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The aim of the present systematic review was to evaluate the influence of early life exposure (maternal and childhood) to peanuts and the subsequent development of sensitisation or allergy to peanuts during childhood. Studies that assessed the impact of non-avoidance compared with avoidance or reduced quantities of peanuts or peanut products on either sensitisation or allergy to peanuts, or both outcomes, were eligible. Six human studies were identified: two randomised controlled trials, two case-control studies and two cross-sectional studies. In addition, published animal and mechanistic studies, relevant to the question of whether early life exposure to peanuts affects the subsequent development of peanut sensitisation, were reviewed narratively. Overall, the evidence reviewed was heterogeneous, and was limited in quality, for example, through lack of adjustment for potentially confounding factors. The nature of the evidence has therefore hindered the development of definitive conclusions. The systematic review of human studies and narrative expert-led reviews of animal studies do not provide clear evidence to suggest that either maternal exposure, or early or delayed introduction of peanuts in the diets of children, has an impact upon subsequent development of sensitisation or allergy to peanuts. Results from some animal studies (and limited evidence from human subjects) suggest that the dose of peanuts is an important mediator of peanut sensitisation and tolerance; low doses tend to lead to sensitisation and higher doses tend to lead to tolerance.

Peanut allergy: Diet: Prenatal exposure: Childhood exposure

Onset of peanut allergy typically occurs in childhood, with 70–100%¹ of peanut-allergic children being reported to react upon their first known dietary exposure to peanuts. Because IgE-mediated allergic reactions require prior exposure and immunological sensitisation to the allergen, this suggests that sensitisation has already been acquired, either in utero, or by unrecognised oral exposure or non-oral (cutaneous or respiratory) routes. As many as one in fifty-five children in the UK may currently show evidence of an allergic reaction to peanuts;² indeed, peanut allergy is the most common cause of severe allergic reaction to foods, causing 30% of all cases of anaphylaxis outside hospital.³ Data from the Isle of Wight UK Birth Cohort Study suggest a three-fold rise in the prevalence of peanut sensitisation and allergy in children born between 1989–90 and 1994–6.⁴,⁵ Recent data from children born in 2001–2 show no further increases in the prevalence of peanut sensitisation and allergy.⁶

In 1998 the UK Government issued precautionary advice to mothers whose children have a family history of allergic diseases, that they may wish to avoid peanut consumption during pregnancy and breast-feeding and avoid giving the child peanuts and peanut products until the child is 3 years of age.⁷ The precautionary advice was based on recommendations from the UK Committee on Toxicity of Chemicals in Food, Consumer Products and the Environment (COT), which advises the UK Government. The recommendations of the COT have since come under scrutiny as further scientific evidence on the development of peanut allergy and other food allergies in children has emerged.

The UK Food Standards Agency therefore commissioned a systematic review of the literature on food allergy published since 1999, in order to assess the relevant evidence base since the COT issued its recommendations in 1998. The systematic review evaluated studies that aimed to investigate dietary food allergen consumption or avoidance behaviour in early life and subsequent development of food allergy, with a particular focus on peanut allergy. The systematic review covered a wide range of food allergies including cows’

Abbreviations: COT, Committee on Toxicity of Chemicals in Food, Consumer Products and the Environment; RCT, randomised controlled trial.
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...milk, eggs, fish and nuts, as well as peanut allergy. In this paper, we present the evidence from this systematic review of literature on early-life patterns of exposure to, and avoidance of, peanut allergens and later development of sensitisation and clinical peanut allergy. The role of maternal and childhood diets and the subsequent development of sensitisation and allergy to peanuts was investigated using evidence published since 1998. Non-dietary exposure to peanuts, for example, cutaneous or environmental exposure, was also reviewed. The review included both human and animal studies, and also a review of evidence investigating the response of cord blood mononuclear cells to allergens in order to reflect on the concept that intra-uterine immunological sensitisation can occur and increases the likelihood of subsequent atopic disease.

Methods

Systematic reviews

The research questions related to peanut sensitisation and allergy from human studies are shown below.

**Question 1.** Does maternal dietary consumption of peanuts or peanut products — or avoidance of dietary consumption of peanuts or peanut products — during pregnancy/lactation have any impact on the subsequent development of sensitisation, or allergy to peanuts by the child?

**Question 2.** Does dietary consumption of peanuts or peanut products — or avoidance of dietary consumption of peanuts or peanut products in childhood — have any impact on the subsequent development of sensitisation or allergy to peanuts?

**Question 3.** Does non-dietary exposure to peanuts in childhood, for instance via skin or the respiratory tract, have any impact on the subsequent development of sensitisation or allergy to peanuts?

All types of study design were included except case reports and therapeutic or treatment studies where the aim was to control allergic symptoms. The comparison of interest in each study was avoidance or reduced quantities with non-avoidance of peanuts or peanut products. Both dietary and non-dietary sources (for example, peanut oil in skin creams) were included in the systematic review. Excluded were studies reporting dietary intake as nutrients rather than foods and studies on vitamin and mineral supplements, food preservatives or additives. Outcomes of interest concerned both sensitisation and allergy. Measures of sensitisation included peanut-specific skin prick tests and peanut-specific IgE. Measures of clinical allergy included a positive food challenge to peanuts (open or blind, or quality assessment was resolved by discussion). The algorithm for inclusion was independently by two reviewers; any disagreement between the reviewers was resolved by discussion between the reviewers.

**Question 4.** Has the current UK Government guidance on dietary consumption of peanuts and peanut products had any impact on sensitisation and allergy rates to peanuts in the UK?

Included were studies that provide information on knowledge of, or adherence to, the 1998 COT recommendations, as well as information on sensitisation or allergy to peanuts in the child. Cohort, case-control and cross-sectional studies assessing the development of sensitisation or clinical peanut allergy in relation to adherence to COT recommendations were included. Subjects were women who became pregnant after June 1998 and infants and children conceived after June 1998. The exposures included maternal knowledge of the COT recommendations, and data on dietary and non-dietary sources of peanuts in the maternal diet and in the child’s diet up to the age of 3 years. The outcomes of interest were dietary (maternal and child) intake of peanuts, and sensitisation to peanuts and peanut allergy in the child (using the same measures as previously described).

Study searches

The Cochrane Library (Systematic Reviews and Central Databases), MEDLINE, EMBASE and CAB Abstracts were searched from 1 January 1999 to 7 March 2008. The search strategy included both medical subject heading (MeSH) terms and text terms where possible. A search strategy that included terms to cover all the research questions for human studies was developed. Terms for peanuts (*Arachis hypogaea*, arachis oil, peanut*) were combined with those for sensitisation and allergy (food hypersensitivity, allerg*, atopy*, atopic* intolerance, hypersensitivity*). The search was restricted to papers published in English and to subjects aged from newborn to 12 years. The reference lists of reviews and included studies were checked for any further papers. Abstracts presented at meetings and/or conferences that were unsupported by a full published paper were not included. A separate search, on authors of included studies, was conducted to find further articles written by these authors.

No study was excluded on the basis of quality. All titles and abstracts of papers identified by the searches were assessed for inclusion by one reviewer. Full copies of papers considered potentially relevant (not clearly excluded) were obtained. The full copies of papers were assessed for eligibility independently by two reviewers; any disagreement between the reviewers was resolved by discussion between the reviewers or by assessment by a third reviewer. Data extraction forms were developed based upon the checklists developed by the Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (8), and included questions to critically appraise each study. All data extraction forms were completed independently by two reviewers; any disagreement between the reviewers regarding relevant data or quality assessment was resolved by discussion.

Narrative expert-led reviews

Expert-led reviews were conducted of animal studies on peanut sensitisation or allergy, based on questions 1 to 3. Studies on animal models were initially identified using the same search terms, time period and databases as for the human studies, and then the search was expanded to cover a broader time period (studies published from 1980 onwards).

The recommendations of the COT issued in 1998 were in part based on the concept that intra-uterine immunological sensitisation can occur and increases the likelihood of subsequent atopic disease. This concept was primarily based on reports of *in vitro* proliferative and cytokine responses by...
allergen-stimulated cord blood mononuclear cells (9) and the demonstration that such responses were associated with subsequent atopic disease (10). Therefore, a narrative expert-led review on the response of cord blood mononuclear cells to allergens was conducted, in order to assess developments in this area of research since the COT recommendations were issued.

Results

Identification of studies

A total of 5799 references were identified in the full systematic review. After removal of duplicate references (those retrieved by more than one database search), 3518 references remained. From these, 357 papers were deemed potentially relevant and full papers were obtained. Following duplicate assessment, a total of thirty-two papers were judged to be relevant. The $\kappa$ score for agreement was 0.63 (95% CI 0.50, 0.76); this result lies in the range ($\kappa$ 0.6–0.8) for substantial agreement.

Of these thirty-two papers, six reported on maternal or childhood exposure to peanuts and either peanut sensitisation or allergy: there were two randomised controlled trials (RCT), two case–control studies and two cross-sectional studies.

Question 1: mother’s diet during pregnancy and lactation

Two case–control studies assessed peanut consumption during pregnancy (Table 1). One (11) studied a high-risk group (children with suspected food allergy) in South Africa. Those sensitised to peanuts (established by detection of peanut-specific IgE, but no food challenge tests) were compared with controls with milk or egg sensitivity (but no sensitivity to peanuts). The study was small (only twenty-five cases) and the results were not adjusted for potentially confounding factors. The authors reported an OR of 3.97 (95% CI 0.73, 24.0) for cases compared with controls; this did not reach statistical significance. The second case–control study (12), from the UK, was also small. Twenty-three confirmed cases (diagnosed using reports of reactions plus skin prick test or double-blind placebo-controlled food challenge) of peanut allergy were compared with atopic (non-peanut allergic) and non-allergic controls. Even though the results were not adjusted for potentially confounding factors, there was no association between consumption of peanuts during pregnancy and peanut allergy in the child.

The same two case–control studies also specifically assessed peanut consumption during lactation. One (11) found no association between peanut consumption during lactation and peanut sensitisation in the child (OR 2.19; 95% CI 0.39, 13.47). The second (12) found that mothers of children with peanut allergy were more likely to consume peanuts at least seven times per week compared with mothers of atopic or normal controls; however, when these results were adjusted for potentially confounding factors, the outcome was no longer statistically significant (the factors adjusted for were not reported).

One other cross-sectional study made reference to maternal peanut consumption and peanut sensitisation in infants (2). The authors reported that there was no statistically significant difference in whether mothers changed their peanut

### Table 1. Maternal dietary consumption or avoidance of peanuts and subsequent sensitisation or allergy to peanuts in the child

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference and study design</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Measure of peanut intake</th>
<th>Outcome measure</th>
<th>Results: pregnancy</th>
<th>Results: lactation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frank et al. (1999) (11)</td>
<td>Case–control</td>
<td>Twenty-five cases with peanut sensitization, eighteen controls with milk or egg sensitization</td>
<td>Sensitisation: positive peanut-specific IgE</td>
<td>Unadjusted OR (peanut consumption per week) 3.97 (95% CI 0.39, 13.47)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack et al. (2003) (12)</td>
<td>Case–control</td>
<td>Subjects aged 0–3 years</td>
<td>Peanut allergy: DBPCFC</td>
<td>Percentage of mothers who consumed peanuts: Cases 65%, Controls (atopic): 71%, Controls (non-atopic): 61%</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
consumption (reduced or avoided, i.e. as a response to the COT recommendations) and whether their children were sensitised to peanuts. There were no detailed data reported in the paper, so it remains unclear whether this statement refers to maternal intake during pregnancy, lactation or both.

No peer-reviewed studies in animals that assessed the impact of maternal dietary exposure to peanuts and the subsequent development of sensitisation or allergy to peanuts in the offspring were found.

**Question 2: childhood diet**

Two RCT and one case–control study were relevant to research question 2 (Table 2). The two RCT investigated multifaceted interventions comprising avoidance of multiple potentially allergenic foods plus household avoidance measures (such as house dust mite avoidance, no pets, no smoking) in high-risk populations. Both interventions included advice on the mothers’ diets. For one intervention mothers were asked to avoid peanuts, other nuts and fish for the last trimester of pregnancy and during lactation. In the second intervention dairy products, eggs, wheat, nuts, fish and soya were excluded from the mothers’ diets during lactation. Both studies included dietary intervention in infants, which was focused on duration of breast-feeding. Recommendations differed for each study: breast-feeding for at least 9 months or for 1 year. Both studies included advice to delay introduction of cows’ milk, fish and peanuts until 6 or 9 months.

Both studies reported no statistically significant differences between the intervention and control groups with regard to peanut sensitisation. Rates of sensitisation differed between the two studies and rates were higher in the study from Canada compared with the UK study.

One case–control study reported on the mean age of introduction of peanuts or peanut butter. On average, peanuts were introduced earlier in cases compared with controls (12·5 months) for 9 months or for 1 year. Both studies included advice to delay introduction of cows’ milk, fish and peanuts until 6 or 9 months.

Table 2. Childhood dietary consumption or avoidance of peanuts and subsequent sensitisation or allergy to peanuts in the child

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure of peanut intake and intervention</th>
<th>Reference and study design</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Age of introduction of peanuts</th>
<th>Outcome measure</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age of introduction of peanuts in the offspring were found.</td>
<td>Chan-Yeung et al. (2005)(14)</td>
<td>Case-control study</td>
<td>Full-term newborns followed for 8 years</td>
<td>Sensitisation: positive peanut-specific IgE</td>
<td>At age 7 years, percentage sensitisation to peanuts: intervention group 0%; Control group 6.9% NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitisation: positive peanut SPT</td>
<td>Frank et al. (1999)(11)</td>
<td>Case–control study</td>
<td>Subjects aged 0–3 years</td>
<td>Mother completed a standard questionnaire</td>
<td>Intervention group: 0%; Control group: 1.6% NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitisation: positive peanut SPT</td>
<td>Arshad et al. (2007)(13)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Sixty-nine infants in intervention group and sixty-seven in control group</td>
<td>Sensitisation: positive peanut SPT</td>
<td>At age 8 years, percentage sensitisation to peanuts: intervention group 0%; Control group 6.9% NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question 3: non-dietary exposure to peanuts in childhood, for instance via skin or the respiratory tract**

One study reported on the maternal use of breast creams containing peanut oil and found no association between their use and risk of peanut allergy. The study also reported on the use of creams containing peanut oil on infants’ skin and it remains unclear whether this statement refers to maternal intake during pregnancy, lactation or both.

No peer-reviewed studies in animals that assessed the impact of maternal dietary exposure to peanuts and the subsequent development of sensitisation or allergy to peanuts in the offspring were found.
found that children with peanut allergy were statistically significantly more likely to have had these creams containing peanut oil applied, compared with controls. This study had only twenty-three cases but the result is statistically significant even after adjusting for confounders (intake of soya milk or formula and rashes). More than 90% children with peanut allergy had been exposed to skin creams containing peanut oil, compared with less than 60% in the control groups. Cases of peanut allergy were also exposed to a greater number of different peanut oil-containing preparations than controls; this finding was highly statistically significant ($P<0.0001$).

There is also evidence from studies in mice that relatively small amounts of peanut allergen when applied to the skin can induce a (peanut-specific) IgE antibody response (15). In these studies, peanut protein was applied to damaged skin, rather than to intact skin, which may be important. However, apparently healthy human skin often contains minor abrasions, and barrier function in skin from atopic individuals in particular is often compromised (18). Moreover, it is possible that even with intact skin, sufficient protein may gain access to cause immunological priming.

**Question 4: has the current UK Government guidance on dietary consumption of peanuts and peanut products had any impact on sensitisation and allergy rates to peanuts in the UK?**

Two cross-sectional studies were relevant to research question 4 (Table 3) (2,19). One study utilised a birth cohort (658 children) from the Isle of Wight (19), subjects were initially recruited whilst mothers were pregnant. The infants were born between 1 September 2001 and 31 August 2002, 3 years after the COT recommendations were first issued. A questionnaire to assess compliance with the COT recommendations was administered to mothers when children were aged 2 years. Of the mothers who responded, 42% recalled awareness of the COT recommendations, but this was not associated with maternal atopy or family history of atopy. About two-thirds (65%) of mothers stated that they avoided peanuts during pregnancy; this was not affected by the presence or absence of maternal atopy or family history of atopy. Mothers having their first child were most likely to change their diet (either avoiding or reducing peanut consumption) during pregnancy, regardless of their atopic status. When the birth cohort reached the age of 2 years, 2% of the sample were sensitised to peanuts. Of the mothers with sensitised children, 77% reported that they had avoided peanuts when pregnant. The findings demonstrate that the target population (pregnant or lactating women who were atopic or where another first-degree relative of the child is atopic) did not necessarily take up the advice. The COT recommendations appear to have been misunderstood, as women not in the target group also avoided peanuts.

The other cross-sectional study (2) involved 1072 mother and child pairs. The children were aged 4–5 years, born after the COT recommendations were first issued, and living in Southampton or Manchester. Overall, 61% of mothers recalled the COT recommendations, and recall was not associated with the mothers’ atopy status. Of those who recalled the recommendations, 61% reported a dietary change, though few women's atopic or where another first-degree relative of the child is atopic did not necessarily take up the advice. The COT recommendations appear to have been misunderstood, as women not in the target group also avoided peanuts. Five out of eight mothers who reduced or avoided intake of peanuts were atopic. Out of the twenty, seven mothers reduced intake of peanuts and one avoided peanuts during pregnancy. The other group of twenty three cases but the result is statistically significant ($P<0.0001$).

**Table 3. Studies evaluating the impact of the 1998 UK Committee on Toxicity of Chemicals in Food, Consumer Products and the Environment (COT) recommendations to avoid peanuts**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference and study design</th>
<th>Age of child at outcome measure</th>
<th>Compliance with 1998 COT recommendations</th>
<th>Sensitisation: positive peanut-specific SPT, or IgE blood tests</th>
<th>Outcome measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dean et al. (2007) (19)</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>42% of mothers recalled knowledge of COT recommendations</td>
<td>65% of mothers reported avoiding peanuts during pregnancy</td>
<td>Sensitisation: positive peanut-specific SPT, or IgE blood tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hourihane et al. (2007) (2)</td>
<td>4–5 years</td>
<td>61% of mothers recalled knowledge of COT recommendations</td>
<td>Of those who recalled COT advice, 61% reported a change in diet (reduced or avoided peanut consumption)</td>
<td>Sensitisation: positive peanut-specific SPT, or IgE blood tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Of those who recalled avoiding peanuts during pregnancy</td>
<td>Sensitisation: positive peanut-specific SPT, or IgE blood tests</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SPT skin prick test; DBPCFC, double-blind placebo-controlled food challenge.

(2007) (19) hours & 10 minutes; (2007) (2) hours & 10 minutes.

https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms, available at https://doi.org/10.1017/S000711450999376X
stopped eating peanuts altogether. Most (89%) women reported reducing peanut consumption and only 10% reported eliminating peanuts during pregnancy. Of the women who regularly ate peanuts before becoming pregnant and who breast-fed their child, 46% changed their diet (eliminated or reduced intake of peanuts) while breast-feeding. Of those who breast-fed, 41% thought that they had eaten peanuts. Two-thirds (65%) of children were reported to have consumed peanuts; the mean age of introduction was 36 months. There was no statistically significant difference in the age at which peanuts were introduced for those who were peanut sensitised compared with those who were not sensitised (32 vs. 29 months). By comparison, a small case–control study\(^ {11}\) reported an earlier age of introduction of peanuts in those who were sensitised (12.5 v. 17.3 months; \(P=0.03\)).

Another study also presented information on peanut allergy in relation to compliance with the COT recommendations\(^ {2,21}\). A total of twenty infants were identified as having peanut allergy, and almost half of these had atopic mothers (9/20). Of these twenty mother–child pairs, eight mothers of children with peanut allergy had reduced their intake or avoided peanuts during pregnancy and lactation. There was no association between take up of the COT recommendations and atopic status of the mother.

**Narrative review on cord blood mononuclear cell response to allergens**

Research published since the 1998 COT recommendations has demonstrated that it is highly likely that the fetus is exposed to small (but variable) amounts of food proteins derived from the maternal diet and transported across the placenta. However, it remains unclear whether this fetal exposure results in *in utero* sensitisation of the fetal immune system. Moreover, it is not possible to conclude that *in vitro* cord blood mononuclear cell responses observed following stimulation with food proteins necessarily reflect *in utero* exposure\(^ {20}\), *in utero* sensitisation\(^ {21}\), or an increased risk of clinical allergy during later life\(^ {22,23}\).

**Discussion**

The systematic review of human evidence found only six relevant studies. These do not suggest that maternal exposure to or avoidance of peanuts modifies the likelihood of subsequent peanut sensitisation or allergy in the child. No relevant studies in animal models were identified. The 1998 COT recommendations embraced evidence from studies of cord blood mononuclear cell responses after stimulation with food allergens and aeroallergens. Studies since 1998 have not related maternal peanut exposure to cord blood mononuclear cell responses observed following stimulation with food proteins necessarily reflect *in utero* exposure, *in utero* sensitisation\(^ {21}\), or an increased risk of clinical allergy during later life\(^ {22,23}\).

Evidence from two studies\(^ {2,19}\) designed to evaluate the impact of the 1998 COT recommendations found that there appears to be confusion among the general public regarding the COT recommendations and that the advice has not been interpreted as intended. More than 60% of women reported having reduced (rather than avoided) consumption of peanuts during pregnancy and lactation, including those not targeted by the COT recommendations. Results from animal studies indicate that dose, at least in the diets of young animals, is an important determinant of whether tolerance or sensitisation is the result of peanut exposure\(^ {15}\). Therefore the degree to which consumption of peanuts is reduced by mothers could be potentially important. There was also no indication that for subsequent allergic disease would be low\(^ {24}\). The one study that attempted to detect peanut-specific IgE in cord blood was unable to do so in twenty-three neonates who subsequently developed peanut allergy\(^ {12}\).

With regard to early childhood, evidence from human studies does not suggest that dietary exposure to, or avoidance or delaying introduction of, food proteins changes the likelihood of subsequently developing atopic sensitisation or clinical allergy. There are few studies that have investigated the timing of introduction of potential food allergens and more research is required in this area. One case–control study reported that peanuts were introduced at an earlier age in cases of peanut sensitisation compared with controls\(^ {11}\). However, two RCT\(^ {13,14}\) found no effect on peanut sensitisation when introduction of peanuts was delayed, although these interventions were multifaceted and not specifically designed to investigate the effects of dietary consumption or avoidance of peanuts on outcomes. Evidence from animal studies\(^ {15}\) suggests that oral exposure to low doses of peanut protein may induce sensitisation, whereas high doses may result in tolerance. If applicable to humans, these results suggest that incomplete attempts at avoidance of exposure to peanuts (i.e. exposure to low doses) could potentially be harmful, rather than protective. This is a particular concern in light of evidence\(^ {2}\) that incomplete avoidance of peanuts is common. The results of investigations in animals need to be confirmed by further studies and their relevance to human subjects explored, in particular the concept of ‘small’ and ‘larger’ amounts in human terms.

There is little information available from human studies on the effects of non-dietary exposure to peanuts on the development of sensitisation and allergy. However, one study\(^ {12}\) did show an increased risk of peanut allergy in children who were exposed to skin creams containing peanut oil. However, it is possible that differential recall bias by mothers of peanut-allergic children could have contributed to this result. There is evidence from experimental animal studies examining responses to topically applied peanut proteins that is consistent with the human evidence, but further studies are required to elucidate whether topical exposure to peanut protein presents a real risk with respect to sensitisation. There is good evidence from experimental models, using ovalbumin as the allergen, that the skin is an important route for sensitisation\(^ {25}\). Currently in the UK, the Committee on Safety of Medicines advises patients known to be allergic to peanuts not to take or use medicines using peanut oil; regulations also state that there should be clear labelling of peanut oil whenever used in skin creams.
the target group (women with a family history of allergy) were more likely to take up the advice. Of children who developed peanut sensitisation or allergy, a significant number (77% sensitisation, 40% allergy) of mothers reported that they reduced their intake or avoided peanuts.19

The systematic nature of the review means that concerns over bias in the identification, evaluation and reporting of the evidence are minimised. Decisions on inclusion and exclusion of studies, and data extraction, were independently carried out by two reviewers. The review has not included unpublished literature, as this would have been difficult to identify in a systematic way. However, there may be other studies that have been carried out and never published, possibly because they did not find any statistically significant results. The search was restricted to the English language and hence may have missed relevant studies published in languages other than English. Owing to the limited number of studies identified and their heterogeneous nature, meta-analysis was impossible. Studies assessed a heterogeneous range of exposures and interventions that were measured in a number of ways; there was also heterogeneity in the ascertainment of outcome (sensitisation and allergy).

The lack of high-quality human studies and the heterogeneous nature of the evidence have hindered the development of definitive conclusions from the present review. The studies tended to be carried out in high-risk populations with either an atopic family history in parents and/or siblings, attendance of the infant at an allergy clinic, or raised cord blood allergen-specific IgE in the infant. Furthermore, few studies included peanuts as an exposure. Of the six studies, only two were RCT and these both investigated multi-faceted interventions. In general, compliance with the intervention was good in both RCT. Although these RCT did measure sensitisation to peanuts, the intervention included avoidance of other food allergens as well as peanuts; the trials were also too small to be sufficiently powered to detect differences in peanut sensitisation between groups. The remainder of the studies were either small case–control studies that often did not adjust for other factors or cross-sectional studies. Obtaining evidence of sensitisation for each child is problematic (often due to issues of consent) and response rates for skin prick tests were 21%2 and 62%19 for the cross-sectional studies. Most studies reported information on sensitisation to peanuts; there was little evidence on clinical peanut allergy. Not all children with sensitisation to peanuts experience symptoms, so it is important to recognise sensitisation and clinical allergy as distinct outcomes.

Revised position statements on dietary restrictions in pregnancy and early life and their effects on the risk of atopy have recently been published in Australia26 and the USA27,28. Based on a summary of existing evidence, the Australian Society of Clinical Immunology and Allergy concluded that dietary restrictions in pregnancy and lactation are not recommended. They also suggested that the avoidance of peanuts for the first 2–4 years of life might be of value in high-risk children and is unlikely to cause harm, but they emphasise that there is no evidence to support this.

A recent report from the American Academy of Pediatrics27,28 on the effects of early nutritional interventions on the development of atopic disease in infants and children, which considered the available evidence on the role of maternal dietary restriction, breast-feeding, timing of introduction of complementary foods, and hydrolysed formulas, also stated that current evidence does not support a major role for maternal dietary restrictions during pregnancy or lactation. This supersedes a consensus document from the USA29 (developed from a critical review on food allergy and the introduction of solid foods to infants) which suggested that paediatricians and allergists should assess infants with an increased risk of allergy on a case-by-case basis. They suggest that the optimal age for introduction of peanuts is at least 36 months. Furthermore, a systematic review on the relationship between early introduction of solid foods and the development of allergic disease concluded that there are few data supporting an association between early solid feeding and allergy with the exception of eczema30. Subsequent to the completion of the present systematic analysis two relevant studies have been published. The first, a comparative study of the UK and Israel31, showed that despite peanuts being introduced into the diet early in Israel, there is a low prevalence of peanut allergy; this has led to speculation that early introduction of peanuts, rather than avoidance, reduces the risk of peanut allergy. The second has highlighted the potential importance of environmental exposure (cutaneous contact and inhalation in the home) to ‘household peanuts’ in infancy in increasing the risk of peanut allergy32. This study concluded that peanut sensitisation occurs as a result of environmental exposure in infancy; high levels of environmental exposure appeared to promote sensitisation and low levels may be protective in atopic children.

These recently published reports are consistent with the results of our systematic review. They conclude that there is little evidence to support maternal dietary restriction during pregnancy or lactation, and that there is little evidence to support avoidance of peanuts in early infancy.

Conclusions

The systematic review of human studies and the narrative expert-led reviews have not provided clear evidence to suggest that either maternal exposure to or avoidance of peanuts, or early or delayed introduction of peanuts in the diet of children, has an impact upon subsequent development of sensitisation or allergy to peanuts. On completion of the review, the findings were submitted to the COT, which advises the UK Government. The COT has reviewed the evidence from the systematic review, alongside other scientific evidence, to assess the evidence base relevant to the 1998 COT dietary recommendations on peanut allergy; the COT concluded that its previous recommendations (made in 1998) are no longer supported by the current evidence. Following this process, the UK Food Standards Agency Board has considered the conclusions of the COT and advised UK Health Ministers to revise the Government’s precautionary advice to mothers regarding peanut consumption during pregnancy and breastfeeding, and early-life peanut consumption by the child, to become in line with the conclusions of the COT.

The impact of maternal exposure and timing of introduction of peanuts into the infant diet on allergic outcomes remains an active area of research. Studies are underway that have the potential to provide more definitive data by 2013. An example is the Learning Early About Peanut Allergy (LEAP) study33, which considered the available evidence on the role of...
which is a clinical intervention study testing the hypothesis that high-dose, early introduction of peanuts into infant diets will induce oral tolerance and prevent the development of peanut allergy. Mechanistic analyses of samples from the LEAP cohort could help develop an understanding of the processes in the developing immune system that lead to the acquisition of oral tolerance to peanuts, peanut sensitisation and peanut allergy.

The results from studies such as this could potentially strengthen the nature of the evidence on early exposure to, and avoidance of, peanut allergens and later development of sensitisation or allergy to peanuts. In the meantime, the available evidence does not support the avoidance of peanuts in maternal diets during pregnancy or lactation, or delayed introduction of peanuts in the diets of children.
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