Effects of dietary cholesterol and fat on serum non-cholesterol sterols according to different apolipoprotein E subgroups among healthy men
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The impact of apo E phenotypes on applicability of relative cholesterol synthesis (lathosterol:cholesterol) and absorption (ratios of cholestanol, campesterol and sitosterol to cholesterol) during diets of various cholesterol and fat content is unclear. We examined and compared with each other both relative and absolute synthesis and absorption among twenty-nine men, of whom eight, nine and twelve had apo E phenotypes 2 (2/2, 2/3, 2/4), 3 (3/3) and 4 (3/4, 4/4), respectively. Serum lipids, lipoproteins, sterols and cholesterol metabolism were examined on four subsequent diets: high-cholesterol high-fat (home diet; HD), low-cholesterol low-fat (LCLF), high-cholesterol low-fat (HCLF) and low-cholesterol high-fat (LCHF). LDL-cholesterol (LDL-C) level was about 40% lower (P<0.05) in apo E2 than apo E3 and E4 groups irrespective of dietary fat and cholesterol. Serum proportions of phytosterols were determined apo E-dependently on LCLF and HCLF, and those of lathosterol, cholesterol, and campesterol were increased in apo E2 and E3 groups (P<0.05 for each v. HD). Serum proportion of sitosterol reflected almost consistently apo E phenotype (r range = 0.308 to +0.383; P range 0.214–0.011). Relative cholesterol synthesis and absorption reflected respective absolute values during each diet in the apo E4 group (r range = -0.713 to +0.893; P<0.05 for each), but only during HD (r +0.594; P=0.015) in the apo E2 + E3 group. The consumption of a high amount of fat did not interfere with cholesterol metabolism or serum levels of LDL-C differently in apo E phenotypes. Surrogate sterol markers of cholesterol metabolism reflected absolute ones (especially in the apo E4 group) and apo E phenotypes despite variable amounts of dietary cholesterol and fat.

Apolipoprotein E: Cholesterol: Non-cholesterol sterol metabolism: Phytosterols

Apo E phenotypes E2, E3 and E4 are involved in the homeostasis of cholesterol metabolism, in its elimination as bile acids, in the removal of chylomicron remnants and in the hepatic clearance of dietary fat1–3. In addition, apo E phenotypes and cholesterol absorption efficiency are interrelated, so that the e4 isoform is associated with enhanced and e2 isoform with low cholesterol absorption efficiency4,2. Interrelationship of apo E phenotypes with cholesterol absorption may in fact be a reason for the respective high and low levels of serum cholesterol. However, the association between apo E phenotypes and serum cholesterol level seems to be dependent on the amount of dietary fat and cholesterol. We have shown in our previous studies that during ad libitum home diet (HD) with high fat and cholesterol intake (38% energy (E%)d and 574 mg/d, respectively), a positive association between apo E phenotypes and cholesterol absorption efficiency was present, but not any longer when the intakes of fat and cholesterol were reduced4,3. Furthermore, during low fat and cholesterol intake (24 E%d, 208 mg/d, respectively), the absorption efficiency and synthesis of cholesterol were apo E dependent, vanishing, however, after four-fold increase in cholesterol intake5. Accordingly, it seems evident that the interplay between apo E phenotypes and cholesterol metabolism is dependent on the dietary amount of cholesterol and fat, and this may be the reason why in some studies this association could not be shown6,7. It seems also evident that apo E phenotype regulates the sensitivity of serum total cholesterol (TC) and LDL-cholesterol (LDL-C) levels in response to the amount of dietary cholesterol and fat3–5. Accordingly, we were interested in the interplay of apo E and extensively increased intake in dietary saturated fat on serum TC and LDL-C and absolute cholesterol metabolism.

From among small amounts of non-cholesterol sterols in serum and especially in LDL particles the most important are dietary phytosterols, cholesterol, a metabolite of cholesterol, and cholesterol precursor steryl8–10. In steady-state conditions, the non-cholesterol sterols reflect cholesterol metabolism such that cholesterol and the phytosterols campesterol and sitosterol reflect positively the fractional cholesterol absorption8–10 whereas the cholesterol precursor sterols lathosterol and desmosterol parallel the changes in cholesterol synthesis8 and the activity of hepatic HMG-CoA reductase.

Abbreviations: E%, % energy; HCLF, high-cholesterol low-fat diet; HD, home diet; LCHF, low-cholesterol high-fat diet; LCLF, low-cholesterol low-fat diet; LDL-C, LDL-cholesterol; TC, total cholesterol.
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under normal conditions\(^{(11)}\). Since the positive association of apo E phenotypes with cholesterol metabolism was weakened when modulating the intakes of fat and cholesterol\(^{(3–5)}\), we assumed that apo E phenotypes interfere also the metabolism of these serum non-cholesterol sterols, and the regulation is dependent on the amount of dietary fat and cholesterol.

Accordingly, the aims of the present study were to evaluate the effects of different apo E phenotypes on non-cholesterol sterol metabolism when diets with low and high cholesterol and fat contents are consumed by healthy hypercholesterolemic middle-aged men. Furthermore, we aimed to reveal the sensitivity of these surrogate sterol markers of cholesterol metabolism to the respective absolute ones in variable dietary conditions in different apo E phenotypes.

**Subjects and methods**

**Study population**

Since the baseline characteristics of the study population have been reported in earlier studies\(^{(3,5,12)}\), only a short description is given here. The study population consisted of twenty-nine healthy male subjects. Their age (54 (SEM 1) years) was equal in the apo E phenotypes. The study population included eight individuals with the apo E2 allele (two with apo E2/2, five with apo E2/3 and one with apo E2/4; the apo E2 group), nine with the apo E3/3 phenotype (the apo E3 group) and twelve with the apo E4 allele (ten with apo E3/4 and two with apo E4/4; the apo E4 group). All subjects gave informed consent, and the study protocol had been accepted by the Ethics Committee of the Department of Internal Medicine, Helsinki University Central Hospital.

**Experimental design**

Results concerning LDL kinetics, cholesterol metabolism, and serum lipids and lipoproteins during low-fat low-cholesterol and low-fat high-cholesterol diets have been published earlier\(^{(3,5)}\). Our previous study revealed metabolism of cholesterol and non-cholesterol sterols in this study population without apo E reference during the four dietary interventions\(^{(12)}\). The subjects were studied first at baseline on their normal diet (baseline HD) and during the following three separate 6-week dietary periods at the out-patient ward at least 3 months apart (Table 1). On HD, the average daily cholesterol intake was 574 mg, and 38% of energy was as fat. During the low-cholesterol low-fat diet (LCLF), dietary fat and cholesterol intakes were 24 E% and 200 mg/d. During the high-cholesterol low-fat diet (HCLF), cholesterol (890 mg/d) was added to the diet as three egg yolks per d, and the fat intake was 30 E%. During the low-cholesterol high-fat diet (LCHF), 39% of energy was as fat and cholesterol intake was 200 mg/d. Eighteen of the study subjects attended the fourth dietary period. Their relative distribution into different apo E groups was similar to that of the whole study group (i.e. six, five and seven subjects, respectively).

On HD, three of the subjects refused to make stool collections and participate in cholesterol absorption measurement. The participants received written dietary instructions and oral advice from our dietitian on a weekly basis. During 1 week of each study period (the last week), the subjects carefully

### Table 1. Baseline characteristics of study subjects according to apolipoprotein E subgroup

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>HD (n 29)</th>
<th>LCLF (n 29)</th>
<th>HCLF (n 29)</th>
<th>LCHF (n 18)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean SEM</td>
<td>Mean SEM</td>
<td>Mean SEM</td>
<td>Mean SEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary cholesterol (mg/kg per d)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo E2 (n 8)</td>
<td>7.1 0.8</td>
<td>2.7† 0.2</td>
<td>11.8‡ 0.5</td>
<td>4.2§ 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo E3 (n 9)</td>
<td>6.8 0.5</td>
<td>2.7† 0.4</td>
<td>11.1‡ 1.3</td>
<td>4.3§ 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo E4 (n 12)</td>
<td>7.7 0.8</td>
<td>2.5‡ 0.3</td>
<td>11.0‡ 1.0</td>
<td>3.8§ 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary fat (g/kg per d)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo E2 (n 8)</td>
<td>1.4 0.1</td>
<td>0.7† 0.1</td>
<td>0.8† 0.1</td>
<td>1.1‡ 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo E3 (n 9)</td>
<td>1.5 0.1</td>
<td>0.7† 0.1</td>
<td>1.0§ 0.1</td>
<td>1.5§ 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo E4 (n 12)</td>
<td>1.4 0.1</td>
<td>0.6† 0.1</td>
<td>0.9†† 0.1</td>
<td>1.2† 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary fat (E%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApoE2 (n 8)</td>
<td>36 1.2</td>
<td>24 1.2</td>
<td>33 0.2</td>
<td>38 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApoE3 (n 9)</td>
<td>40 1.9</td>
<td>24 2.0</td>
<td>30 1.6</td>
<td>43 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApoE4 (n 12)</td>
<td>37 1.2</td>
<td>23 2.0</td>
<td>27 1.6</td>
<td>36 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary campesterol (mg/kg per d)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo E2 (n 8)</td>
<td>0.7 0.1</td>
<td>0.7 0.1</td>
<td>0.6 0.1</td>
<td>0.6* 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo E3 (n 9)</td>
<td>0.9 0.2</td>
<td>0.7 0.1</td>
<td>0.7 0.1</td>
<td>1.1* 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo E4 (n 12)</td>
<td>0.7 0.1</td>
<td>0.7 0.1</td>
<td>0.7 0.1</td>
<td>0.7* 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HD, home diet; LCLF, low-cholesterol low-fat diet; HCLF, high-cholesterol low-fat diet; LCHF, low-cholesterol high-fat diet; E%, percentage energy.

\(a, b\) Mean values within a column with unlike superscript letters are significantly different \((P<0.05)\).

\(a, b\) Number of subjects in the apo E phenotypes 2, 3 and 4 were 6, 5 and 7, respectively.

\(†\) Mean value is significantly different from that for HD \((P<0.05)\).

‡ Mean value is significantly different from that for LCLF \((P<0.05)\).

§ Mean value is significantly different from that for HCLF \((P<0.05)\).
recorded their 3 d dietary intakes according to instructions given by the dietician. Body weight was measured during each study period. Blood samples were drawn after an overnight fast once at the beginning (not shown) and three times (from which mean values were calculated) during the last week of each dietary period for lipid, lipoprotein and sterol analyses. Cholesterol absorption, sterol balance and faecal data were studied at the end of each period.

Determinations
All analyses of serum and faecal samples were carried out from fresh samples immediately after the study.

Serum lipids, lipoproteins and sterols, and faecal fat, bile acids and neutral sterols were measured as described earlier (13–17). Also faecal steroid and cholesterol absorption measurements were performed as described earlier (3,5,9). For these purposes all subjects consumed during the dietary recording week (the last week of each dietary period) a capsule containing [14C]cholesterol, [3H]sitosterol and chromic oxide (Cr2O3) with each major meal (breakfast, lunch and dinner) for 7 d. A 3 d stool collection was performed during the last 3 d of the week. Because the recoveries of [3H]sitosterol and Cr2O3 were identical, the faecal flow value was corrected with the recovery value of Cr2O3 (18). Fractional absorption for cholesterol was measured as the change in the ratio of the two isotopes (19). The utilisation of radioactive tracers was considered to be completely safe in the setting of the present study. The stable isotopes would have given equal results as discussed in our previous study (12). Children and women of childbearing age were not included in our studies. The stable isotopes are preferable in further metabolic studies due to lack of radioactivity. Cholesterol synthesis was calculated by using the sterol balance technique as the difference between the sum of faecal bile acids plus neutral sterols of cholesterol origin (cholesterol + coprostanol + coprostanone) and dietary cholesterol. The latter was calculated from the dietary records (see above) (20). Synthesis of cholesterol (mg/d) and fractional cholesterol absorption (%) were regarded as absolute markers of cholesterol synthesis and absorption, respectively.

Since plant sterols are not metabolised in the human body, and small amounts of absorbed dietary campesterol and sitosterol are secreted through bile into the intestine, indicates that their faecal amounts, including unchanged parent compounds and bacterial conversion products, represent their dietary intake. Faecal values of campesterol and sitosterol given in the present study include also their bacterial conversion products, and their respective sum represents dietary plant sterol intake.

The corresponding sterol markers for cholesterol absorption were ratios of cholestanol, campesterol and sitosterol to cholesterol in serum (called proportions in the text), whereas that of lathosterol served as a marker of cholesterol synthesis (8–10). In the text proportions of absorption markers are called relative absorption and that of lathosterol relative synthesis. Ratios of relative synthesis to relative absorption (for example, lathosterol: sitosterol) were calculated to show synthesis of lathosterol in μg per 1 μg sitosterol (or respective absorption sterol). Correspondingly, the absolute synthesis (mg/d):absolute absorption (%) ratios were calculated to show amount of daily cholesterol synthesis per 1 % of absolute cholesterol absorption.

The consumption of cholesterol, fat (Table 1), SFA, MUFA and PUFA was determined from the computerised data of the food records (not shown), and the PUFA:SFA ratio was calculated for each diet.

Apo E phenotyping was performed on serum samples by immuno-electrophoresis and electric focusing (11).

Statistical analysis
The results are expressed as mean values with their standard errors. To eliminate the effect of varying cholesterol contents, serum non-cholesterol sterol values were related to serum cholesterol and are expressed in terms of 10^2 × mmol/mol cholesterol of the same GLC run (called proportions in the text). The data were analysed for significance and normality with Number Crunching Statistical Software™ (NCSS™; Statistical Solutions Ltd, 2000, Kaysville, UT, USA). Non-parametric statistical tests were used, because variables were mainly not normally distributed and the number of study subjects in each apo E subgroup was small. Comparisons between the dietary periods and between the apo E subgroups within each dietary period were performed with Kruskall–Wallis non-parametric ANOVA. The P values given by comparisons between the dietary periods are in the column on the right in Tables 1–3. If the P value was below 0.05, comparisons between the diets were carried out with the Wilcoxon signed-rank test for difference in medians. Additionally, P values for analysis between the apo E subgroups are given below the respective columns and comparisons between the subgroups were made accordingly if the P value was below 0.05. Correlations were analysed by the Spearman rank correlation test. The association of the apo E phenotypes with different variables or the changes in these variables was also tested by correlating the variables with the apo E phenotypes (termed apo E subscript in the text so that E2/2 = 1, E2/3 = 2, E2/4 = 3, E3/3 = 4, E3/4 = 5 and E4/4 = 6) according to the Spearman rank correlation test. To expand the range of variations the four dietary periods were combined and these data are given in the text as ‘combined analysis’ and in the tables as ‘all’. Because the number of subjects, particularly in the apo E2 and E3 groups, was small and the most significant correlations were found in the apo E4 group, the E2 and E3 groups were combined in some correlation analyses to increase statistical power and for clarity of the data representation. A P value < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Diets
Body weight (81 (SEM 2) kg) of the study subjects was equal between the apo E subgroups and remained stable during the dietary periods. Dietary cholesterol intake varied from 208 (SEM 13) mg/d (LCLF) to 879 (SEM 39) mg/d (HCLF), that of fat from 53 (SEM 3) g/d (LCLF) to 113 (SEM 4) g/d (HD) during the dietary periods, and mean E% of fat was lowest on LCLF but highest on HD and LCHF (see Table 1 for statistical analysis), but these values were equal between the apo E subgroups within each diet (Table 1). Due to the high
amount of saturated fat, the PUFA:SFA ratios were low on HD and LCHF, but equal between the apo E phenotypes within each diet (not shown).

Dietary intake of plant sterols was equal between the diets. Mean dietary campesterol intake varied from 52 (SEM 3) to 60 (SEM 6) mg/d, and the respective values for sitosterol were from 158 (SEM 12) to 174 (SEM 9) mg/d. Comparison between the apo E subgroups revealed higher intake of campesterol in the E3 group on LCHF (Table 1).

Serum lipids and lipoproteins

LCLF reduced mean serum TC level by 15 % from HD in the E3 group (P<0.05). Comparison of TC between the subgroups showed higher TC values in the E2 group (P<0.05 for each). LDL-C was lowest in the E3 group on LCHF (Table 1).

Serum proportion of campesterol was elevated on LCHF in the E2 and the E3 groups (Table 2). Comparisons between the apo E subgroups revealed higher mean proportions of both campesterol and sitosterol in the E3 and the E4 groups than in the E2 group during HD and HCLF (P<0.05 for each) (except campesterol during HD), but in the apo E4 group only during LCLF (r=0.601 to P<0.05 for both).

Table 2. Serum total and low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol, and non-cholesterol sterol proportions in study subjects during dietary periods (Mean values with their standard errors)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diets...</th>
<th>HD (n 29)</th>
<th>LCLF (n 29)</th>
<th>HCLF (n 29)</th>
<th>LCHF (n 18)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variables</td>
<td>Mean SEM</td>
<td>Mean SEM</td>
<td>Mean SEM</td>
<td>Mean SEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo E2 (n 8)</td>
<td>5.2± 0.4</td>
<td>4.4 0.5</td>
<td>4.6± 0.3</td>
<td>4.9 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo E3 (n 9)</td>
<td>6.8± 0.2</td>
<td>5.6± 0.3</td>
<td>6.1± 0.3</td>
<td>5.9 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo E4 (n 12)</td>
<td>6.2± 0.4</td>
<td>5.2 0.3</td>
<td>5.9± 0.3</td>
<td>5.9 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-cholesterol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo E2 (n 8)</td>
<td>2.3± 0.3</td>
<td>1.9± 0.2</td>
<td>2.0± 0.3</td>
<td>2.0± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo E3 (n 9)</td>
<td>3.8± 0.2</td>
<td>3.2± 0.3</td>
<td>3.5± 0.2</td>
<td>3.8± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo E4 (n 12)</td>
<td>3.6± 0.3</td>
<td>2.9± 0.2</td>
<td>3.4± 0.2</td>
<td>3.9± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.012 0.050</td>
<td>0.004 0.138</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lathosterol‡</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo E2 (n 8)</td>
<td>162 14</td>
<td>150 16</td>
<td>143 11</td>
<td>241 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo E3 (n 9)</td>
<td>139 19</td>
<td>119 13</td>
<td>104 11</td>
<td>206 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo E4 (n 12)</td>
<td>138 18</td>
<td>133 17</td>
<td>136 22</td>
<td>253 56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholestanol§</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo E2 (n 8)</td>
<td>72 5</td>
<td>99 6</td>
<td>104 5</td>
<td>127 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo E3 (n 9)</td>
<td>87 12</td>
<td>129 11</td>
<td>122 6</td>
<td>141 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo E4 (n 12)</td>
<td>93 10</td>
<td>125 13</td>
<td>122 10</td>
<td>131 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campesterol¶</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo E2 (n 8)</td>
<td>136 14</td>
<td>148 15</td>
<td>120 11</td>
<td>201 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo E3 (n 9)</td>
<td>185 20</td>
<td>183 18</td>
<td>176 19</td>
<td>281 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo E4 (n 12)</td>
<td>202 29</td>
<td>207 30</td>
<td>196 29</td>
<td>262 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitosterol¶</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo E2 (n 8)</td>
<td>88 13</td>
<td>104 4</td>
<td>88 5</td>
<td>115 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo E3 (n 9)</td>
<td>117 15</td>
<td>137 10</td>
<td>122 10</td>
<td>156 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo E4 (n 12)</td>
<td>129 11</td>
<td>143 13</td>
<td>136 14</td>
<td>143 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HD, home diet; LCLF, low-cholesterol low-fat diet; HCLF, high-cholesterol low-fat diet; LCHF, low-cholesterol high-fat diet.

* Mean values within a column with unlike superscript letters are significantly different (P<0.05).

† Enzymic cholesterol.
‡ Mean value is significantly different from that for HD (P<0.05).
§ 100 x mmol/mol cholesterol.
¶ Mean value is significantly different from that for LCLF (P<0.05).
† Mean value is significantly different from that for HCLF (P<0.05).
Cholesterol absorption and metabolism

The data of fractional cholesterol absorption and absolute cholesterol synthesis in the apo E phenotypes during HD, LCLF and HCLF have been reported previously (3, 5) (also see Discussion). During the high-fat feeding, fractional cholesterol absorption (% was 34.8 (SEM 4.2), 39.9 (SEM 2.1) and 38.1 (SEM 4.1) (NS), cholesterol synthesis (mg/kg/d) was 11.4 (SEM 1.9), 9.9 (SEM 1.6) and 8.1 (SEM 1.2) (NS), and faecal neutral sterols (mg/kg/d) were 8.4 (SEM 1.0), 9.1 (SEM 0.8) and 6.8 (SEM 0.4) (NS) in the apo E2, E3 and E4 phenotypes, respectively. Subjects in the apo E3 and E4 phenotypes had about 26% (P < 0.05) lower fractional cholesterol absorption during the high-fat feeding than during HD, while cholesterol synthesis remained equal in the apo E phenotypes between the diets. Faecal neutral sterols during the high-fat feeding were 43–54% lower than during HCLF (P < 0.05 for each phenotype). Bile acid synthesis remained equal between the apo E phenotypes on each diet and between the diets (not shown).

Correlations between serum non-cholesterol sterols, absolute markers of cholesterol metabolism and apo E subscript

In the apo E4 group, relative markers of cholesterol absorption were almost consistently, during LCLF, HCLF and LCHF, negatively related to the absolute cholesterol synthesis (r range –0.597 to –0.964; P range 0.04 to <0.001) and serum proportion of lathosterol (r range –0.524 to –0.964; P range 0.08 to <0.001), but less consistently (i.e. on LCLF and HCLF) positively to fractional cholesterol absorption (r range 0.462 to +0.734; P range 0.10–0.01). Serum proportions of lathosterol was positively related to absolute cholesterol synthesis also in an apo E4-dependent manner (r range 0.524 to +0.734; P range 0.10–0.01). Absolute cholesterol synthesis – but not the respective relative sterol marker, lathosterol (not shown) – was negatively associated with apo E subscript during HD and in combined analysis (Table 3).

Among the relative (cholestanol, campesterol and sitosterol) and absolute markers of cholesterol absorption, positive association was detected with apo E subscript in each of them in combined analysis and also during HD except campesterol (data not shown) (Table 3). Additionally, both plant sterols were positively related to apo E subscript with HCLF, and sitosterol also with LCLF (Table 3).

Ratios of relative (µg lathosterol/µg sitosterol) and absolute markers of cholesterol synthesis and absorption were negatively associated with apo E subscript during HD and in combined analysis (Table 3).

In the apo E2+E3 group, the lathosterol:sitosterol ratio was positively related to the absolute cholesterol synthesis: fractional absorption ratio in combined analysis, but, in diet-specific analysis, solely during the simultaneous high-cholesterol high-fat feeding (Fig. 1 (A)). In the apo E4 group, a positive relationship was found during each diet (Fig. 1 (B)).

Discussion

The main new results of the present study were that (1) the effects of the apo E phenotype on the serum levels of LDL-C were prevailing contrary to that of various amounts of cholesterol and fat in diets. (2) The most impressive interaction between diet and genetic regulation (apo E phenotypes) on serum cholesterol and cholesterol metabolism could be observed during simultaneous high cholesterol and high saturated fat intake (HD), when fractional cholesterol absorption was higher in the apo E3 (49.8 (SEM 2.3)%) and apo E4 groups (55.1 (SEM 4.3)%) than in the apo E2 group (40.2 (SEM 2.8)%) (P=0.020), but synthesis of cholesterol was about 56% lower in the apo E3 and E4 groups than in the E2 group (P=0.041) (3, 5). (3) Serum surrogate sterol markers of cholesterol metabolism reflected absolute absorption and synthesis of cholesterol despite varying amounts of dietary cholesterol and fat – particularly in the apo E4 group. (4) Both absolute and relative markers of cholesterol absorption were almost consistently positively associated with the apo E subscript in combined analysis of the diets and during the high-cholesterol high-fat diet (HD). (5) During HCLF, serum proportions of campesterol and sitosterol were higher among subjects with the E3 and E4 than with the E2 phenotype, probably mirroring higher fractional sterol absorption in these subgroups. (6) LCHF increased serum proportions of cholesterol, campesterol and sitosterol in the apo E2 and E3 groups. (7) Metabolism of lathosterol was apo E dependent.

It was the apo E4 group in which the relative sterol markers of cholesterol metabolism were almost consistently related to the respective absolute values during the different diets. Interestingly, during LCHF, when surprisingly high serum proportions of these non-cholesterol sterols were detected, lathosterol was tightly inversely interrelated to the three relative serum sterol markers of cholesterol absorption (cholestanol, campesterol and sitosterol). This finding indicates that despite the increase in serum proportions of these non-cholesterol sterols they reliably serve as surrogate markers of cholesterol metabolism. Our previous study showed that cholesterol homeostasis is maintained during consumption of various amounts of dietary cholesterol and fat, and that surrogate markers reliably follow-up the changes in cholesterol metabolism (12). The results of the present study suggest that these serum non-cholesterol sterol markers were even more sensitive to reflect homeostasis of cholesterol metabolism during the diets – especially in the apo E4 group – than the absolute values, as the absolute ones showed weak interrelation with each other in the present apo E phenotype-specific analysis. The reason for the weak interrelationship of the absolute values with each other in the present study may be the small number of subjects in apo E phenotypes, because the interrelationship was consistent during the diets in the whole study population of twenty-nine subjects (12). Results of our previous (12) and the present study are in agreement with earlier studies concerning the applicability of cholestanol as a relative marker of cholesterol absorption (8–10, 21).

Among our study subjects, the absolute cholesterol synthesis and the absolute cholesterol synthesis: fractional cholesterol absorption ratio were inversely related to the apo E phenotype during HD and in combined analysis. Apo E phenotype-dependency was also found when the ratio of the corresponding surrogate markers – lathosterol:sitosterol – was compared with the apo E subscript. Additionally, on HD and in combined analysis, fractional cholesterol absorption and serum proportion of cholestanol positively reflected the apo E subscript. Overall, these findings suggest that
absolute and relative markers of cholesterol synthesis and absorption reflect diet-induced changes in cholesterol metabolism equally with respect to the apo E subscript.

Earlier data indicate that subjects with the apo E4 phenotype have higher fractional cholesterol absorption than those with apo E2, whereas the apo E3 phenotype falls in between\(^\text{2-5}\). According to the absolute values, a trend similar to that was also found during LCHF in the present study. It has also been shown that reduction in the amount of dietary fat and cholesterol leads to disappearance of the relationship between fractional cholesterol absorption and the apo E phenotype\(^\text{6}\). Contrary to these results, a previous study performed with subjects homozygous for the apo E2 and E4 volunteers to attend the high-fat feeding diet, which led to a low number of study subjects in each apo E subtype, thus reducing the statistical power. That fractional cholesterol absorption decreased during each diet from HD in the E3 and E4 groups (also during LCHF as a new finding) is in agreement with apo E2, whereas the apo E3 phenotype falls in between\(^\text{2-5}\). According to the absolute values, a trend similar to that was also found during LCHF in the present study. It has also been shown that reduction in the amount of dietary fat and cholesterol leads to disappearance of the relationship between fractional cholesterol absorption and the apo E phenotype\(^\text{6}\). Contrary to these results, a previous study performed with subjects homozygous for the apo E2 and E4 consuming 62–409 mg cholesterol daily indicated that the efficiency of intestinal absorption and synthesis of cholesterol in humans is not related to the apo E phenotype\(^\text{6}\). In this respect, equal results were obtained by Sehayek et al.\(^\text{7}\).

Results of the present study support the view that apo E phenotype is involved in determining fractional cholesterol absorption. This was evidenced by the higher proportions of campesterol and particularly of sitosterol among the E3 and E4 groups than the E2 group especially during HCLF, but also to a lesser extent during LCLF. Furthermore, the present study showed a positive relationship of serum sitosterol proportion to apo E subscript on each diet except LCHF. However, the results of the present and the previous studies\(^\text{6}\) are not fully comparable as our study subjects included only two subjects homozygous for apo E2 and E4. A weakness of the present study was that only eighteen of the study subjects volunteered to attend the high-fat feeding diet, which led to a low number of study subjects in each apo E subtype, thus reducing the statistical power. That fractional cholesterol absorption decreased during each diet from HD in the E3 and E4 groups (also during LCHF as a new finding) is in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables...</th>
<th>Cholesterol synthesis (mg/d)</th>
<th>Cholesterol proportion (mmol/mol cholesterol)</th>
<th>Sitosterol proportion (mmol/mol cholesterol)</th>
<th>Cholesterol absorption (%)</th>
<th>Lathosterol:sitosterol</th>
<th>Synthesis:absorption (mg/d/%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apo E subscript</td>
<td>HD (n 27)</td>
<td>-0.413*</td>
<td>+0.400*</td>
<td>+0.383*</td>
<td>+0.397*</td>
<td>-0.469*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LCLF (n 29)</td>
<td>-0.283</td>
<td>+0.342</td>
<td>+0.428*</td>
<td>+0.183</td>
<td>-0.365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LCHF (n 29)</td>
<td>-0.197</td>
<td>+0.356</td>
<td>+0.463*</td>
<td>+0.301</td>
<td>-0.314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All (n 103)</td>
<td>-0.285**</td>
<td>+0.253*</td>
<td>+0.381***</td>
<td>+0.239*</td>
<td>-0.349***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001.

Fig. 1. Spearman rank correlations between serum lathosterol: sitosterol ratios (µg lathosterol/µg sitosterol) and absolute cholesterol synthesis (mg/d): fractional cholesterol absorption (%) ratio in the apo E2 + E3 group (A) and the apo E4 group (B) during combined analysis, in which all diets are included (—). Home diet (HD) in (A) and low-cholesterol low-fat diet (LCLF) in (B) are illustrated separately (—). (A) For diets combined for the apo E2 + E3 group (n 61; ○), r 0.388, P=0.002, y = 0.02x + 0.89. For the HD for the apo E2 + E3 group (n 16; ●), r 0.594, P=0.015, y = 0.04x + 0.85. (B) For diets combined for the apo E4 group (n 40; ○), r 0.659, P<0.001, y = 0.05x + 0.36. For LCLF for the apo E4 group (n 12; ●), r 0.853, P<0.001, y = 0.05x – 0.09.

Table 3. Correlation matrices for apolipoprotein E subscript and relative and absolute markers of cholesterol absorption and synthesis during the home diet (HD) and after switching to a low-cholesterol low-fat diet (LCLF), a high-cholesterol low-fat diet (HCLF) and a low-cholesterol high-fat diet (LCHF) and in combined analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables...</th>
<th>Cholesterol synthesis (mg/d)</th>
<th>Cholesterol proportion (mmol/mol cholesterol)</th>
<th>Sitosterol proportion (mmol/mol cholesterol)</th>
<th>Cholesterol absorption (%)</th>
<th>Lathosterol:sitosterol</th>
<th>Synthesis:absorption (mg/d/%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apo E subscript</td>
<td>HD (n 27)</td>
<td>-0.413*</td>
<td>+0.400*</td>
<td>+0.383*</td>
<td>+0.397*</td>
<td>-0.469*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LCLF (n 29)</td>
<td>-0.283</td>
<td>+0.342</td>
<td>+0.428*</td>
<td>+0.183</td>
<td>-0.365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LCHF (n 29)</td>
<td>-0.197</td>
<td>+0.356</td>
<td>+0.463*</td>
<td>+0.301</td>
<td>-0.314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All (n 103)</td>
<td>-0.285**</td>
<td>+0.253*</td>
<td>+0.381***</td>
<td>+0.239*</td>
<td>-0.349***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001.
accordance with earlier data suggesting that these phenotypes are more sensitive to changes in the amount of dietary cholesterol and fat than the E2.

One of the clinically relevant findings of the present study was that despite the amount of cholesterol and fat in the diet, serum proportions of plant sterols – particularly that of sitosterol – were determined in an apo E phenotype-dependent manner. This was evidenced, first, by the high serum proportions of the two plant sterols in the apo E3 and E4 groups particularly during HCLF, and, second, by their positive relationship to apo E subtype. This may indicate that especially subjects during HCLF, and, second, by their positive relationship to apo E phenotype.

This was evidenced, first, by the high serum proportions of the plant sterols – particularly that of sitosterol – as suggested in recent studies\(^22,23\). LCHF diet apparently could not inhibit plant sterol absorption. Interestingly, however, their serum proportions were almost consistently sensitive to their dietary amount in the apo E2 and E3 groups contrary to that of the apo E4 group. In our previous study, serum proportions of campesterol and sitosterol were increased during LCHF putatively due to their better absorption during dietary consumption of high amounts of saturated fat of plant origin\(^12\).

In conclusion, the present study indicated that a high amount of dietary fat does not interfere with cholesterol metabolism, serum levels of LDL-C or serum proportions of sitosterol and campesterol differently in the apo E phenotypes. Furthermore, despite the influence of the apo E phenotype on metabolism of non-cholesterol sterols, they serve as surrogate markers to detect changes in cholesterol metabolism during diets of different cholesterol and fat contents.
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