The effects of dietary fibre type on satiety-related hormones and voluntary food intake in dogs
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Depending on type and inclusion level, dietary fibre may increase and maintain satiety and postpone the onset of hunger. This 7-week study evaluated the effect of fibre fermentability on physiological satiety-related metabolites and voluntary food intake (VFI) in dogs. Sixteen healthy adult dogs were fed a low-fermentable fibre (LFF) diet containing 8.5% cellulose or a high-fermentable fibre (HFF) diet containing 8.5% sugarbeet pulp and 2% inulin. Large intestinal fibre degradation was evaluated by apparent faecal digestibility of nutrients and faecal SCFA and NH3 concentrations. Postprandial blood samples were obtained to determine postprandial plasma glucose, insulin, total peptide tyrosine–tyrosine (PYY), total glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) and total ghrelin concentrations. At the end of the study, the dogs were given a single meal of a dry dog food to determine VFI. Dogs fed the HFF diet had a significantly higher large intestinal fibre degradation and production of SCFA compared with the dogs fed the LFF diet. The HFF-fed dogs tended (P = 0.058) to show a lower VFI at the end of the study. No treatment effects were found for postprandial plasma glucose, PYY, GLP-1 and ghrelin responses. The concentrations of these metabolites could not be related to the observed difference in VFI. The inclusion of fermentable fibre in canine diets may contribute to the prevention or mitigation of obesity through its effects on satiety. The underlying mechanisms require further investigation.

Dietary fibre type: Dogs: Satiety hormones: Insulin

Obesity is the most common nutritional disorder in companion animals nowadays(1). Studies conducted in different countries (e.g. England, Australia, USA) have estimated the incidence of overweight and obesity in the dog population between 22 and 40% (2). The cause of overweight and obesity is a chronic energy intake that exceeds energy expenditure. Dietary fibre may aid in the mitigation and prevention of obesity as it may increase and maintain satiety and prevent the feeling of hunger in the dogs. The feeling of hunger may result in an increase in begging and scavenging behaviour(3), which may in turn encourage the owners to feed their pet more than the animal’s physiological energy requirement(4).

Several studies have evaluated the effect of dietary fibre on satiety in the dogs. Jewell & Toll(4) and Jackson et al. (5) showed a reduced daily energy intake when the dogs were fed high-fibre diets. In addition, voluntary food intake (VFI) of an additional meal 75 min after consumption of the morning meal was lower in the dogs fed high-fibre diets(4). No effect of dietary fibre on VFI in the dogs was found by Butterwick & Markwell(6). However, the dogs in the latter study were overweight and supplied with approximately 45% of calculated maintenance energy requirements at target body weight (BW) to induce weight loss. This restriction in daily energy intake may have resulted in an increased feeding motivation to a level that nullified possible effects of dietary fibre on satiety(5).

Several physical and chemical properties of dietary fibres may influence the duration of postprandial satiety. Fibre fermentability yielding SCFA may affect satiety through its actions on the production and secretion of gastrointestinal satiety hormones. Infusion of SCFA in the colon of rats(7) and oleic acid in the colon of dogs(8) increased peripheral peptide tyrosine–tyrosine (PYY) concentrations. PYY can cross the blood–brain barrier and act on the arcuate nucleus of the hypothalamus, stimulating neurons that create a sensation of satiety and inhibiting neurons that stimulate feeding behaviour(9). Stimulation of the secretion of glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1), a proglucagon-derived peptide secreted by the enteroendocrine L-cells present in the
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distal part of the gastrointestinal tract\(^{(10)}\), was increased by the inclusion of fermentable fibres in the diets of dogs during an oral glucose tolerance test\(^{(11)}\). Both PYY and GLP-1 contribute to the ileal brake and increase gastric emptying time and small intestinal transit time\(^{(12)}\). This may prolong gastric distension and signals of satiation\(^{(13)}\) and prolong the contact between nutrients and small intestinal receptors involved in maintaining satiety\(^{(14)}\). A delay in gastric emptying will also delay starch digestion and subsequent absorption of glucose\(^{(15)}\), thereby maintaining more stable postprandial glucose and insulin concentrations in the blood\(^{(16)}\). Sows fed a diet high in sugarbeet pulp had more stable postprandial glucose concentrations compared with those fed a low-fibre diet that showed a drop in glucose concentration below basal levels. This was associated with hunger or appetite\(^{(18)}\). Rats fed diets supplemented with a short-chain oligofructose showed lower active ghrelin plasma concentrations 8 h after the last meal compared with those fed the diet without fructan supplementation\(^{(19)}\).

There is still little information available regarding the potency of various fermentable fibres to affect the satiety in dogs. The aim of the present study was therefore to investigate whether an increase in dietary fibre fermentability prolongs the duration of postprandial satiety as measured by VFI and physiological satiety metabolites when included in the diets of dogs.

Experimental methods

Animals

Sixteen dogs (eight males and eight females) healthy adult beagle dogs aged between 2 and 6 years with an initial BW of 7-2 and 11-4 kg were individually housed in indoor pens at the Laboratory of Animal Nutrition of Ghent University (Merelbeke, Belgium). Dietary treatments were equally distributed among pens. The dogs were assigned to one of two dietary treatments (low-fermentable fibre (LFF) or high-fermentable fibre (HFF)) according to BW and sex (blocking factors) resulting in a mean BW of 9-7 (SEM 0-5) and 9-7 (SEM 0-4) kg for the LFF and the HFF groups, respectively. All the dogs were weighed before the start of the experiment and thereafter every 2 weeks until the end of the experiment. Each dog was fed individually to meet its maintenance energy requirements estimated at 415 kJ metabolisable energy/kg BW\(^{0.75}\) (20). The diets were fed twice daily in two equal portions at 08.30 and 18.30 hours after mixing with an equal amount of luke-warm water to increase palatability. Food intake was recorded during each meal throughout the entire experimental period and freshwater was provided ad libitum. All animal housing, care and experimental procedures were approved by and conformed to the requirements of the Ethical Committee of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine of the Ghent University (Belgium, EC 2007/40).

Diet

The dogs were fed one of the two experimental diets formulated to be iso-nitrogenous and iso-energetic on a metabolisable energy basis, and iso-fibrous on a total dietary fibre (TDF) basis. The ingredient composition of both diets is shown in Table 1. The LFF diet contained cellulose as a fibre source, whereas the HFF diet contained a combination of sugarbeet pulp and inulin. Differences in fermentability between fibre sources used were based on the in vitro studies\(^{(21,22)}\). The content of molasses in the sugarbeet pulp was estimated to be 5 % and an identical amount of molasses was added to the LFF diet. TiO\(_2\) (2 g/kg diet) was included as an inert digestibility marker\(^{(23)}\).

Chemical analyses

The diets were analysed for DM, ash, starch, sugar, crude protein, crude fat, TDF, insoluble dietary fibre, neutral-detergent fibre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Composition of the low-fermentable fibre (LFF) and high-fermentable fibre (HFF) diets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ingredient composition (g/kg as is)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat starch (pre-gelatinised)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poultry meat meal (low ash)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poultry fat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellulose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugarbeet pulp (molassed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inulin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molasses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium(V) oxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nutrient composition (g/kg DM)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Energy content (kJ/100 g DM)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross energy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LFF, total dietary fibre; IDF, insoluble dietary fibre; SDF, soluble dietary fibre; NDF, neutral-detergent fibre; ADF, acid-detergent fibre; ADL, acid-detergent lignin.

† The premix provided per kilogram of diet: Ca, 0.41 g; P, 0.07 g; Mg, 0.05 g; K, 0.1 g; Na, 0.01 g; Cl, 0.09 g; linoleic acid, 0.15 g; PUFA, 0.17 g; l-lysine, 0.05 g; methionine, 0.02 g; methionine + cysteine, 0.04; threonine, 0.04 g; tryptophan, 0.02 g; retinol, 5.25 mg; vitamin D\(_3\), 50 μg; vitamin E, 100 mg; vitamin K\(_2\), 2 mg; vitamin B\(_6\), 10 mg; vitamin B\(_2\), 10 mg; niacin, 50 mg; pantothenic acid, 25 mg; vitamin B\(_12\), 7.5 mg; vitamin B\(_3\), 50 μg; biotin, 300 μg; choline chloride, 475 mg; folic acid, 1.25 mg; vitamin C, 100 mg; Fe, 75 mg; Mn, 35 mg; Cu, 5 mg; Zn, 75 mg; I, 1.75 mg; Co, 2 mg; and Se, 0.2 mg.

§ Derived by subtracting the crude protein, crude fat, starch and sugar content from the organic matter content\(^{(17)}\). As inulin was included in the analysed sugar content, the NSP content of the HFF diet was underestimated with approximately 18 g/kg DM (20 g/kg included in the diet with 90 % pure inulin).
(NDF), acid-detergent fibre (ADF), acid-detergent lignin and Ti. DM and ash contents were determined by drying to a constant weight at 103°C and combustion at 550°C, respectively. The starch content was analysed enzymatically(24), while reducing sugars were extracted from the feed samples using 40% ethanol and determined as described by Suárez et al.(25).

Crude protein (6.25 × N) was determined using the Kjeldahl method (ISO 5983-1, 2005) and crude fat was analysed according to the Berthelot method (ISO 6492, 1999) with faecal samples being pre-digested with HCl. TDF and insoluble dietary fibre were analysed using the Association of Official Analytical Chemists methods(26,27). The soluble dietary fibre content was calculated by subtracting the insoluble dietary fibre content from the TDF content. Note that the inulin would not be recovered in the TDF fraction(28). NDF was analysed in defatted diet samples (fat extraction with petroleum-ether) according to a modified method of Van Soest et al.(29) described by Goelena et al. (30). The ADF and acid-detergent lignin contents were determined according to Van Soest et al.(31).

Ti was analysed using a modified method based on the work by Short et al. (32) and Myers et al. (33). The content of NSP was calculated by subtracting the starch, sugar, crude protein and crude fat content from the organic matter content(34). As inulin was included in the analysed sugar content, the NSP content of the HFF diet is underestimated with approximately 18 g/kg DM (20 g/kg included in the diet with 90% pure inulin).

**Apparent digestibility**

After 10 d of adaptation to the experimental diets, a 3-d faecal collection was conducted for the determination of apparent digestibility of nutrients. On these days, all faeces produced by each dog were collected twice a day and weighed. The faeces were freeze-dried to a constant weight, pooled per dog and ground over a 1 mm sieve in a Retsch mill (ZM 100, Retsch B.V., Ochten, The Netherlands). Then the faeces of each dog were analysed for DM, ash, crude protein, crude fat, NDF, ADF, acid-detergent lignin and Ti, according to the procedures described previously. Starch and sugar were not analysed as these were assumed to be completely digested and absorbed. The NSP content of faeces was calculated by subtracting the crude protein and fat contents from the organic matter content. The apparent digestibility coefficient (ADC) for the nutrients was calculated using the following equations:

$$\text{Nutrient}_{i}\text{flow} = \text{Nutrient}_{i} \times \frac{\text{Ti}_{i}}{\text{Ti}_{f}}$$

$$\text{ADC} \% = \left(\frac{\text{Nutrient}_{i} - \text{Nutrient}_{i}\text{flow}}{\text{Nutrient}_{i}}\right) \times 100 \%,$$

where Nutrient$_{i}$flow is the nutrient flow (g/d), Nutrient$_{i}$ is the nutrient content of faeces (g/kg DM), Ti$_{i}$ is the Ti intake (g), Ti$_{f}$ is the Ti content of faeces (g/kg DM) and Nutrient$_{i}$ is the nutrient intake (g/d).

**Faecal consistency and fermentation products**

To evaluate colonic microbial fermentative activity for both dietary treatment groups, fresh faeces were collected from each dog during week 5 of the experiment within 15 min of defecation. Faeces consistency was scored using the following system(34): 1 = hard, dry pellets – small, hard mass; 2 = hard, formed, dry stool – remains firm and soft; 3 = soft, formed moist–softer stool that retains shape; 4 = soft, unformed – stool assumes shape of container; 5 = watery – liquid that can be poured. Directly after faecal scoring, the faeces were collected and homogenised using two spoons whereafter the samples were taken for SCFA, NH$_3$ and DM contents. All materials used for faeces collection and sampling were pre-sterilised using 70% ethanol. For the determination of faecal SCFA and NH$_3$ content, a sample of approximately 0.5–1.0 g was added to a 2 ml safe-lock tube (Eppendorf AG, Hamburg, Germany) containing 1.0 ml of 0.033 M H$_3$PO$_4$ for SCFA analysis or 1.0 ml of 10% TCA for NH$_3$ analysis. After the addition of faeces, the contents of each tube were mixed on a vortex for 3 s, weighed and stored at −20°C. For DM determination, approximately 1.5 g of faeces was added to a pre-weighed 2 ml safe-lock tube (Eppendorf AG), weighed and stored at −20°C. For the determination of SCFA and NH$_3$, the samples were thawed, mixed and centrifuged at 15 000 rpm for 5 min at 4°C (Centrifuge 5417R, Eppendorf AG). Concentrations of the SCFA (i.e. acetate, propionate, butyrate, iso-butyrate, valerate, iso-valerate) in the supernatant were determined as described by Bosch et al. (31). Branched-chain proportion was calculated as the percentage of branched-chain fatty acids (iso-butyrate, valerate, iso-valerate) of total SCFA(35). The faecal DM content was determined by freeze-drying to constant weight and used to calculate SCFA and NH$_3$ content in the original faeces.

**Blood sampling and plasma analyses**

Blood sampling was performed in week 6 of the experiment. The dogs were sedated using 0.02 ml/kg BW methadone hydrochloride (Mephenon®, Denolin, Brussels, Belgium) and a central venous catheter (18G/20 cm Leaderflex®; Vygon, Écouen, France) was placed in the jugular vein. The catheters were flushed with 1 ml heparinised saline (0.1 mg heparin/ml saline solution) directly after catheter placement and just before the sampling procedure. Furthermore, at the time of placement of the catheter, 15 mg/kg BW amoxicillin (Clamoxyl LA®; GlaxoSmithKline N.V., Genval, Belgium) was administered subcutaneously. Blood samples (2.5–3.0 ml) were obtained from each dog 30 min prior to feeding and 20, 40, 60 and 90 min postprandial. Thereafter, blood was sampled from four dogs in each group at 120, 180, 240, 300, 360, 420, 480 and 540 min after feeding, while the other four dogs in each group were sampled at 150, 210, 270, 330, 390, 450, 510 and 570 min after feeding. The blood samples were collected in chilled collection tubes containing K$_2$EDTA as an anticoagulant. After gentle mixing of the contents, each collection tube was opened and 25 μl dipeptidyl peptidase-IV inhibitor (Linco Research, MI, USA) and 125 μl Trasylol® (1.4 mg aprotinin/ml; Bayer AG, Leverkusen, Germany) were added. After gentle mixing of the contents, the tubes were temporarily stored on ice until centrifugation at 2500 g for 15 min at 4°C. After centrifugation, plasma was removed and stored in safe-lock tubes (Eppendorf AG) at −20°C until analysis. Each blood sample was processed within 30 min after collection. Blood plasma was analysed for glucose, insulin, total PYY, total GLP-1, dipeptidyl peptidase-IV inhibitor and total C-peptide.
and total ghrelin concentration. Plasma glucose was analysed according to the hexokinase method using a commercial test kit (Human GmbH, Wiesbaden, Germany), while plasma insulin, total PYY and total ghrelin were analysed using commercial RIA kits (human-specific insulin RIA kit, Linco Research; rat/mouse PYY RIA kit, Linco Research; and total ghrelin RIA kit, Linco Research, respectively). Plasma GLP-1 was analysed using an RIA specific for the C-terminal of the amidated GLP-1\(^{36,37}\). The intra-assay CV for the assays were 7·1 % for insulin, 6·2 % for ghrelin, 14·8 % for PYY and 6 % for GLP-1. The values obtained at 120 and 150, 120 and 240, 270 and 330, 260 and 390, 420 and 450, and 480 and 540 min postprandial were analysed together and presented as time points 135, 195, 255, 315, 375, 435 and 495 min, respectively. The basal concentration was defined as the average of the level in the first and last samples (30 min before the morning feeding and 45 min before the evening feeding, respectively). For PYY, GLP-1 and ghrelin, the area under the curve (AUC) from basal until 195 min after the meal for each measured parameter were approximated using the trapezoidal summation. Trapezoids were calculated as the length of the base (interval time between consecutive samples in min) times the average of the heights of the two sides (concentrations of consecutive samples). The time intervals were selected based on a minimal oro-caecal transit time of approximately 2·7 h in Standard Schnauzers with a BW of 12·9 (SEM 4·38). From this time onwards, the digesta arrives in the large intestine and fermentable dietary fibre becomes available for the microbial population and SCFA may be produced.

**Voluntary food intake**

At the end of the study (week 7), each dog was offered 1 kg of the dry extruded control diet that dogs previously experienced as palatable (Hill’s Science Plan Canine Adult with Beef, Hill’s Pet Nutrition Inc., Topeka, KS, USA). The dogs were allowed to eat for 20 min, after which food intake was recorded. The diet was offered to each dog at precisely 6 h after the morning feeding (14.30 hours).

**Statistical analyses**

The dogs were randomly allocated to the two treatments according to the BW and sex. All data were analysed using the Statistical Analysis Systems statistical software package version 9.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Differences in the ADC of nutrients, faecal characteristics (faecal score, DM, SCFA and NH\(_3\)) and plasma metabolites (the basal concentration of ghrelin, insulin, PYY, GLP-1 and ghrelin) between the dietary treatment groups were tested for significance using ANOVA by Proc GLM. The model used was

\[
Y = \mu + D_i + T_j + (D \times T)_{ij} + e_{ijk},
\]

where \(Y\) is the dependent variable, \(\mu\) is the average intercept, \(D_i\) is the effect of diet \(i\), \(T_j\) is the effect of time \(j\), \((D \times T)_{ij}\) is the interaction between diet and time and \(e_{ijk}\) is the error term. The basal concentrations were significant \((P<0.010)\) and included in the model as covariate. The correlations between VFI and plasma glucose and hormone concentrations were calculated using the Proc CORR statement. Differences were considered to be significant at \(P<0.05\).

**Results**

All dogs remained healthy throughout the study, although a general decrease in the BW was observed for both groups (approximately 5 % BW loss for each dietary treatment). No significant differences were found between the dietary treatments in the BW at the start and end of the experiment and BW loss \((P=0.906, 0.909 and 0.927, \text{respectively; data not shown})\). One dog in the HFF treatment group lost substantial BW during the trial and showed very high concentrations of ghrelin compared with the other dogs. The obtained physiological and VFI data from this dog were therefore excluded from the statistical analyses.

**Apparent digestibility**

The dogs fed the HFF diet showed higher ADC for DM and organic matter \((P<0.001)\), whereas the LFF-fed dogs had a higher ADC for crude fat \((P<0.001)\) and tended to have a higher crude protein digestibility \((P=0.099)\); Table 2). The NSP digestibility was higher for the HFF diet compared with the LFF diet \((P<0.001)\). In addition, the dogs fed the HFF diet showed higher ADC for NDF \((P<0.001)\) and ADF \((P=0.002)\) and tended to have a lower ADC for acid-detergent lignin \((P=0.082)\) compared with the dogs fed the LFF diet. Finally, the ADC for energy was higher for the HFF-fed dogs compared with the LFF-fed dogs \((P<0.001)\).

**Table 2.** Apparent digestibility coefficient (ADC; %) for nutrients and energy in the low-fermentable fibre diet (LFF) or the high-fermentable diet (HFF) fed to dogs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LFF</th>
<th>HFF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean SEM</td>
<td>Mean SEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>77·9 0·28</td>
<td>80·9 0·56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM</td>
<td>80·6 0·25</td>
<td>84·1 0·57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein</td>
<td>77·3 0·81</td>
<td>75·4 0·68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fat*</td>
<td>94·5 0·19</td>
<td>92·3 0·18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDF</td>
<td>37·0 0·59</td>
<td>62·3 2·14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADF</td>
<td>3·3 0·96</td>
<td>22·3 5·00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADL</td>
<td>43·7 4·13</td>
<td>34·9 2·26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSP</td>
<td>−2·8 0·69</td>
<td>20·6 4·96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross energy</td>
<td>82·7 0·25</td>
<td>84·9 0·43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OM, organic matter; NDF, neutral-detergent fibre; ADF, acid-detergent fibre; ADL, acid-detergent lignin.

* Due to the limited amount of faecal material available for the analysis, the values presented were based on seven dogs for the LFF treatment and six dogs for the HFF treatment.
Faecal consistency and fermentation products

Significant differences in the faecal characteristics between the treatment groups were observed (Table 3). The faecal DM content was lower for the dogs fed the HFF than the LFF ($P<0.001$) diet. Compared with the dogs fed the LFF diet, higher total SCFA, acetate and propionate concentrations were found for the dogs fed the HFF diet ($P<0.001$). Moreover, butyrate concentrations tended to be higher in the HFF dogs ($P=0.060$). The dogs fed the LFF diet showed a higher branched-chain ratio and NH$_3$ concentration in the faeces compared with the dogs fed the HFF diet ($P=0.002$ and 0.009, respectively). No significant treatment effect was found for faecal consistency score ($P=0.590$).

**Plasma metabolites**

Plasma glucose, insulin, PYY, GLP-1 and ghrelin parameters for both the dietary groups are shown in Table 4. The basal concentrations of the measured metabolites were not different between the treatments groups ($P>0.05$). For all the measured metabolites, postprandial concentrations changed after the meal ($P<0.01$), but the concentrations were not affected by the dietary treatment ($P>0.10$ for diet and diet x time interaction, data not shown). No significant differences were found between the treatment groups in AUC$_{0–195}$ min and AUC$_{195–495}$ min of PYY, GLP-1 and ghrelin ($P>0.10$).

**Voluntary food intake**

For each dog, the amount of food consumed at the end of the study was lower than the amount of food offered. The dogs fed the HFF diet tended to show a lower VFI compared with the dogs fed the LFF diet ($P=0.058$, Fig. 1). No significant correlations were found between VFI and glucose, insulin, PYY, GLP-1 or ghrelin concentration in plasma at 6 h after the meal ($P>0.05$, data not shown).

**Discussion**

The present study evaluated the impact of dietary fibre fermentability on the duration of postprandial satiety as measured by the hormones involved in satiation and VFI in dogs.

**Table 3.** Characteristics of the faeces of the dogs fed the low-fermentable fibre (LFF) diet and the high-fermentable (HFF) diet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LFF Mean</th>
<th>LFF SEM</th>
<th>HFF Mean</th>
<th>HFF SEM</th>
<th>$P$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DM (g/kg)</td>
<td>379·1</td>
<td>15·5</td>
<td>231·0</td>
<td>9·5</td>
<td>&lt; 0·001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total SCFA (mmol/g DM)</td>
<td>0·26</td>
<td>0·02</td>
<td>0·54</td>
<td>0·04</td>
<td>&lt; 0·001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetate (mmol/g DM)</td>
<td>0·14</td>
<td>0·02</td>
<td>0·32</td>
<td>0·03</td>
<td>&lt; 0·001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propionate (mmol/g DM)</td>
<td>0·06</td>
<td>0·01</td>
<td>0·14</td>
<td>0·01</td>
<td>&lt; 0·001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyrate (mmol/g DM)</td>
<td>0·03</td>
<td>0·00</td>
<td>0·05</td>
<td>0·01</td>
<td>0·060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCP (%)*</td>
<td>8·51</td>
<td>0·87</td>
<td>4·40</td>
<td>0·68</td>
<td>0·002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH$_3$ (mg/g DM)</td>
<td>2·73</td>
<td>0·24</td>
<td>3·45</td>
<td>0·53</td>
<td>0·240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH$_3$ (mg/ml faecal water)</td>
<td>1·66</td>
<td>0·15</td>
<td>1·02</td>
<td>0·15</td>
<td>0·009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faecal score (1–5)</td>
<td>2·44</td>
<td>0·11</td>
<td>2·50</td>
<td>0·00</td>
<td>0·590</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BCP, branched-chain proportion.

* Calculated as the percentage of branched-chain fatty acids (iso-butyrate, valerate, iso-valerate) of total SCFA$^{(30)}$.

The selection of fibre sources was based on the in vitro fermentation studies$^{(21,22)}$, that showed a low microbial degradability for cellulose and moderate and rapid fermentability for, respectively, sugarbeet pulp and inulin using the faeces from the dogs as inoculate. The difference in fibre degradability between the two diets was also shown in the present study. The dogs fed the HFF diet showed a higher ADC for NDF, ADF and NSP compared with the LFF-fed dogs, indicating a higher intestinal microbial degradation of those fibre sources used in the HFF diet. The higher microbial fibre degradation in the HFF-fed dogs resulted in a higher SCFA production, also reflected in a higher SCFA concentration in the faeces of these dogs. In the case of low availability of fermentable fibre (as with the LFF diet), the microbial population...
will probably resort to more proteolytic fermentation\(^{39}\). This was observed in the present study where the LFF-fed dogs showed a higher faecal NH\(_3\) concentration and BCP, both being the indicators of microbial protein degradation\(^{40}\). Finally, the ADC for crude protein tended to be lower for the dogs on the HFF diet, which is in agreement with the similar studies evaluating fermentable dietary sources\(^{20,41,42}\). It is suggested that this decrease should not be attributed to a lower true protein digestibility\(^{41}\), but is related to an increased microbial proliferation and to a higher faecal bacterial protein excretion\(^{20,42}\). From these summarised results, it can be concluded that compared with the LFF diet, the HFF diet resulted in higher large intestinal dietary fibre fermentation. This would consequently lead to higher SCFA concentrations in the large intestine.

The increased large intestinal fermentation was expected to have an impact on host satiety and appetite through its effect on the secretion of the gastrointestinal satiety-related hormones PYY, GLP-1 and ghrelin. Concerning the feelings of satiety and appetite, the dogs in the HFF treatment group tended to have a lower VFI compared with the LFF-fed dogs \((P=0.058)\). This suggests that dogs fed the HFF diet were less motivated to consume food when freely available. The amount of food consumed was however not correlated with any of the measured physiological metabolites. The causal relationship between the postprandial satiety-related hormone concentrations and the feelings of satiety or hunger varies between studies. For example, several recent studies found an association in the human subjects of changes in the concentrations of postprandial PYY\(^{43,44}\), whereas Weickert et al.\(^{45}\) reported blunted postprandial PYY and ghrelin responses in healthy women without alterations in hunger scores. Furthermore, Smeets et al.\(^{46}\) found that a high-protein lunch increased satiety but without increasing the plasma GLP-1 response, whereas a lunch adequate in protein but with a high carbohydrate content resulted in lower satiety rating but with increased GLP-1 response. Based on these findings, it was therefore suggested that the concentrations in the satiety-related hormones may be related to the nutrient-induced satiety without being directly and mathematically related to satiety\(^{47}\). This relationship may also indicate differences in interactions with other hormones or central sensitivity for these hormones\(^{48}\).

It can be questioned whether the dietary contrasts in the present study were sufficient to evoke differences in the secretion of measured hormones. The HFF contained 85 g/kg as-fed sugar beet pulp and 20 g/kg as-fed inulin which was slightly higher compared with the HFF diet used by Massimino et al.\(^{11}\) (60 g/kg sugar beet pulp, 20 g/kg gum arabic, 15 g/kg fructo-oligosaccharide on as-fed basis). The dogs fed the latter diet showed enhanced GLP-1 production and plasma GLP-1 concentrations after an oral load of glucose compared with the dogs fed a diet containing 70 g/kg as-fed cellulose\(^{11}\). The dietary contrast in the present experiment can therefore be considered to have the potential to affect at least GLP-1 production and secretion.

The present study aimed to induce a contrast in large intestinal SCFA concentrations that would affect the secretion of PYY and GLP-1 by the enteroendocrine L-cells. These specialised cells are present predominantly in the canine distal gastrointestinal tract\(^{48}\). It has been suggested that SCFA (mainly acetate and propionate) activate the GPR43 receptor expressed by the L-cells that are consequently stimulated to release PYY\(^{49}\). Several studies reported increased PYY release after the large intestinal infusion of SCFA in rats\(^{7}\) and oleic acid in dogs\(^{8}\). In addition, inclusion of fermentable fibre in a diet increased large intestinal PYY gene expression\(^{50}\) and PYY concentrations in rats\(^{50,51}\). Gee & Johnson\(^{52}\) reported similar effects of a single meal of fermentable fibre on plasma PYY concentrations in rats, but in the human subjects the observed effects were less. In addition to the production of PYY, the L-cells produce GLP-1 derived from the precursor molecule proglucagon\(^{10}\). Several studies reported enhanced expression of the proglucagon gene by SCFA\(^{53}\) or inclusion of fermentable fibre in the diet\(^{11,50}\). Moreover, fermentable fibre increased the number of L-cells in the proximal colon of rats\(^{54}\). On the other hand, GLP-1 release was not stimulated after large intestinal SCFA infusion in rats\(^{7}\). Interactions between satiety-related hormones may have contributed to the observed effects on satiety. For example, Neary et al.\(^{55}\) observed additive effects of PYY and GLP-1 in the inhibition of appetite and induction of satiety. Similarly, in obese rats a combination of intraperitoneal injection of amylin and PYY was found to reduce food intake more than amylin or PYY alone\(^{56}\).

Other mechanisms underlying the feelings of satiety or hunger may also have contributed to the observed differences between the treatment groups. Although for both experimental diets postprandial glucose concentrations were equally stable and no large fall below basal glucose level was found in sows as observed by de Leeuw et al.\(^{17}\) small transient declines in blood glucose concentrations could still be present and different between treatments. A transient decline in blood glucose preceded meal initiation in rats\(^{57}\) and a meal request in the human subjects\(^{58}\). Similar observations could only be performed when blood was sampled more frequently for glucose determination or continuous monitoring of blood glucose concentrations. Furthermore, the SCFA mainly produced in the HFF-fed dogs can be used as a source of energy (mainly acetate) at times when glucose supply from the small intestine is decreasing\(^{59,60}\). Bleiberg et al.\(^{60}\) estimated that large intestinal acetate production could contribute in excess of 5% of the total energy needs of dogs. Whether the SCFA from large intestinal fermentation and used as a source of energy will lead to pronounced differences in the feelings of satiety remains to be investigated.

In conclusion, the present study showed that the dogs fed the HFF diet had an increased large intestinal fibre degradation and the production of SCFA than the dogs fed the LFF diet. The HFF-fed dogs consumed less food during a challenge meal, which may be related to increased feelings of satiety. Postprandial plasma PYY, GLP-1, ghrelin and glucose responses did not differ between the treatment groups and could not be linked to the observed lowered voluntary food consumption of the dogs fed the HFF diet. It is likely that other satiety-related hormones and/or mechanisms controlling the feelings of satiety or hunger may have been involved in the observed decrease in VFI in the present study. Finally, inclusion of fermentable fibre in canine diets may contribute to the prevention or mitigation of obesity through its effects on satiety.
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