribing and administration rates

A decrease in the number of administrations occurred on all wards except one, which was a psychiatric intensive care
unit (PICU) treating patients with more challenging behaviours (Fig. 2). Overall, there was a mean reduction of 41% in the number of hypnotic administrations per patient across the pilot site. This compares with the control site, which showed a 37% increase in the administrations of hypnotics per patient on the control ward (Table 3).

A decrease in the percentage of patients prescribed hypnotics occurred on 71% of the pilot wards (Fig. 3). The PICU and female acute wards did not show a decrease, however. Overall, there was a mean reduction of 24% in the percentage of patients prescribed hypnotics on the pilot site. This compares with the control ward (a mixed-gender rehabilitation ward), which showed no change.

Pre- and post-intervention survey

A total of 251 staff were invited to participate in the survey. Non-participation reasons included a lack of time, leave of absence and self-perceived adequate pre-existing knowledge in this subject area. Survey response data were collected on the pre-intervention questionnaire (n = 85) and post-intervention questionnaire (n = 45) (total n = 131). Total respondents for both surveys comprised: nurses (pre-intervention n = 27; post-intervention n = 13); junior doctors (pre, 7; post, 1); specialty trainees (pre, 6; post, 3); consultants (pre, 3; post, 2); pharmacists (pre, 12; post, 12); pharmacy technicians (pre, 6; post, 4); psychologists (pre, 7; post, 2); and ‘other’ (pre, 17; post, 8). Two respondents did not complete the question about job role.

Before and after the intervention, respondents were asked to rate their confidence (on a Likert scale from 1, ‘not confident’ to 5, ‘extremely confident’) in using medication versus psychological strategies to help patients sleep. An increase was seen in confidence scores for using medication and for using psychological strategies to help patients sleep (Table 4).

The intervention prompted a change in the order in which hypnotics were considered (Table 5) for sleep management. Melatonin rose in ranking, whereas promethazine fell.

When asked pre-intervention to comment on their rationale behind the ranking decisions they had made, 87% of respondents mentioned that their ranking of the listed medications was based on what they had ‘seen in practice’ or ‘heard of the most’, i.e. choices were being informed by existing practice on the wards that staff worked. Only 9% of respondents mentioned anything about knowledge of drugs in their response, and no respondents made reference to the evidence base, cost-effectiveness or risk-benefit. For example,

‘I picked the medication that I had heard of used within the service I work. Patients are more frequently prescribed promethazine and zopiclone, promethazine is usually offered first. Melatonin I believe is non formulary so is used less regularly. Temazepam is used rarely. I have never heard of zolpidem before.’ (Foundation Year 1 doctor)

Asked the same question post-intervention, only 13% of respondents still mentioned in their responses that their choices were being informed by experience of practice, whereas 76% mentioned the evidence base, cost-effectiveness and/or risk–benefit in their responses. For example,

‘Melatonin is licensed in my client group for insomnia. Evidence base supports the use of Z-drugs and benzodiazepines for short term use in insomnia and Z-drugs have a slightly more favourable side-effect profile in terms of long-term dependency. There is little evidence to support the use of sedating antihistamines for insomnia.’ (Advanced Pharmacist Practitioner)

Discussion

Hypnotic prescribing and administration rates

Among staff working on the in-patient units studied, knowledge about the mechanism of action of hypnotic medications and different sleep disorders was limited and informed by previous practice, not the evidence base. After a short educational video about sleep disorders and hypnotics, the hypnotic prescribing rates decreased by 24% and the administration rates fell by 41% compared with the control ward. There was also a difference in which medications were used as hypnotics, with promethazine use being reduced. Staff described a better understanding of sleep and the different available therapies for sleep disorders after the intervention.

An increase in hypnotics issued was seen on the PICU despite the implementation of the educational interventions (Table 2). This may be due to the nature of the patients on this ward, who are more likely to have challenging behaviours and disturbed sleep and to not engage as much with...
Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ward</th>
<th>Total number of patients</th>
<th>Patients prescribed hypnotics</th>
<th>Number of administrations of hypnotics</th>
<th>Administration rate of hypnotics per patient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control ward</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female acute</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male acute 1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male acute 2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male rehab</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female rehab</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed rehab</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PICU</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ward</th>
<th>Total number of patients</th>
<th>Patients prescribed hypnotics</th>
<th>Number of administrations of hypnotics</th>
<th>Administration rate of hypnotics per patient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control ward</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female acute</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male acute 1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male acute 2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male rehab</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female rehab</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed rehab</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PICU</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Diff. difference: PICU, psychiatric intensive care unit.
- Psychiatric intensive care unit.
- Patients on hypnotics and administration rate per patient pre- and post-educational intervention to reduce hypnotic prescribing.
- Psychological therapies compared with patients admitted to acute and rehabilitation wards.

Pre- and post-intervention survey

Self-rated confidence scores show a larger improvement in the mean score in relation to medication than in the mean score for psychological strategies for improving sleep. Further support and training may be needed to help staff feel confident in psychological management. Alternatively, this might suggest that, owing to the often acute nature of the in-patient setting, hypnotics are deemed to be of greater utility than psychological strategies in the care of patients struggling with sleep and/or anxiety.

The table ranking the order in which hypnotics were considered (Table 5) shows convergence of practice towards the evidence base. Zolpidem is non-formulary in this geographical area, which is likely to be the reason for it being lowest ranked. Promethazine has fallen in ranking, which is likely to be because the educational package highlighted its weak evidence base and high side-effect profile. Melatonin rose in ranking, possibly due to its favourable side-effect profile and the fact that it is an endogenous hormone involved in regulation of the circadian rhythm. It does, however, have a short half-life and so its use in sleep disorders may be limited, as was highlighted in the educational package.

An increase in the evidence-based language used when rationalising the rank order of hypnotics suggests that this intervention went some way towards closing the evidence-practice gap in management of poor sleep, as end-user feedback illustrates.

End-user feedback

Doctors found these resources useful for instigating positive change in relation to hypnotic prescribing, reviewing the clinical need and reducing doses where appropriate:

- ‘Patients were on hypnotics for weeks and weeks and weeks and then stopped immediately at discharge. This is bad practice, and leaves a mess for both GPs [general practitioners] and the patients. These interventions created a change in culture so that the mindset for patients prescribed hypnotics is now to reduce them during the in-patient stay wherever appropriate.’ (Specialty trainee)

Nursing staff found these resources helpful in offering alternative solutions to sleep disturbances, other than administering hypnotic medication:

- ‘I feel like, after watching the video, we started to encourage patients to use non-pharmacological methods more. This demonstrated that patients were often showing drug seeking behaviours, as they would often then go to sleep without needing hypnotics.’ (Ward manager)

The video was viewed favourably by staff and shone a light on a field of practice that is often overlooked:

- ‘Excellent video, extremely important field that is greatly underestimated, but is pivotal in a patient’s physical, mental and emotional wellbeing.’ (Consultant)
Generalisability

The presence of the pharmacy team on all wards enabled the proliferation of the intervention among other staff groups. Rotation of nursing staff between wards enabled migration of practice to spread engagement with the interventions across wards. Some wards (n = 2) were involved in the SleepWell Project,\textsuperscript{51} which may have motivated staff to engage with further work on sleep, but the impact of SleepWell in relation to this study is unclear. The above aspects may not exist in other settings.

Limitations

There were several limitations to the study. No data were gathered on which of the interventions was felt to be the most effective, as the intervention package was conceptualised as a whole. The evaluation period was short, owing to the limited time span available within the context of the funding. There were fewer responses to the post-intervention survey than to the pre-intervention survey, as pre-intervention responses were gathered immediately before accessing the intervention. Post-intervention responses were gathered 10–12 weeks later, to allow time for a change in practice to occur. A shorter interval may have resulted in a lower attrition rate, but seen a smaller change in prescribing and administration rates. Completing the post-intervention survey straight after the resources were accessed may have increased responses but would have allowed less time for reflection. Introducing a prize raffle for completion of the post-intervention survey may also have increased responses.

The control ward was selected because of its geographical distance from the intervention wards to prevent migration of practice. During the course of this study the ward was planned for closure, so admitted fewer and fewer patients, leading to a lower number of patients in this group.

Future work

Future work could examine the nuances of uptake and benefit between interventions. It might also be valuable to evaluate whether the reduction in inappropriate hypnotic prescriptions is sustained over time. If it is not sustained, measuring how long this change lasts and investigating barriers and enablers for maintaining a change in evidence-based practice would be worthy of future investigation. Further work could also look at the feasibility and uptake of these interventions in primary care and examine barriers and enablers to the delivery of online educational interventions in this setting.

**Table 4** Self-rated confidence scores\textsuperscript{a} before and after educational intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item ‘Using medication to help patients sleep’</th>
<th>Before intervention (n = 86)</th>
<th>After intervention (n = 45)</th>
<th>Actual difference</th>
<th>Percentage difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean score</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>+0.94</td>
<td>+18.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item ‘Using psychological strategies to help patients sleep’</td>
<td>Mean score</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>+0.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} Rated on a scale of 0–5, where 0 indicates no confidence and 5 indicates very confident.
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