The thickness of the intestinal mucous layer in the colon of rats fed various sources of non-digestible carbohydrates is positively correlated with the pool of SCFA but negatively correlated with the proportion of butyric acid in digesta
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The present experiment aimed to study the influence of six sources of non-digestible carbohydrates (NDC) on the mucous layer in the colon of rats. The NDC sources used were as follows: cellulose (C); pectin (P); inulin; resistant starch (RS); barley hulls. The diets contained 108–140 g NDC/kg DM. A fibre-free (FF) diet served as a control. The diets were fed to forty-eight rats for 34–41 d. The thickness of the total mucous layer in the colon was increased (P<0·05) in rats fed C, P and RS when compared with rats fed a FF diet. In the colon, positive correlations were observed between the total thickness of the mucous layer and the area of neutral mucins, the pool of SCFA and the pool of acetic acid, while it was negatively correlated with the proportion of butyrate. The total thickness of the mucous layer was not correlated with the MUC gene transcription. The transcription of the gene MUC2 was negatively correlated (P=0·04), whereas the transcription of MUC3 was positively correlated (P=0·05) with the butyrate pool in the caecum. No correlations between the MUC2 or MUC3 transcription and SCFA were found in the colon. Hence, the regulation of the MUC genes differs between the compartments of the hindgut and, within compartments, the MUC genes may be regulated differently.

In conclusion, a diet providing a large pool of SCFA with a low proportion of butyrate in the colon stimulates the formation of a thick mucous layer, which probably benefits intestinal health.

Non-digestible carbohydrates: Mucin: MUC2: MUC3

The mucous layer is the first line of defence between the vulnerable mucosa and the luminal contents of the bowel. It obtains its gel-forming, viscous properties from complex mucous glycoproteins, mucins, that are synthesised and secreted by goblet cells and any qualitative or quantitative changes in the mucin secretion and/or the expression patterns may affect the efficiency of the protective barrier, and this may have important physiological or pathological implications. Strengthening the mucous gel by means of dietary components could be beneficial for health reasons as mucins have been shown to be implicated in the aetiology of several gastrointestinal diseases(1). Non-digestible carbohydrates (NDC), the fraction of carbohydrates not digested in the small intestine, may be one of the most promising nutrients in this respect. NDC have been reported to induce changes in goblet cell density, mucin synthesis and secretion(2–4).

A characteristic feature of NDC is their physico-chemical properties such as viscosity and water-binding capacity(5). Viscous fibre (e.g. psyllium) has been shown to increase the amount of mucus lost in faeces(3), and the mechanical challenge of the intestinal mucosa(6) or the bulk-forming properties of insoluble dietary fibre(7) also increases mucin secretion.

NDC are fermented by the bacterial microflora of the gastrointestinal tract and the end products are mainly SCFA. It has been reported that SCFA stimulate mucin discharge in rats(8) and butyrate seems to be the most effective in modulating mucin synthesis and release(9), and butyrate has also been shown to regulate the transcription of various MUC genes(4).

The microflora of the gastrointestinal tract interacts with the mucous layer(10). It has been shown that altering the microflora by the use of antibiotics(11) or pre(12) or probiotics(13) influences the mucous layer.

The thickness of the mucous layer has been evaluated using histological techniques, or indirect methods such as the expression of MUC genes and quantification of the amount of mucin in intestinal contents or faeces(4,6,12). Histological techniques lead to the shrinkage or dissolution of the mucous layer, and hence, using these techniques, it is not possible to get a correct measure of the thickness of the
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mucous layer\textsuperscript{(14)}. The changes in the expression of the MUC genes or altered secretion of mucin indicate that the processes of the dynamic formation of the mucous layer are modified but, to our knowledge, it has never been shown how or whether this relates to changes in the thickness of the mucous layer. \textit{In vivo} measurements of the thickness of the mucous layer allow us to measure the mucous layer without removing it from its natural environment and thereby preventing dehydration\textsuperscript{(14)}. The method is complex and requires specialist techniques, and hence it has only been performed in a limited number of studies.

We hypothesised that NDC affect the intestinal mucous layer differentially depending on their physico-chemical characteristics, fermentabilities or prebiotic properties. A fibre-free (FF), semi-synthetic diet was used as the control diet. Cellulose (C) and barley hulls (BH) were chosen as inert fibre types that are only fermented to a low degree and mainly exert physical effects\textsuperscript{(15)}. Pectin (P) is a soluble fibre source, which increases the viscosity in the upper intestinal tract but is mostly fermented in the large intestine\textsuperscript{(16)}. Inulin (I) was chosen due to its prebiotic properties\textsuperscript{(17)} (bifidogenic effect), whereas resistant starch (RS) was used as it increases the production of butyric acid\textsuperscript{(18)} without altering the composition of the microflora. The thickness of the mucous layer in the proximal colon was measured \textit{in vivo}. We hypothesised that the effect of NDC would be most pronounced in this part of the colon due to the rapid fermentation of some of the NDC sources. Furthermore, the area of the goblet cells, the concentration and pattern of SCFA along the hindgut and the transcription of the selected genes were quantified in the caecum and the colon. In the colon, these values were related to the thickness of the mucous layer in order to determine how well these parameters describe the thickness of the mucous layer. In addition, measuring these parameters both in the caecum and the colon enabled us to elucidate the differences between the two compartments of the hindgut.

Materials and methods

Animals and diets

Male Wistar rats (average weight, 53.9 (SEM 0.6) g) were purchased from Taconic (Bomholt, Denmark). The experiment was carried out in four blocks with twelve rats in each block during a period of 3 months. The rats were housed in pairs in Plexiglas boxes (38 cm × 60 cm) bedded with shavings. All rats had a chip placed subcutaneously in order to allow individual identification of the rats. The animals were kept in a temperature- (25–26°C) and humidity (50–60 %)-controlled environment in a 12 h light/dark cycle.

The rats were randomly assigned to one of six dietary treatments (eight rats per treatment). A FF diet was prepared according to Table 1. NDC sources were substituted for maize starch and the test diets were as follows: (1) FF; (2) C; (3) P; (4) I; (5) RS; (6) BH. The chemical composition of the diets is shown in Table 2. The concentration of NDC in the test diets was 130–152 g/kg dry diet. The diets were planned to contain the same amount of NDC. However, the amount of NDC in the various sources did deviate slightly from the expected, and hence the resulting amount of NDC in the diets differed. Inclusion of crystalline C in the diet resulted in a NDC content of 121 g/kg DM and a major part of the NDC was C. The NDC of the P diet was almost completely soluble. The source of P used (GENU\textsuperscript{®} pectin 150 USA-SAG type B rapid set) is a high-ester P with a high degree of esterification (73.5 %). The derivative of I used in the present study (Raftiline HP, Orafti, Tienen, Belgium) was a mixture of oligosaccharides with a degree of polymerisation of 3–60 (average 22–25) and the resultant content of I in the I diet was 93 g/kg DM. The NDC in the RS diet were mainly RS of the type retrograded starch and only small amounts of NSP could be detected. The BH diet contained mainly insoluble fibres, and it had the highest content of lignin.

The rats were fed the experimental diets for 34–41 d. The duration of the feeding period differed because the measurements of the mucous layer were time consuming and measurements could only be performed on two rats per day, hence six working days were required to perform the measurements in each block. The rats were killed in a randomised order among the dietary treatment groups. The amount of feed was gradually increased from 12.5 g/d during the first 5 d to 20 g/d at the end of the experiment. The rats had free access to water.

The study complied with the guidelines of the Danish Animal Experiments Inspectorate, Ministry of Justice, Copenhagen, Denmark with respect to animal experimentation and care of animals under study.

| Table 1. Composition of the experimental diets |
|-----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| FF C P I RS BH |
| Casein† | 160 | 160 | 160 | 160 | 160 | 160 |
| Soyabean oil | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Maize starch | 640 | 540 | 540 | 540 | 486 | 473 |
| Sugar | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Mineral mixture‡ | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| Vitamin mixture§ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| C || | – | 100 | – | – | – |
| P‡ | – | – | 200 | – | – | – |
| I** | – | – | – | 100 | – | – |
| Potato starch†† | – | – | – | – | 154 | – |
| BH‡‡ | – | – | – | – | – | 167 |

† FF, fibre free; C, cellulose; P, pectin; I, inulin; RS, resistant starch; BH, barley hulls.
‡ Miprodan 25-6 (Arla Ingredients, Viby J., Denmark) + 1 % methionine (Sigma-Aldrich Denmark A/S, Copenhagen, Denmark).
§ Mineral mixture supplied the following nutrients (per kg diet): calcium citrate, 13.83 g; calcium hydrogen phosphate diphosphate, 5.08 g; potassium hydrogen phosphate, 9.85 g; KCl, 5.61 g; NaCl, 1.74 g; magnesium sulphate, 1.72 g; magnesium carbonate hydroxide pentahydrate, 1.58 g; ammonium iron(II) sulphate, 0.344 g; manganese(II) sulphate monohydrate, 45 mg; copper(II) sulphate pentahydrate, 41 mg; KI, 0.225 g; zinc sulphate heptahydrate, 99 mg; sodium selenite, 0.585 mg.
§§ Vitamin mixture supplied the following nutrients (per kg diet): retinol acetate, 750 μg; α-tocopherol acetate, 20 mg; menadione, 4.1 mg; choline chloride, 700 mg; folic acid, 0.7 mg; niacinamide, 12.5 mg; calcium pantothenate, 5 mg; riboflavin, 2.0 mg; thiamin hydrochloride, 2.5 mg; pyridoxine chloride, 4.0 mg; cyanocobalamin, 0.03 mg; biotin, 0.25 mg; cholecalciferol, 16.25 μg.
‡‡ Crystalline cellulose (AGF-100, Frisenette, Knebel, Denmark).
†† Raftiline HP\textsuperscript{®}.
†‡ Axel Toft Grovvarer A/S, Roslev, Denmark.
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Measurements of the mucous gel thickness in the colon

The rats were weighing 247 (SEM 28) g when the measurement of the mucous gel thickness in the colon was performed. The measurements were carried out as previously described. The rats were anaesthetised by intra-peritoneal administration of thiobutabarbital sodium (Inactin, 120 mg/kg; Sigma T-133, Sigma Chemical, St Louis, MO, USA) and subcutaneous administration of buprenorphine (Temgesic, 0.05–0.1 mg/kg; Schering-Plough, Brussels, Belgium).

The rats were tracheotomised to facilitate spontaneous breathing. The femoral vein was cannulated for the continuous infusion of 0.9% NaCl at a rate of 1 ml/h. The rat was breathing. The femoral vein was cannulated for the continuous infusion of 0.9% NaCl at a rate of 1 ml/h. The rat was opened along the antimesenteric border. The incision was made 5.7 (SEM 0.7) cm distal to the caecum. The rat was placed on its left side on a microscope stage and the colon was everted through the incision and loosely draped over a truncated cone with the luminal side up. A mucosal chamber with a hole (1.0 cm in diameter) in the bottom was placed over the exposed mucosa, and the junction was sealed with silicone grease (VWR International, Poole, England). The chamber was filled with warm (37°C) 0.9% NaCl to keep the tissue moist and protect the mucous gel from dehydration. The mucous gel was covered with carbon particles (activated charcoal, extra pure, 1.02 184; Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) suspended in saline to visualise the surface of the otherwise near-transparent gel. A micropipette (TIP2TW1; World Precision Instruments, Hertfordshire, England) was held by a micromanipulator (MX1640R; Siskiyou Design Instruments, Grant Pass, OR, USA) and pushed into the mucous gel at an angle (α) of 30–40° to the cell surface. The distance (l) from the luminal surface of the mucous layer to the epithelial cell surface of the mucosa was measured with a digimatic indicator (543-250B; Mitutoyo, Tokyo, Japan) connected to the micromanipulator. The mucous gel thickness (T) could then be calculated from the formula $T = l \times \sin \alpha$. The measurements were made at five different spots over the mucous surface. The procedure was carried out under observation through a stereomicroscope (Leica MZ12; Leica Microsystems AG, Wetzlar, Germany). The total mucous thickness was measured with 15 min intervals over 60 min to determine the basal mucous accumulation rate. Following these measurements, as much as possible of the mucous gel was removed by suction, and the thickness of the adherent mucous layer was determined.

Collection of digesta and tissue samples

After the termination of the mucous gel measurements, the rats were euthanised by an intra-venous injection of saturated KCl. The entire gastrointestinal tract was removed and the caecum and the colon were dissected free. The intestinal contents were collected for the determination of SCFA and tissue.

### Table 2. Analysed chemical composition of the experimental diets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diet (g/kg DM)*</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>RS</th>
<th>BH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DM (g/100g)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starch</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDC† and lignin</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fructan</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total NSP‡</td>
<td>15 (4)</td>
<td>116 (1)</td>
<td>130 (115)</td>
<td>11 (5)</td>
<td>14 (6)</td>
<td>84 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klason lignin</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* FF, fibre free; C, cellulose; P, pectin; I, inulin; RS, resistant starch; BH, barley hulls.
† N x 6.25.
‡ Non-digestible carbohydrates.
§ Not determined.
¶ Below the detection limit.
∫ Values in parentheses are soluble NSP.

### Table 3. Mucin-staining area in the crypts (μm²) and the proportion of the crypt area covered with mucin (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mucin-staining area of the total crypt area (%)</th>
<th>Neutral mucin</th>
<th>Acidic mucin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caecum</td>
<td>Neutral mucin</td>
<td>Acidic mucin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FF</td>
<td>1693ab</td>
<td>16-4ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2129b</td>
<td>19-7a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>1011b</td>
<td>7-2d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>1678b</td>
<td>13-4bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS</td>
<td>1268b</td>
<td>10-9ad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH</td>
<td>1118b</td>
<td>11-0ad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FF</td>
<td>3325</td>
<td>26-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>4611</td>
<td>33-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>3609</td>
<td>25-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>5208</td>
<td>33-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS</td>
<td>4279</td>
<td>25-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH</td>
<td>4714</td>
<td>32-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>758</td>
<td>4-3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a,b,c,d Mean values in a column within a segment with unlike superscript letters were significantly different (P<0.05).
samples were taken for histology and mRNA determination. In order to ensure the proximity to the point where the thickness of the mucous layer was determined, the tissue sample for histology was taken cranially and the sample for the mRNA determination was taken caudally to this point. The samples for histological examinations were immediately fixed in a 4 % (v/v) neutral-buffered formalin solution (Bie & Bernsten, Rødovre, Denmark) and the samples for the mRNA determination were transferred to a 4 % (v/v) neutral-buffered formalin solution (R0901; Sigma), kept at 4°C until analysis. The samples were thawed and approximately 15 mg of tissue content. A small piece (approximately 5 mm) of tissue from the samples were placed in Schiff’s reagent (Merck 1.09 033). The slides were further used to determine the crypt area as previously described(21).

Mucin staining and morphometric measurements

Intestinal segments were fixed in 4 % neutral-buffered formalin, dehydrated, cleared and embedded in paraffin. Serial sections were cut at 4 μm, deparaffinised in xylene, rehydrated and stained using a combined alcian blue–periodic acid Schiff’s technique for acid and neutral mucins(20). The slides were incubated in 5 g/l alcian blue (Sigma A3157), pH 2.5 for 15 min, then washed and incubated with 10 g/l periodic acid (Merck 524) for 5 min. After a wash in water, the slides were placed in Schiff’s reagent (Merck 1.09033). Finally, the slides were counterstained with Mayer’s haematoxylin, dehydrated and mounted.

On each slide, fifteen well-oriented crypts were selected. Indicated by blue or magenta staining, the granules of all the mucous layer cells (goblet cells and crypt secretory cells), as well as the apical secretion of these cells, were differentiated into the area of mucin granules with a clear positive reaction for acidic and neutral mucin, respectively (20). For each crypt, the area of mucin granules with a clear positive reaction for neutral or acidic mucin was determined using a computer-integrated microscope and an image analysis system (Leica QWin version 3.2.0; Leica Microsystems Imaging Solutions Ltd, Cambridge, UK). The slides were further used to determine the crypt area as previously described(21).

### Table 4. Concentration of SCFA (μmol/g), the pool size (μmol) and the proportions of acetic, propionic and butyric acid (mol/100 mol SCFA) in the caecum and the colon of rats fed fibre-free diet (FF), cellulose (C), pectin (P), inulin (I), resistant starch (RS) or barley hulls (BH)

(Mean values with their pooled standard errors)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SCFA (μmol/g)</th>
<th>SCFA (μmol)</th>
<th>Acetic acid (mol/100 mol SCFA)</th>
<th>Propionic acid (mol/100 mol SCFA)</th>
<th>Butyric acid (mol/100 mol SCFA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Caecum</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FF</td>
<td>47.1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>110&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>74&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>14&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>47.7&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>134&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>82&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>70.6&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>279&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>82&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>57.4&lt;sup&gt;ac&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>280&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>63&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>18&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>19&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS</td>
<td>91.7&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>434&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>75&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>17&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH</td>
<td>61.7&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>152&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>76&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>16&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>5-8</td>
<td>32-2</td>
<td>2-8</td>
<td>1-1</td>
<td>2-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Colon</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FF</td>
<td>32.5&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>79&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>12&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>42.5&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>90&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>52.8&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>81&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>12&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>42.2&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>73&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>14&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS</td>
<td>56.3&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>86&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH</td>
<td>45.2&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>83&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>12&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>5-0</td>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>1-9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a,b,c</sup> Mean values in a column within a segment with unlike superscript letters were significantly different (P<0.05).

### Chemical analyses of diets

All feed samples were milled through a 0.5 mm mesh screen (Cyclotec 1093 Sample mill; Foss Tecator, Hoeganaes, Sweden) before analysis. The DM was determined by freeze-drying followed by drying at 105°C for 20 h, and ash was determined by combustion at 525°C for 6 h(22). Protein (N × 6.25) was determined as elementary N(23). Starch(24) and RS(25) were determined by the enzymatic–colorimetric assays. Fructan was determined by an enzymatic–colorimetric method(26), modified as previously described(27). Neutral NSP and constituent sugars were analysed as alditol acetates by GC and uronic acids by colorimetry(24). Klason lignin was measured gravimetrically as the residue resistant to 12 M-H2SO4(28).

### SCFA in digesta

Digesta samples from the caecum and the colon were analysed for SCFA by GC(29).

### Total RNA isolation

The caecum and the colon were opened lengthwise by a scissors and flushed with distilled water to remove the intestinal content. A small piece (approximately 5 mm) of tissue from the caecum and the colon was fixed overnight at 4°C in RNA-later (Sigma–Aldrich, Brondby, Denmark), and then stored at −80°C until analysis. Immediately before RNA extraction, the samples were thawed and approximately 15 mg of tissue was weighed and finely chopped with a scalpel. The samples were then homogenised in 350 μl of RNeasy lysis buffer and the homogenates were diluted with 70 % ethanol (1:1). The RNA was purified using the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen, Albertslund, Denmark). The concentration and purity of RNA was assessed using NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Inc., Wilmington, DE, USA).
Mucin mRNA analysis

The purified RNA was reverse transcribed with oligo-dT and random primers using superscript III RNase H-RT kit (Invitrogen, Taastrup, Denmark). The reverse-transcribed material (1 μl) was amplified with TaqMan Universal PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Stockholm, Sweden). The primers and probes were designed specifically for each gene by using Primer Express 2.0 software (Applied Biosystems). At least one oligonucleotide (primer or probe) was annealed to an exon boundary (exon structures reported for human subjects were used) to avoid the amplification of genomic DNA. The amplification length was tested after the real-time RT-PCR analysis on a 2% agarose gel and only one PCR product was amplified per gene, and the amplification length agreed with the predicted length based on the nucleotide sequences (data not shown).

The quantity of mRNA was detected by locked nucleic acid (LNA) fluorescent probes from the human library (https://qpcr2.probefinder.com/roche2.html) using an ABI 7900HT detection system (Applied Biosystems). The transcription of target genes was normalised according to hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase (HPRT-1) transcription. Ideally, a housekeeping gene should not be regulated or affected by, for example, dietary factors, types of tissues, organs, sex, developmental and/or physiological stages, and it should be constitutively expressed. The expression of HPRT-1 was tested in isolated mucosa and muscle tissues from both the caecum and the colon, and the HPRT-1 expression was comparable in all four combinations of tissue and segment (caecal epithelium, caecal muscle, colonic epithelium and colonic muscle), thus supporting that HPRT-1 is a proper housekeeping gene in this experimental design. Furthermore, no dietary effect on the HPRT-1 expression was found when correcting for the effect of the rat (j = FF, C, R, I, RS or BH), the effect of time, (t = 0, 15, 30, 45 and 60), was used as a covariate, βt refers to the interaction between diet and time, and the term εij ~ N(0, σ2) represents the random error. To account for the correlation between the intercept and the slope in the linear model, time

Table 5. Correlations between the thickness of the total mucous layer after 60 min and the mucin-staining area, the abundance of MUC genes, and the concentration and proportion of SCFA in digesta in the colon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlation coefficient</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mucin-staining area (n 48)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral mucin</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acidic mucin</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucin-staining area of the total crypt area (n 48)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral mucin</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acidic mucin</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abundance of the MUC genes (n 6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUC2</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUC3</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCFA pools (n 48)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCFA</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic acid</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propionic acid</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyric acid</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of SCFA (n 48)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic acid</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propionic acid</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyric acid</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

...
values are presented. Treatment differences are considered to be significant at $\alpha = 0.05$.

Results

Thickness of the mucous layer

The thickness of the total mucous layer was affected by the dietary treatment ($P<0.05$; Fig. 1). The average thickness of the total mucous layer during the 60 min on measurement was the lowest in rats fed the FF diet (201 (SEM 31) μm). The average thickness of the total mucous layer of rats fed the BH and I diets was intermediate (241 (SEM 28) and 284 (SEM 28) μm, respectively), whereas rats fed the C, P, and RS diets had a thicker mucous layer than rats fed the FF diet (319 (SEM 28) μm, 306 (SEM 30) μm and 320 (SEM 34) μm, respectively). There was a strong effect of time ($P<0.0001$) on the accumulation of mucus, whereas the interaction between diet and time was non-significant, meaning that the accumulation of mucus during the experimental period was equal for all treatment groups. The thickness of the adherent mucous layer varied between 158 (SEM 20) μm (diet P) and 189 (SEM 18) μm (diet C), and it did not differ between the dietary treatment groups ($P>0.10$).

Mucin staining

The area of neutral mucin in the crypts of the caecum was the highest in rats fed the C diet ($P=0.02$), and these rats also had the largest proportion of the crypts covered by neutral mucin ($P=0.001$; Table 3). The rats fed the P, RS and BH diets had the smallest area of neutral mucin in the crypts of the caecum, which corresponded to the smallest proportion of the crypts covered by neutral mucin. The area of acidic mucin in the crypts and the acidic mucin-staining area of the total crypt area in the caecum was not influenced by dietary treatments. In the colon, no effect of the experimental diets was seen on the area of either neutral or acidic mucin.

SCFA in digesta

Feeding rats the RS diet elicited the highest concentration of SCFA in the digesta from the caecum (Table 4), whereas the rats fed the FF and C diets had the lowest concentration of SCFA in digesta. At the same time, these rats had the largest and smallest caecal pools of SCFA, respectively. In the colon, rats fed the RS and P diets had the highest concentration of SCFA in digesta, whereas rats fed the C, I and BH diets had intermediate concentrations, whereas the concentration of SCFA in colonic contents was the lowest in rats fed the FF diet. The size of the colonic pool of SCFA did not differ between the dietary treatments. Feeding the experimental diets resulted in different proportions of SCFA in both the caecal and colonic material.

Table 6. Correlations between the abundance of MUC2 and MUC3 mRNA and the mean SCFA pools and the mean proportions of SCFA in the caecum and the colon (Correlation coefficients and $P$ values)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MUC2</th>
<th></th>
<th>MUC3</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Correlation coefficient</td>
<td>$P$ value</td>
<td>Correlation coefficient</td>
<td>$P$ value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caecum SCFA pools</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total SCFA</td>
<td>-0.64</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetate</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propionate</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyrate</td>
<td>-0.83</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of SCFA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetate</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>-0.77</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propionate</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyrate</td>
<td>-0.70</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colon SCFA pools</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total SCFA</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetate</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propionate</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyrate</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of SCFA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetate</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propionate</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyrate</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>-0.61</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The rats fed the I diet had the lowest proportion of acetic acid (62.7 (SEM 2.8)%) but had the highest proportion of propionic and butyric acid in caecal digesta. By contrast, rats fed the P diet resulted in a high proportion of acetic acid (82.4 (SEM 2.8)%) but a low proportion of butyric acid (5.2 (SEM 2.3)%). The proportions of SCFA in caecal digesta were comparable to the rats fed the FF, C, RS and BH diets. The rats fed the C and RS diets had high proportions of acetic acid (86.3–90.4%) and low proportions of propionic (3.5–5.8%) and butyric acid (5.8–7.7%) in colonic contents. The rats fed the FF, I and BH diets resulted in a high proportion of butyric acid in the digesta of the colon and rats fed the P diet resulted in a high proportion of propionic acid and a low proportion of butyric acid.

**Mucin mRNA abundance**

The abundance of MUC2 mRNA in the caecum and the colon was not affected by the dietary treatments (Fig. 2 (A) and (B)). Feeding the experimental diets had a significant effect on the transcription of MUC3 in the caecum (P=0.001; Fig. 2 (C)), whereas, in the colon, no alteration of the MUC3 transcription was observed (Fig. 2 (D)).

**Associations of the total mucous layer thickness and the MUC2 and MUC3 expression with caecal and colonic parameters**

Significant positive correlations (P<0.05) between the thickness of the total mucous layer and the area of neutral mucin, and the SCFA and acetic acid pools, as well as the proportion of acetic acid, were observed (Table 5). Furthermore, a significant negative correlation (P=0.001) with the proportion of butyric acid was found. The thickness of the total mucous layer was not correlated with the MUC2 expression but a high correlation between the thickness of the total mucous layer and the expression of MUC3 was observed. However, the correlation did not reach significance (P=0.14).

The abundance of MUC2 mRNA was negatively correlated with the butyrate pool in the caecum (P=0.04; Table 6). In contrast to this, the abundance of MUC3 mRNA was positively correlated with the butyrate pool and with the proportion of butyrate in the caecum (P=0.05). In the colon, no correlation between the MUC2 and MUC3 expression and the SCFA pools or the proportions of the individual fatty acids was found.

**Discussion**

The thickness of the total mucous layer (non-adherent and adherent) observed in the present experiment (201 (SEM 31)–320 (SEM 34) μm) is substantially lower than the values reported previously for the colon (~830 μm)(19), whereas they are in line with the values reported in another study(32). The thickness of the adherent mucous layer is comparable between the studies, which suggests that the thickness of this firmly adherent gel, which has been suggested to act as a relatively stable protective barrier in the colon(19), is not influenced by the dietary components. This implies that it is mainly the thickness of the non-adherent mucous layer that can be modulated by, for example, diet. The accumulation of this layer by time reflects the baseline secretion of mucin by the goblet cells. The non-adherent mucous layer is believed to have lubricative properties(19) and to trap mucosal aggressors and return them to the lumen(32).

**Fig. 2.** Relative abundance of rat intestinal mucin 2 (MUC2) mRNA in (A) the caecum and (B) the colon and the relative abundance of rat intestinal MUC3 mRNA in (C) the caecum and (D) the colon of rats fed the experimental diets: FF, fibre free; C, cellulose; P, pectin; I, inulin; RS, resistant starch; BH, barley hulls. Changes in the mucin mRNA abundance were measured by real-time RT-PCR. The mRNA abundances of the genes are shown relative to those of rats fed the FF diet. Values are presented as means (n=8). a–d Mean values within segments with unlike letters were significantly different (P<0.05).
The duration of the experiment may influence the thickness of the non-adherent mucus layer as mucus accumulates over time. In the present study, mucus accumulation was observed during 1 h, whereas considerably longer measurements (up to 6 h) have been reported\(^\text{32}\). However, as no interaction between diet and time was observed in the present study, measuring for longer time would have increased the thickness of the mucous layer, but it would probably not have changed the conclusions regarding the effect of the diets.

The rats fed the FF diet had the thinnest mucous layer in the colon. It has been suggested that this indicates that the mucous layer of these rats had a decreased protective potential\(^\text{32}\). Necessity for both soluble and insoluble fibre types to increase mucosal protection has been suggested\(^\text{32}\). However, this is not supported by the present study where the diets were based on relatively pure fibre sources and the rats fed F, rich in soluble fibre, and the rats fed C, rich in insoluble fibre, both had thicker mucous layer than the rats fed the FF diet.

It has previously been suggested that a large goblet cell area corresponded to a thick mucous layer\(^\text{33}\). This is confirmed by the present study which showed that the area of neutral mucin correlated with the thickness of the total mucous layer, whereas no correlation with the area of acidic mucin was observed. This implies that a large area of neutral mucin corresponds to a high rate of synthesis and secretion of neutral mucin. Neutral mucin constitute the major part of the mucin in the goblet cells in colon\(^\text{10}\). Further investigations are needed to further elucidate the relationship between the area of neutral mucin and the thickness of the total mucous layer.

The thickness of the mucous layer was correlated with the SCFA pool and especially with the pool of acetic acid. This is in accordance with a previous study with rats fed different amounts of RS, where a high correlation between the SCFA pool in the colon and the thickness of the mucous layer was observed\(^\text{34}\). In support of this, studies on perfused rat colon have shown that SCFA stimulate mucin secretion\(^\text{9,35}\), and the results of the present study suggest that the outcome of this is a thicker mucous layer. The thickness of the mucous layer was not correlated with the butyrate pool, which is in accordance with previous studies\(^\text{34}\). However, the proportion of butyric acid was negatively correlated with the thickness of the mucous layer. In the studies performed on the isolated perfused rat colon, it has been shown that butyric acid increased the secretion of mucin\(^\text{9,35}\). In these studies, various proportions of acetate and butyrate in the infusate were not investigated, and, furthermore, it should be emphasised that such studies are characterised by being acute or short term and it cannot be excluded that the response to butyric acid is different in an isolated colon when compared with the response after long-term exposure in an intact gastrointestinal tract where other components may influence the synthesis and secretion of mucin and hence the mucous layer.

The expression of MUC2, which is the predominant secretory mucin in the healthy intestine of rats\(^\text{36}\), was not affected by the dietary treatments, whereas MUC3, one of the prominent membrane-associated mucins, had a greater expression in the colon of rats fed the I, BH and RS diets. Studies on the dietary effects on the MUC gene expression are scarce, and this is, to our knowledge, the first study that aims to elucidate the effect of dietary fibre on the expression of MUC2 and MUC3 in the hindgut of rats. The MUC3 expression in epithelial goblet cells has been shown to be increased by butyrate\(^\text{4}\). In support of this, the expression of MUC3 in the caecum was correlated with the proportion of butyrate; however, no correlation between the butyrate pool or the proportion of butyrate and the MUC3 expression was observed in the colon. These results indicate that the expression of MUC3 is regulated differently by SCFA (butyrate) in the caecum and the colon, a similar result has been observed previously for cell proliferation\(^\text{37}\), which was stimulated by SCFA in the caecum but mediated through different mechanisms in the colon. Alternatively, due to the low uptake of butyrate in the colon\(^\text{38}\), other factors regulate the MUC3 expression in the colon. Contrary to MUC3, the expression of MUC2 was negatively correlated with the butyrate pool in digesta in the caecum but, as with MUC3, no correlation with SCFA pool or individual fatty acids was found in the colon. These results suggest that within the same segment, MUC genes may be regulated differently by butyrate. This was also demonstrated in cultured cell lines where butyrate stimulated the MUC3 and MUC5B expression and had no effect on the MUC2 and MUC5AC expression\(^\text{4}\). Furthermore, the MUC genes may be regulated differently in different segments, which is supported by the fact that MUC2 is stimulated by butyrate in some cell lines\(^\text{39}\) but not in others\(^\text{4,40}\). These results, however, warrant further investigation.

In conclusion, the present study showed that feeding NDC with various properties resulted in the differences in the thickness of the total mucous layer. The thickness of the mucous layer was correlated with the area of the neutral mucin, and this histological parameter may thus be used as a predictor for the thickness of the mucous layer. SCFA, and especially acetate and butyrate, seem to be important for the regulation of the mucous layer; high concentrations of acetate increased the thickness of the mucous layer, whereas a high proportion of butyrate decreased it. In addition, the study suggested that the regulation of the MUC genes may be dependent on both the part of the gastrointestinal tract being investigated (e.g. caecum or colon) and what gene is being studied. In the light of the present study, it seems that a NDC source producing high amounts of acetate and low proportions of butyrate would promote the formation of a thick mucous layer in the colon, which has been postulated to be important for the maintenance of intestinal health.
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