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#### Abstract

The Frobenius-Schur indicators of characters in a real 2-block with dihedral defect groups have been determined by Murray ['Real subpairs and Frobenius-Schur indicators of characters in 2-blocks', J. Algebra 322 (2009), 489-513]. We show that two infinite families described in his work do not exist and we construct examples for the remaining families. We further present some partial results on Frobenius-Schur indicators of characters in other tame blocks.
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## 1. Introduction

Finite groups with dihedral Sylow 2-subgroups were fully classified by Gorenstein and Walter [20-23] (an alternate proof was given by Bender [2, 3]). The principal 2-blocks of such groups were investigated by Brauer [8], Erdmann [15], Landrock [29], and recently Koshitani and Lassueur [28]. As a natural next step, it is desirable to understand arbitrary blocks $B$ of finite groups $G$ with dihedral defect groups $D$ of order $2^{d} \geq 4$. Brauer [9] has shown that the number of irreducible characters in $B$ is $k(B)=2^{d-2}+3$, where four of them have height 0 and the remaining characters have height 1. However, the number of simple modules in $B$ is $l(B)=1,2$ or 3 depending on three different fusion patterns. (If $B$ is the principal block, the fusion patterns are distinguished by the number of conjugacy classes of involutions: there are three, two or only one such class, respectively.) Based on Brauer's computations, Cabanes and Picaronny [10] have constructed perfect isometries between blocks with dihedral defect groups and the same fusion pattern.

[^0]The algebra structure of $B$ was first investigated for solvable groups $G$ by Erdmann and Michler [18], and Koshitani [27]. The general case of arbitrary groups was subsequently studied by Donovan [12] and by Erdmann [16, 17] in the framework of tame algebras. Some of the algebras with two simple modules described by Erdmann were not known to occur as block algebras. For $l(B)=3$, Linckelmann [30] has lifted the perfect isometries constructed by Cabanes and Picaronny to derived equivalences. This applies in particular to Klein four defect groups (that is, $d=2$ ) where one has stronger results by Linckelmann [31] and Craven et al. [11] on the source algebra of $B$ (the case $l(B)=2$ does not occur here). The derived equivalence classes for $l(B)=2$ were later found by Holm [25]. The possible Morita equivalence classes in this situation were restricted by Bleher [4, 5] and Bleher et al. [6] using universal deformation rings. Thereafter, Eisele [14] proved that certain scalars in Erdmann's description of the basic algebra cannot arise for $l(B)=2$. Finally, using the classification of finite simple groups, a complete list of all Morita equivalence classes of blocks with dihedral defect groups was given recently by Macgregor [33].

Some questions on blocks cannot even be answered when the Morita equivalence class is known. For instance, if $B$ contains real characters $\chi \in \operatorname{Ir}(B)$, it is of interest to determine their Frobenius-Schur indicators ( $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{S}$ indicators for short),

$$
\epsilon(\chi):=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi\left(g^{2}\right),
$$

in terms of $D$. Since $\chi\left(g^{2}\right)$ can only be nonzero when the square of the 2-part of $g$ is conjugate to an element in $D$, it is plausible that $\epsilon(\chi)$ actually depends on an extension $E$ of $D$ such that $|E: D|=2$. In fact, Murray [35] has described the F-S indicators when $D$ is a dihedral group using the decomposition matrix and the so-called extended defect group of $B$. It is however not clear which combinations of these ingredients can actually occur. The aim of this note is to eliminate two infinite families of Murray's classification and construct explicit examples for the remaining cases.

THEOREM 1.1. Let B be real block of a finite group $G$ with dihedral defect group $D$ of order $2^{d} \geq 8$ and extended defect group E. Let $\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{4}$ be the F-S indicators of the four irreducible characters of height 0 in $B$. There is a unique family of 2-conjugate characters of height 1 in $\operatorname{Irr}(B)$ of size $2^{d-3}$. Let $\mu$ be the common $F-S$ indicator of those characters. The possible values for $\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{4}, \mu$ are given in Table 1, while the remaining $2^{d-3}-1$ characters (of height 1 ) all have $F-S$ indicator 1 . All cases occur for all d as indicated.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is given in Section 2. In Section 3, we refine one of the conjectures made in [41]. In this context, we present two new general results in Section 4. We apply these results in Section 5 to obtain partial information on the F-S indicators of characters in arbitrary tame blocks. Finally, we determine all F-S indicators in real blocks with homocyclic defect group of type $C_{4} \times C_{4}$.

Table 1. F-S indicators for Theorem 1.1.

| Morita equivalence class | $l(B)$ | E | $\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{4} ; \mu$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $D$ (nilpotent) | 1 | D, $D \times C_{2}$ | 1, 1, 1, 1; 1 |
|  |  | $D * C_{4}$ | 1, 1, 1, 1;-1 |
|  |  | $D_{2^{d+1}}$ | 0, 0, 1, 1; 1 |
|  |  | $S D_{2^{d+1}}$ | 0, 0, 1, 1;-1 |
|  |  | $C_{2^{d-1}} \rtimes C_{2}^{2}, d \geq 4$ | 1, 1, 1, 1; 0 |
| $\operatorname{PGL}(2, q),\|q-1\|_{2}=2^{d-1}$ | 2 | $D, D \times C_{2}$ | 1,1,1,1;1 |
|  |  | $C_{2^{d-1}} \rtimes C_{2}^{2}, d \geq 4$ | 1,1,1,1;0 |
| $\operatorname{PGL}(2, q),\|q+1\|_{2}=2^{d-1}$ | 2 | $D, D \times C_{2}$ | 1, 1, 1, 1; 1 |
| $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q),\|q-1\|_{2}=2^{d}$ | 3 | $D, D \times C_{2}$ | 1,1,1,1;1 |
|  |  | $D_{2^{d+1}}$ | 0, 0, 1, 1; 1 |
|  |  | $S D_{2^{d+1}}$ | 0, 0, 1, 1;-1 |
|  |  | $C_{2^{d-1}} \rtimes C_{2}^{2}, d \geq 4$ | 1,1, 1, 1; 0 |
| $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q),\|q+1\|_{2}=2^{d}$ | 3 | $D, D \times C_{2}$ | 0, 0, 1, 1; 1 |
|  |  | $D_{2^{d+1}}$ | 1, 1, 1, 1; 1 |
| $A_{7}, d=3$ | 3 | $D, D \times C_{2}$ | 1,1,1,1;1 |

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Our notation is fairly standard and follows [41]. We assume a very basic understanding of fusion systems and refer to [32] occasionally. In the following, let $B$ be a 2-block of a finite group $G$. We may assume that $B$ is real, that is, $\operatorname{Irr}(B)$ is invariant under complex conjugation (otherwise all characters in $\operatorname{Irr}(B)$ have $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{S}$ indicator 0 ). Recall that $B$ determines up to conjugation a unique defect pair $(D, E)$ such that $D$ is a defect group and $E$ is an extended defect group of $B$ (see [41, Section 3] for details). We remark that $D \leq E$ and $|E: D| \leq 2$ with equality if and only if $B$ is not the principal block.

Now let $D$ be a dihedral group of order $2^{d} \geq 4$. Then $B$ is nilpotent if and only if $l(B)=1$. For $l(B)>1$ and $d \geq 3$, we have observed that two type (b) cases in [35, Table 2] have no counterparts in [34, Theorems 1.7, 1.8] for $d=2$ (that is, $D$ is a Klein four-group). In fact, the following proposition shows that these two cases in [35, Table 2] do not occur.

Proposition 2.1. Let $B$ be a real 2-block of a finite group $G$ with defect pair $(D, E)$ such that $D \cong D_{2^{d}}$ with $d \geq 3$. If $l(B)>1$, then $E \cong D \times C_{2}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{E}(D)=\mathrm{Z}(D)$.

Proof. Let $b_{D}$ be a Brauer correspondent of $B$ in $D \mathrm{C}_{G}(D)$. Then by [35, Lemma 2.2], we may choose $E$ in such a way that $b_{D}^{E C_{G}(D)}$ is real with defect pair $(D, E)$. In other words, $\left(D, b_{D}, E\right)$ is a Sylow $B$-subtriple in the notation of [35]. Since $B$ is not nilpotent, there exists a so-called essential subgroup $Q \leq D$ in the fusion system $\mathcal{F}$ of $B$. Then $Q$ is a Klein four-group and there exists a unique $B$-subpair $\left(Q, b_{Q}\right) \leq\left(D, b_{D}\right)$ (see [37, Theorem 1]). Moreover, $b_{Q}$ is nilpotent with defect group $\mathrm{C}_{D}(Q)=Q$ (see [1, Theorem
IV.3.19]). Since $Q$ is essential,

$$
\mathrm{N}_{G}\left(Q, b_{D}\right) / \mathrm{C}_{G}(Q) \cong \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) \cong S_{3} .
$$

The block $B_{Q}:=b_{Q}^{\mathrm{N}_{G}\left(Q, b_{Q}\right)}$ has defect group $\mathrm{N}_{D}(Q) \cong D_{8}$ by [1, Theorem IV.3.19]. By [36, Corollary 9.21$], B_{Q}$ is the only block of $\mathrm{N}_{G}\left(Q, b_{Q}\right)$ that covers $b_{Q}$. Since every subgroup of $S_{3}$ has trivial Schur multiplier, each $\psi \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(b_{Q}\right)$ extends to its inertial group. The number of extensions is determined by Gallagher's theorem. If $\psi$ is $\mathrm{N}_{G}\left(Q, b_{Q}\right)$-invariant, $\operatorname{Irr}\left(B_{Q}\right)$ contains three extensions of $\psi$. Since $k\left(B_{Q}\right)=5$, there can be at most one such character $\psi$. If $\mathrm{N}_{G}\left(Q, b_{Q}\right)$ has two orbits of length 2 in $\operatorname{Irr}\left(b_{Q}\right)$, then we would get six characters in $\operatorname{Ir}\left(B_{Q}\right)$. Therefore, the four characters in $\operatorname{Irr}\left(b_{Q}\right)$ distribute into orbits of length 1 and 3 under the action of $\mathrm{N}_{G}\left(Q, b_{Q}\right)$. In particular, $\operatorname{Irr}\left(b_{Q}\right)$ contains (at least) three characters with the same F-S indicator.

The possible extended defect groups $E$ were determined in [35, Proposition 4.1]. Suppose that our claim is false. Then we are in case (b), that is, $E \cong D * C_{4}$ is a central product. By [35, Lemma 2.6], $b_{Q}$ is real and has extended defect group $\mathrm{C}_{E}(Q)=$ $Q * E \cong C_{4} \times C_{2}$. However now, [34, Theorem 1.7] implies that exactly two characters in $\operatorname{Irr}\left(b_{Q}\right)$ have F-S indicator 1. This contradicts the observation above.

To show that the remaining cases in [35, Table 2] occur, we provide a general construction.
Proposition 2.2. Let $H<\hat{H}$ be finite groups such that $|\hat{H}: H|=2$. Let $E$ be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\hat{H}$ and let $D:=E \cap H$. Let $H \times C_{3}<G<\hat{H} \times S_{3}$ such that $H \times S_{3} \neq G \neq \hat{H} \times C_{3}$. Then $G$ has a real 2-block $B$ with defect pair isomorphic to $(D, E)$. Moreover, $B$ is Morita equivalent to the principal block $B_{0}(H)$ of $H$, and $B$ and $B_{0}(H)$ have the same fusion system.

Proof. Note that $B_{0}(H)$ is isomorphic to a (nonreal) block $B_{0}(H) \otimes b$ of $H \times C_{3}$, where $b \cong F$ is a nonprincipal block of $C_{3}$. Clearly, $B_{0}(H)$ and $B_{0}(H) \otimes b$ have the same fusion system. Let

$$
B:=\left(B_{0}(H) \otimes b\right)^{G}
$$

be the Fong-Reynolds correspondent of $B_{0}(H) \otimes b$ in $G$. By [32, Theorem 6.8.3], $B$ is Puig equivalent to $B_{0}(H) \otimes b$ (that is, the blocks have the same source algebra). This implies that $B$ is Morita equivalent to $B_{0}(H)$ and both blocks have the same fusion system (see [32, Theorem 8.7.1]). In particular, $B$ has defect group $D$.

Let $\operatorname{Irr}(b)=\{\theta\}$. Then $\chi:=\left(1_{H} \times \theta\right)^{G} \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)$ is a real character and therefore $B$ is real. Moreover, $B$ is not the principal block of $G$ since otherwise, $B$ cannot cover $B_{0}(H) \otimes b$. Therefore, an extended defect group of $B$ must be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $G$, because $\left|G: H \times C_{3}\right|=2$. Let $e \in E \backslash D$ and $x \in S_{3}$ an involution. Then, $D\langle e x\rangle$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $G$ and

$$
E \rightarrow D\langle e x\rangle, \quad g \mapsto \begin{cases}g & \text { if } g \in D, \\ g x & \text { if } g \notin D\end{cases}
$$

is an isomorphism.

Choosing $(H, \hat{H})=(D, E)$ in Proposition 2.2 shows that there are nilpotent real blocks for every given defect pair $(D, E)$. Similarly, the choice $\hat{H}=H \times C_{2}$ leads to $G=H \times S_{3}$ and a block with extended defect group $E \cong D \times C_{2}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Proposition 2.1 and [35, Table 2], it remains to construct examples for each Morita equivalence class and each defect pair. By the remark above, we may assume that $B$ is not nilpotent. Then by [33, Theorem 2.1], $B$ is Morita equivalent to $B_{0}(H)$, where $H$ is one of the following groups:
(1) $\operatorname{PGL}(2, q)$ with $|q-1|_{2}=2^{d-1}$;
(2) $\operatorname{PGL}(2, q)$ with $|q+1|_{2}=2^{d-1}$;
(3) $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$ with $|q-1|_{2}=2^{d}$;
(4) $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$ with $|q+1|_{2}=2^{d}$;
(5) $A_{7}$ with $d=3$.

Note that for every $d \geq 3$, there exists a prime $q$ congruent to $\pm 1+2^{d}$ modulo $2^{d+1}$ by Dirichlet's theorem. Thus, appropriate groups $H$ exist for every $d$. Moreover, if $q \equiv 1+2^{d}\left(\bmod 2^{d+1}\right)$, then $q^{2} \equiv 1+2^{d+1}\left(\bmod 2^{d+2}\right)$. This will be used later on.

For the cases (2) and (5), Murray [35, Table 2] has shown that only $E \cong D \times C_{2}$ is possible. Here, we take $G=H \times S_{3}$ as explained above. In case (4), we find $E \cong D_{2^{d+1}}$ in [35, Table 2]. Since

$$
H \cong \mathrm{SL}(2, q) \mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{GL}(2, q)) / \mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{GL}(2, q)) \leq \mathrm{PGL}(2, q),
$$

we can take $\hat{H}:=\operatorname{PGL}(2, q)$ with the required properties.
Suppose now that case (1) occurs. By the remark above, we find a prime $p$ such that $q=p^{2} \equiv 1+2^{d}\left(\bmod 2^{d+1}\right)$. Let $\sigma$ be the Frobenius automorphism $\mathbb{F}_{q} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}, x \mapsto x^{p}$. Then the semilinear group $\hat{H}:=H \rtimes\langle\sigma\rangle$ has Sylow 2-subgroup $E \cong C_{2^{d-1}} \rtimes C_{2}^{2}$, where $C_{2}^{2}$ acts faithfully on $C_{2^{d-1}}$. (This is type (e) in [35, Proposition 4.1].)

Next, consider case (3). The choice $\hat{H}:=\operatorname{PGL}(2, q)$ realises $E \cong D_{2^{d+1}}$. We may therefore assume that $q=p^{2}$ as above. Then there exists a subgroup $\hat{H}$ of $\operatorname{PGL}(2, q) \rtimes\langle\sigma\rangle$ of index 2 with semidihedral Sylow 2 -subgroup $E \cong S D_{2^{d+1}}$. (This group is denoted by $\operatorname{PGL}(2, q)^{*}$ in [19].) Finally, let $d \geq 4$. Here, $\hat{H}:=H \rtimes\langle\sigma\rangle$ has Sylow 2-subgroup $E \cong C_{2^{d-1}} \rtimes C_{2}^{2}$ as above.

## 3. A refined conjecture

In [41, Conjecture C], the following conjecture was proposed.
Conjecture 3.1. Let $B$ be a real, nonprincipal 2-block with defect pair $(D, E)$ and a unique projective indecomposable character $\Phi$. Then,

$$
\epsilon(\Phi)=\left|\left\{x \in E \backslash D: x^{2}=1\right\}\right| .
$$

Let $\Phi_{\varphi}$ be the projective indecomposable character attached to some $\varphi \in \operatorname{IBr}(B)$. Murray [34, Lemma 2.6] has shown that $\epsilon\left(\Phi_{\varphi}\right)$ is the multiplicity of $\varphi$ as a constituent
of the permutation character on $\left\{x \in G: x^{2}=1\right\}$ (see Lemma 4.1 for a refinement). I now believe that the conjecture holds orbit-by-orbit as follows.

Conjecture 3.2. Let $B$ be a real, nonprincipal 2-block with defect pair $(D, E)$ and a unique projective indecomposable character $\Phi$. Then, for every involution $x \in G$,

$$
\left[\Phi_{\mathrm{C}_{G}(x)}, 1_{\mathrm{C}_{G}(x)}\right]=\left|x^{G} \cap E \backslash D\right|,
$$

where $x^{G}$ denotes the conjugacy class of $x$ in $G$.
Note that $\left[\Phi_{\mathrm{C}_{G}(x)}, 1_{\mathrm{C}_{G}(x)}\right]=|D|\left[\varphi_{\mathrm{C}_{G}(x)}, 1_{\mathrm{C}_{G}(x)}\right]^{0}$, where $\operatorname{IBr}(B)=\{\varphi\}$ in the situation of Conjecture 3.2.

THEOREM 3.3. If Conjecture 3.2 holds for $B$, then Conjecture 3.1 holds for $B$.
Proof. Let $\Omega:=\left\{x \in G: x^{2}=1\right\}$. Note that the equation in Conjecture 3.2 is also true for $x=1$ since $B$ is not principal. Recall that $\Phi$ vanishes on the elements of even order. By the definition of F-S indicators and Conjecture 3.2,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon(\Phi) & =\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \Phi\left(g^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in \Omega} \sum_{h \in \mathrm{C}_{G}(x)^{0}} \Phi\left(h^{2}\right)=\sum_{x \in \Omega / G}\left[\Phi_{\mathrm{C}_{G}(x)}, 1_{\mathrm{C}_{G}(x)}\right] \\
& =\sum_{x \in \Omega / G}\left|x^{G} \cap E \backslash D\right|=|\Omega \cap E \backslash D|=\left|\left\{x \in E \backslash D: x^{2}=1\right\}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. Two general lemmas

In this section, we prove two new results, which are related to Conjecture 3.1. These will be applied in the subsequent sections.

Recall that a $B$-subsection is a pair $\left(x, b_{x}\right)$, where $x \in D$ and $b_{x}$ is a Brauer correspondent of $B$ in $\mathrm{C}_{G}(x)$. In [41, remark before Theorem 13], we explained that, after conjugation, we may assume that $b_{x}$ has defect pair $\left(\mathrm{C}_{D}(x), \mathrm{C}_{E}(x)\right)$ (if $b_{x}$ is nonreal, then $\left.\mathrm{C}_{D}(x)=\mathrm{C}_{E}(x)\right)$. For $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)$ and $\varphi \in \operatorname{IBr}\left(b_{x}\right)$, we denote the corresponding generalised decomposition number by $d_{\chi \varphi}^{x}$. In analogy to principal indecomposable modules, we set $\Phi_{\varphi}^{x}:=\sum_{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)} d_{\chi \varphi}^{x} \chi$.

The following result generalises [34, Lemma 2.6].
Lemma 4.1. Let $B$ be a real 2-block with defect pair $(D, E)$ and subsection $(x, b)$. Let $\pi$ be the Brauer permutation character of the conjugation action of $\mathrm{C}_{G}(x)$ on $\Omega_{x}:=\left\{y \in G: y^{2}=x\right\}$. Then the multiplicity of $\varphi \in \operatorname{IBr}(b)$ as a constituent of $\pi$ is

$$
\epsilon\left(\Phi_{\varphi}^{x}\right)=\sum_{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)} \epsilon(\chi) d_{\chi \varphi}^{x} .
$$

In particular, $\epsilon\left(\Phi_{\varphi}^{x}\right)$ is a nonnegative integer. If there is no $e \in E \backslash D$ such that $e^{2}=x$, then $\epsilon\left(\Phi_{\varphi}^{x}\right)=0$.

Proof. By Brauer's formula [8, Theorem 4A] (see also [41, Lemma 2]),

$$
\epsilon\left(\Phi_{\varphi}^{x}\right)=\sum_{\psi \in \operatorname{Irr}(b)} \epsilon(\psi) d_{\psi \varphi}^{x} .
$$

Since $\Omega_{x}=\left\{y \in \mathrm{C}_{G}(x): y^{2}=x\right\}$, we may assume that $x \in \mathrm{Z}(G)$ and $B=b$. By Brauer's second main theorem, the claim only depends on $\varphi$, and not on $B$. For $g \in G^{0}$, we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\varphi \in \operatorname{IBr}(G)} \epsilon\left(\Phi_{\varphi}^{x}\right) \varphi(g) & =\sum_{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)} \epsilon(\chi) \sum_{\varphi \in \operatorname{Br}(G)} d_{\chi \varphi}^{x} \varphi(g)=\sum_{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)} \epsilon(\chi) \chi(x g) \\
& =\left|\left\{y \in G: y^{2}=x g\right\}\right|=\left|\left\{y \in \mathrm{C}_{G}(g): y^{2}=x g\right\}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

[26, page 49]. Since $g$ has odd order, there exists a unique power $\sqrt{g}$ of $g$ such that $\sqrt{g}^{2}=g$. It is easy to check that the map $\left\{y \in \mathrm{C}_{G}(g): y^{2}=x\right\} \rightarrow\left\{y \in \mathrm{C}_{G}(g): y^{2}=x g\right\}$, $y \mapsto y \sqrt{g}$ is a bijection. Hence,

$$
\sum_{\varphi \in \operatorname{IBr}(G)} \epsilon\left(\Phi_{\varphi}^{x}\right) \varphi(g)=\left|\left\{y \in \mathrm{C}_{G}(g): y^{2}=x\right\}\right|=\left|\mathrm{C}_{G}(g) \cap \Omega_{x}\right|=\pi(g)
$$

for all $g \in G^{0}$. Therefore, $\epsilon\left(\Phi_{\varphi}^{x}\right)$ is the multiplicity of $\varphi$ in $\pi$.
Now assume that there is no $e \in E \backslash D$ such that $e^{2}=x$. Then [35, Lemma 1.3] implies

$$
0=\sum_{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)} \epsilon(\chi) \chi(x)=\sum_{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)} \epsilon(\chi) \sum_{\varphi \in \operatorname{IBr}(b)} d_{\chi \varphi}^{x} \varphi(1)=\sum_{\varphi \in \operatorname{IBr}(b)} \epsilon\left(\Phi_{\varphi}^{x}\right) \varphi(1),
$$

and the second claim follows.
Our second lemma generalises [41, Theorem 10].
Proposition 4.2. Let $B$ be a real, nonprincipal 2-block with defect pair $(D, E)$. Let $(x, b)$ be a $B$-subsection such that $b$ is nilpotent with defect pair $\left(\mathrm{C}_{D}(x), \mathrm{C}_{E}(x)\right)$, where $\mathrm{C}_{D}(x)$ is abelian. Then $\epsilon\left(\Phi_{\varphi}^{x}\right)=\left|\left\{e \in E \backslash D: e^{2}=x\right\}\right|$, where $\operatorname{IBr}(b)=\{\varphi\}$.

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 4.1, we may apply Brauer's formula. Since $b$ has defect pair $\left(\mathrm{C}_{D}(x), \mathrm{C}_{E}(x)\right)$ and

$$
\left\{e \in E \backslash D: e^{2}=x\right\}=\left\{e \in \mathrm{C}_{E}(x) \backslash \mathrm{C}_{D}(x): e^{2}=x\right\}
$$

we may assume that $x \in \mathrm{Z}(G)$ and $B=b$. Now $D$ is abelian and Conjecture 3.1 holds for $B$ by [41, Theorem 10]. Since every Brauer correspondent $\beta$ of $B$ in a section of $G$ is nilpotent with abelian defect groups, Conjecture 3.1 also holds for $\beta$. The claim follows from [41, Theorem 13].

In the situation of Proposition 4.2, it is tempting to formalise a local version of Conjecture 3.2: for every $y \in G$ with $y^{2}=x$,

$$
\left[\Phi_{\mathrm{C}_{G}(y)}, 1_{\mathrm{C}_{G}(y)}\right]=\left|y^{\mathrm{C}_{G}(x)} \cap E \backslash D\right|
$$

(note that $\mathrm{C}_{G}(y) \subseteq \mathrm{C}_{G}(x)$ ). We did not find any counterexamples to this equation.

## 5. Tame blocks

By Murray [34, Theorems 1.7 and 1.8], the F-S indicators of blocks with Klein four defect group are known. As in the proof of Theorem 1.1, one can show that all cases listed there occur. It is tempting to do a similar analysis for other tame blocks, that is, 2-blocks with quaternion or semidihedral defect groups. In this section, we gather some partial results along these lines.

Proposition 5.1. Let B be a real tame block of a finite group with defect at least 3. Then $B$ has two or four real irreducible characters of height 0 and they all have F-S indicator 1.

Proof. Let $(D, E)$ be a defect pair of $B$. It is well known that $B$ has exactly four irreducible characters of height 0 (see [38, Theorem 8.1]). By [24, Theorem 5.1], at least one such character has F-S indicator 1. Since nonreal characters come in pairs of the same degree, $B$ has two or four real irreducible characters of height 0 .

To prove the second claim, it suffices to show that $D / D^{\prime}$ has a complement in $E / D^{\prime}$ by [24, Theorem 5.6]. Since $D / D^{\prime} \cong C_{2}^{2}$, we may assume that $E / D^{\prime} \cong C_{4} \times C_{2}$ by way of contradiction. In particular, $\left|E: E^{\prime}\right| \geq 8$. A theorem of Alperin-Feit-Thompson asserts that the number of involutions in $E$ is congruent to 3 modulo 4 (see [26, Theorem 4.9]). By the remark after [26, Theorem 4.9], the number of involutions in $D$ is congruent to 1 modulo 4 . Hence, there exists an involution $x \in E \backslash D$. However, then $\langle x\rangle D^{\prime} / D^{\prime}$ is a complement of $D / D^{\prime}$ in $E / D^{\prime}$, which gives a contradiction.

A nonprincipal block of $G=\left(C_{3} \rtimes C_{4}\right) \times C_{2}$ shows that Proposition 5.1 fails for tame blocks of defect 2 (that is, blocks with Klein four defect group).

We now apply Proposition 4.2 to a concrete example.

Proposition 5.2. Let $B$ be a block with defect pair $(D, E)$, where $D \cong Q_{8}$. Then $B$ has exactly two real irreducible characters of height 0 if and only if one of the following holds:
(1) $l(B)=1$ and $E \in\left\{Q_{16}, S D_{16}\right\}$;
(2) $B$ is Morita equivalent to the principal block of $\operatorname{SL}(2,3)$ and $E \notin\left\{Q_{16}, S D_{16}\right\}$;
(3) $B$ is Morita equivalent to the principal block of $\operatorname{SL}(2,5)$ and $E \in\left\{Q_{16}, S D_{16}\right\}$.

Proof. Let $\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{4}$ be the F-S indicators of the height 0 characters $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{4} \in$ $\operatorname{Irr}(B)$. We may choose a $B$-subsection $(x, b)$ such that $|\langle x\rangle|=4$ and $b$ has defect pair $\left(\langle x\rangle, \mathrm{C}_{E}(x)\right)$. Clearly, $b$ is nilpotent with abelian defect group $\langle x\rangle$. Let $\operatorname{IBr}(b)=\left\{\varphi_{x}\right\}$. By the orthogonality relations of generalised decomposition numbers (see [38, Theorem 1.14]), we have $d_{\lambda_{i}, \varphi_{x}}^{x}= \pm 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq 4$ and $d_{\chi, \varphi_{x}}^{x}=0$ for $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(B) \backslash\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{4}\right\}$. These numbers depend on the ordinary decomposition matrix of $B$.

Case 1: $l(B)=1$.
Here, $B$ is nilpotent with decomposition matrix $(1,1,1,1,2)^{\mathrm{t}}$. We may choose our labelling in such a way that $\left(d_{\lambda_{1}, \varphi_{x}}^{x}, \ldots, d_{\lambda_{4}, \varphi_{x}}^{x}\right)=(1,1,-1,-1)$. Similarly, there
are elements $y, x y \in D$ of order 4 such that $\left(d_{\lambda_{1}, \varphi_{y}}^{y}, \ldots, d_{\lambda_{4}, \varphi_{y}}^{y}\right)=(1,-1,1,-1)$ and $\left(d_{\lambda_{1}, \varphi_{x y}}^{x y}, \ldots, d_{\lambda_{4}, \varphi_{x y}}^{x y}\right)=(1,-1,-1,1)$ with appropriate labelling. If there exists no $e \in E \backslash D$ such that $e^{2} \in\{x, y, x y\}$, then $\left(\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{4}\right)=(1,1,1,1)$ by Propositions 4.2 and 5.1. Now suppose that $e^{2}=x$ for some $e \in E \backslash D$. Then $e$ has order 8 and it follows easily that $E \cong\left\{Q_{16}, S D_{16}\right\}$. In both cases, we have $\left|\left\{e \in E \backslash D: e^{2}=x\right\}\right|=2$ and $\left(\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{4}\right)=(1,1,0,0)$ by Propositions 4.2 and 5.1.

Now suppose that $l(B)>1$. By Macgregor [33, Corollary 2.4], there are two cases to consider.

Case 2: $B$ is Morita equivalent to the principal block of $\operatorname{SL}(2,3)$.
Here, $l(B)=3$ and $B$ has decomposition matrix

$$
Q:=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & . & . \\
. & 1 & . \\
. & . & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & . \\
1 & . & 1 \\
. & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

We see that $\lambda_{4}$ is real and $\epsilon_{4}=1$. The orthogonality relations imply that $\left(d_{\lambda_{1}, \varphi_{x}}^{x}, \ldots, d_{\lambda_{4}, \varphi_{x}}^{x}\right)= \pm(1,1,1,-1)$. If there exists $e \in E \backslash D$ with $e^{2}=x$ (that is, $\left.E \in\left\{Q_{16}, S D_{16}\right\}\right)$, then $\left(\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{4}\right)=(1,1,1,1)$ and otherwise $\left(\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{4}\right)=(0,0,1,1)$ by Propositions 4.2 and 5.1 (after relabelling if necessary).

Case 3: $B$ is Morita equivalent to the principal block of $\operatorname{SL}(2,5)$.
Here, $B$ has decomposition matrix

$$
Q:=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & . & . \\
1 & 1 & . \\
1 & . & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
. & 1 & . \\
. & . & 1 \\
2 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

It follows that $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{4}$ are real and $\left(d_{\lambda_{1}, \varphi_{x}}^{x}, \ldots, d_{\lambda_{4}, \varphi_{x}}^{x}\right)= \pm(1,-1,-1,1)$. If $E \in\left\{Q_{16}, S D_{16}\right\}$, then $\left(\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{4}\right)=(1,0,0,1)$, and $\left(\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{4}\right)=(1,1,1,1)$ otherwise.

To compute the remaining F-S indicators in the situation of Proposition 5.2, we restrict ourselves further to $E \in\left\{Q_{16}, S D_{16}\right\}$.

Proposition 5.3. Let $B$ be a real block of a finite group $G$ with defect pair $(D, E)$ such that $D \cong Q_{8}$ and $E \cong\left\{Q_{16}, S D_{16}\right\}$. Then the $F$-S indicators of characters in $\operatorname{Irr}(B)$ are given in Table 2, where the first four characters have height 0 . Moreover, all cases occur.
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TAbLE 2. Some F-S indicators for $D \cong Q_{8}$.

| $E$ | Morita equivalence class | $l(B)$ | F-S indicators |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $Q_{16}$ | $D$ | 1 | $0,0,1,1 ;-1$ |
|  | SL $(2,3)$ | 3 | $1,1,1,1 ;-1,-1,-1$ |
|  | SL $(2,5)$ | 3 | $0,0,1,1 ; 0,0,-1$ |
| $S D_{16}$ | $D$ | 1 | $0,0,1,1 ; 1$ |
|  | SL $(2,3)$ | 3 | $1,1,1,1 ; 1,1,1$ |
|  | SL $(2,5)$ | 3 | $0,0,1,1 ; 0,0,1$ |

Proof. We reuse the notation from the proof of Proposition 5.2.
Case 1: $l(B)=1$.
By Proposition 5.2, $\left(\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{4}\right)=(1,1,0,0)$. Let $\operatorname{Irr}(B)=\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{4}, \psi\right\}$ and $\operatorname{IBr}(B)=\{\varphi\}$. Then,

$$
2+2 \mu=\epsilon_{1} d_{\lambda_{1}, \varphi}+\cdots+\epsilon_{4} d_{\lambda_{4}, \varphi}+\mu d_{\psi, \varphi} \geq 0
$$

with equality if and only if $E \cong Q_{16}$ by [35, Lemma 1.3]. Hence, $\mu=-1$ if $E \cong Q_{16}$ and $\mu=1$ if $E \cong S D_{16}$. Examples for both cases can be constructed by the remark after Proposition 2.2. The groups are $\operatorname{Small} \operatorname{Group}(48,18)$ for $E \cong Q_{16}$ and $\operatorname{SmallGroup}(48,17)$ for $E \cong S D_{16}$ in the small groups library [42].

Now let $l(B)=3$ and $\operatorname{IBr}(B)=\left\{\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \varphi_{3}\right\}$. Let $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \psi_{3} \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)$ be the characters of height 1. Let $\mu_{i}:=\epsilon\left(\psi_{i}\right)$ for $i=1,2,3$.

Case 2: $B$ is Morita equivalent to the principal block of $\operatorname{SL}(2,3)$.
By Proposition 5.2, $\left(\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{4}\right)=(1,1,1,1)$. Assume first that $E \cong Q_{16}$. Then Lemma 4.1 implies

$$
d_{\lambda_{1}, \varphi_{i}}+\cdots+d_{\lambda_{4}, \varphi_{i}}+\mu_{1} d_{\psi_{1}, \varphi_{i}}+\mu_{2} d_{\psi_{2}, \varphi_{i}}+\mu_{3} d_{\psi_{3}, \varphi_{i}} \geq 0
$$

for $i=1,2,3$. The shape of the decomposition matrix of $B$ yields $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=\mu_{3}=-1$ as claimed. For the purpose of constructing an infinite family of examples, let $q$ be an odd prime and $H:=\operatorname{SL}(2, q)$. Let $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}^{\times}$of order $2(q-1)$. Then,

$$
\hat{H}:=H\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\zeta & 0 \\
0 & \zeta^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\right\rangle \leq \operatorname{SL}\left(2, q^{2}\right)
$$

is a nonsplit extension with Sylow 2-subgroup $E \cong Q_{2^{d+1}}$. Thus, we can apply Proposition 2.2 to the pair $(H, \hat{H})$. For $q=3$, we end up with the (unique) nonprincipal block of $G=\operatorname{Small} \operatorname{Group}(144,124)$.

Now assume that $E \cong S D_{16}$. Here, we need to investigate the generalised decomposition matrix $Q^{z}$ with respect to a $B$-subsection $\left(z, b_{z}\right)$, where $\mathrm{Z}(D)=\langle z\rangle$. The columns of $Q^{z}$ lie in the orthogonal complement of the $\mathbb{Z}$-module spanned by the columns of
the ordinary decomposition matrix and the column $d^{\circ}{ }_{\cdot, \varphi_{x}}^{x}$. It is easy to find a basis of this $\mathbb{Z}$-module. Therefore, there exists an integral matrix $S \in \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{Q})$ such that

$$
Q^{z}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & . & \cdot \\
\cdot & 1 & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
-1 & -1 & \cdot \\
-1 & \cdot & -1 \\
. & -1 & -1
\end{array}\right) S
$$

By Lemma 4.1, $\left(\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{4}, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{3}\right) Q^{z}=(0,0,0)$. After we multiply both sides with $S^{-1}$, we get $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=\mu_{3}=1$ as desired. To construct examples, let $H:=\operatorname{SL}(2, q)$ for an odd prime $q$. Let $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}=\langle\zeta\rangle$. The conjugation with $\left(\begin{array}{c}0 \\ 1 \\ \zeta\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{GL}(2, q)$ induces an automorphism $\alpha$ on $H$ of order 2. Then $\hat{H}:=H \rtimes\langle\alpha\rangle$ has Sylow 2-subgroup $E \cong S D_{2^{d+1}}$, so we can apply Proposition 2.2. For $q=3$, this gives the nonprincipal block of $G=\operatorname{Small} \operatorname{Group}(144,125)$.

Case 3: $B$ is Morita equivalent to the principal block of $\operatorname{SL}(2,5)$.
Here we have $\left(\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{4}\right)=(1,0,0,1)$ with the labelling of the proof of Proposition 5.2. The decomposition matrix shows further that $\varphi_{2}=\overline{\varphi_{3}}$ and therefore $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=0$. As before, we have

$$
\left(1+\mu_{3}\right)\left(2 \varphi_{1}(1)+\varphi_{2}(1)+\varphi_{3}(1)\right)=\lambda_{1}(1)+\lambda_{4}(1)+\mu_{3} \psi_{3}(1) \geq 0
$$

with equality if and only if $E \cong Q_{16}$. Hence, $\mu_{3}=-1$ if $E \cong Q_{16}$ and $\mu_{3}=1$ if $E \cong S D_{16}$. The former case occurs for a nonprincipal block of $G=\operatorname{Small} \operatorname{Group}(720,414)$ and the latter for a nonprincipal block of $G=\operatorname{Small} \operatorname{Group}(720,415)$ (same construction as in Case 2 with $q=5$ ).

If $E \notin\left\{Q_{16}, S D_{16}\right\}$, then one can show that $E \in\left\{D, D \times C_{2}, D * C_{4}\right\}$. It is possible to obtain some further information in these cases, but ultimately, we do not know the F-S indicator $\mu$ of the unique (real) character of height 1 when $l(B)=1$ and $E \cong D \times C_{2}$. Conjecture 3.1 would imply that $\mu=-1$.

## 6. Homocyclic defect groups

Since tame blocks have metacyclic defect groups, it is reasonable to look at other classes of 2-blocks with metacyclic defect groups. The corresponding Morita equivalence classes have been determined in [13, Theorem 1.1] (combined with [38, Theorem 8.1]). The only nonnilpotent wild blocks $B$ occur for $D \cong C_{2^{n}}^{2}$, where $n \geq 2$. In this case, $B$ is Morita equivalent to $F\left[D \rtimes C_{3}\right]$. In particular, the Morita equivalence class is uniquely determined by $l(B)$. We determine the F-S indicators in the special case $n=2$. Again, these numbers only depend on the extended defect group.

TABLE 3. F-S indicators for $D \cong C_{4}^{2}$.

| $l(B)$ | id | F-S indicators |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $D, 21\left(D \times C_{2}\right), 24,33$ | $1,1,1,1 ; 0^{12}$ |
|  | $3\left(C_{8} \times C_{4}\right), 4\left(C_{8} \rtimes C_{4}\right)$ | $1,1,-1,-1 ; 0^{12}$ |
|  | $25\left(D_{8} \times C_{4}\right), 31$ | $1,1,1,1 ; 1,1,1,1,0^{8}$ |
|  | $26\left(Q_{8} \times C_{4}\right), 32$ | $1,1,1,1 ;-1,-1,-1,-1,0^{8}$ |
|  | $12\left(C_{4} \rtimes C_{8}\right)$ | $1,1,-1,-1 ; 1,1,-1,-1,0^{8}$ |
|  | $35\left(C_{4} \rtimes Q_{8}\right)$ | $1,1,1,1 ; 1,1,1,1,(-1)^{8}$ |
|  | $11\left(C_{4} \backslash C_{2}\right)$ | $1,1,0,0 ; 1,1,0^{10}$ |
|  | 34 | $1^{16}$ |
| 3 | $D, 21\left(D \times C_{2}\right), 33$ | $1,0,0,1 ; 0,0,0,0$ |
|  | $11\left(C_{4} \curlyvee C_{2}\right)$ | $1,1,1,1 ; 0,0,1,1$ |
|  | 34 | $1,0,0,1 ; 1,1,1,1$ |

THEOREM 6.1. Let $B$ be a real 2-block with defect pair $(D, E)$ such that $D \cong C_{4}^{2}$, $E=D$ or $E \cong \operatorname{Small} \operatorname{Group}(32, \mathrm{id})$. Then $(k(B), l(B)) \in\{(16,1),(8,3)\}$ and exactly four characters in $\operatorname{Irr}(B)$ are 2-rational. The F-S indicators of characters in $\operatorname{Irr}(B)$ are given in Table 3, where the first four characters are 2-rational. If $l(B)=3$, then the first three characters are irreducible modulo 2 . All cases occur.

Proof. Let $l(B)=1$. Then $B$ is nilpotent and the generalised decomposition matrix of $B$ coincides with the character table of $D$. This shows that $k(B)=16$ and exactly four characters are 2-rational. The possible groups $E$ can be computed with GAP and examples can be found among the groups of order 96 as in Proposition 2.2. If $B$ is the principal block (that is, $E=D$ ), then $\operatorname{Irr}(B)=\operatorname{Irr}\left(G / \mathrm{O}_{2^{\prime}}(G)\right)=\operatorname{Irr}(D)$. In this case, the claim is easy to check. Otherwise, the F-S indicators are determined by [41, Theorem 10]. We note that the embedding of $D$ in $E$ is not always unique, but the F-S indicators are independent of this embedding (in our situation).

Now let $l(B)=3$. Suppose first that $B$ is the principal block. By a result of Brauer [7, Theorem 1], we have $\operatorname{Irr}(B)=\operatorname{Irr}\left(G / \mathrm{O}_{2^{\prime}}(G)\right)=\operatorname{Irr}\left(D \rtimes C_{3}\right)$. The F-S indicators can be computed easily here. Now let $E \neq D$. We argue as in Proposition 2.1 to exclude most candidates for $E$. Let $\left(D, b_{D}\right)$ be a fixed Brauer pair. By [41, Proposition 8(i)], the extended stabiliser has the form $\mathrm{N}_{G}\left(D, b_{D}\right)^{*}=E \mathrm{~N}_{G}\left(D, b_{D}\right)$. It can be checked that $\mathrm{N}_{G}\left(D, b_{D}\right) / \mathrm{C}_{G}(D)$ is isomorphic to a Sylow 3-subgroup $S$ of $\operatorname{Aut}(D)$. Moreover, the normaliser of $S$ in $\operatorname{Aut}(S)$ has three conjugacy classes of involutions. Hence, there are at most four possible actions of $E$ on $D$ (including the trivial action). This excludes the cases id $\in\{4,12,24,25,26,31,32\}$. Now let id $=3$, that is, $E \cong C_{8} \times C_{4}$. Then $b_{D}$ is real and nilpotent. By the first part of the proof, $b_{D}$ has exactly 12 nonreal characters. Under the action of $\mathrm{N}_{G}\left(D, b_{D}\right)$, the 16 characters in $\operatorname{Irr}\left(b_{D}\right)$ distribute into five orbits of length 3 and one orbit of length 1 . In particular, the number of nonreal characters in $\operatorname{Irr}\left(b_{D}\right)$ cannot be 12 , which gives a contradiction.

Next assume that id $=35$. Here, $E$ acts on $D$ by inverting its elements. In particular, $E$ centralises $D_{1}:=\left\langle x^{2}: x \in D\right\rangle \cong C_{2}^{2}$. Fix a $B$-subpair $\left(D_{1}, b_{1}\right)$. Then $b_{1}$ has defect pair $\left(\mathrm{C}_{D}\left(D_{1}\right), \mathrm{C}_{E}\left(D_{1}\right)\right)=(D, E)$. In particular, $b_{1}$ is real. Since $S$ does not centralise $D_{1}, b_{1}$ is nilpotent. By the first part of the proof, $b_{1}$ has exactly eight characters with F-S indicator -1 . However, this leads to a contradiction by considering the action of $\mathrm{N}_{G}\left(D, b_{D}\right)$ in $\operatorname{Irr}\left(b_{1}\right)$ as above. This leaves the cases id $\in\{11,21,33,34\}$. In all of those, $E$ splits over $D$. Hence, all F-S indicators are nonnegative by [24, Theorem 5.6].

By [13], the decomposition matrix of $B$ is

$$
Q:=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Up to conjugation, there are five nontrivial $B$-subsections $\left(x, b_{x}\right),\left(y, b_{y}\right),\left(y^{-1}, b_{y}\right)$, $\left(z, b_{z}\right)$ and $\left(z^{-1}, b_{z}\right)$, where $x$ is an involution and $y, z$ have order 4 . Thus, the complex conjugation fixes exactly four columns of the generalised decomposition matrix $\hat{Q}$. By Brauer's permutation lemma, there are exactly four 2-rational characters. We stress that the 2-conjugate characters can still be real since $y$ might be conjugate to $y^{-1}$ via an element not fixing $b_{y}$. By the shape of $Q$, we may assume that the first four characters are 2-rational. By [24, Theorem 5.3], two or four of them have F-S indicator 1.

By [39, Theorem 15], $B$ is isotypic to the principal block of $H:=D \rtimes C_{3}$. This implies via [40, Proposition 7.3] that $\hat{Q}$ coincides up to basic sets with the generalised decomposition matrix of $H$. However, since $l\left(b_{x}\right)=l\left(b_{y}\right)=l\left(b_{z}\right)=1$, the columns of $\hat{Q}$ corresponding to $x, y, z$ are uniquely determined up to signs. Using the orthogonality relations, the signs can be chosen such that

$$
\hat{Q}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & \epsilon_{x} & \epsilon_{y} & \epsilon_{y} & \epsilon_{z} & \epsilon_{z} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \epsilon_{x} & \epsilon_{y} & \epsilon_{y} & \epsilon_{z} & \epsilon_{z} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \epsilon_{x} & \epsilon_{y} & \epsilon_{y} & \epsilon_{z} & \epsilon_{z} \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 3 \epsilon_{x} & -\epsilon_{y} & -\epsilon_{y} & -\epsilon_{z} & -\epsilon_{z} \\
1 & 1 & 1 & -\epsilon_{x} & (-1+2 i) \epsilon_{y} & (-1-2 i) \epsilon_{y} & \epsilon_{z} & \epsilon_{z} \\
1 & 1 & 1 & -\epsilon_{x} & (-1-2 i) \epsilon_{y} & (-1+2 i) \epsilon_{z} & \epsilon_{z} & \epsilon_{z} \\
1 & 1 & 1 & -\epsilon_{x} & \epsilon_{y} & \epsilon_{y} & (-1+2 i) \epsilon_{z} & (-1-2 i) \epsilon_{z} \\
1 & 1 & 1 & -\epsilon_{x} & \epsilon_{y} & \epsilon_{y} & (-1-2 i) \epsilon_{z} & (-1+2 i) \epsilon_{z}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $\epsilon_{x}, \epsilon_{y}, \epsilon_{z} \in\{ \pm 1\}$ and $i=\sqrt{-1}$. Since $b_{x}, b_{y}$ and $b_{z}$ are nilpotent with abelian defect group, we can apply Proposition 4.2 for each $E$. This gives a linear system on the vector of F-S indicators. We have checked by computer that there is a unique solution (up to permuting the first three characters). Examples are given by $G=\operatorname{Small} \operatorname{Group}(288, a)$, where $a=67,407,406,405$ for id $=11,21,33,34$, respectively.

It might be possible to conduct a similar analysis for defect groups $D \cong C_{2^{n}}^{2}$ with arbitrary $n \geq 2$. However, for $n=3$, there are already 27 extended defect groups to consider.
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