

# An 84- $\mu$ G Magnetic Field in a Galaxy at $Z=0.692$ ?

Arthur M. Wolfe<sup>1</sup>, Regina A. Jorgenson<sup>1</sup>, Timothy Robishaw<sup>2</sup>,  
Carl Heiles<sup>2</sup>, and Jason X. Prochaska<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dept. of Physics and Center for Astrophysics and Space Sciences  
University of California, San Diego  
La Jolla, CA 92093-0424, USA  
email: awolfe@ucsd.edu, regina@physics.ucsd.edu

<sup>2</sup>Astronomy Department, University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720-3411, USA  
email: robishaw@astro.berkeley.edu, heiles@astro.berkeley.edu

<sup>3</sup>UCO-Lick Observatory, University of California, Santa Cruz  
Santa Cruz, CA 95464, USA  
email: xavier@ucolick.org

## 1. Abstract

The magnetic field pervading our Galaxy is a crucial constituent of the interstellar medium: it mediates the dynamics of interstellar clouds, the energy density of cosmic rays, and the formation of stars (Beck 2005). The field associated with *ionized* interstellar gas has been determined through observations of pulsars in our Galaxy. Radio-frequency measurements of pulse dispersion and the rotation of the plane of linear polarization, i.e., Faraday rotation, yield an average value  $B \approx 3 \mu\text{G}$  (Han *et al.* 2006). The possible detection of Faraday rotation of linearly polarized photons emitted by high-redshift quasars (Kronberg *et al.* 2008) suggests similar magnetic fields are present in foreground galaxies with redshifts  $z > 1$ . As Faraday rotation alone, however, determines neither the magnitude nor the redshift of the magnetic field, the strength of galactic magnetic fields at redshifts  $z > 0$  remains uncertain.

Here we report a measurement of a magnetic field of  $B \approx 84 \mu\text{G}$  in a galaxy at  $z = 0.692$ , using the same Zeeman-splitting technique that revealed an average value of  $B = 6 \mu\text{G}$  in the *neutral* interstellar gas of our Galaxy (Heiles *et al.* 2004). This is unexpected, as the leading theory of magnetic field generation, the mean-field dynamo model, predicts large-scale magnetic fields to be weaker in the past, rather than stronger (Parker 1970).

The full text of this paper was published in *Nature* (Wolfe *et al.* 2008).

## References

- Beck, R. 2005, *Lect. Notes. Phys.* 664, 41  
Han, J. L., Manchester, R. N., Lyne, A. G., Qiao, G. J., & van Straten, W. 2006, *ApJ* 642, 868  
Heiles, C. & Troland, T. H. 2004, *ApJ Sup* 151, 271  
Kronberg, P. P., Bernet, M. L., Miniati, F., Lilly, S. J., Short, M. B., & Higdson, D. M. 2008, *ApJ* 676, 70  
Parker, E. 1970, *ApJ* 160, 383  
Wolfe, A. M., Jorgenson, R. A., Robishaw, T., Heiles, C., & Prochaska, J.X. 2008 *Nature* 455, 638



Birgitta Nordström, Jan Palouš and Hans Zinnecker at Carlsberg.  
Ole Strömgren and Aage Bohr in the background.

Photo: Bruce Elmegreen.



Marija Vlajic emphasising a point during her lecture.