Understanding the equine cecum-colon ecosystem: current knowledge and future perspectives

A. S. Santos¹, M. A. M. Rodrigues¹, R. J. B. Bessa², L. M. Ferreira¹ and W. Martin-Rosset³

¹Animal Production Group, Animal and Veterinary Research Center, University of Trás-os-Montes and Alto Douro, PO Box 1013, 5001-801, Vila Real, Portugal; ²Interdisciplinary Centre of Research in Animal Health, Faculdade de Medicina Veterinária, Technical University of Lisbon, Lisboa, Portugal; ³Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique, Center of Research of Clermont-Ferrand, Theix, 63122 Saint-Genés-Champanelle, France

(Received 22 December 2009; Accepted 16 June 2010; First published online 23 August 2010)

Having evolved as a grazing animal, a horse’s digestive physiology is characterized by rapid gastric transit, a rapid but intense enzymatic digestion along the small intestine, and a long and intense microbial fermentation in the large intestine. The process of understanding and describing feed degradation mechanisms in the equine digestive system in general, and in the hindgut ecosystem in particular, is essential. Regardless of its importance for the nutritional status of the host, the significance of the cecum-colon ecosystem has not yet been fully understood, and few reports have focused deeply on the contribution of the hindgut microbial population to the nitrogen and energy requirements of the horse. Compared to ruminal activity, very little is known about hindgut ecosystem activity in the horse. Information concerning the metabolism of this microbial population and its requirements is lacking. The use of internal bacterial markers for quantifying microbial outflow in ruminants is widely reported. These techniques can be applied to cecum-colon microbial quantification, contributing to a better characterization of this ecosystem. It is likely wrong to believe that the optimization strategy in the hindgut is similar to what happens in the rumen – that is, to maximize microbial growth and, therefore, fermentation. If we consider the type of substrate that, in normal conditions, arrives in the hindgut, we can expect it to be nitrogen limiting, providing limited nitrogen-based substrates for microbial fermentation. In this review paper, we intend to gather existing information on the equine ecosystem and to provide future perspectives of research.
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Implications

The process of understanding and describing degradation mechanisms in the equine digestive ecosystem in general, and in the hindgut in particular, is essential to provide information for proper feeding practices to be implemented. Regardless of its importance for the nutritional status of the host, the significance of hindgut fermentation has not yet been fully understood, and few reports have focused deeply on the contribution of the hindgut microbial population to the nitrogen and energy requirements of the horse. In this review paper, we intend to gather existing information on the equine ecosystem and to provide future perspectives of research.

Introduction

Horses are free-ranging herbivores of grassland environments adapted to eat large quantities of high fiber feeds (Janis, 1976; Bennett, 1980). Nowadays, with the increase of their use in sports and leisure, many horses are housed during long periods of time and fed daily on two or three meals of forages plus concentrate. The forage component of the diet is sometimes characterized by having low-to-medium energy concentration and variable levels of fiber and protein (Micol and Martin-Rosset, 1995). This pattern of feed supply is not as expected by the horse’s phylogenetic adaptation to grassland environments (Janis, 1976). These management procedures have important implications on the utilization of nutrients from both concentrate and forage components of the total ration, on the digestive tract in general and the hindgut in particular and, of course, on the health and welfare of the horse (Hill, 2007).

The process of understanding and describing forage degradation mechanisms in the equine digestive ecosystem in general, and in the hindgut in particular, is essential to provide information for proper feeding practices to be implemented. Despite the anatomical and placement differences between the rumen and the hindgut of horses, comparison between these digestive compartments is routinely discussed.
Although with two different fermentative compartments (cecum and colon), comparison has mainly been made between the cecum and the rumen. Despite some similarities, differences between the hindgut of horses and the rumen are known not only concerning different and specific populations of microorganisms, but also concerning anaerobiosis and fermentative activity conditions. In the different anatomical segments of the hindgut, there seem to be differences concerning microbial population and fermentative activity, namely between the cecum and the colon of horses (Hintz and Schryver, 1972; Kern et al., 1974; Moore and Dehority, 1993; Julliand et al., 2001). More recently, research has been directed toward the different anato-mophysiological segments of the colon, and the implication of the right ventral colon (RVC) ecosystem in forage degradation has been highlighted (de Fombelle et al., 2003).

Regard less of these data, the significance of hindgut fermentation has not yet been fully understood, and few reports have focused deeply on the contribution of the microbial population of the hindgut to the nitrogen and energy requirements of the host animal (Vermorel and Martin-Rosset, 1997). The efficiency with which metabolic energy is used for maintenance (k_m) resulting from absorbed glucose metabolism is 85%, whereas the one resulting from VFA metabolism, which derived from fiber degradation in the hindgut, ranges between 63% and 68% (Vermorel and Martin-Rosset, 1997). In addition, knowledge concerning the site of digestion is particularly important when measuring protein availability, as it is mainly the protein digested in the small intestine that is utilized by the horse to meet its own requirements (Hintz et al., 1971; Hintz and Cymbaluk, 1994; Martin-Rosset et al., 1994; Moore-Colyer et al., 2002; Potter, 2004), whereas protein that reaches the hindgut will play a role to meet the requirements of the microbial population of this ecosystem (Martin-Rosset and Tisserand, 2004).

**Stomach**

In the stomach, both the digesta is held for a limited period of time, but it is rarely completely empty and a significant proportion of digesta may remain in it for 2 to 6 h. As digesta approaches the pylorus, the pH falls, due to the HCl secretion, potentiating the proteolytic activity of pepsin and stopping that of fermentation. Owing to the small size of the stomach, and the relatively short dwell time, the degree of protein digestion is slight. It seems that the amount of microbial fermentation that occurs in the equine stomach, although limited in extent, can not only play an important role in the energetic promotion of the diet (de Fombelle et al., 2003) but also can be responsible, in some cases, for gastric malfunction. Coenen et al. (2006) alerted to the importance of elucidating microbial changes in the foregut of horses with laminitis. Varloud et al. (2007) confirmed an abundant microbial colonization of the stomach of horses reported by other authors. According to de Fombelle et al. (2003), the stomach had the highest anaerobic count of the total gastrointestinal tract (1.45 x 10^9 CFU/ml against 7.95 x 10^8 CFU/ml in the cecum) and high concentration, along with the small intestine, of lactobacillus, streptococci and lactate using bacteria, which suggests an important participation of these microorganisms in the degradation of highly fermentable carbohydrates. Nevertheless, a recent review on microbial ecosystems states that only 11% of bacterial taxa present within the rumen have been recovered in culture (Edwards et al., 2008), referring to the rest as uncultivable. The same may happen with hindgut bacteria being cultivated and can explain the lower counts found in the cecum when compared with the stomach.

**Small intestine**

The digesta passage rate is very rapid in the small intestine, with most of the digesta rate being near 30 cm/min. Nevertheless, enzymatic digestion of digested components of the cellular content of feedstuffs is very efficient in the small intestine compared to the low digestion of cell wall components (Van Weyenberg et al., 2006).

With respect to the most recent synthesis carried out by the National Research Council (NRC) and Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique (INRA) to update the energy and nitrogen systems (NRC, 1989 and 2007; INRA, 1990 and in progress) using data obtained from the digesta and external...
markers collected in the cecum or by the mobile nylon bag technique in fistulated horses or ponies, the true digestibility coefficients of the main components have been stated to be: 100% for cytoplasmic carbohydrates which are digested as glucose and lactate; 85% for starch which supplies glucose in usual conditions; 5% to 15% for incompletely digestible cell wall carbohydrates with glucose production (5% to 10% for forages and 5% for concentrates); 90% to 95% for lipids (ether extract) are digested and the amount of long-chain fatty acids absorbed from the small intestine is 90% to 95% of digested lipids; 30% to 90% for protein: 70% to 80% for cereals and their by-products, 75% to 90% for oil meals, 70% for fresh grass, 60% for dehydrated alfalfa and 30% to 75% for grass hay.

As a result, most of the structural carbohydrates and a significant proportion of starch and crude protein more or less linked to the cell wall can bypass the enzymatic digestion according to the botanical origin, vegetative state, feed processing and conservation, diet composition and feeding level.

Hindgut environment

Digestion in the cecum and colon occurs by the action of the microbial population that colonizes these compartments. This microbial population maintains, under normal feeding conditions, a balance with its host, keeping the integrity of the ecosystem, contributing to the prevention of disorders and forming a barrier against pathogens (Julliand, 1998). Nevertheless, they are also responsible for disorders due to the alteration of this ecosystem under stressful conditions, for instance an abrupt change of the diet.

Anatomical and morphological considerations

The first appearance of digesta in the cecum is approximately 30 to 45 min after leaving the stomach; within 3 h after feed consumption, most of the digesta reaches the cecum and ventral colon (Van Weyenberg et al., 2006). The cecum is a blind sac, highly sacculated. At the top of the cecum, the ileo-cecal and the ceco-colic junctions are situated in relatively close proximity to each other, one through which digesta enters from the ileum and the other through which passage from the cecum to the RVC is facilitated (Figure 1). The cecum starts to contract 12 to 15 cm after the ceco-colic junction traps digesta in the cecal base and forces some through the valve to the RVC. The first appearance of digesta in the cecum is approximately 30 to 45 min after leaving the stomach; within 3 h after feed consumption, most of the digesta reaches the cecum and ventral colon (Van Weyenberg et al., 2006). From the RVC, digesta passes to the left ventral colon (LVC); the ventral colon (RVC and LVC) is voluminous, with a diameter up to 25 to 30 cm, while the transition between the LVC and the left dorsal colon (LDC) is narrow, also called the pelvic flexure. This anatomic morphology is responsible for a selective retention of coarse particles (1 cm or more) in the cecum and ventral colon, meaning that in these compartments coarse particles are retained and liquid and fine particles move on to the LDC and right dorsal colon (RDC; Drogoul et al., 2000; Van Weyenberg et al., 2006; Figure 1). The diameters of these portions of the colon are large, and there are no sacculations in these portions of the dorsal colon.

Another selective mechanism at the end of the RDC preferentially retains fluid and smaller particles (below 2 mm) in these compartments (Figure 1), which is known as the colonic separation mechanism (Drogoul et al., 2000).

Passage rate

The passage rate through the gastrointestinal tract provides indirect information on the extent to which feed is digested and fermented. The type of substrate that arrives in the hindgut is dependent on pre-cecal digestibility, which in turn is related to the passage rate. Higher passage rates will induce a decrease in pre-cecal digestibility. In addition, retention times of digesta in the hindgut will affect digestibility, microbial activity and absorption of water.

Several animal and feed-related factors can affect the passage rate. Miraglia et al. (1992) reported that mean retention time (MRT) was significantly lower (−6 to 10 h) in light breeds than in draft breeds, regardless of the feeding level. The difference could be ascribed to the lower transit time: TT = −33% and −9% for maintenance and ad libitum feeding levels, respectively, and lower constant rates of the two pool compartments of the digesta tract in the light breed: k1 = −63% and −30% and K2 = +41% and +48% for maintenance and ad libitum feeding levels, respectively (Miraglia et al., 2003), but this has no significant effect on digestibility of hay-based diets: 1.6 to 1.9 points for digestibility of organic matter (dOM) (Martin-Rosset et al., 1990). In contrast, Udén and Van Soest (1982) could not detect a relationship between MRT and body size. Physiological state will also have an influence on MRT. MRT in late pregnant mares is 10 h lower than that in dry mares fed ad libitum hay-based diets (Miraglia et al., 1992). Lower MRT measured in late pregnant mares explains significant differences in digestibility (5.0 points for dOM) between pregnant and dry mares with the same body weight (BW), both fed ad libitum (Martin-Rosset et al., 1990). It could be ascribed again to the constant rates K1 and K2, which are 2.3 and 2.1 lower.
respectively, in pregnant than in dry mares (Miraglia et al., 2003). Conversely, there is no significant effect on digestibility: 1.5 points for DOM (Martin-Rosset et al., 1990) due to lactation; even retention time is lower as $K_1$ and $K_2$ are lower: $-24\%$ and $-38\%$, respectively, but TT is close (Miraglia et al., 1992 and 2003). The effect of exercise on MRT and digestibility is still very controversial. With respect to the most consistent data, there is only a slight effect of exercise on fiber digestion (see review of Martin-Rosset, 2008).

**Microbiological environment**

It is estimated that 30% (Kern et al., 1973) to 80% (Kern et al., 1974) of the cecum and colon microbial population is strictly anaerobic. In the cecum, total anaerobes range between $1.85 \times 10^7$ and $2.65 \times 10^9$ c.f.u./ml, according to the literature (Kern et al., 1973 and 1974; Mackie and Wilkins, 1988; Julliand et al., 2001; Medina et al., 2002; de Fombelle et al., 2003).

Bacteria can be classified into cellulolytic, proteolytic, lactate-using and glycolytic bacteria. From metabolic and genetic studies, it is concluded that the cecal cellulolytic microflora are mainly composed of specific strains of ruminooccus (Julliand et al., 1999). These authors refer to strains of *Ruminococcus flavefaciens*, *Ruminococcus albus* and *Fibrobacter succinogenes* as dominant, showing however genetic differences from congeners found in the rumen; these differences are probably due to an adaptation of these strains to the specificity of the cecal environment. Also, in a study presented by de Fombelle et al. (2003), that is, that particles in the cecum bacterial flora 2.1 to $1.5 \times 10^6$ CFU/ml of anaerobic bacteria. Of these, 1.3 to $13.5 \times 10^6$ CFU/ml were cellulolytic bacteria and 0.2 to $6.0 \times 10^6$ CFU/ml of bacteria were proteolytic. In a study by Julliand et al. (1993), cellulolytic bacterial population in the cecal microflora was predominant in relation to the proteolytic population with average values of 4.6 to $9.4 \times 10^6$ CFU/ml and 0.3 to $1 \times 10^6$ CFU/ml, respectively. So far there would be on average 78% and 22% of cellulolytic and proteolytic bacteria in the cecum.

The proportion of cellulolytic bacteria among total anaerobes appears to be greater in the cecum than in the lower parts of the hindgut (de Fombelle et al., 2003). Kern et al. (1974) reported that the number of viable cellulolytic bacteria per gram of ingesta was six times higher in the cecum that in the terminal colon: $43 \times 10^6$ and $7 \times 10^6$ CFU/ml, respectively, for the cecum and terminal colon. In addition, the average concentrations of starch-utilizing bacteria (lactobacilli and streptococci) and lactate-utilizing bacteria tend to be lower in the cecum than in other parts of the digestive tract, suggesting that the cecal ecosystem is less exposed to rapidly fermentable carbohydrates than the lower parts of the hindgut (de Fombelle et al., 2003). The hypotheses presented by de Fombelle et al. (2003), that is, that particles entering the dorsal colon have lower parietal polysaccharide content because of the longer retention of coarse particles in the cecum and ventral colon due to the pelvic flexure, might explain the decrease in proportion of cellulolytic bacteria, and the higher proportion of starch-utilizing bacteria in the lower parts of the colon. In fact, in a series of studies conducted to investigate the impact of different forage: grain proportions in microbial profile and activity of the cecum and colon, researchers found that the site of major variations on microbial profile, when barley was fed, was the colon (de Fombelle et al., 2001). The same authors refer that soluble carbohydrates and undigested starch flow quickly through the cecum and have a greater impact on colon microflora than on the cecal microflora (Drogoul et al., 2001; Julliand et al., 2001).

In the hindgut, such as in the rumen, we can clearly divide the microbial population into two distinct ecological
sites: (i) liquid phase colonized by bacteria and zoospores; and (ii) bacteria associated with feed particles, mainly responsible for hydrolysis of cellular wall polysaccharides (Merry and McAllan, 1983). If we consider the information referred to earlier concerning differences in microbial population between digestive compartments, we could expect that in the cecum and ventral colon we should find a higher content of bacteria associated with particles of digesta, solid associated bacteria (SAB), and in the dorsal colon a higher content of bacteria that are in the liquid phase, liquid associated bacteria (LAB).

Recent research in ruminants has pointed out the potential of odd- and branched-chain fatty acids (OBCFAs) as markers to quantify bacterial matter leaving the rumen (Vlaeminck et al., 2005; Bessa et al., 2009) to provide a qualitative description of the proportions of different classes of microbes leaving the rumen and to predict rumen ratios of VFAs (Vlaeminck et al., 2006a and 2006b).

The OBCFA of rumen bacteria seem to be largely determined by the fatty acid synthetase of the microorganisms and, to a lesser extent, by physiological and culture conditions. This suggests that variations in the profile of OBCFA from the rumen can be considered to be mainly a reflection of changes in the relative abundance of specific bacterial populations in the rumen (Vlaeminck et al., 2006a). The fatty acid composition of rumen bacteria is characterized by a large proportion of OBCFA in their membrane lipids (C15:0, iso C15:0, ante-iso C15:0, C17:0, iso C17:0, ante-iso C17:0 and C17:1; Kaneda, 1991).

OBCFAs only occur at trace levels in most plants; they are easy to measure and are stable compounds, fulfilling the requirements for an internal marker (Diedrich and Henschel, 1990). Fatty acid compositions of LAB and SAB are different; total fatty acid content of SAB is higher than that of LAB (Vlaeminck et al, 2006b). Vlaeminck et al. (2006a) reported that fatty acid content in rumen SAB was 1.6 to 2.8 times higher than that in LAB. Differences between LAB and SAB in fatty acid composition and in enzyme activity show that the distribution of bacterial species is different in the liquid and solid phases of the rumen (Michalet-Doreau et al., 2001). This is in agreement with the current analysis of OBCFA, which suggests that the species composition of the adherent population differs from that of the liquid phase.

Relationships between LAB and SAB bacterial populations and forage : concentrate (F : C) ratio are also reported in the literature. Increasing the F : C ratio is usually beneficial for the pool of SAB because more fibrolytic bacteria attach to forage particles (Weimer et al., 1999). However, results reported by several authors suggest that LAB are enriched in amylolytic bacteria. Increasing the concentrate proportion increases the LAB phase (Vlaeminck et al, 2006a).

Cellulolytic bacteria contain high amounts of iso-fatty acids with Ruminococcus flavefaciens enriched in odd-chain iso-fatty acids and Ruminococcus albus in even-chain iso-fatty acids. In general, the amylolytic bacteria show low levels of branched-chain fatty acids and are relatively enriched in linear odd-chain fatty acids. These differences in the OBCFA profile observed among rumen bacteria allow its use in the assessment of the composition of, or shifts, in the rumen microbial population (Vlaeminck et al., 2006a and 2006b).

By applying these techniques to the cecum and colon contents, Santos et al. (2007 and 2008) concluded that the technique was easily executed with these contents. These authors found differences in the fatty acid profile of cecum and colon bacteria. Cecum bacteria presented higher trans C18:1 isomers eventually, indicating a higher SAB activity/population that can be related to a preponderant cellulolytic activity, which is in accordance with what was referred to by de Fombelle et al. (2003). Concerning colon bacteria, results suggest that they have a chemical and fatty acid profile close to that of rumen LAB, mainly starch-utilizing bacteria, which is also in accordance with what was stated earlier by de Fombelle et al. (2003). These preliminary data indicate that these techniques can be useful as a microbial marker in the equine cecum-colon ecosystem (Santos et al., 2007 and 2008).

Fermentation parameters
The feed components undigested in the small intestine (15% for starch, 5% to 10% of ether extract, 10% to 70% of protein, 85% to 95% for cell wall carbohydrates) are fermented by a microbial population in the large intestine with production of VFAs, microbial mass, methane and fermentation heat. The amount of VFA produced can be estimated from the apparently digested organic matter (DOM): VFA (g/kg OM of feed) = (DOM–OM digested in the SI) × 0.92 (Vermorel and Martin-Rosset, 1997).

Fermentation patterns can serve as an indicator for microbial activity and digestibility of substrates in these compartments. The concentration of VFAs, lactate and pH reflects, to some extent, what is happening in the hindgut environment.

Wolter et al. (1978) described the biochemical activity in the cecum of ponies fed a pelleted complete diet, measuring parameters such as pH, molar concentration in VFAs and lactic acid. According to these authors, the average pH is around 7 at the meal and descends to 6.8, 5 to 8 h after the meal, coinciding with the increase in dry weight of cecal contents. VFA average concentrations vary in the opposite direction to that for pH, and reached a maximum of 74.5 mmol/l 5 to 9 h after the meal, with molar percentages of 72.7 for acetic acid (C2), 21.5 for propionic acid (C3) and 5.6 for butyric acid (C4). Lactic acid met its higher value 3 to 5 h after the meal and average value was 3 mmol/l, just before the maximum peak for the VFAs. Higher levels of lactic acid can be observed in the case of over-consumption of food rich in easily fermentable carbohydrates, including starch, where the massive influx of carbohydrates to the cecum accelerates the acidification of the cecal content and facilitates the action of lactic bacteria (Wolter et al., 1978).

An abrupt dietary change from forage to concentrated modifications in fermentation patterns associated with a decrease in cecal pH and an increase in cecal lactate has been reported (Goodson et al., 1988). In addition, after an abrupt incorporation of barley (50%) in a hay diet, de Fombelle et al. (2001)
Nitrogen metabolism in the hindgut

In ruminant nutrition, one should maximize the proliferation of rumen microorganisms to maximize the energetic use of cell wall constituents. Microbial protein from the rumen accounts for more than half of the total protein entering the intestine, which has an important role in nutrition. As in the rumen, microbial growth and consequent fiber degradation in the cecum-colon environment rely on the energy and nitrogen availability. VFAs produced in the hindgut by fermentative activity contribute with 60% to 70% of the absorbed energy by the horse (Vermorel and Martin-Rosset, 1997), whereas microbial protein produced has an apparent marginal contribution to the protein nutrition of the animal host (Martin-Rosset and Tisserand, 2004).

Feed and endogenous proteins that reach the hindgut are degraded as amino acids (AA), peptides and ammonia, and resynthesized to microbial protein according to the available energy (Robinson and Slade, 1974; Meyer, 1983; Martin-Rosset et al., 1994). Bacteria are known to be able to use AA (and non protein nitrogen) for synthesizing their own protein (Baruc et al., 1983) at a rate estimated to reach 2.5 mg N/kg DM per h in the cecum contents (Slade et al., 1973). The growth of the proteolytic microbial population can be stimulated by soya supplementation (Julliand and Tisserand, 1992). Nitrogen balance studies have shown that urea is recycled in the equine gastrointestinal tract (Slade et al., 1970; Houpt and Houpt, 1971; Prior et al., 1974). When urea is recycled to the large intestine, it is degraded to ammonium ions by urease-catalyzed hydrolysis (Wootton and Argenzio, 1975). Hintz et al. (1971) measured urease activity in cecal fluid of 17% to 25% of that reported in bovine rumen fluid. Prior et al. (1974) refer that about 60% of the produced urea is recycled in the intestine of ponies that are fed a low protein diet, and that 50% of this nitrogen is recovered. This entero-hepatic cycle can supply nitrogen to meet the microflora requirement of the horse, but with a lower efficiency when compared to ruminants; only 10% to 30% of this nitrogen will be recovered by the microflora of the horse (Slade et al., 1970; Houpt and Houpt, 1971; Hintz and Schryver 1972; Glade, 1984).

Cecal bacteria show a proteolytic activity in vitro and cecal isolates were shown to use ammonia or urea as nitrogen sources for growth, (Maczulak et al., 1985). Meyer (1983) refers evidence that peptides, AAs and ammonia are absorbed from the large intestine. Assuming that one to two-thirds of the N will be absorbed as peptides or AAs, the amount of valuable protein produced by microorganisms in the hindgut would be equivalent to 1 to 1.5 g of protein/kg BW0.75 (Meyer, 1983). Slade et al. (1971) and McMeniman et al. (1987) reported that labeled AAs (cysteine) were slightly absorbed from the cecum and the colon, but this occurred in low amounts; only 1% to 6% of the plasma cysteine was labeled. Using infusion of homoarginine into the cecum, Schmitz et al. (1990) detected only a trace of homoarginine in the blood. As a result, no significant AA absorption was detected in the large intestine. Passive diffusion of AA across the wall of the large intestine might occur to explain the slight appearance of isotopes N15 or C14 in the blood, but Bochroeder et al. (1994) pointed out the impermeability of colon mucosa to AA using in vivo experiments. However, in a recent study, Woodward et al. (2009 and 2010) refer to the presence of AA transporters in the cecum and colon of horses, indicating that the large intestine might contribute to both cationic and neutral AA uptake and absorption. The topic of peptide and AA absorption in the equine hindgut remains controversial; either it is microbial protein AA or dietary originated AA. In the ruminant, the importance of microbial protein to the nutritional status of the host is mainly due to microbial hydrolysis and subsequent digestion and AA absorption from the SI of the ruminant, rather than its absorption in the rumen. It seems to us that even if there is, to some extent, AA absorption from the equine hindgut, its role on the nitrogen status of the horse is not a significant one. It is estimated that microbial protein accounts for 50% to 60% of fecal nitrogen (Meyer, 1983), of which around 20% to 25% is endogenous nitrogen (Martin-Rosset et al., 1994), 5% to 8% is NH3 (Nicoletti et al., 1980) and the rest is indigested nitrogen. Therefore, most of the microbial protein produced in the hindgut is excreted in the feces. It is now generally assumed that although microbial protein can be digested by microbial enzymes (Baruc et al., 1983), this microbial protein does not contribute significantly to the AA supply of the horse (Martin-Rosset and Tisserand, 2004).

Table 1 Variation of the molar composition in the large intestine with the CF content of feeds (Vermorel and Martin-Rosset, 1997)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CF (%DM)</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C2 (%)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3 (%)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4 (%)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CF = crude fiber; DM = dry matter.

Estimation of the results from Hintz et al. (1971), Kern et al. (1973), Tisserand (1975), Tisserand and Masson (1976), Wolter et al. (1978) and Martin-Rosset et al. (1987).
Final considerations

In the rumen, maximizing microbial growth in order to achieve an adequate relationship between energy (VFA) and protein is an objective. The composition of bacterial cells is fairly uniform and the energetic cost of their synthesis from different precursors can be estimated. If, for example, microbial cells are synthesized from glucose, growth is highly efficient ($347 \times 10^3$ mol ATP are used to form 1 g of microbial cells); if, however, acetate is used, the synthesis of microbial cells is much lower per unit of organic matter fermented ($995 \times 10^3$ mol ATP/g cells). Microbial growth efficiency can be expressed in terms of $Y_{\text{ATP}}$, weight (g) of dry cells that is produced per mol of ATP available (Hespell and Bryant, 1979; Preston and Leng, 1987; Russell and Cook, 1995).

One of the major factors that affect microbial cell synthesis in the rumen is the availability and/or concentration in rumen fluid of precursors (glucose, AA, nucleic acids, peptides, ammonia and minerals). When bacteria are limited for energy sources, the free energy change of catabolic reactions is generally tightly coupled to the anabolic steps of cellular biosynthesis, and total energy flux can be partitioned into growth and maintenance functions of microorganisms (Russell and Cook, 1995; Russell, 2007). However, if growth is limited by nutrients other than energy (e.g. nitrogen), a situation of energetic uncoupling occurs, and bacteria can spill ATP in reactions that cannot be readily categorized as maintenance per se, and can be energy spilling reactions (Russell and Cook, 1995; Russell, 2007). In the rumen, in a situation of energetic uncoupling, there is a higher heat production, a large increase in VFA production and a decrease in cell yield (i.e. a decrease in $Y_{\text{ATP}}$; Preston and Leng, 1987; Figure 2).

Assuming that the cecum-colon environment functions like the rumen seems to us wrong, as substrate that reaches the hindgut highly depends on pre-cecal digestibility of feeds, which means that cytoplasmic protein (nitrogen) and soluble sugars reach the hindgut in low amounts. However, the majority of cell wall carbohydrates and linked nitrogen will reach the hindgut since very low hydrolysis of these constituents occurs either in the stomach or in the pre-cecal environment. If we consider the type of substrate that gets to the hindgut, we can expect it to be nitrogen limiting, and a situation of energetic uncoupling seems feasible. The hindgut environment would aim to favor VFA’s production by promoting microbial activity but without microbial growth.

In the hindgut of horses, two circumstances should be analyzed; is the coupling of energy and protein availability requested to optimize the synthesis of bacterial protein in order to support maximum VFA and energy production for the benefit of the host? Or is the hindgut environment adapted ‘to work’ in a permanent uncoupling situation, with fiber degradation being maximized but not microbial growth? These issues need further clearance. Compared to ruminal activity, very little is known about hindgut ecosystem activity in the horse. Our studies and hypotheses point to a very complex environment, very different from the rumen, where the microbial population is adapted to maximize energy production in benefit of the host as stated by others (Martin-Rosset and Tisserand, 2004), but probably in a permanent uncoupling situation.

Additional research is being conducted by our research group to characterize the activity and nutritional requirements of the bacterial population of the equine hindgut to achieve a more complete understanding of gut microflora populations in the horse and to clarify its contribution to meet the nutritional requirements of the horse.

Figure 2 Relationship between microbial growth efficiency ($Y_{\text{ATP}}$) and the percentage of fermentable organic matter that is partitioned into VFAs and gases (methane and carbon dioxide) and that entering into microbial cells (adapted from Preston and Leng, 1987).
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