TWENTY-SEVENTH SCIENTIFIC MEETING—THIRTEENTH SCOTTISH MEETING

Department of Physiology, University College, Dundee, May 19th, 1945

LOSSES OF NUTRIENTS IN THE PREPARATION OF FOODSTUFFS

Chairman, Professor H. DRYERRE

Some Aspects of the Waste Problem: Cooking and Plate Waste

Miss M. Andross (Glasgow and West of Scotland College of Domestic Science, Park Drive, Glasgow)

Waste, as understood in this paper, covers inedible material such as bone, and edible material lost in cooking or discarded in the preparation of food, and plate waste. Some of the data have already been published (Andross, 1939, 1940, 1941); some are now presented for the first time.

Waste in the Preparation of Egg Dishes

Shelling. When a raw egg is removed from the shell a small amount of edible white is left. This averages about 1.5 per cent. of the edible matter.

Hard Boiled Egg. Hard boiled eggs are sometimes difficult to peel and the loss of white sticking to the shell may be as high as 15 per cent.

Poached Egg. The loss in poaching eggs is by powdering and solution in the water. Powdering loss averages about 10 per cent. of the protein and loss by solution, about 5 per cent. The loss by powdering may be reduced by adding sufficient salt, and stirring the water causes the outer layer of white to wind round the inner layers and so reduces waste. The best medium for poaching eggs is 500 ml. water, 10 ml. vinegar and 5 g. salt. Excess of vinegar causes the inner white to pucker. Soft water gives an egg of attractive appearance but powdering loss is high. The loss from preserved eggs is higher than from fresh.

Scrambled Egg. In order to reduce waste in scrambling eggs, the aim is to increase the temperature interval between setting and curdling. Curdling always means high plate waste. The addition of 20 ml. milk per egg gives an interval of 10° C. between coagulation and curdling. Coagulation occurs between 65° and 75° C.

Fried Egg. The main difficulty in frying eggs is the control of the temperature of the fat. The correct temperature is 126° C. but that is difficult to maintain without a thermostatically controlled pan. The temperature of "smoking fat", 235° C., is too high. At this temperature the white rises in bubbles and the outside layers are charred. The bubbles contain fat which may raise the fat content of the egg to 20 per cent. and as much as 20 per cent. of the protein may be so denatured as to be indigestible.

VOL. 4, 1946]

156 PROCEEDINGS OF THE NUTRITION SOCIETY

Omelettes. For cooking omelettes the pan should be smooth and "seasoned" so as to prevent sticking. During the cooking water is evaporated and, to prevent toughness, water, not milk, should be added, 10 ml. per egg.

The losses of protein in the cooking of eggs are summarized in Table 1.

Method of cooking	Shell	Pan	Bowl	Spoon	Charred residue	Total
	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5		1.5 1.5 Solid resid			$ \begin{array}{c} 13.1 \\ 1.5 \\ 8.9 \\ 3.0 \\ 7.6 \end{array} $

 TABLE 1

 Percentage Loss of Protein in the Cooking of Eggs

Custards and Custard Puddings. These stick to the pan in which they are cooked, even if the pan is wetted with either water or milk. Continuous stirring reduces the amount of dehydrated matter adhering to the bottom. On the average the percentage waste is as follows: total solids 10.0, protein 8.3, carbohydrate 10.3 and fat 3.0. Thus custards are almost as wasteful as scrambled egg and even the steam heated boilers used in big canteens do not greatly reduce the waste. Slow heating, where no flour is added, increases the temperature margin of safety and helps to avoid curdling.

Dried egg, properly reconstituted, gives similar results, but careless blending increases the loss through splashing and imperfect mixing.

Waste in Boiling Milk

In boiling milk, loss occurs as curd and skin. The curd sticks to the pan, and possibly 80 per cent. of consumers remove the skin. The loss in boiling milk is often overlooked because of the simultaneous loss of water which amounts to 75 ml. per pint. If boiled milk be diluted to the original volume, the following percentage losses are found: total solids 13.3, ash 5.7, Ca 13.7, P 5.4, protein 13.5, fat 23.5, lactose 1.9, albumin 74.1 and vitamin C 90. Removal of the curd from the pan and conservation of the skin reduces the losses but there is still some loss. For instance, the loss of protein is still 6.3 per cent., suggesting that volatile nitrogenous substances may be lost in boiling.

Most of the albumin of milk is lost in boiling. This is unfortunate since human milk has a higher albumin content than cow's milk and the child presumably needs this protein.

The fat of the skin of boiled milk has a lower melting point and a higher iodine value than butter fat, and the cream line of boiled milk is only half that of raw. Presumably some fat is held in cells in milk and it is the cells which disintegrate, liberating the fat, and sinking to coagulate on the bottom of the pan.

In general it would probably be safe to say that there is a loss of 10 per cent, of the nutrients in boiling milk.

NUTRIENT LOSSES IN PREPARING FOOD

Cooking and Table Waste of Fish

Boiling. Boiled fish may lose as much as 14 per cent. of its protein by leaching and powdering off. This is a wasteful method of cooking unless a sauce or soup is made with the water. The fish should be put to boil in boiling water but the powdering loss may be increased to 50 per cent. of the protein if the water boils violently. If the quantity of water is too small for quick coagulation of protein or the heating to boiling too slow, the losses by leaching are increased. The addition of vinegar or lemon juice to the water helps to coagulate the protein.

Frying. Deep frying is the least wasteful method of cooking fish. White fish fried in this way loses little but water, and the fat content is low. The temperature must not be too high or the covering (oatmeal, batter, egg and bread crumb) will char before the fish is cooked. Shallow frying is bad practice since the fish tends to crumble and the loss of protein may be as high as 40 per cent. with fish such as whiting and haddock.

Oily fish are different; they lose fat, especially herring. The fat of herring is just under the skin and 50 per cent. may be lost in cooking. Herring should therefore be cooked in its own fat. Salmon loses 10 per cent. of its fat in boiling.

The losses in plate waste of some of the common fish are shown in Table 2.

	Method of	Weight after	Weight of edible	Waste per		Vaste i	n
Kind of fish	cooking	cooking g.	part g.	cent.	bone g.	fat g.	skin g.
Lemon sole, Faro	e,						
whole	Deep fried	306	180	41.2	30		96
Plaice, whole	Baked	400	160	60.0	80		160
Haddock, whole	Deep fried	270	130	51.8	110		30
Whiting, whole	Steamed	432	170	60.6	202		60
Cod, slice	"	260	220	19.2	24		16
Herring, whole	Grilled	94	55	36.2	34	5	
Salmon, tail	Boiled	440	285	$35 \cdot 2$	40	5	110

TABLE 2PLATE WASTE IN FISH

Herring skin is very thin and has been taken as edible.

It seems a pity that more fish is not supplied filleted. The bones and skin could then be used to make fishmeal and manure and the gross waste reduced.

Cooking and Table Waste of Meat

In the study of meat waste, in home produced and imported meats, different cuts of each and different methods of cooking were compared.

The cooking methods were standardized so that all samples were cooked until the inside temperature was 77° C. Standardized ovens were used with a higher temperature (setting 14) for scaling and a lower (setting 7) for cooking.

VOL. 4, 1946]

PROCEEDINGS OF THE NUTRITION SOCIETY 158

The study of plate waste showed that few people consume their meat fat, and some eat only about a third of a meat helping. This shows the futility of assessing individual food intake from the amounts served. Mutton. The data for mutton are shown in Table 3.

		Method	уv	aight	Loss	Weisht	Waste as per- centage	Nat	ure of w	raste
Cut	Origin	of cooking	Raw g.	Cooked g.	of weight per cent.	Weight eaten g.	of cooked weight	Bone g.	Fat g.	Skin g.
Loin chop	$\begin{cases} British \\ New Zealand \end{cases}$	Grilled "	157-5 140-0	120·5 122·0	23·5 12·9	86-8 68-0	28-0 44-3	15-0 15-0	$ \begin{array}{r} 15.0 \\ 31.5 \end{array} $	3.7 7.5
Gigot chop	$\begin{cases} British \\ New Zealand \end{cases}$	" "	150-0 150-0	90. 0	40·0 40·0	49·0 54·5	45·5 39·4	7 ∙5 10∙0	30·0 22·5	3.5 3.0
Leg roast	$\begin{cases} British\\ New Zealand \end{cases}$	Roasted	3330-0 2580-0	$2460.0 \\ 2020.0$	$26.1 \\ 21.8$	2063-0 1120-0	16·1 44·5	255-0 390-0	75-0 120-0	67·0 390·0
Loin roast	$\begin{cases} British \\ New Zealand \end{cases}$	" "	1095-0 990-0	693-0 688-0	36·7 30·5	408-0 304-0	41·1 55·8	109∙0 94∙0	102·0 226-0	74·0 64·0
Neck	$\begin{cases} British \\ New Zealand \end{cases}$	Stewed "	485·0 580-0	421.0 477.0	13·2 17-8	263∙0 301•0	37·5 36·9	112·0 84·0	36·0 30-0	10-0 62-0

TABLE 3

COOKING LOSSES AND PLATE WASTE IN MUTTON

The average loss of weight in cooking was greater for home produced (27.9 per cent.) than for New Zealand (24.6 per cent.) mutton. This might be because the melting point of home mutton fat is 35° C. as against 38° C. for New Zealand mutton. Analysis of the raw and cooked products showed that home mutton lost on the average 45.3 per cent. of its moisture, 54.2 per cent. of its fat and 10.5 per cent. of its protein. The corresponding losses for New Zealand mutton were $63 \cdot 2$, $40 \cdot 2$ and 4.6 per cent. The greater loss of moisture from New Zealand mutton means a drier meat with less flavour. The protein and fat lost in cooking should not be real waste, because the fat should be saved and used, and the protein made into gravy.

The average plate waste for home mutton was 33.6 per cent. of cooked weight and for New Zealand mutton 44.2 per cent., the losses of skin, fat and bone amounting to 3.03, 4.94 and 9.55 per cent., respectively, of meat as bought for British mutton, and 11.86, 9.68 and 13.36 per cent. for New Zealand mutton.

Analysis of the edible portion gave the following results as percentages of edible cooked weight: water 45.8, protein 31.6, fat 21.4, ash 1.6 for home mutton, and water 44.8, protein 25.5, fat 28.0 and ash 1.0 for New Zealand mutton. No protein is lost in drip from home produced mutton and the meat is juicier.

Beef. The data for beef are shown in Table 4.

The average losses of weight in cooking were 22.8 per cent. for British and 26.6 per cent. for Argentine beef. The difference is negligible. It was found that the protein waste in cooking imported beef was very low, giving a thin flavourless gravy which increases plate waste. The melting point of British beef fat is lower than that of Argentine beef fat. This gives greater fat loss in cooking and less fat waste on plates. The cooking fat can be used; plate fat usually cannot. Water does not dry off home meat to the same extent as off imported. This suggests that the water is held in a different way in the home fed meat. Imported meat sometimes shrinks very badly and the meat seems drier after cooking.

TABLE	4
-------	---

COOKING LOSSES AND PLATE WASTE IN BEEF

		Method of	Weight		Loss of Weight		Waste as per- centage	Nature of waste			
Cut	Origin	cooking	Raw g.	Cooked g.	weight per cent.	Weight eaten g.	of cooked weight	Bone g.	Fat g.	Skin g.	
Fillet	{ British Argentine Australian	Grilled "	573 2220 1020	494 1577 650	13-8 29-0 36-3	390 1172 490	21-1 25·7 24·6		82 180	22 225 160	
Sirloin	${British \\ Argentine}$	Roasted "	$\begin{array}{c} 2400 \\ 2430 \end{array}$	1680 1613	30∙0 33∙6	1050 843	37·5 47·7	330 400	120 170	180 200	
Roiled roast	{British Argentine British Argentine	Roasted (pot) Roasted	1020 840 1245 1275	960 523 675 759	5-9 37-7 45-8 40-5	661 347 453 416	31-1 33-7 32-9 45-2		171 96 64 192	128 80 158 151	
Rib roast	$\begin{cases} British \\ Argentine \\ British \\ Argentine \end{cases}$	Roasted (pot) Roasted	1605 2115 1410 1260	1065 1755 930 930	$33.4 \\ 17.0 \\ 34.0 \\ 26.2$	595 705 493 210	44·1 59·8 47·0 77·4	200 360 220 240	200 480 167 360	70 210 50 120	
Shoulder steak	{ British Argentine British Argentine British Argentine	Stewed Stewed (cass.) Braised "	540 480 480 480 480 480	480 430 420 390 390 360	11·1 10·4 12·5 18·7 18·7 25·0	367 228 330 225 330 240	23·4 47·0 21·4 42·3 15·4 33·3		98 172 75 135 45 90	15 30 15 30 15 30	

The average plate waste is less from home than from Argentine beef, 30.4 per cent. of cooked weight for the former and 45.8 for the latter. The loss in bone, fat and skin was 7.7, 10.5 and 6.7 per cent. of raw weight for British, and 8.6, 16.2 and 9.3 per cent. for Argentine, beef.

Imported meat is coarser and probably older. Further, chilling and freezing may be satisfactory for large scale storage but the butcher puts the meat in a refrigerator overnight and the final result is that muscle fibres are ruptured, muscle serum leaks out and valuable protein and much of the flavour is lost.

Analysis of the edible cooked portion gave the following percentage composition: British beef, water 50.3, protein 29.8, fat 21.2, ash 1.6; Argentine beef, water 48.8, protein 25.0, fat 23.6 and ash 1.2. The slightly higher moisture content of the British beef means a juicier meat. Ash as well as protein is lost in the drip from the imported meat; pigment is also lost. A test of consumers' preferences gave 400 votes for flavour to home meat and 60 to imported. Poor colour and lack of flavour increase plate waste.

Saving could be effected if the custom were adopted of removing fat, skin and bone from the plates before serving vegetables. The fat could then be rendered down and the skin and bone used for stocks and gravies.

It is worth while noting that rolled roasts are not too economical. Waste material gets rolled inside. Rib roasts have a high proportion of bone to meat.

VOL. 4, 1946]

159

An average allowance of 30 per cent. of fresh weight would cover cooking and plate waste in beef, excluding bone.

Losses in Vegetables

The losses in the preparation of vegetables vary with season and demand. For instance, leeks show less waste in war time because the shortage of onions has increased the demand. Vegetables bought in a wilted condition are wasteful. Wastage of potatoes is high in a year like this when they have been frosted. Other circumstances also affect waste. Since green vegetables have been sold by weight more waste is passed on to the consumer. McCance, Widdowson and Shackleton (1936) give a percentage loss of 25 for Brussels sprouts. In Scotland at present the percentage is nearer 50.

Table 5 gives unpublished records of waste made during four separate years. The vegetables were bought in different types of shop in Glasgow and the west of Scotland.

 TABLE 5

 WASTE IN PREPARATION OF VEGETABLES AS PERCENTAGE OF PURCHASED WEIGHT

	Perce	entage lost in	different ye	ars
Kind of vegetable	1938	1940	194 3	1945
Broad beans	. 70	68	67	72
Broccoli	. 50	54	60	58
Brussels sprouts .	. 33	45	50	45
Cabbage	. 40	41	40	40
Cauliflower	. 50	36 to 70	80	58
Cress	. 40	36	30	45
French beans	. 5	3	6	4
Kale	. 40	56	43	45
Lettuce	. 24	25	26	25
Parsley				64
Green peas	. 65	62	60	64
Spinach	. 25	35	50	64
Beetroot	. 40	43	40	50
Carrot	. 30	32	40	50
Celery	. 75	50	42	29
Leek	= -	75	50	30
Onion	1 10	17	20	
Parsnip	. 40	35	25	35
Potatoes	1 90	26	23	40
Turnip	50	54	30	37

The cost per lb. of the edible portion of the vegetables is shown in Table 6.

If, as Olliver (1941) has shown, there is a loss of up to 75 per cent. of vitamin C when green vegetables are stored, if half the vegetable is rejected because it is wilted and in cooking 50 per cent. of the remaining vitamin C is leached out and 30 per cent. destroyed, vitamin C becomes an expensive item in the diet if shop bought green vegetables are the only source. Fortunately there are potatoes and occasionally oranges. Table 7 indicates the condition in which green vegetables were bought in different shops.

About half the vegetables were in good condition, the others wilted. These will already have lost most of their vitamin C, and much of their vitamin A, iron and calcium will be discarded in the outer leaves.

	Cost of ed	ible portion p	er lb. in diffe	rent years
Kind of vegetable	1938	1940	1943	1945
Broad beans Broccoli Cabbage Cabbage Cauliflower Cress French beans French beans French beans Parsley Green peas Spinach Carrot	s. d. 3 4 1 0 0 $7\frac{1}{2}$ 0 6 1 0 3 0 0 5 0 6 1 8 1 $2\frac{1}{2}$ 1 5 0 4 0 $3\frac{1}{4}$	$ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{s. d.} \\ 3 1_{\underline{1}}^{1} \\ 1 1 \\ 0 10 \\ 0 5 \\ 1 4 \\ 3 6 \\ 0 5 \\ 0 5 \\ 0 7 \\ 3 4 \\ - \\ 1 2 \\ - \\ 0 4 \\ 0 3\frac{1}{\underline{3}} \end{array} $	s. d. 3 $0\frac{1}{2}$ 1 $3\frac{3}{4}$ 0 $10\frac{1}{2}$ 0 5 2 $8\frac{1}{4}$ 4 6 0 9 0 9 3 6 1 1 1 $3\frac{3}{4}$ 0 5 0 $3\frac{1}{4}$	s. d. $3 \ 4^{\frac{3}{4}}$ $1 \ 2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ $0 \ 7^{\frac{3}{4}}$ $0 \ 7^{\frac{3}{4}}$ $4 \ 5 \ 9 \ 9$ $3 \ 7^{\frac{1}{4}}$ $2 \ 9^{\frac{1}{4}}$ $2 \ 9^{\frac{1}{4}}$ $1 \ 4 \ 0 \ 6^{\frac{1}{4}}$
Celery Leek Onion Parsnip Potatoes Turnip	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 10\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 10 \text{ each} \\ 0 & 8 & " \\ 0 & 3\frac{3}{4} \\ 0 & 1\frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & 2\frac{3}{4} \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

TABLE 6								
COST PER	Pound	OF	THE	Edible	Portion	OF	VEGETABLES	

Table 8 shows the cost of the standard daily requirements of vitamin C, vitamin A, calcium and iron from vegetables compared with other sources. It is obvious that, apart from the cost, the quantities required of wilted

	CONDITION OF VEGETABLES ON PURCHASE										
Type of shop	,	Spring cabbage	Parsley	Cress	Broccoli	Spinach	Lettuce				
Medium grade Suburban Low grade (1) ,, ,, (2) City	•••	Good Bruised Good Fair	Withered Good Faded Good Fair	Limp None " Very good	None Withered None Good	Limp None "	Good Limp Withered Good				
Top grade city	••	Good	Very good	Fair	Fair	Good	Very good				
Suburban (1) ,, (2)	 	Withered	Poor	Good Withered	Good Withered	Poor Fair	Good None				

TABLE 7 CONDITION OF VEGETABLES ON PURCHASE

green vegetables could not be eaten and that green vegetables are clearly much too bulky to serve as the main sources of calcium and iron.

These results, showing the great losses of essential food constituents which occur under the present system of distribution, point clearly to vol. 4, 1946]

161

162 PROCEEDINGS OF THE NUTRITION SOCIETY

the need for improvement in the method of supply. Vegetables should be procurable fresh from market gardens close to consumption centres. This should be treated as essential in town planning. Each district, school and hospital should have its own market garden.

TABLE 8

COST OF DAILY STANDARD REQUIREMENT OF 30 mg. OF VITAMIN C, 3000 I.U. OF
VITAMIN A, 0.67 g. OF CALCIUM AND 15 mg. OF IRON DERIVED FROM FRESH AND
WILTED GREEN VEGETABLES COMPARED WITH THAT FROM OTHER SOURCES

Vitamin C 30 mg.				Ca 0.67 g.		Fe 15 mg.		
Amount re- quired oz.	Cost d.	Amount re- quired oz.	Cost d.	Amount re- quired lb.	Cost s. d.	Amount re- quired lb.	Cost	
5	$2\frac{1}{4}$	37	12	3	13	5	2 4	4
17 8	$7\frac{1}{2}$ 6	60 3	$\begin{array}{c} 20 \\ 2\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4rac{1}{2} \\ 2rac{1}{4} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 6 \end{array}$	9 1‡		0 3
30 5	$\begin{array}{c} 24 \\ 2rac{1}{2} \end{array}$	8	<u>6</u>	7 1 1	-	4 1 1		0 11
10	$\begin{array}{c} 16 \\ 20 \end{array}$	10	$\overline{20}$	4 6	16 0	$\frac{4\frac{1}{2}}{1\frac{1}{2}}$		6 0
$\frac{-}{2}$		3	$\frac{25}{\frac{1}{2}}$	8	21 4 	$\frac{2}{-}$	5	4
	4/5 		8	$\begin{bmatrix} -\\ -\\ 1\\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$			2	5 1 3
	30 n Amount re- quired oz. 5 17 8 30 5 24 10 30 2 4 10 2 4 15 -	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	30 mg. 3000 I.U. Ca 0.6 Amount re- quired oz. Amount c. Amount re- quired d. Amount re- quired oz. Amount re- quired d. Amount re- quired d. 5 $2\frac{1}{4}$ 37 12 3 17 $7\frac{1}{2}$ 60 20 $4\frac{1}{2}$ 30 24 8 6 7 5 $2\frac{1}{2}$ - 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ 24 16 - - 4 10 20 10 20 6 30 60 $12\frac{1}{2}$ 25 8 - - - - - 4 $\frac{3}{4}$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	30 mg. 3000 I.U. Ca 0.67 g. Amount Amount Amount re- quired Amount re- quired Amount $70.$ Cost quired Cost d. lb. s. d. 5 $2\frac{1}{4}$ 37 12 3 1 3 17 $7\frac{1}{2}$ 60 20 $4\frac{1}{2}$ 2 0 8 6 3 $2\frac{1}{2}$ $2\frac{1}{4}$ 2 6 30 24 8 6 7 7 0 5 $2\frac{1}{2}$ $$ $1\frac{1}{2}$ 1 $1\frac{1}{2}$ 24 8 6 7 7 0 5 $2\frac{1}{2}$ $$ $1\frac{1}{2}$ $1\frac{1}{2}$ $1\frac{1}{2}$ 24 16 $$ -4 3 0 10 20 10 20 6 16 0 30 60 $12\frac{1}{2}$ 25 8 21 4 4 $4\frac{1}{7}$ <td< td=""><td>30 mg. 3000 I.U. Ca 0.67 g. Fe 15 Amount re- quired oz. Amount c. d. Amount re- quired d. Amount re- quired d. Amount re- quired lb. Amount re- quired lb. Amount re- quired lb. Amount re- quired lb. 5 $2\frac{1}{4}$ 37 12 3 1 3 5 17 $7\frac{1}{2}$ 60 20 $4\frac{1}{2}$ 2 0 9 8 6 3 $2\frac{1}{2}$ $2\frac{1}{4}$ 2 6 $1\frac{1}{4}$ 30 24 8 6 7 7 0 4 10 20 10 20 6 16 0 $1\frac{1}{2}$ 30 60 $12\frac{1}{2}$ 25 8 21 4 2 $\frac{4}{2}$ $\frac{4}{16}$ $\frac{30}{2}$ $\frac{60}{12}$ $\frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $-$</td><td>30 mg. 3000 I.U. Ca 0.67 g. Fe 15 mg. Amount Amount Amount Amount Amount Fe- quired Cost quired Cost quired Cost Image: second second</td></td<>	30 mg. 3000 I.U. Ca 0.67 g. Fe 15 Amount re- quired oz. Amount c. d. Amount re- quired d. Amount re- quired d. Amount re- quired lb. Amount re- quired lb. Amount re- quired lb. Amount re- quired lb. 5 $2\frac{1}{4}$ 37 12 3 1 3 5 17 $7\frac{1}{2}$ 60 20 $4\frac{1}{2}$ 2 0 9 8 6 3 $2\frac{1}{2}$ $2\frac{1}{4}$ 2 6 $1\frac{1}{4}$ 30 24 8 6 7 7 0 4 10 20 10 20 6 16 0 $1\frac{1}{2}$ 30 60 $12\frac{1}{2}$ 25 8 21 4 2 $\frac{4}{2}$ $\frac{4}{16}$ $ \frac{30}{2}$ $\frac{60}{12}$ $\frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $ -$	30 mg. 3000 I.U. Ca 0.67 g. Fe 15 mg. Amount Amount Amount Amount Amount Fe- quired Cost quired Cost quired Cost Image: second

Plate Waste in Canteens

An attempt was made to estimate the plate waste of protein, carbohydrate and fat in canteens.

Schools. Nine schools were chosen at random out of a group supplied from one kitchen where the food was known to be well cooked. The waste from each school was mixed, weighed and sampled for analysis. Samples were taken on four successive days when different meals were served. The schools included town schools, schools in mining areas and country schools. There was no significant difference between schools in the composition of the waste. One school, in a mining area, had no waste on any of the days. The results are shown in Table 9.

These results were compared with analyses of plate waste from lunches in 60 households of different classes, for which the averages were protein $1\cdot 1$, fat $2\cdot 0$ and carbohydrate $1\cdot 9$ per cent. Plate waste is less in the home, probably because of insistence that the food is eaten, consideration for the cook's feelings and consideration of the tastes of the family. This does not imply that the food is better or of greater nutritive value.

Observations of the same kind were made in the Domestic Science College where lunch is served to all students and in a Government canteen where the College lunch is served. The results are shown in Table 10.

			Percentage	wasted of a	mount served
School	Type of community	Number of meals	Protein	Fat	Carbohydrate
A B C D	Town " Mining Town	120 127 95 103	3·3 5·3 12·3 7·8	3·3 6·9 5·3 5·0	2.5 0.5 0.5 0.2
E F G H I	Country Town Mining Small country	53 140 77 28 33	10.8 5.1 8.0 9.2 6.8	11.5 5.1 7.2 5.6 12.5	7.5 0.5 0.2 0.7 0.2
		Average	7.6	6.9	1.4

TABLE 9

Composition of Plate Waste from School Meals: Percentage of Amount Served

This shows the effect of different tastes. Women eat less fat than men and are, like children, fonder of carbohydrate foods. Sweets and puddings are well consumed.

Railway Station Forces Canteen. The plate waste in a forces canteen, as a percentage of each food served, was as follows: stew 10, sausages 6,

TABLE 10

Composition of Plate Waste from a College Lunch in College and in a Government Canteen as Percentage of Amount Served

	Number of	Percentage of amount served wasted on plate		
Place and meal	meals	Protein	Fat	Carbohydrate
College, early lunch ,, late ,, Canteen lunch	102 280 35	$ \begin{array}{r} 10.7 \\ 11.0 \\ 6.5 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 10 \cdot 2 \\ 4 \cdot 5 \\ 3 \cdot 2 \end{array} $	0.9 2.2 11.4

beans (small white variety but soft) 30, rolls 6, buns 0, cakes 0, biscuits 5, cheese 3, potatoes 4, sandwiches 2, milk 12.

The beans were obviously not worth serving. The men do not like beans unless they are served with tomato sauce. The milk loss is surprisingly high and arises from wastage of coffee when men have no time to finish it between trains. The waste of rolls and sausage is lower in the mobile canteen because, although men will leave food on plates, they will not throw it on a station platform.

A peculiar deposit of waste, 2 lb. of fat, was found in the steam trap of a canteen. This loss of fat by steam distillation may account for the lack of flavour of food cooked in steam ovens.

VOL. 4, 1946]

Summary and Conclusions

The losses of nutrients in the cooking and plate waste of milk, eggs, fish and meat and the losses in the storage and preparation of vegetables have been studied. Records are presented also of waste in school and other canteens.

The waste in boiling milk is about 10 per cent. Scrambling is the most wasteful method of cooking eggs. Thermostatically controlled pans would reduce the waste in cooking eggs.

The filleting of fish in shops would ensure more economic use of the whole fish.

Plate waste of meat may be as high as 30 per cent. of cooked meat. Fat should be removed from plates before vegetables are served, or it should not be served. Imported meat has a higher plate waste than home fed.

Plate waste in school canteens includes 7 per cent. of the protein and 7 per cent. of the fat served. In a college canteen the waste of protein was 11 per cent. and of fat 5 to 10 per cent. In a Government canteen 6 per cent. of protein and 3 per cent. of fat served were wasted.

The wastage of vegetables is greatly increased by wilting in storage. Marketing methods are in great need of improvement. Some system of local market gardens should be adopted so that consumers in large towns could buy their vegetables fresh. Schools and institutions should have their own gardens.

I would like to thank the School Feeding Department, Ayr, for permission to do this work, the Institutional Department in College and my own students in College for their assistance.

References

Andross, M. (1939). Chem. and Ind. 58, 252.

Andross, M. (1940). Chem. and Ind. 59, 449. Andross, M. (1941). Chem. and Ind. 60, 176.

McCance, R. A., Widdowson, E. M. and Shackleton, L. R. B. (1936). Spec. Rep. Ser. med. Res. Coun., Lond., no. 213, p. 48.

Olliver, M. (1941). Chem. and Ind. 60, 586.

Loss of Nutrients in Cooking

Dr. C. P. Stewart (Royal Infirmary, Edinburgh)

Introduction

Scattered through the literature are many papers dealing with the losses suffered by foodstuffs during cooking. Most of these deal with the more readily estimated of the inorganic constituents and vitamins, some by analysis of one or two substances in a variety of foods, others by detailed study of one or two closely related foods. It is difficult to find, or to build up, any general view of the subject, partly because of the very great differences in chemical and anatomical structure between the various classes of foodstuffs, partly because the substances which are, or may be, lost are of such varying chemical nature, partly because of the variety of cooking methods which involve differing physico-chemical processes, partly because cooking losses may be qualitative as well as