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Short-term effects of whole-grain wheat on appetite and food intake in healthy adults: a pilot study
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Abstract

While it has been proposed, based on epidemiological studies, that whole grains may be beneficial in weight regulation, possibly due to effects on satiety, there is limited direct interventional evidence confirming this. The present cross-over study aimed to investigate the short-term effects on appetite and food intake of 48 g of whole-grain wheat (daily for 3 weeks) compared with refined grain (control). A total of fourteen healthy normal-weight adults consumed, within their habitual diets, either two whole-grain bread rolls (providing 48 g of whole grains over two rolls) or two control rolls daily for 3 weeks. Changes in food intake were assessed using 7 d diet diaries. Changes in subjective appetite ratings and food intake were also assessed at postprandial study visits. There were no significant differences between interventions in energy intake (assessed by the 7 d diet diaries and at the ad libitum test meal), subjective appetite ratings or anthropometric measurements. However, there was a significant difference between interventions for systolic blood pressure, which decreased during the whole-grain intervention and increased during the control intervention (2.2 vs. 4 mmHg; \(P = 0.015\)). The present study found no effect of whole grains on appetite or food intake in healthy individuals; however, 48 g of whole grain consumed daily for 3 weeks did have a beneficial effect on systolic blood pressure. The findings from the present study therefore do not support epidemiological evidence that whole grains are beneficial in weight regulation, although further investigation in other population groups (such as overweight and obese) would be required.
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There is epidemiological evidence suggesting that whole grains have a beneficial role in several chronic diseases\(^1\), including obesity. It has been proposed that whole grains may have a beneficial role in obesity prevention due to potential effects on regulating satiety and food intake, via the lower energy density of whole-grain foods, and delaying the digestion and absorption of nutrients\(^2,5\). It has also been proposed that effects on weight regulation may simply be due to high amounts of dietary fibre within whole grains and its associated effects\(^3\). However, currently, there are few intervention studies directly examining the effects of whole grains on appetite and weight regulation\(^4\).

As the majority of evidence for a beneficial effect of whole grains on weight comes from cohort studies, it is not possible to show changes to an individual’s diet. These studies alone do not provide evidence of a causal relationship.

There are currently no UK recommendations for the amount of whole grains that should be included in the diet. In the USA, it is recommended that individuals consume three or more servings of whole grains/d, with a serving equating to 16 g of whole-grain ingredient, suggesting an ideal intake of >48 g/d. Whole grain intake in the UK falls short of this, at a median intake of 14 g/d, calculated from data collected in 2000–1\(^5\).

The present pilot study aimed to determine the effects of 3 weeks’ consumption of 48 g whole-grain wheat per d (incorporated into bread rolls) on appetite, satiety, food intake, body weight and blood pressure compared with matched refined-grain rolls (control), when consumed within habitual diets of healthy normal-weight individuals.
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Experimental methods

Participants

A total of fourteen young healthy adults (five males and nine females; mean age 26 (SEM 1·4) years, BMI 21·8 (SEM 0·8) kg/m²) were recruited, with mean scores for emotional, external and restrained eating (based on the Dutch Eating Behaviour Questionnaire) of 2·5 (SEM 0·2), 2·9 (SEM 0·1) and 1·9 (SEM 0·2), respectively. The participants had median baseline whole grain intakes of 15·4 g/d. The participants had no history of gastrointestinal disease, were weight stable and were not following any dietary restrictions. The present study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki, and all procedures involving human participants were approved by the University of Surrey’s Ethics Committee. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Products

The bread rolls were manufactured and supplied by Premier Foods (High Wycombe, Bucks, UK). The whole-grain bread roll provided 48 g of whole grains in a two-roll serving. The whole grains were milled wheat grains that fulfilled the definition of a whole grain (the three principle components (bran, germ and endosperm) were present in amounts naturally found in the grain). A refined-grain roll, baked from identical dough, but containing no whole grains, was also produced and used as the control. The nutritional composition of the products is shown in Table 1.

The glycaemic index of the rolls was assessed according to the current guidelines. The whole-grain rolls and the control rolls were matched with high-glycaemic index values (81 and 78, respectively). The organoleptic acceptability of the products was also assessed, with no significant differences between the products.

Study design

The study was a randomised balanced cross-over design. Participants consumed two whole-grain rolls or two control rolls daily for 3 weeks, separated by a 3-week wash-out period. Participants were able to consume the rolls at any time of the day and with any fillings of their choice.

Participants attended for a postprandial test at the beginning and end of each 3-week intervention. Before each study, participants consumed an identical evening meal before fasting for 12 h. They were required to avoid alcohol, caffeine and strenuous exercise for at least 24 h before the study.

On arrival at the investigation unit, anthropometric measurements were recorded. Height and weight were taken, and percentage of body fat was measured by bioimpedance (Tanita TBF-300; Tanita, Amsterdam, The Netherlands); waist circumference was taken at the level of the umbilicus and hip circumference at the widest point around the hip bone. Blood pressure was recorded on arrival using an automated blood pressure cuff (Omron MX3 Plus; Omron Healthcare Europe, Milton Keynes, Bucks, UK). After the participants had rested for 5 min, three measurements were taken on the non-dominant arm; the final measurement was recorded.

At baseline, two fasting appetite ratings (measured using 100 mm visual analogue scales, as validated by Flint et al.,) were taken, and then participants consumed a fibre-free liquid meal providing 1820 kJ, 21 g protein, 61 g carbohydrate and 12 g fat. Following the breakfast, further visual analogue scales were completed every 30 min for 180 min.

At 180 min, participants were placed in individual booths and provided with a large pre-weighted ad libitum homogeneous pasta test meal (providing 9765 kJ energy, 81·5 g protein, 339·1 g carbohydrate, 70·0 g fat and 15·9 g fibre). Participants were instructed to consume freely until comfortably full and informed that they could take home any leftovers to prevent overconsumption. The remaining excess test meal was weighed to quantify food intake.

Diet diaries to assess habitual food intake were completed during the final week of each intervention. All dietary analyses were performed using the WinDiets Professional Version program (Robert Gordon University, Schoolhill, Aberdeen, UK).

Table 1. Nutritional composition of the developed bread rolls, per 100 g and per two-roll serving

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Whole-grain rolls</th>
<th>Refined-grain rolls (control)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Per 100 g</td>
<td>Per two rolls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (kJ)</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>2328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (g)</td>
<td>9·7</td>
<td>18·8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate (g)</td>
<td>57·4</td>
<td>111·4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar (g)</td>
<td>1·5</td>
<td>2·9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (g)</td>
<td>1·6</td>
<td>3·1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturates (g)</td>
<td>0·2</td>
<td>0·4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibre (g)</td>
<td>5·4</td>
<td>10·5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na (g)</td>
<td>0·3</td>
<td>0·5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistical analysis

Each visual analogue scale question was analysed using repeated-measures ANOVA and paired-sample t tests on calculated areas under the curve. Comparisons were made between treatments using paired t tests as the data were normally distributed. Statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS version 16 for Windows (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), with significance assumed as \( P < 0·05 \). All results are means with their standard errors.

Results

Anthropometrics

There was no effect of whole grains compared with the control on body weight, percentage of body fat, waist or hip circumference. While there was no significant difference between interventions for diastolic blood pressure, systolic blood pressure decreased on the whole-grain intervention.
and increased on the control intervention (−2 v. 4 mmHg, \(P = 0·015\); Fig. 1).

**Subjective appetite ratings**

There were no significant differences between the interventions for any of the visual analogue scale ratings (hunger, prospective food consumption, fullness or desire for different foods).

**Energy and macronutrient intake**

There was no difference between the interventions for either the weight of food consumed or energy intake at the *ad libitum* test meal. However, during the control intervention, there was an increase in energy intake (mean increase of 367 (SEM 270) kJ), which was not observed with the whole-grain intervention (mean decrease of 1 (SEM 300) kJ), but this difference was not significant (\(P = 0·410\)).

Mean daily energy, protein and fat intakes (calculated from the diet diaries) showed no significant differences between the interventions. Daily carbohydrate intake was higher on the control intervention compared with the whole-grain intervention (369·3 (SEM 21·2) v. 344·5 (SEM 19·8) g, \(P = 0·054\)). Dietary fibre intake was significantly higher with whole grains compared with the control (30·1 (SEM 1·7) v. 25·5 (SEM 1·8) g, \(P\leq 0·001\), as would be expected.

**Discussion**

The present short-term pilot intervention study indicated no effect on appetite or food intake following a 3-week consumption of whole-grain rolls (providing 48g of whole grain/d) compared with refined-grain rolls. However, systolic blood pressure was significantly reduced following the whole grains.

This lower systolic blood pressure, on the whole-grain intervention, occurred in individuals who were normotensive and increased on the control intervention (−2 v. 4 mmHg, \(P = 0·015\); Fig. 1).
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Mean daily energy, protein and fat intakes (calculated from the diet diaries) showed no significant differences between the interventions. Daily carbohydrate intake was higher on the control intervention compared with the whole-grain intervention (369·3 (SEM 21·2) v. 344·5 (SEM 19·8) g, \(P = 0·054\)). Dietary fibre intake was significantly higher with whole grains compared with the control (30·1 (SEM 1·7) v. 25·5 (SEM 1·8) g, \(P\leq 0·001\), as would be expected.

**Discussion**

The present short-term pilot intervention study indicated no effect on appetite or food intake following a 3-week consumption of whole-grain rolls (providing 48g of whole grain/d) compared with refined-grain rolls. However, systolic blood pressure was significantly reduced following the whole grains.

This lower systolic blood pressure, on the whole-grain intervention, occurred in individuals who were normotensive and increased on the control intervention (−2 v. 4 mmHg, \(P = 0·015\); Fig. 1).
consumption; and in an older study, satiety scores were found to be higher following less-processed grains compared with refined grains\(^{(17)}\).

The present study has shown that when 48 g of whole grains are consumed daily for 3 weeks, there is no effect on appetite or food intake, and therefore would oppose the expert opinion that high-whole-grain diets could be directly beneficial for weight management due to effects on satiety. In the absence of any acute change in satiety in response to food, following whole grains, it is unclear how whole grain intake per se would have an impact on chronic life-course dietary habits, as suggested in the epidemiological studies. It is well established that those who eat high-whole-grain diets also tend to follow other healthier lifestyle choices, such as consuming high amounts of fruits and vegetables, being non-smokers and being more physically active. It may therefore be a combination of these factors that exerts the beneficial effects observed with whole grains in epidemiological studies rather than with the whole grains themselves.
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