Reference distribution of the bioelectrical impedance vector in healthy term newborns
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Bioelectrical impedance vector analysis (BIVA) is a new method that is used for the routine monitoring of the variation in body fluids and nutritional status with assumptions regarding body composition values. The aim of the present study was to determine bivariate tolerance intervals of the whole-body impedance vector and to describe phase angle (PA) values for healthy term newborns aged 7–28 d. This descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted on healthy term neonates born at a low-risk public maternity. General and anthropometric neonatal data and bioelectrical impedance data (800 μA–50 kHz) were obtained. Bivariate vector analysis was conducted with the resistance–reactance (RXc) graph method. The BIVA software was used to construct the graphs. The study was conducted on 109 neonates (52.3 % females) who were born at term, adequate for gestational age, exclusively breast-fed and aged 13 (SD 3.6) d. We constructed one standard, reference, RXc-score graph and RXc-tolerance ellipses (50, 75 and 95 %) that can be used with any analyser. Mean PA was 3.14 (SD 0.43)° (3.12 (SD 0.39)° for males and 3.17 (SD 0.48)° for females). Considering the overlapping of ellipses of males and females with the general distribution, a graph for newborns aged 7–28 d with the same reference tolerance ellipse was defined for boys and girls. The results differ from those reported in the literature probably, in part, due to the ethnic differences in body composition. BIVA and PA permit an assessment without the need to know body weight and the prediction error of conventional impedance formulas.
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Bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) is a method that is used for the measurement of ionic electrical conduction of soft tissues, represented by the vector impedance Z, which is a combination of resistance (R) and reactance (Xc) through the tissues(1,2).

BIA results are influenced by factors such as the environment, ethnicity, phase of menstrual cycle and underlying medical conditions. It has been suggested that biological and physiological assumptions for the estimation of body composition, which are mainly based on Caucasian samples, may not be accurate for other ethnic groups. There are several factors that are responsible for ethnic differences: fat distribution, body density and differences in proportional limb lengths(3). According to Sluyter et al.(4) who studied healthy adolescents, the relationship between BIA and body composition is ethnicity dependent. Haroum et al.(5) found a significant variability in body composition among different ethnic groups while studying adolescents, which were not reflected by BMI.

The need for predictive BIA equations validated for the population under study can be obviated by using alternative methods such as bioelectrical impedance vector analysis (BIVA) and the study of phase angle (PA)(6). In BIVA, R and Xc corrected for height/length (H) are plotted on the RXc plane as vector points, and they do not depend on equations or models(6,7). BIVA values are available in the literature for healthy neonates during the first week of life(8), but not during the late neonatal period. PA reflects changes in the electrical conductivity of the body, indicating changes in cell membrane integrity and in the intercellular space(2,3–10).

The hypothesis for the present study was that due to differences in water turnover and the variability in body composition between ethnic groups, the BIVA of neonates aged 7–28 d would present vectors differing from those reported in the literature. Thus, the objective of the present study was to establish R- and Xc-corrected values and to construct BIVA curves for healthy 7- to 28-d-old neonates born at term and adequate for gestational age, and also to establish PA values.

Subjects and methods

This was a descriptive cross-sectional cohort study conducted at a public maternity that attends low complexity cases in Ribeirão Preto, São Paulo, Brazil. Healthy neonates of both sexes aged 7–28 d, exclusively breast-fed, and with an adequate weight gain (25–30 g/d) and considered to be adequate
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for gestational age at birth were included in the study. The adequacy of gestational age was determined by the intrauterine growth curve of Alexander et al. (11).

The evaluations were performed during the puerperal return visit 7 d after delivery. Some inclusion criteria, such as adequate birth weight for gestational age, number of prenatal visits and exclusive breast-feeding, were verified according to the classification of the WHO (12).

Body weight was measured with the neonate being unclothed and lying on a Filizola Baby® scale, and body length was measured with an Alturexata® horizontal anthropometer. A multifrequency RJL System® Model Quantum II (800 μA and 50 kHz) apparatus was used for measuring bioelectrical impedance. Adhesive electrodes were placed on previously standardised points on the hand and foot. The neonate was positioned in dorsal decubitus, and the bioelectrical impedance data (R and Xc) were obtained when the neonate was still, preferably during calm sleep, avoiding contact between the upper limbs and the trunk, and between the lower limbs. The recommendations of Kyle et al. (13) were followed, although within the limitations of the neonatal period.

R and Xc values were measured three times in each infant, and the mean values were used. The apparatus was calibrated after every twenty evaluations using a 500 Ω resistor provided by the manufacturer.

PA was obtained from the arc-tangent ratio Xc/R. To transform the result from radians to degrees, the result that was obtained was multiplied by 180°/π or approximately by 57.297° (14).

The Statistical Analysis Systems 9.1® (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA) (15) software was used to calculate the Pearson correlation coefficient (r), and for linear regression, models adjusted with and without the weight variable as a confounder were used. The Hotelling T² test and univariate analysis (F test) were applied by the BIVA software 2002 (16) for the analysis of the CI for the comparison of the subject groups and for the analysis of tolerance intervals. The 95% CI and the 5% level of significance were used in all analyses.

The present study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki, and all procedures involving human subjects/patients were approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the University Hospital, School of Medicine of Ribeirão Preto, University of São Paulo. All mothers or persons responsible for the neonates gave written informed consent to participate in the study.

Results

A total of 109 neonates (fifty-two boys and fifty-seven girls) were evaluated between December 2006 and March 2007. We determined maternal age, number of pregnancies (including the current one), parity, number of abortions, weeks of gestation when prenatal care was started and number of prenatal visits attended. Data are reported as means and standard deviations. The mean age of the mothers of the neonates studied was 24 (SD 5.3) years. The mean number of pregnancies was 2 (SD 1.5), with a low abortion rate (0.3 (SD 0.5)). The mean number of prenatal visits was 8 (SD 2.0), a value considered adequate by the WHO in situations of prenatal monitoring of low-risk pregnancies (17, 18).

The mean gestational age was 39.8 weeks at the time of the study. The birth weight of all the neonates studied was 3297 ± 9 g, and birth length was 0.493 m. The mean neonatal age at the time of the study was 13 d, and the mean body length was 0.507 m. The mean neonatal body weight was greater among boys (3631 ± 7 g for boys and 3466 ± 6 g for girls).

Table 1 lists all the values needed for the construction of RXc graphs for BIVA of neonates aged 7–28 d, as well as the PA values. These data were also analysed separately based on sex in order to determine whether it would be necessary to construct and later use separate RXc graphs for each sex for this age range. In the first step of the analyses, when only the sex variable was used, associations between sex and R (P = 0.03), and between sex and R/H (P = 0.02) were detected. No sex association was detected for Xc (P = 0.11), Xc/H (P = 0.07) or PA (P = 0.59). In a second step, when current body weight was added as a possible confounder, it was observed that the association of the sex variable with R (P = 0.10) and R/H (P = 0.13) did not persist. The Xc variable (P = 0.06), as well as the Xc/H (P = 0.07) and PA (P = 0.24) variables continued to show no association with sex or body weight.

Table 1 shows the anthropometric characteristics and the impedance measurements of the study subjects according to sex, as well as their comparison with the data reported by Piccoli et al. (19), who studied neonates aged 0–7 d, and those reported by Savino et al. (19), who studied infants aged 0–3–99 months.

The values thus obtained permitted the construction of the RXc graphs using the BIVA software 2002 (16). Fig. 1 presents the impedance vectors with tolerance ellipses of 50, 75 and 95% for all the neonates, and for boys and girls aged 7–28 d, respectively. The CI shown in Fig. 1 reveal that there is a statistically significant difference between the vectors for girls and boys (P = 0.0382) as well as between the remaining vectors (P = 0.0000), even though the clinical relevance is not defined, considering the correction for weight. Fig. 2 presents the RXc-score graph of impedance vectors with tolerance ellipses of 50, 75 and 95% for all the neonates, and for boys and girls aged 7–28 d, respectively.

Discussion

In the present study, we obtained the tolerance intervals of the ellipses for BIVA in neonates aged 7–28 d. As a qualitative/semi-quantitative method for the assessment of hydration and of body tissues, BIVA is clinically useful, and can be used for the routine monitoring of variations in the body fluids and nutritional status of neonates in good condition or in situations requiring special care.

For the estimation of body compartments, the standard BIA method is based on two assumptions, i.e. fixed tissue hydration and the behaviour of the human body as a cylinder that conducts the electrical current homogeneously. If the body water compartments are undergoing strong changes, as is the case for neonates, the calculation is imprecise. In addition, the mean level of fat-free mass hydration varies with age (80% in neonates, 75% in 10-year-old children and 73% in healthy adults) (7).

It is known that infancy is characterised by rapid changes and wide interindividual variability in body fluids. Thus, the
Table 1. Characteristics of the neonates studied as a whole and for boys and girls separately, and comparison with the literature data obtained for newborns aged 0–7 d (Piccoli et al.\(^{(8)}\)) and for infants aged 0–3·99 months (Savino et al.\(^{(19)}\))

(Mean values and standard deviations)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Present study</th>
<th>Study done by Piccoli et al.(^{(8)})</th>
<th>Study done by Savino et al.(^{(19)})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Boys</td>
<td>Girls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neonates (n)</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (cm)</td>
<td>50·7 1·8</td>
<td>50·9 1·8</td>
<td>50·5 1·8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (g)</td>
<td>3297·9 415·2</td>
<td>3366·4 398·8</td>
<td>3466·6 417·6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m(^2))</td>
<td>13·8 1·9</td>
<td>14·0 1·0</td>
<td>13·9 1·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R ((\Omega))</td>
<td>684·8 53·5</td>
<td>673·4 37·6</td>
<td>695·2 63·3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R/H ((\Omega/m))</td>
<td>1351·9 119·3</td>
<td>1324·1 87·9</td>
<td>1377·3 138·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xc ((\Omega))</td>
<td>74·0 10·4</td>
<td>72·0 9·8</td>
<td>75·8 11·4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase angle ((^\circ))</td>
<td>3·14 0·43</td>
<td>3·12 0·39</td>
<td>3·17 0·48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                      | All           | Boys                                  | Girls                                 |
| R                     | 57·8 3·6      | 57·8 3·6                               | 57·8 3·8                              |
| H                    | 58            | 58                                     | 58                                    |
| Xc                   | 38            | 38                                     | 38                                    |
| Phase angle (\(^\circ\)) | 0·26 0·22 | 2·6 1·5                                | 2·2 1·4                              |

\(R\), resistance; \(R/H\), resistance/length; \(Xc\), reactance; \(Xc/H\), reactance/length; \(r\), linear correlation coefficient between \(R/H\) and \(Xc/H\).

* \(P < 0·05\).
Fig. 1. Graphs of the impedance vector with the 50, 75 and 95 % tolerance ellipses for (a) all the neonates, (b) male neonates and (c) female neonates aged 7–28 d, and (d) impedance vectors with 95 % confidence ellipses for healthy children (Hotelling $T^2$ test). Comparison graph shown for (A) study done by Piccoli et al. (8) – all neonates (0–7 d); (B) present study – female neonates (7–28 d); (C) present study – all neonates (7–28 d); (D) present study – male neonates (7–28 d); (E) study done by Savino et al. (19) – all newborns and young infants (0–3·99 months). $R/H$, resistance/length; $Xc/H$, reactance/length.

Fig. 2. Resistance–reactance-score graph of impedance vector with the 50, 75 and 95 % tolerance ellipses for (a) all the neonates, (b) male neonates and (c) female neonates aged 7–28 d. $R/H$, resistance/length; $Xc/H$, reactance/length.
an increased risk of morbidity, with lower PA values being relevant to the prognosis. Lower PA values may be associated with cell death or with some change in selective membrane permeability. Higher values may be associated with a greater quantity of intact cell membranes, i.e. a greater body cell mass. The PA values of the infants studied by Savino et al. were higher than the present ones regardless of the type of feeding. Compared with the PA values obtained in the study done by Savino et al. on infants aged 0–3.99 months and 4–7.99 months, the present PA values were higher. Piccoli et al. reported higher PA values than obtained here.

On this basis, we conclude that for the BIVA of newborns aged 7–28 d, specific values should be used since they differ from the values of newborns in the first week of life, from those for young infants and from the remaining data reported in the literature. The difference with regard to other populations might be explained in part by different ethnicity. Although the Hotelling T² test showed a significant difference between boys and girls, the significance of the correlations between BIVA parameters and sex, which are not consistent with the general distribution is visible. Therefore, the general distribution should be used for boys and girls.
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