A Tale of Two Realities

The Episcopal Church\(^2\) (TEC) recently held its remarkable General Convention in Austin, Texas. Part synod, part trade exhibition, and part extended family reunion, the event described as one of the largest democratic legislative gatherings in the world brought more than 3000 people together in an apparent display of energy and confidence.\(^3\)

Its work included taking positions on the wider issues of immigration, Israel-Palestine, and the ecological crisis. There were also the more in-house questions of readmitting the Episcopal Church of Cuba as a diocese, and of prayer book revision, including a complex debate about the calendar of saints and its membership.

---
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A few weeks later the same Church published statistics that painted a
bracing picture of statistical decline. Put simply, membership in TEC
dropped by a quarter between 2000 and 2017; average Sunday attend-
dance had dropped by 35 per cent in the same period. Interestingly,
parishes and missions declined in number by only 10 per cent in the
same period, and dioceses not at all. The General Convention’s size has
already been mentioned. The disparity in these changes, and the lack of
institutional adjustment in particular, gives some food for thought. Not
only does it seem odd to have so many people deliberating and
administering, the manifest decline in those who want to be saints in
the Church militant makes for an unfortunate contrast with time-
consuming arguments about the Church triumphant on the calendar.

The deflating impression these numbers offer may even be rosier
than the reality. What is not reflected in the statistics is that the
dwindling population of the present Church is defined not just by the
well-publicized departure of the disaffected, or the bloody-minded, or
the unfaithful. Most obviously it is the young who are so often missing.
This is not so much a decline as a looming precipice, as the median
age of many congregations moves quickly into the 70s and beyond.
 Institutionally this may start to look less like shrinkage than collapse.

This story is not unique to the Church in the USA and its depen-
dencies, but is repeated to some extent in most other parts of the West,
across Anglicanism and in many other Christian churches too. The rise
of aggressive as well as merely passive forms of secularization, prefer-
ences for different forms of religious and spiritual life when there is
openness to it, and a range of other factors all seem to be taking a toll.
The prominence of decline in TEC owes something to the combination
of factors or events involved, including the schisms that have so far
largely been avoided in other places, in part because of different
structures, as well as different distributions of opinion on contentious
issues.

But there is another story that coincides with, yet contradicts this one.
Although there are glib accounts suggesting that growth and vitality
only appear in Churches with certain theologies, or Churches in certain
parts of the world, the truth is much more complex. Around TEC as
well as elsewhere, there are many communities of faith that are
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growing, and which do have younger members. And there are also
movements harder to discern and define, but whose faithfulness, vigour,
and youth offers a sort of counter-narrative to a bigger picture of decline.

So there are two stories here, two narratives, two realities. Two
mistakes in their interpretation however seem to be common. One is to
make what can only be called specious theological claims about either
the decline, or the real or supposed signs of hope, particularly to invoke
the hand of God or the presence of the Spirit as though these were
readily discernible (and apparently aligned with one’s own institutional
commitments and interests). The other is to harmonize these two
narratives by compromise or averaging; to decide things are not so bad,
most obviously, because clearly not everything is bad. Yet denial is not
a very useful planning tool. In fact things really are that bad; but that is
not the only story. The Church is failing and flourishing at the same time.

Facts, Theories, and Theologies

Harvard professor of ancient religion A.D. Nock is said to have
quipped that ‘A fact is a sacred thing – its life should not be sacrificed on
the altar of a general theory’.6 Those who live life in the service of faith
are in some danger on this front, because of course they deal with
general theories, not least about God and the world. But the facts
sometimes defy the theories, or at least defy the sorts of theology
employed on them. Success and failure in the contemporary Church
need to be interpreted honestly rather than ignoring them, or placing
values on them that are not so much real theological interpretations as
spiritualized rationalizations. They are separate facts, and both are real.

One heresy already noted that seems to be growing, not only
in synods but in other parts of the Church, consists of the tendency
to claim that certain ecclesial initiatives, or certain programmes or
practices, are somehow manifestly the will of God and the place of the
Holy Spirit’s presence – and that by implication, certain others are not.
In reality, success and God’s presence are both important, but they are
not necessarily correlated, nor are claims of God’s presence and favour
more convincing for the vigour with which they are made.

Our fundamentalist cousins in megachurches make it easier to
demonstrate the point. If success were theologically significant, then
the relative success of such groups at present would seem to indicate
that Western Anglicans have been proven faithless, and they blessed.
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We know this is not true – at least I will assert it is not – yet the contrast between relative successes in conservative movements (over a half century and more) and the decline of the mainstream is significant.

There are reasons for this that are not actually mysterious, and neither are they always pernicious. What is pernicious is the claim that success reflects divine approval. Some (but not all) fundamentalist groups are successful because they believe in what they are doing, have articulated that clearly, and have been led purposefully and well. This success is not a kind of fundamentalist gnosis, but observable and measurable, and a variety of empirically based studies can confirm which churches are at least more likely to succeed. Factors like these apply to congregations that are not fundamentalist as well; the problem is that these criteria are often not found in many Anglican churches of more liberal or catholic leanings. These have arguably been too satisfied with being a safe place for the ambivalent, rather than being clear about a heartfelt, thoughtful and nuanced faith.

While Anglicans need to be thoughtful as well as confident, there is more room for them to work for what will lead us to greater forms of measurable, numerical, success, as well as to other forms of faithful service. Indications are that local faith communities, not bureaucracies, are likely to be the place where a counter-narrative of success can flourish in the face of the broader reality of decline, although leadership at any level that has the appropriate characteristics – and Michael Curry’s forthright advocacy of the Gospel comes readily to mind – can make a difference. If ministry and community could be graphed on axes of Faithfulness (X) and Success (Y), more need to plan for existence in that upper-right quadrant of the graph where both these are possible, all the while knowing that God is glorified also in those who are faithful but may not be succeeding, or not known to be so.

While a clear sense of purpose is likely to be a condition of success, it does not guarantee it, and even less does it indicate divine blessing. We actually do not where and how God is active in the present ferment of religion and secularism, but that is what the underrated category of faith is supposed to be for. With primary reference to Scripture, and with celebration of the sacraments as tokens of God’s presence and purpose, historic Christianity engages with the present reality without claiming tools to discern the will of God in history other than to identify

what is holy, good and loving. The faith that God is present and active is not the same thing as claiming to know just where and how.

Even when good work is done in the Church, admittedly, and when Jesus is proclaimed faithfully, success does not always follow. Yet this is a sociological fact as much or more than a theological one. Failure does not indicate that the Spirit was absent or that God was not glorified. There are many faithful Christians now glorifying God as they and their communities journey to extinction, in faith and hope.

It is also worth adding that extinction might not be all the world imagines. Even imminent institutional collapse does not mean the disappearance or vanishing of the Church. History teaches fairly clearly that nothing much actually vanishes, although its forms can change beyond recognition. What would be presumptuous – and which some are quite fond of doing – would be to claim that we know already what will and will not come next.

The City of God

In the fourth century, the Emperor Constantine was converted to Christianity, and the Church rejoiced. However we view this now, after centuries of persecution it was not such a bad thing. Yet there were strings, institutional and theological. One of the theological consequences was the temptation to an early form of prosperity theology. Constantine’s accession was hailed as divine provision, not just for the Church but for Rome which would surely be made great again.

Just a few decades later, in the year 410, Rome was sacked by the Goths. The bright Constantinian day had gone into eclipse; divine favour seemed to have been withdrawn from Rome, despite its newly Christian character, and of course there were those devotees of the old order who saw the catastrophe precisely as induced by the abandonment of tradition. The predictable Christian response was to claim that the calamity of Rome’s sack was only a flesh wound, relative to the inexorable spread of the Gospel and the general progress of peace and justice. If the Church and the world were not yet as one, this was only a matter of time, and the indications were that everything was fine.

Augustine of Hippo, who saw these events unfold, famously took a very different view. While affirming divine sovereignty, Augustine saw the present age – the saeculum of our term ‘secular’ – as opaque to theological interpretation. While events are ultimately meaningful, their meaning can and will only be disclosed eschatologically. In the meantime we must act faithfully. This was a sort of theological agnosticism about the character of history, or at least about the interpretation
of specific events. Augustine thus formulated his famous view of the relationship between the Church and society, or rather between the city of God and the earthly city. These two communities co-exist, and together constitute society and its history, but are not fully distinguishable in the present. Augustine would thus remind us that history itself must not be interpreted through the narrow focus of present experience, but from the perspective of eternity – which is not accessible to us by experience, but of which we are given a vision in Scripture, and for which we are fed by the sacraments.

So success and failure at a given point may not be what they appear. This is the message of Augustine’s City of God, but is also reflected in the very fact that he and other early African Christians are still worth thinking about. Augustine’s own episcopal see of Hippo was being besieged by Vandals at the time of his death, and the whole North African Church which spawned the examples and the thoughts of people like Perpetua and Augustine ultimately disintegrated in the aftermath of the Arab conquests.

We do not, however, interpret their significance to us by pious and proud appeals to the numerical strength of Christianity in Tunisia and Algeria, but rather through reflection on the examples of their courage, the abiding significance of their ideas, and the inspiration of their faith. While deeply culturally and historically specific, their witness continues to inform and interrogate our own constructions of success and failure. They remind Anglicans that God’s presence and purpose are not really the stuff of programmes and statistics, even though these matter. In the last analysis, we are called to be faithful, and some of us may also be blessed with success.