ON THE SPECTRUM OF STEIN QUASIGROUPS

F.E. BENNETT AND N.S. MENDELSOHN

In this paper we investigate the spectrum of a variety of quasi-
groups satisfying the 2-variable identity $x(xy) = yx$, called
Stein quasigroups. Stein quasigroups are known to be self-
orthogonal and have been given a considerable amount of attention
because of this property. It is known that there are no Stein
quasigroups of order 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12, 14. The object of
this paper is to show that for all but 36 values of $n \geq 15$
there exists a Stein quasigroup of order $n$. In particular, the
spectrum of Stein quasigroups contains all $n \geq 191$.

1. Introduction

The problem of determining the spectrum of quasigroups satisfying the
identity $x(xy) = yx$ was raised by Stein in [14]. Stein [14] and
Mendelsohn [8] used Galois fields to obtain such quasigroups of order
$k^m$, where the square-free part of $m$ does not contain any prime
$p \equiv 2 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{5}$. In [15], Stein used balanced incomplete block
designs (see Hanani [5]) to construct quasigroups of orders $12k + 1$, $12k + 4$, $20k + 1$, $20k + 5$. Lindner [7] further enlarged the spectrum
by using the singular direct product of Sade [13]. More recently, Pelling
and Rogers [10] used pairwise balanced designs in conjunction with the
singular direct product to show that Stein systems exist for all orders
$n \geq 1042$. In [11], they also showed that orders 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12,
14 are impossible and investigated certain varieties of Stein systems.

In this paper, we further enlarge the spectrum of Stein systems.
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studied in [10], [11]. It is shown that for all but 36 values of \( n \geq 15 \) there exists a Stein system of order \( n \). In particular, Stein systems exist for all orders \( n \geq 191 \). Our method of construction is similar to that employed in [10]. However, much credit is owed to Brouwer, whose constructions in [2] helped to establish the main lemmas of Section 3.

2. Preliminaries

The following information on designs will be quite useful in most of our constructions and the reader is referred to Hanani [5].

DEFINITION 2.1. Let \( K \) be a set of positive integers. A pairwise balanced design of index unity \( B(K, 1; v) \) is a pair \((X, B)\), where \( X \) is a \( v \)-set (of points) and \( B \) is a collection of subsets of \( X \) (called blocks) with sizes in \( K \) such that every pair of distinct points of \( X \) is contained in exactly one block of \( B \). \(|X|\) is called the order of the pairwise balanced design.

DEFINITION 2.2. Let \( K \) and \( M \) be sets of positive integers. A group divisible design \( GD(K, 1, M; v) \) is a triple \((X, G, B)\), where

(i) \( X \) is a \( v \)-set (of points),

(ii) \( G \) is a collection of non-empty subsets of \( X \) (called groups) with sizes in \( M \) and which partition \( X \),

(iii) \( B \) is a collection of subsets of \( X \) (called blocks), each with size at least two in \( K \),

(iv) no block meets a group in more than one point, and

(v) each pairset \( \{x, y\} \) of points not contained in a group is contained in exactly one block.

If all groups \( g \in G \) have size \(|g| \geq 2\), then a group divisible design \((X, G, B)\) is a pairwise balanced design \((X, G \cup B)\) in which a collection of blocks which partition \( X \) has been distinguished. We shall write \( B(k, 1; v) \) for \( B(\{k\}, 1; v) \) and similarly, \( GD(k, 1, m; v) \) for \( GD(\{k\}, 1, \{m\}; v) \). Note that a star appearing on an element of \( K \) (or \( M \)) means that there is exactly one block (or group) of that size in the pairwise balanced design (or group divisible design). We observe that a pairwise balanced design \( B(k, 1; v) \) is a balanced incomplete block design with parameters \( v, k \), and \( \lambda = 1 \).
DEFINITION 2.3. A transversal design $T(k, 1; m)$ is a group divisible design $GD(k, 1; m; km)$, where each block is a transversal of the collection of groups.

DEFINITION 2.4. Let $(X, B)$ be a pairwise balanced design $B(K, 1; v)$. A parallel class in $(X, B)$ is a collection of disjoint blocks of $B$, the union of which equals $X$. $(X, B)$ is called resolvable if the blocks of $B$ can be partitioned into parallel classes. A group divisible design $GD(K, 1, M; v)$ is resolvable if its associated pairwise balanced design $B(K \cup M, 1; v)$ is resolvable with $M$ as a parallel class of the resolution.

It is known [5] that the existence of a resolvable transversal design $RT(k, 1; m)$ is equivalent to the existence of a transversal design $T(k+1, 1; m)$. It is also fairly well-known [4] that the existence of a $T(k, 1; m)$ is equivalent to the existence of a set of $k - 2$ mutually orthogonal latin squares of order $m$. We state with references some of the fundamental results which will be used in subsequent sections.

THEOREM 2.5. A resolvable design $RB(3, 1; v)$, called a Kirkman triple system, exists if and only if $v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ (see [12]).

THEOREM 2.6. A design $B(4, 1; v)$ exists if and only if $v \equiv 1$ or $4 \pmod{12}$ (see [5]).

THEOREM 2.7. A resolvable design $RB(4, 1; v)$ exists if and only if $v \equiv 4 \pmod{12}$ (see [6]).

THEOREM 2.8. A design $B(5, 1; v)$ exists if and only if $v \equiv 1$ or $5 \pmod{20}$ (see [5]).

THEOREM 2.9. A transversal design $T(5, 1; m)$ exists for all positive integers $m$ with the exception of $m = 2, 3, 6$ and possibly excepting $m = 10$ and $14$ (see [5], [9], [16]).

The following theorem has been used extensively in investigating the spectrum of quasigroup models of certain collections of 2-variable identities. As in [10], it forms the basis of our paper.

THEOREM 2.10. Let $V$ be a variety of algebras which is idempotent and which is based on 2-variable identities. Suppose there is a pairwise balanced design $B(K, 1; v)$ such that for each $k \in K$ there is a model of $V$ of order $k$, then there is a model of $V$ of order $v$.
At this stage, we point out that Stein quasigroups are necessarily idempotent and self-orthogonal ([3]). Thus Theorem 2.10 will be very much applicable in our constructions and the results can be interpreted in terms of self-orthogonal Latin squares. However, it is known [7] that there are self-orthogonal Latin squares of all orders $n \neq 2, 3, 6$.

3. The main lemmas

The auxiliary results of this section are based mainly on the use of resolvable designs and transversal designs (see [2]). We shall let $B(K)$ denote the set of integers $v$ for which a pairwise balanced design $B(K, 1; v)$ exists. Unless otherwise stated, a starred element of $K$ means that there is a unique block of that size in the pairwise balanced design $B(K, 1; v)$.

Suppose $(X, B)$ is a resolvable design (pairwise balanced design) on $v$ points, where $B = \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} B_i$ is a partition of $B$ into parallel classes. If $1 \leq m \leq r$, we may obtain a design on $v + m$ points by adding to $X$ a set $S = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m\}$ of $m$ new points. We then replace each block $b \in B_i$ by $b \cup \{a_i\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and take $S$ as a block of size $m$.

By applying this technique to a resolvable design $RB(3, 1; 6k+3)$ (Kirkman triple system), which has $3k+1$ parallel classes, and a resolvable design $RB(4, 1; 12k+4)$, which has $4k+1$ parallel classes, we readily obtain the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 3.1.** If $k > 1$, then $9k + 4 \in B(4, (3k+1)^4)$.

**Lemma 3.2.** If $1 \leq m \leq 4k+1$, then $12k + m + 4 \in B(4, 5, m^4)$, where, in case $m = 4$ or $5$, the star means that a block of size $m$ is distinguished while all other blocks are of size $4$ or $5$.

The following lemma is proved in [2].

**Lemma 3.3.** A pairwise balanced design $B(\{4, 7^4\}, 1; v)$ exists if and only if $v \equiv 7$ or $10$ (mod $12$), $v \neq 10, 19$.

If we start with a transversal design $T(5, 1; m)$ and delete $m - n$ points from a particular group, assuming $0 \leq n \leq m$, we obtain a group divisible design $GD(\{4, 5\}, 1, \{m, n\}; 4m+n)$, called a truncated
transversal design (see [5]). In view of Theorem 2.9, we can state the following useful result.

**Lemma 3.4.** If \( m \neq 2, 3, 6, 10, 14 \) and \( 0 \leq n \leq m \), then there exists a group divisible design \( GD(\{4, 5\}, 1, \{m, n\}; 4m+n) \).

From Lemma 3.4, we obtain immediately

**Lemma 3.5.** If \( m \neq 2, 3, 6, 10, 14 \) and \( 0 \leq n \leq m \), then \( 4m + n + 1 \in B(4, 5, m+1, n+1) \).

Proof. We take the blocks of a \( GD(\{4, 5\}, 1, \{m, n\}; 4m+n) \) and each group with a fixed additional point adjoined.

More generally we have

**Lemma 3.6.** Suppose \( m \neq 2, 3, 6, 10, 14 \) and \( 0 \leq n \leq m \). Then we have

(i) \( 4m + n + r \in B(4, 5, m+r) \), if \( m + r \in B(4, 5, r^4) \) and \( n + r \in B(4, 5, r^4) \),

(ii) \( 4m + n + r \in B(4, 5, n+r) \), if \( m + r \in B(4, 5, r^4) \), where in case \( r = 4 \) or \( 5 \), the star means that a block of size \( r \) is distinguished while all other blocks are of size \( 4 \) or \( 5 \).

Proof. Let \((X, G, B)\) be the \( GD(\{4, 5\}, 1, \{m, n\}; 4m+n) \) of Lemma 3.4. Let \( g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4 \) be the four groups of size \( m \) and let \( g_5 \) be the group of size \( n \) in \( G \). Let \( S \) be a set of \( r \) fixed points disjoint from \( X \). We add these points to the group divisible design. In order to prove (i), we construct a \( B(\{4, 5, m+r\}, 1; 4m+n+r) \) on \( X \cup S \) as follows:

1. take the blocks of \( B \),
2. replace the group \( g_5 \) by a \( B(\{4, 5, r^4\}, 1; n+r) \) on the set \( S \cup g_5 \) which has \( S \) among its blocks,
3. for \( i = 1, 2, 3 \), replace each group \( g_i \) by a \( B(\{4, 5, r^4\}, 1; m+r) \) on the set \( S \cup g_i \) with \( S \) among its blocks, and finally,
(iv) replace the group $g_i$ and the block $S$ by the single block $S \cup g_i$ of size $m + r$.

The result is a $B(\{4, 5, m+r\}, 1; \mu m+n+r)$ and (i) is proved. In order to prove (ii), we construct a $B(\{4, 5, n+r\}, 1; \mu m+n+r)$ by taking the blocks of $B$, then we replace the groups $g_i$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$, by a $B(\{4, 5, r^4\}, 1; m+r)$ on the set $S \cup g_i$ which has $S$ among its blocks and finally, we replace the group $g_5$ and the block $S$ by the single block $S \cup g_5$ of size $n + r$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

As an application of Lemma 3.6, we state the following two important results.

**Lemma 3.7.** If $1 \leq s \leq k-1$, then

$$48k + 12s + 10 \in B(4, 5, (12k+7)^4).$$

**Proof.** We choose $m = 12k$, $n = 12s + 3$, and $r = 7$ in Lemma 3.6. By Lemma 3.3, $12k + 7 \in B(4, 7^4)$ for all $k \geq 2$ and $12s + 10 \in B(4, 7^4)$ for all $s \geq 1$, and the result follows immediately from Lemma 3.6 (i).

**Lemma 3.8.** If $1 \leq s \leq k$, then

$$48k + 12s + 22 \in B(4, 5, (12k+10)^4).$$

**Proof.** We choose $m = 12k + 3$, $n = 12s + 3$, and $r = 7$ in Lemma 3.6. By Lemma 3.3, $12k + 10 \in B(4, 7^4)$ for all $k \geq 1$ and $12s + 10 \in B(4, 7^4)$ for all $s \geq 1$. The result follows from Lemma 3.6 (i).

The technique of adding a set of fixed points to a truncated transversal design will be quite instrumental in most of our constructions. Some special cases of importance are the following.

**Lemma 3.9.** If $1 \leq s \leq k$, then

$$48k + 12s + 26 \in B(4, 5, 11^4).$$

**Proof.** We choose $m = 12k + 4$ and $n = 12s + 4$ in Lemma 3.4, and let $(X, G, B)$ be the $GD(\{4, 5\}, 1, \{12k+4, 12s+4\}; 48k+12s+20)$. Let $g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4$ be the four groups of size $12k + 4$ and let $g_5$ be the group of size $12s + 4$ in $G$. Let $b = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5\}$ be a block of $B$ of size 5 where $b \cap g_i = \{a_i\}$, $1 \leq i \leq 5$. Now we add to the
group divisible design a set \( S \) of size 6 disjoint from \( X \). We construct a \( B(\{4, 5, 11^s\}, 1; 48k+12s+26) \) on \( X \cup S \) as follows:

1. take the blocks \( B - \{b\} \),
2. for \( i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \), replace each group \( g_i \) by a \( B(\{4, 7^s\}, 1; 12k+10) \) on the set \( S \cup g_i \) with \( S \cup \{a_i\} \) as its unique block of size 7,
3. replace the group \( g_5 \) by a \( B(\{4, 7^s\}, 1; 12s+10) \) on the set \( S \cup g_5 \) with \( S \cup \{a_5\} \) as its unique block of size 7, and finally,
4. replace the five blocks \( S \cup \{a_i\} \), \( 1 \leq i \leq 5 \), by the single block \( S \cup b \) of size 11.

It is readily checked that the result is a \( B(\{4, 5, 11^s\}, 1; 48k+12s+26) \) and the lemma is proved.

Similarly, we have

**Lemma 3.10.** If \( 1 \leq s \leq k-1 \), then \( 48k + 12s + 14 \in B(4, 5, 11^s) \).

Proof. We choose \( m = 12k + 1 \) and \( n = 12s + 1 \) in Lemma 3.4 and starting with the GD(\( \{4, 5\}, 1, \{12k+1, 12s+4\}; 48k+12s+8 \)), we add a set of 6 new points. By using the existence of a \( B(\{4, 7^s\}, 1; 12k+7) \) for \( k \geq 2 \) and a \( B(\{4, 7^s\}, 1; 12s+10) \) for \( s \geq 1 \), together with the technique used in the proof of Lemma 3.9, we may construct a \( B(\{4, 5, 11^s\}, 1; 48k+12s+14) \) to obtain the desired result.

Since Lemma 3.2 guarantees the existence of a \( B(\{4, 5, 7^s\}, 1; 12k+11) \) for all \( k \geq 2 \), we may further obtain

**Lemma 3.11.** If \( k \geq 2 \) and \( 1 \leq s \leq k \), then

\[ 48k + 12s + 30 \in B(4, 5, 11^s) \]
design a set $S$ of size 6 disjoint from $X$ and construct a $B(\{4, 5, 11\}^*, 1; 48k+12s+30)$ on $X \cup S$ as follows:

1. take the blocks $B - \{b\}$,
2. for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, replace each group $g_i$ by a $B(\{4, 5, 7\}^*, 1; 12k+11)$ on the set $S \cup g_i$ with $S \cup \{a_i\}$ as its unique block of size 7,
3. replace the group $g_5$ by a $B(\{4, 7\}^*, 1; 12s+10)$ on the set $S \cup g_5$ with $S \cup \{a_5\}$ as its unique block of size 7, and finally,
4. replace the five blocks $S \cup \{a_i\}$, $1 \leq i \leq 5$, by the single block $S \cup b$ of size 11.

The resulting design is a $B(\{4, 5, 11\}^*, 1; 48k+12s+30)$ and the lemma is proved.

The following lemma is a consequence of Theorem 2.8.

**Lemma 3.12.** If $n \equiv 1$ or $5 \pmod{20}$ and $k = 1, 4, 5$, then $n - k \in B(4, 5)$.

**Proof.** We take a $B(5, 1; n)$ and delete $k$ points which are contained in the same block. The result follows.

4. Existence theorems

In what follows we shall use the notation of [10] and write $R(\nu)$ if there exists a Stein system of order $\nu$. In order to establish the main result, the following well-known theorem (see [8], [14]) will be used in conjunction with the results of the previous sections.

**Theorem 4.1.** $R(p)$, if $p$ is a prime congruent to $0, 1, 4 \pmod{5}$, and $R(p^2)$, if $p$ is a prime congruent to $2, 3 \pmod{5}$.

**Remark 4.2.** For our purposes, it will suffice to note that Theorem 4.1 guarantees $R(n)$ for $n = 4, 5, 9, 11, 19$, and $31$. If we can show that $\nu \in B(4, 5, 9, 11, 19, 31)$, then it follows from Theorem 2.10 that $R(\nu)$ holds. It is important to note that in most of our constructions we tacitly use the fact that if $\nu \in B(K')$ and $K' \subseteq B(K)$, then $\nu \in B(K)$.
THEOREM 4.3. If $v \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{4}$ and $v \neq 8, 12$, then $v \in B(4, 5, 9, 11)$ and $R(v)$.

Proof. If $k \geq 1$ and $v = 12k+1, 12k+4, 12k+5, 12k+8, 12k+9$, then $v \in B(4, 5)$ follows from Theorem 2.6 and Lemma 3.2. If $k \geq 5$, then $12k+24 \in B(4, 5, 20^4)$ by Lemma 3.2. Consequently, $12k+24 \in B(4, 5)$ for $k \geq 5$. If $v = 24, 36, 60$, then $v \in B(4, 5)$ follows from Lemma 3.12.

For the cases $v = 48$ and $72$, we apply Lemma 3.4 as follows:

$48 = 4.11 + 4 \in B(4, 5, 11)$, and $72 = 4.17 + 4 \in B(4, 5, 17) \subseteq B(4, 5)$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

THEOREM 4.4. If $v \equiv 3 \pmod{12}$ and $v \neq 3, 15, 27, 39$, then $v \in B(4, 5, 11)$ and $R(v)$.

Proof. If $k \geq 3$, then, by Lemma 3.2, $12k+15 \in B(4, 5, 11^4)$ and the result follows.

THEOREM 4.5. If $v \equiv 11 \pmod{12}$ and $v \neq 23, 35$, then $v \in B(4, 5, 11, 19)$ and $R(v)$.

Proof. If $k \geq 5$, then $12k+23 \in B(4, 5, 19^4)$ follows from Lemma 3.2. In addition, we have

$47 = (4.9+7) + 4 \in B(4, 5, 11)$ by Lemma 3.6 (ii),

$59 = (4.12+7) + 4 \in B(4, 5, 11)$ by Lemma 3.6 (ii),

$71 = (4.15+10) + 1 \in B(4, 5, 11)$ by Lemma 3.5.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

THEOREM 4.6. If $v \equiv 7 \pmod{12}$ and $v \neq 7, 43$, then $v \in B(4, 5, 11, 19, 31)$ and $R(v)$.

Proof. If $k \geq 13$, then $12k+55 \in B(4, 5, 11)$ follows from Lemma 3.2 and the fact that $51 \in B(4, 5, 11)$ by Theorem 4.4. Thus, for $v \equiv 7 \pmod{12}$ and $v \geq 211$, we have $v \in B(4, 5, 11)$. If $k \geq 2$, then $(4(12k-1)+10) + 1 \in B(4, 5, 11)$ follows from Lemma 3.5 and $12k \in B(4, 5, 11)$. In particular, $\{103, 151, 199\} \subseteq B(4, 5, 11)$. If $k \geq 1$, then we have

$4(12k+8) + 11 \in B(4, 5, 11)$ by Lemma 3.4 and $12k+8 \in B(4, 5)$,

$4(12k+5) + 11 \in B(4, 5, 11)$ by Lemma 3.4 and $12k+5 \in B(4, 5)$.

In particular, $\{79, 91, 127, 139, 175, 187\} \subseteq B(4, 5, 11)$. For the cases
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$v = 55, 67, 115, 163$, we have

$55 = 5.11 \in B(5, 11)$ by Theorem 2.9.

$67 = 4.16 + 3 \in B(4, 5, 19)$ by Lemma 3.6 (i), using $19 \in B(4, 5, 3^4)$ by Lemma 3.2.

$115 = 4.28 + 3 \in B(4, 5, 31)$ by Lemma 3.6 (i), using $31 \in B(4, 5, 3^4)$ by Lemma 3.2.

$163 \in B(4, 5, 11)$ as follows: let $(X, G, B)$ be a $GD(4, 1, 38; 152)$, which exists by Lemma 3.4. Add to the group divisible design a set $S$ of size 11 disjoint from $X$. Since we have $49 = 4.11 + 5 \in B(4, 5, 11)$, we may replace each group $g$ of size 38 in $G$ by a $B(4, 5, 11), 1; 49)$ on the set $S \cup g$ which has $S$ as one of its blocks and we get the desired result. This completes the proof of the theorem.

REMARK 4.7. In the proof of Theorem 4.6, we realize that $163 = 4.36 + 19 \in B(4, 5, 19)$. However, it is important to note that we have essentially proved that $12k+7 \in B(4, 5, 11)$ for all $k \geq 4$, $k \neq 5, 9$.

THEOREM 4.8. If $v \equiv 2 \pmod{12}$ and

$v \neq 2, 14, 26, 38, 50, 62, 74, 98, 110, 158$,

then $v \in B(4, 5, 11)$ and $R(v)$.

Proof. We shall apply Lemmas 3.9 and 3.10 as follows: if in Lemma 3.10 we put $s = 1$, then

$48k + 26 \in B(4, 5, 11)$ for $k \geq 2$.

If in Lemma 3.9 we put $s = 1, 2, 3$, then, respectively,

$48k + 38 \in B(4, 5, 11)$ for $k \geq 1$,

$48k + 50 \in B(4, 5, 11)$ for $k \geq 2$,

$48k + 62 \in B(4, 5, 11)$ for $k \geq 3$.

These results guarantee $v \in B(4, 5, 11)$ for all $v$ stated in the theorem and the proof is complete.

THEOREM 4.9. If $v \equiv 6 \pmod{12}$ and

$v \neq 6, 18, 30, 42, 54, 66, 78, 90, 102, 114, 126, 162, 174$,
then \( v \in B(4, 5, 11) \) and \( R(v) \).

Proof. We apply Lemma 3.11 as follows: if in Lemma 3.11 we put \( s = 1, 2, 3, 4 \), then, respectively,
\[
\begin{align*}
48k + 42 & \in B(4, 5, 11) \quad \text{for } k \geq 2, \\
48k + 54 & \in B(4, 5, 11) \quad \text{for } k \geq 2, \\
48k + 66 & \in B(4, 5, 11) \quad \text{for } k \geq 3, \\
48k + 78 & \in B(4, 5, 11) \quad \text{for } k \geq 4. \\
\end{align*}
\]
The above results give \( v \in B(4, 5, 11) \) for all \( v \) stated in the theorem, with the exception of \( v = 222 \). For the case \( v = 222 \), we first observe that \( 51 = 4 \cdot 11 + 7 \in B(4, 5, 11, 7^*) \) by Lemma 3.4. Next we put \( m = 44 \) and \( n = 39 \) in Lemma 3.4 and let \((X, G, B)\) be the \( GD(\{4, 5\}, 1, \{44, 39\}; 215) \) obtained. Let \( g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4 \) be the four groups of size 44 and let \( g_5 \) be the group of size 39 in \( G \). We add a block \( S \) of size 7 disjoint from \( X \) to the group divisible design. We replace the group \( g_5 \) by a \( B(\{4, 7^*\}, 1; 46) \) on the set \( S \cup g_5 \) with \( S \) as a block and replace each group \( g_i \), \( i = 1, 2, 3 \), by a \( B(\{4, 5, 11, 7^*\}, 1; 51) \) on the set \( S \cup g_i \) with \( S \) as a block.

Finally, replace the block \( S \) and the group \( g_4 \) by a \( B(\{4, 5, 11\}, 1; 51) \) on the set \( S \cup g_4 \). The result is a \( B(\{4, 5, 11\}, 1; 222) \) on the set \( X \cup S \). The proof of the theorem is complete.

**Theorem 4.10.** If \( v \equiv 10 \pmod{12} \) and

\[ v \neq 10, 22, 34, 46, 70, 82, 106, 130, 142, 178, 190 \]

then \( v \in B(4, 5, 9, 11, 19, 31) \) and \( R(v) \).

Proof. We apply Lemma 3.7 as follows: if in Lemma 3.7 we put \( s = 1, 2, 3, 4 \), then respectively,
\[
\begin{align*}
48k + 22 & \in B(4, 5, 12k+7) \quad \text{for } k \geq 2, \\
48k + 34 & \in B(4, 5, 12k+7) \quad \text{for } k \geq 3, \\
48k + 46 & \in B(4, 5, 12k+7) \quad \text{for } k \geq 4, \\
48k + 58 & \in B(4, 5, 12k+7) \quad \text{for } k \geq 5. \\
\end{align*}
\]
By Theorem 4.6, \( B(4, 5, 12k+7) \subseteq B(4, 5, 11, 19, 31) \) for all \( k \geq 1 \), \( k \neq 3 \). Consequently, these results guarantee \( v \in B(4, 5, 9, 11, 19, 31) \) for all \( v \) in the statement of the theorem, with the exception of \( v = 58, 94, 154, 166, 202 \), and 250. However, by Lemma 3.1,

\[
\begin{align*}
58 & \in B(4, 19), \\
94 & \in B(4, 31), \\
166 & \in B(4, 55) \subseteq B(4, 5, 11), \\
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
202 \in B(4, 67) \subseteq B(4, 5, 19).
\]

By Lemma 3.8,

\[
250 = 48.4 + 12.3 + 22 \in B(4, 5, 58) \subseteq B(4, 5, 19).
\]

For the case \( v = 154 \), we first observe that 22 \( \in B(4, 7^4) \), and 39 = (4.8+6) + 1 \( \in B(4, 5, 9, 7^4) \) by Lemma 3.5. Next, we apply the technique used in the proof of Lemma 3.9 to add 6 new points to a \( GD(\{4, 5\}, 1, \{33, 16\}; 4.33+16) \) and form a \( B(\{4, 5, 9, 11^4\}, 1; 15^4) \). This completes the proof.

It is known [11] that there are no Stein systems of order 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12, 14. Summarizing the results of this section, we have proved

THEOREM 4.11. \( v \in B(4, 5, 9, 11, 19, 31) \) for all \( v \geq 191 \).

THEOREM 4.12. \( R(v) \) holds for all \( v \) with the exception of \( v = 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12, 14 \), and possibly excepting 15, 18, 22, 23, 26, 27, 30, 34, 35, 38, 39, 42, 43, 46, 50, 54, 62, 66, 70, 74, 78, 82, 90, 98, 102, 106, 110, 114, 126, 130, 142, 158, 162, 174, 178, 190.

5. Subsystems

In this section we mention some results which extend the spectrum of certain classes of Stein systems (see [10], [11]).

If a Stein system \( S \) contains a proper subsystem \( T \), then \( |S| \geq 3|T| + 1 \) (see [11]). Some cases where equality holds have been stated in [10]. In particular, if we write \( Q(n) \) whenever there is a Stein system of order \( n \) which is a subsystem of one of order \( 3n + 1 \), then it was shown that \( Q(n) \) holds for \( n = 4m(3^{k+1} - 1)/2 \) and \( m, k \geq 0 \).
More generally, we have the following result.

**Theorem 5.1.** If \( n \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \) and
\[
7, 10, 22, 34, 43, 46, 70, 82, 106, 130, 142, 178, 190,
\]
then \( Q(n) \) holds.

**Proof.** The proof follows directly from Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 4.12.

A medial Stein system is a Stein system which satisfies the medial law
\[ (xy)(zt) = (xz)(yt) \]. It is known [11] that the spectrum of medial Stein systems consists of all integers whose square-free part does not contain any prime \( p \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5} \). An extended medial system is a Stein system with the property that every 2-element generated subsystem is medial. It was established in [10], [11] that extended medial systems exist for all orders \( n \geq 1198 \). Combining Theorem 4.11 with the fact that there are medial Stein systems of orders 4, 5, 9, 11, 19, and 31, we immediately obtain

**Theorem 5.2.** Extended medial systems exist for all orders \( n \geq 191 \).

Stein systems which have the property that every 2-element generated subsystem is of order 4 or 5 or 11 have been constructed in [10]. Such systems exist for all orders \( v \equiv 0 \) or \( 1 \pmod{4} \), \( v \neq 8, 9, 12 \) (see the proof of Theorem 4.3 or Theorem 6 of [10]). For the orders \( v \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \) and \( v \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \), lower bounds of 247 and 1198, respectively, have been given in [10]. In the following two theorems, these lower bounds are improved to 119 and 298, respectively.

**Theorem 5.3.** If \( v \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \) and
\[
7, 10, 22, 34, 43, 46, 70, 82, 106, 130, 142, 178, 190,
\]
then \( v \in B(4, 5, 11) \).

**Proof.** In Theorem 4.4, we proved that \( 12k+15 \in B(4, 5, 11) \) for \( k \geq 3 \). In the proof of Theorem 4.6, it is shown that \( 12k+7 \in B(4, 5, 11) \) if \( k \geq 4 \) and \( k \neq 5, 9 \). In addition, we have, by applying Lemma 3.5,
\[
48k + 11 = (4(12k)+10) + 1 \in B(4, 5, 11) \quad \text{for} \quad k \geq 1,
\]
and
\[
48k + 23 = (4(12k+3)+10) + 1 \in B(4, 5, 11) \quad \text{for} \quad k \geq 1.
\]
By applying Lemma 3.6 (ii), we obtain
For $k \geq 2$, we may add 6 new points to a
\[ GD([4, 5], 1, \{12k+1, 25\}; 4(12k+1)+25) \]
and apply the technique used in the proof of Lemma 3.9 to obtain, by using the existence of a $B([4, 7^*], 1; 12k+7)$ and a $B([4, 7^*], 1; 31)$, a $B([4, 5, 11^*], 1; 48k+35)$. That is, $48k + 35 \in B(4, 5, 11)$ for $k \geq 2$.

By combining these results, it is an easy matter to check that $v \in B(4, 5, 11)$ for the values of $v$ stated in the theorem.

**THEOREM 5.4.** If $v \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $v \geq 298$, then $v \in B(4, 5, 11)$.

*Proof.* If $v \equiv 2$ or $6 \pmod{12}$, Theorems 4.8 and 4.9 guarantee $v \in B(4, 5, 11)$ for all $v \geq 186$. If $v \equiv 10 \pmod{12}$, then Lemma 3.7 gives us

\[
48k + 22 \in B(4, 5, 12k+7) \text{ for } k \geq 2,
48k + 34 \in B(4, 5, 12k+7) \text{ for } k \geq 3,
48k + 46 \in B(4, 5, 12k+7) \text{ for } k \geq 4,
48k + 58 \in B(4, 5, 12k+7) \text{ for } k \geq 5.
\]

By Remark 4.7, $12k+7 \in B(4, 5, 11)$ for all $k \geq 4$, $k \neq 5, 9$. Thus the results stated above imply that if $v \equiv 10 \pmod{12}$ and $v \geq 214$ and $v \neq 250, 262, 274, 286, 298, 454, 466, 478, 490$, then $v \in B(4, 5, 11)$.

In what follows, we show that

\[
\{166, 274, 298, 454, 466, 478, 490\} \subseteq B(4, 5, 11).
\]

By Lemma 3.1, $166 \in B(4, 55) \subseteq B(4, 5, 11)$ and $274 \in B(4, 91) \subseteq B(4, 5, 11)$. For the case $v = 298$, we have

\[
79 = (4.15+14) + 5 \in B(4, 5, 19^*) \text{ by Lemma 3.6 (ii)},
\]

and

\[
58 \in B(4, 19^*) \text{ by Lemma 3.1}.
\]

Thus, by Lemma 3.6 (i), we have

\[
298 = (4.60+39) + 19 \in B(4, 5, 79) \subseteq B(4, 5, 11).
\]

By applying Lemma 3.4 and the fact that $86 \in B(4, 5, 11)$ by Theorem 4.8,
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\[ 45k = 4.92 + 86 \in B(4, 5, 86, 92) \subseteq B(4, 5, 11), \]
\[ 466 = 4.95 + 86 \in B(4, 5, 86, 95) \subseteq B(4, 5, 11), \]
and
\[ 490 = 4.101 + 86 \in B(4, 5, 86, 101) \subseteq B(4, 5, 11). \]

By applying Lemma 3.5, we obtain
\[ 478 = (4.98+85) + 1 \in B(4, 5, 86, 99) \subseteq B(4, 5, 11). \]

It is clear that if \( v \equiv 10 \pmod{12} \) and \( v \geq 298 \), then \( v \in B(4, 5, 11) \) and the proof of the theorem is complete.

In conclusion, we wish to consider another class of Stein systems, specifically, Stein systems which contain a subsystem of order 4 and a subsystem of order 5. Such systems have interesting properties and applications will be given in a subsequent paper by the authors. If we write \( R^*(v) \) whenever there exists a Stein system of order \( v \) which contains a subsystem of order 4 and a subsystem of order 5, then we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.5.** \( R^*(v) \) holds for all \( v \) with the exception of \( v = 15 \) and possibly excepting 16, 18, 19, 22, 23, 25, 26, 27, 28, 30, 31, 34, 35, 38, 39, 42, 43, 46, 50, 54, 55, 58, 62, 66, 70, 74, 78, 82, 90, 94, 98, 102, 106, 110, 114, 126, 130, 142, 158, 162, 174, 178, 190.

**Proof.** The exceptions \( v \leq 15 \) result from our opening remarks on subsystems. If we combine the proofs of Theorems 4.3, 4.4, 4.5, 4.6, 4.8, 4.9, and 4.10, then, apart from the above exceptions, the only cases where \( R^*(v) \) is not guaranteed are \( v = 21, 12k+1, 12k+4 \), where \( k \geq 1 \), in Theorem 4.3, \( v = 19, 31, 55 \) in Theorem 4.6, and \( v = 58, 94 \) in Theorem 4.10. In order to complete the proof of the theorem, we shall show that \( R^*(v) \) holds for \( v = 21 \) and for all \( v \equiv 1 \) or \( 4 \pmod{12} \), \( v \geq 37 \).

By Lemma 3.12,
\[ 21 = 25 - 4 \in B(4, 5), \]
\[ 37 = 41 - 4 \in B(4, 5), \]
\[ 40 = 45 - 5 \in B(4, 5), \]
\[ 64 = 65 - 1 \in B(4, 5), \]
\[ 76 = 81 - 5 \in B(4, 5). \]

By Lemma 3.4,
\[ 73 = 4.17 + 5 \in B(4, 5), \]
\[ 88 = 4.21 + 4 \in B(4, 5). \]
By Lemma 3.5,
\[ 52 = (4.12+3) + 1 \in B(4, 5), \quad 61 = 5.12 + 1 \in B(4, 5). \]

By Lemma 3.6 (i),
\[ 49 = 5.9 + 4 \in B(4, 5, 13) \subseteq B(4, 5). \]

Finally, by Lemma 3.2,
\[ 12k + 25 \in B(4, 5, 21^*) \subseteq B(4, 5) \text{ for } k \geq 5, \]

and
\[ 12k + 28 \in B(4, 5, 24^*) \subseteq B(4, 5) \text{ for } k \geq 6. \]

This completes the proof of the theorem.

References


Department of Mathematics and Astronomy,
University of Manitoba,
Winnipeg,
Manitoba,
Canada R3T 2N2.