# RADICAL Q-ALGEBRAS

## by P. G. DIXON

## (Received 3 March, 1975)

1. Introduction. The purpose of this paper is to exhibit various Q-algebras (quotients of uniform algebras) which are Jacobson radical. We begin by noting easy examples of nilpotent Q-algebras and Q-algebras with dense nil radical. Then we describe two ways of constructing semiprime, Jacobson radical Q-algebras. The first is by directly constructing a uniform algebra and an ideal. This produces a nasty Q-algebra as the quotient of a nice uniform algebra (in the sense that it is a maximal ideal of R(X) for some  $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ ). The second way is by using results of Craw and Varopoulos to show that certain weighted sequence algebras are Q-algebras. In fact we show that a weighted sequence algebra is Q if the weights satisfy (i)  $w(n+1)/w(n) \downarrow 0$  and (ii)  $(w(n+1)/w(n)) \in l^p$  for some  $p \ge 1$ , but may be non-Q if either (i) or (ii) fails. This second method produces nice Q-algebras which are quotients of rather horrid uniform algebras as constructed by Craw's Lemma.

We summarize the terminology to be used. If X is a compact Hausdorff space, then C(X) denotes the Banach algebra of all continuous complex-valued functions on X, with the sup norm. A uniform algebra is a closed subalgebra of some C(X). A Q-algebra is a Banach algebra A which is bicontinuously isomorphic with the quotient of a uniform algebra by a closed ideal. If the isomorphism is isometric, then A is said to be an IQ-algebra. The complex numbers are denoted by  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$ . The disc algebra  $A(\Delta)$  is the subalgebra of  $C(\Delta)$  consisting of functions analytic on int  $(\Delta)$ . For any algebra A, we write  $A^n$  for the linear span of the products of length n in A, and we say that A is nilpotent if  $A^n = \{0\}$  for some positive integer n. An algebra A is semiprime if it has no non-zero nilpotent (two-sided) ideals. An element  $x \in A$  is nilpotent if  $x^n = 0$  for some n; A is nil if every element of A is nilpotent; and the nil radical of an algebra A is the largest nil ideal of A.

If A is a Banach algebra, the nil radical of A is the sum of the nilpotent ideals of A, ([4]). Thus, when we look for examples of non-nilpotent, Jacobson radical Q-algebras, the two extreme cases to be considered are algebras with dense nil radical and semiprime algebras.

### 2. Nilpotent Q-algebras.

(2.1) REMARK. Let  $M = \{f \in A(\Delta): f(0) = 0\}$ . Then M is a uniform algebra and, for any integer n > 1, M<sup>n</sup> is a closed ideal of M. Thus  $A = M/M^n$  is an IQ-algebra, with  $A^n = \{0\}$ .

3. Q-algebras with dense nil radical. In view of (2.1), we can construct non-nilpotent Q-algebras with dense nil radical by taking a type of direct sum of the algebras  $M/M^n$   $(n \ge 2)$ .

(3.1) DEFINITION. Let  $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of Banach algebras. By the  $c_0$ -direct sum  $A = c_0 \oplus_{i \in I} A_i$  we shall mean the subalgebra of the (unrestricted) direct product  $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$  consisting of those families  $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$  such that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  the set  $\{i \in I : ||f_i|| > \varepsilon\}$  is finite. The norm on A is the sup norm  $||\{f_i\}|| = \sup\{||f_i|| : i \in I\}$ .

#### P. G. DIXON

(3.2) LEMMA. The  $c_0$ -direct sum of any family of IQ-algebras is an IQ-algebra.

*Proof.* Let  $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ ,  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ ,  $\{J_i\}_{i \in I}$  be such that, for each  $i \in I$ ,  $J_i$  is a closed ideal of the uniform algebra  $U_i$  and  $A_i$  is isometrically isomorphic with  $U_i/J_i$ . Then  $c_0 \oplus A_i$  is isometrically isomorphic with  $c_0 \oplus U_i/c_0 \oplus J_i$ .

(3.3) PROPOSITION. There exists a non-nilpotent Q-algebra with dense nil radical.

*Proof.* Take the algebra  $c_0 \oplus_{n=2}^{\infty} M/M^n$ .

Here, again, both the Q-algebra and its uniform algebra (in this case  $c_0 \oplus_{n=2}^{\infty} M$ ) are easily accessible objects. In the next two sections only one of these will be at all tractable.

4. Semiprime, Jacobson radical Q-algebras. First method. Our first construction for semiprime, Jacobson radical Q-algebras exhibits such an algebra as a quotient of a maximal ideal of R(X) for a certain plane set X.

(4.1) DEFINITION. If X is a compact plane set, then we denote by  $R_0(X)$  the algebra of all rational functions on X with poles off X, and by R(X) the closure of  $R_0(X)$  in C(X).

(4.2) THEOREM. There is a Jacobson radical Q-algebra with no divisors of zero which may be realized as the quotient of a maximal ideal of R(X), for some compact  $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* This construction is based heavily on the example of a non-trivial, normal uniform algebra due to McKissick [5], an account of which may also be found in [6, §27]. He proves the following lemma.

(4.3) LEMMA ([5] Lemma 2, [6] Lemma 27.6). Let D be an open disc in the complex plane. For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a sequence  $\{\Delta_k\}$  of open discs contained in D and a sequence  $\{r_n\}$ of rational functions such that:

(i)  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\operatorname{radius} \Delta_k) < \varepsilon;$ (ii) the poles of  $r_n$  lie in  $\bigcup \{ \Delta_k : 1 \le k \le n \};$ 

(iii) the sequence  $\{r_n\}$  converges uniformly on the complement of  $\bigcup \{\Delta_k : 1 \le k < \infty\}$  to a function which is identically zero outside D and is nowhere zero on  $D \setminus \{ \} \{ \Delta_k : 1 \leq k < \infty \}$ .

Let  $\{D_m\}$  be a sequential arrangement of all open discs in the plane having centres at points  $x_m + iy_m$  ( $x_m$ ,  $y_m$  rational,  $x_m + iy_m \neq 0$ ) and having rational radii  $\rho_m < \frac{1}{2} |x_m + iy_m|$ . We can clearly arrange the numbering so that  $\frac{1}{2}|x_m+iy_m|>2^{-m}$  (m=1, 2, 3, ...). Applying Lemma (4.3), with  $D = D_m$  and  $\varepsilon = m^{-m}$ , we obtain a double sequence of discs  $\Delta_{m,k}$ (m, k = 1, 2, 3, ...) of radii  $\rho_{m, k}$ , with  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_{m, k} < m^{-m}$  (m = 1, 2, 3, ...). Let  $\sigma_{m, k}$  be the distance of the set  $\Delta_{m,k}$  from zero. Then  $\sigma_{m,k} \ge |x_m + iy_m| - \rho_m > 2^{-m}$ , and so

$$\sum_{m,\,k=1}^{\infty} \rho_{m,\,k} \sigma_{m,\,k}^{-N} < \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (m^{-1} 2^N)^m < \infty$$
<sup>(1)</sup>

for all  $N \ge 1$ . Furthermore, we have, as in [5], that if

$$X = \{x : |z| \leq 1\} \setminus \bigcup \{\Delta_{m,k} : 1 \leq m, k < \infty\},\$$

120

then, for every non-zero  $x \in X$ , there exists an  $f \in R(X)$  such that f vanishes on a neighbourhood of zero, but  $f(x) \neq 0$ . We define measures  $\mu_N$  (N = 1, 2, 3, ...) as follows (c.f. [6], proof of Lemma 24.1). For n = 1, 2, 3, ..., let

$$X_n = \{z : |z| \leq \varepsilon\} \setminus \bigcup \{\Delta_{m,k} : 1 \leq m, k \leq n\},\$$

where  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ . Let  $\mu_{N,n}$  be measures on  $\partial X_n$  defined by

$$\mu_{N,n}(f) = \int_{\partial X_n} z^{-N} f(z) dz \quad (f \in C(\Delta)),$$

where  $\partial X_n$  is the boundary of  $X_n$  taken in the positive direction. Then, by (1), the  $\mu_{N,n}$  are norm-bounded, uniformly in *n*, for each *N*. Hence, we may find a sequence of integers  $n_1, n_2, n_3, \ldots$  and measures  $\mu_N$  on *X* such that  $\mu_{N,n_i}$  converges weak\* to  $\mu_N$  as  $i \to \infty$ , for each *N*. The measures  $\mu_N$  depend on  $\varepsilon$ . However, by Cauchy's Theorem,  $\mu_N(f)$  is independent of  $\varepsilon$  for  $f \in R_0(X)$  and hence, by continuity, for all  $f \in R(X)$ . Let

$$I_N = \{ f \in R(X) : \mu_n(f) = 0 \quad (1 \le n \le N) \},$$
  
$$I = \bigcap \{ I_N : 1 \le N < \infty \}.$$

The  $I_N$  and I are closed subspaces of R(X). We shall show that they are ideals and that the algebra  $I_1/I$  is a Jacobson radical algebra with no divisors of zero. First, let us note that, for  $f \in R_0(X)$ , we have  $\mu_N(f) = \mu_{N,n_1}(f)$  for all sufficiently large *i*, (N = 1, 2, 3, ...) and so  $\mu_N(f) = f^{(N-1)}(0)$ , the (N-1)th derivative of f at 0. (In particular, we have  $\mu_1(f) = f(0)$  for all  $f \in R(X)$ , so  $I_1$  is just the maximal ideal associated with the point 0.) By Leibniz' theorem,

$$\mu_n(fg) = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} {\binom{n-1}{r}} \mu_{r+1}(f) \mu_{n-r}(g),$$

for  $f, g \in R_0(X)$  and hence, since the  $\mu_i$  are continuous, for all  $f, g \in R(X)$ . From this we obtain: first, that the  $I_N$  and I are ideals; and, secondly, that if  $f \in I_{n-1} \setminus I_n$ ,  $g \in I_{m-1} \setminus I_m$ , then  $fg \notin I_{m+n-1}$ . Hence,  $I_1/I$  has no divisors of zero. Suppose  $I_1/I$  is not Jacobson radical. Then R(X)/I has a maximal ideal other than  $I_1/I$ . This ideal must be of the form M/I, where M is a maximal ideal of R(X) containing I and associated with a point of X (= Spec(R(X))) other than 0. This is impossible, since, for every non-zero  $x \in X$  there is an  $f \in R(X)$  which vanishes on a neighbourhood of 0, but which does not vanish at x. This has  $\mu_N(f) = 0$  (N = 1, 2, 3, ...), by taking  $\varepsilon$  suitably small in the definition of  $\mu_N$ , and so  $f \in I$ . However,  $f(x) \neq 0$  implies  $f \notin M$ . Thus  $I_1/I$  is a Jacobson radical Q-algebra with no divisors of zero.

5. Semiprime, Jacobson radical Q-algebras. Second method: weighted sequence algebras. One large and highly accessible class of semiprime, Jacobson radical algebras are the weighted sequence algebras W(w), defined below, with rapidly decreasing weight functions w. In this section, we show that the results of Varopoulos [7] give a simple sufficient condition on w for W(w) to be a Q-algebra. Unfortunately, although these Q-algebras are of fairly simple structure, the uniform algebras of which they are quotients appear only through the complicated construction in the proof of Craw's Lemma ([3], Lemma (3.1); [1], §50 Proposition 5). It would be interesting to know if they are expressible as quotients of simpler uniform algebras; e.g., uniform algebras on plane sets.

(5.1) DEFINITION. A weight function is a real-valued function w on  $\mathbb{Z}^+ = \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$  satisfying (i) w(x) > 0 and (ii)  $w(x+y) \leq w(x)w(y)$ , for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Such a weight function is said to be rapidly decreasing if  $w(n)^{1/n} \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . The weighted sequence algebra W(w) is defined to be the convolution algebra of complex-valued functions f on  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  such that

$$\left\|f\right\|=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}w(n)\left|f(n)\right|<\infty.$$

This algebra has no divisors of zero and is Jacobson radical if and only if w is rapidly decreasing.

(5.2) DEFINITION. A Banach algebra A is said to be *injective* if the map of the algebraic tensor product  $A \otimes A$  into A induced by the multiplication on A is continuous when  $A \otimes A$  is given the injective tensor product norm (i.e. the least crossnorm).

Varopoulos ([7, Theorem 1]) shows that every commutative injective algebra is a Qalgebra. (The converse is false:  $l^p$  with pointwise multiplication is Q for  $1 \le p \le \infty$ , but injective only for p = 1 and  $p = \infty$ .) Our main theorem is a sufficient condition for the injectivity of W(w).

(5.3) THEOREM. If w is a weight function such that

(i) 
$$\frac{w(n+1)}{w(n)} \downarrow 0$$
 and  
(ii)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{w(n+1)}{w(n)}\right)^p < \infty$ , for some  $p \ge 1$ ,

then W(w) is injective.

Note that  $w(n+1)/w(n) \downarrow 0$  implies that w is rapidly decreasing, so W(w) is Jacobson radical.

*Proof.* The methods used in [7] to establish conclusion (ii) of the lemma on p. 6 apply here to show that W(w) is injective if

$$\sup_{n}\sum_{m=1}^{n}\left(\frac{w(m+n)}{w(m)w(n)}\right)^{2}<\infty.$$
(1)

Suppose w satisfies (i) and (ii). For  $n \ge N = \lfloor p/2 \rfloor + 1$ , we have

$$\frac{w(m+n)}{w(m)w(n)} = \frac{w(m+N)}{w(m)w(N)} \prod_{r=N+1}^{n} \frac{w(m+r)w(r-1)}{w(m+r-1)w(r)}$$
$$\leq \frac{w(m+N)}{w(m)w(N)}$$
by (i)

$$= \frac{1}{w(N)} \frac{w(m+1)}{w(m)} \frac{w(m+2)}{w(m+1)} \dots \frac{w(m+N)}{w(m+N-1)}$$
  
$$\leq \frac{1}{w(N)} \left(\frac{w(m+1)}{w(m)}\right)^{N} \qquad \text{by (i)}$$
  
$$\leq \frac{1}{w(N)} \left(\frac{w(m+1)}{w(m)}\right)^{p/2}.$$

123

Then

$$\sup_{n\geq N}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{w(m+n)}{w(m)w(n)}\right)^2\leq \frac{1}{w(N)^2}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{w(m+1)}{w(m)}\right)^p<\infty.$$

But

$$\sup_{n < N} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \left( \frac{w(m+n)}{w(m)w(n)} \right)^2 \leq N-1,$$

so (1) holds and so W(w) is injective.

We conclude this paper with two examples to show that neither (i) nor (ii) is, by itself, sufficient to make W(w) a Q-algebra. Notice that, by Corollary 3 of [2], both these examples produce Arens regular, non-Q algebras W(w).

(5.4) EXAMPLE. There is a weight function w with  $w(n+1)/w(n) \downarrow 0$  such that W(w) is not a Q-algebra.

**Proof.** We define w by induction. More precisely, we define an increasing sequence of integers  $\{r_N\}$  and the values  $w(1), \ldots, w(r_N-1)$ , by induction on N. First,  $r_1 = 2$  and w(1) = 1. Now suppose  $w(1), \ldots, w(r_N-1)$  have been defined so that

$$w(s+t) \le w(s)w(t) \quad (1 \le s, t, s+t < r_N) \tag{1}$$

and

$$\frac{w(s+1)}{w(s)} \ge \frac{w(t+1)}{w(t)} \quad (1 \le s \le t < r_N).$$
(2)

We define

$$w(r_N) = \frac{1}{N} w(r_N - 1)^2,$$
(3)

and, temporarily, we define

$$w(r_N+k) = w(r_N)^{k+1} \quad (1 \le k < \infty).$$

With this definition of a weight function w, the algebra W(w) is not Arens regular, by [2, Theorem 1], and so not a Q-algebra (c.f. [2], [3]). Therefore by [7, p. 1], W(w) has the property  $(\mathcal{P}_N)$ : there exists a positive integer  $p \ge 1$ , elements  $x_1, \ldots, x_p$  of norm  $\le 1$  and a homogeneous polynomial P of positive degree in p variables such that

$$\| P(x_1, ..., x_p) \| > N^{\deg P} \| P \|_{\infty},$$
  
where  $\| P \|_{\infty} = \sup\{ | P(z_1, ..., z_p) | : z_j \in \mathbb{C}, |z_j| \leq 1, (1 \leq j \leq p) \}.$ 

#### P. G. DIXON

We approximate each of the  $x_j$  by an  $x'_j$  such that  $||x'_j|| \leq 1$  and  $x'_j(n) = 0$  for all sufficiently large *n*, and we make this approximation so close that we still have

$$|| P(x'_1, ..., x'_p) || > N^{\deg P} || P ||_{\infty}.$$
 (4)

We choose an integer  $r_{N+1}$  so large that  $x'_j(n) = 0$ ,  $P(x'_1, ..., x'_p)(n) = 0$   $(1 \le j \le p, n \ge r_{N+1})$ Now, we can redefine w(n)  $(n \ge r_{N+1})$  and still have (4) true, and so  $(\mathcal{P}_N)$ . We make our temporary definition of  $w(r_N), ..., w(r_{N+1}-1)$  permanent, and this completes the induction step in the definition of w, the inequalities (1) and (2) being easily verified. The resulting, inductively defined, function w is a weight function such that W(w) has the property  $(\mathcal{P}_N)$  for all N. Hence, by [7, p. 1], W(w) is not a Q-algebra, but  $w(n+1)/w(n) \downarrow 0$ , by (2) and (3).

(5.5) EXAMPLE. There is a rapidly decreasing weight function w with

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{w(n+1)}{w(n)} < \infty$$

such that W(w) is not a Q-algebra.

This follows immediately from the following result.

(5.6) THEOREM. For every positive function g on  $\mathbb{Z}^+$ , there exists a rapidly decreasing weight function w with  $w(n+1)/w(n) \leq g(n)$  for all n, such that W(w) is not a Q-algebra.

The proof of this theorem will be based on the following sufficient condition for W(w) to be non-Q.

(5.7) THEOREM. Let w be a weight function such that, for every integer  $R \ge 1$ , there exists  $q \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $w(rq) = w(q)^r$  (r = 1, 2, ..., R). Then W(w) is not a Q-algebra. The proof of (5.7) is based on two lemmas.

(5.8) LEMMA. Let A be a Q-algebra. Then there is a constant C > 0 such that, for all  $f \in A$  with  $||f|| \leq 1$  and all polynomials  $P(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{m} a_n z^n$ , we have

$$||P(f)|| \leq C \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{n=1}^{m} a_n n^{1/3} z^n \right| : |z| \leq 1 \right\}.$$

*Proof.* By putting C = 1,  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\varepsilon = 1/3$  in Theorem 3 of [7].

(5.9) LEMMA. For every C > 0 there exists a polynomial  $P_C(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n z^n$  such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} |a_{n}| > C \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_{n} n^{1/3} z^{n} \right| : |z| \leq 1 \right\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $a_n = (1/n) e^{i n \log n}$ . Then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| = \infty$ , but, by [8, V (4.2)],

$$\sup\{\left|\sum_{n=1}^N a_n n^{1/3} z^n\right| : \left|z\right| \leq 1, N \in \mathbb{Z}^+\} < \infty.$$

*Proof of Theorem* (5.7). Suppose W(w) is a Q-algebra. Apply (5.8) to A = W(w), obtaining a constant C, and then (5.9), with this same C, obtaining a polynomial  $P_C$  of

#### **RADICAL Q-ALGEBRAS**

degree N(C). By the hypothesis on w, there exists q such that  $w(nq) = w(q)^n$   $(1 \le n \le N(C))$ . Now if  $f \in W(w)$  is defined by f(s) = 0  $(s \ne q)$ , f(q) = 1/w(q), then ||f|| = 1 and

$$||P_{C}(f)|| = \sum_{n=1}^{N} |a_{n}w(q)^{-n}|w(nq)|$$
  
=  $\sum_{n=1}^{N} |a_{n}|.$ 

Consequently, the conclusion of (5.8) for this f and  $P = P_c$  contradicts the conclusion of (5.9). Therefore W(w) cannot be a Q-algebra.

Proof of Theorem (5.6). We may assume that  $g(n) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . We define the weight function w inductively by blocks, as in (5.4). Let  $r_1 = 2$ , w(1) = 1. Suppose w(1), ...,  $w(r_N - 1)$  have been defined with

$$w(x+y) \leq w(x)w(y) \ (1 \leq x, y, x+y < r_N), \ w(x+1)/w(x) \leq g(x) \ (1 \leq x < r_N - 1),$$

and such that

(\*) there exists q with 
$$w(rq) = w(q)^r$$
  $(1 \le r \le R)$ 

holds for all R < N. We put  $r_{N+1} = Nr_N + 1$  and define  $w(r_N), \ldots, w(r_{N+1} - 1)$  so that (\*) holds for R = N, with  $q = r_N$ .

Let us write  $r_N = a$ , and let  $\eta = \min\{g(n): 1 \le n < r_{N+1}\}$ . Then define

$$w(a) = \min\{w(x)w(y)\eta^a : 1 \leq x, y < a\}.$$

(The main point of this construction is that w(a) may be chosen very small compared with all previous w(x).) For  $1 \le s < N$ ,  $0 \le t < a$  and s = N, t = 0 define

$$w(sa+t) = w(a)^s w(t) \eta^s$$

where w(0) = 1, formally. It is straightforward to check that

$$w(x+y) \leq w(x)w(y) \quad (1 \leq x, y, x+y \leq r_{N+1})$$

and  $w(x+1)/w(x) \leq g(x)$   $(1 \leq x < r_{N+1} - 1)$ . This completes the induction step. The resulting function w is a weight function with  $w(n+1)/w(n) \leq g(n)$  for all n, and, since  $g(n) \to 0$ , it follows that w is rapidly decreasing. Further, w satisfies (\*) for all R and so, by (5.7), W(w) is not a Q-algebra.

#### REFERENCES

1. F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, Complete normed algebras (Springer-Verlag, 1973).

2. I. G. Craw and N. J. Young, Regularity of multiplication in weighted group and semigroup algebras, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (3) 25 (1974), 351-358.

3. A. M. Davie, Quotient algebras of uniform algebras, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 7 (1973), 31-40.

4. P. G. Dixon, Semiprime Banach algebras, ibid. 6 (1973), 676-678.

5. R. McKissick, A nontrivial normal sup norm algebra, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 69 (1963), 391-395.

I

## P. G. DIXON

6. E. L. Stout, The theory of uniform algebras (Bogden and Quigley, 1971).

7. N. Th. Varopoulos, Some remarks on Q-algebras, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 22, 4 (1972), 1–11.

8. A. Zygmund, Trigonometric series vol. I (C.U.P., 1959).

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS HICKS BUILDING THE UNIVERSITY SHEFFIELD, S3 7RH

## 126