Proline betaine and its biotransformation products in fasting urine samples are potential biomarkers of habitual citrus fruit consumption
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Abstract
The lack of robust measures of dietary exposure hinders a quantitative understanding of causal relationships between diet and health. Non-targeted metabolite fingerprinting was used to explore the relationships between citrus exposure in free-living human subjects, estimated by a FFQ, and the chemical content of urine. Volunteers (study 1, n 12; study 2, n 11) were classified into high-, medium- and low-frequency citrus consumption groups. Overnight and spot fasting urine samples were obtained after exposure to a standardised citrus-free evening meal. The urine samples were analysed by flow injection electrospray-ionisation MS followed by supervised multivariate data classification analysis to discover discriminatory features associated with the level of citrus exposure. Good separation of high and low citrus consumption classes was achieved. Deeper exploration of high-ranked explanatory mass signals revealed several correlated signals derived from proline betaine. Targeted analysis of the relative levels of proline betaine in both fasting and overnight urine samples demonstrated good correlation with FFQ exposure data. Acute exposure of volunteers to orange juice resulted in the appearance of proline betaine and several biotransformed products in postprandial urine samples. Biomarker validation showed sensitivities of 80·8–92·2 % and specificities of 74·2–94·1 % (false discovery rate-adjusted P values < 0·05) for elevated proline betaine in participants who reported high citrus consumption. Proline betaine biotransformation products displayed weaker quantitative relationships with habitual citrus exposure. Targeted screening for the presence of biotransformation products of hesperidin and narirutin, known to be abundant in oranges, revealed that they were relatively poor indicators of citrus exposure.
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Research investigating links between intake of specific foods and health requires accurate assessment of dietary exposure1–3. Conventional methods of measuring dietary exposure such as FFQ2–3 depend upon estimates of food intake and are subject to well-recognised errors, derived largely from participant bias, which can confound interpretation of subsequent data4,5. To address this problem, recent studies have described the targeted analysis, in blood and urine samples, of specific nutrients and metabolites derived from key foods that may have value as direct biomarkers of dietary exposure. In addition, quantification of biomarker concentrations in accessible biofluids can be used to help validate intake data obtained from FFQ and other conventional assessment methods6–10, which is an important aspect of study design11,12. To date, putative biochemical markers are available for only a relatively small number of specific foods and food components, and validation of food intake using conventional dietary assessment instruments in large cohorts of free-living participants remains a significant challenge13. For example, a large number of studies have proposed that the antioxidant properties of dietary polyphenols from fruits and vegetables may protect consumers against several diseases7,13. However, as a result of substantial metabolism after ingestion14,15, it can be technically challenging to use the levels of specific secondary metabolites as an accurate estimate of dietary intake of purportedly beneficial foods. To address this issue, it has recently been proposed that the comprehensive chemical analysis of accessible human biofluids, using metabolomics methodology, may provide more suitable dietary intake biomarker leads16–20. Methods utilising NMR17,19 and particularly MS16,18,19,21 are now implemented relatively routinely, and certain metabolite fingerprinting
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The utility of these potential biomarkers, discovered by non-targeted fingerprinting, is compared with dietary exposure. As an example, we demonstrate that FFQ estimates of citrus exposure in small groups of free-living human subjects were correlated with distinct quantitative differences in the urine metabolome that are related to metabolites found in citrus fruits. The utility of these potential biomarkers, discovered by non-targeted fingerprinting, is compared with dietary exposure. As an example, we demonstrate that FFQ estimates of citrus exposure in small groups of free-living human subjects were correlated with distinct quantitative differences in the urine metabolome that are related to metabolites found in citrus fruits.

**Experimental methods**

**Ethical approval and subject recruitment**

The present study was approved by the Newcastle and North Tyneside 2 Research Ethics Committee (reference no. 07/H0907/136) and registered with the Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust (registration no. 4392). The present study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki, and all procedures involving human subjects were approved by the Newcastle and North Tyneside 2 Research Ethics Committee. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects after a detailed explanation of the study protocol at an induction visit to the Clinical Research Facility (CRF) (Royal Victoria Infirmary, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK). The project constitutes part of the Metabolomics to Characterise Dietary Exposure (MEDe) research programme, which aimed to develop a standardised protocol for nutritional metabolomics investigations. In the present study, study 1 participants were sampled during phase 2 of the MEDE project and study 2 participants were sampled during phase 3 of the MEDE project. The volunteers were recruited through word of mouth and by advertisement in Newcastle University, UK. They were assessed for suitability via a screening questionnaire, which included the following exclusion criteria: aged under 18 years; for women being premenopausal; having a BMI <18.5 kg/m² or >30 kg/m²; being a smoker, non-milk drinker and/or non-fish eater; having a history of substance abuse or alcoholism (alcohol consumption higher than 30 units/week); being allergic to any test food; suffering from any significant health problem and/or planning to change dietary or physical activity habits. Demographic data for each study participant are presented in Table S1 of the supplementary material (available online at http://www.journals.cambridge.org/bjn).

**Levels of habitual exposure to dietary citrus foods based on FFQ information**

Habitual diet was characterised using the validated FFQ employed by the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition study, which was modified slightly to include foods consumed frequently in the North East of England. The detailed study design and protocols will be published elsewhere, and a detailed standard operating procedure is available on the NuGO website (http://www.nugo.org/sops/40878/41026). Volunteers were classified into three levels (low, medium and high) of habitual exposure to dietary citrus foods based on the analysis of FFQ information (Table 1) by combining exposure ratings for specific food groups (see Table S2 of the supplementary material, available online at http://www.journals.cambridge.org/bjn) to provide estimates of total ‘citrus’ intake (Table 1). Individuals in the ‘low citrus’ exposure category consumed citrus food products <2 week, those with ‘medium’ exposure levels consumed citrus foods almost every day, and two to three citrus portions/d were consumed by those with ‘high’ intakes.

**Sample collection and acute exposure study design**

Study 1 volunteers (twelve individuals) attended two identical test days in the CRF, which were held several months apart. Volunteers were asked to collect all urine samples produced after consumption of a standardised evening meal, up to and including the morning void before attending the CRF, identified as the ‘PRE’ sample. On each study day, volunteers came to the CRF after a 12 h minimum fast, and ‘fasting’ urine samples were collected. Volunteers received a standardised test breakfast, and further urine samples were collected after 2, 4, 6 and 8 h. The test breakfast consisted of 200 ml orange juice, 190 ml tea with 14 ml skimmed milk and 12 g sugar, a 35 g butter croissant and 25 g cornflakes with 125 g semi-skimmed milk (1.7% fat) (see Favé et al. for full details of all food items). A standardised light lunch, provided 4 h after the breakfast, consisted of two poached free-range eggs (approximately 2 X 50 g), two slices of sliced white bread (2 X 36 g) and 500 ml still mineral water (see Favé et al. for full details of all food items). Study 2 volunteers (eleven individuals) attended six identical test days in the CRF, which were held at least 1 week apart, over the duration of a year. Only ‘PRE’ and ‘fasting’ samples were collected. Urine samples were frozen immediately at −20°C and moved to −80°C within 24 h.
Metabolite fingerprinting and data analysis – feature selection

FIE-MS was carried out as described previously\(^21,22\). Aliquots of thawed urine samples (50 μl) were diluted in 450 μl of pre-chilled methanol–water (3·5:1), vortexed, shaken for 15 min at 4°C and then centrifuged for 5 min at 14 000 g. For each urine sample, data were acquired in alternating positive and negative ionisation modes over four scan ranges (mass:charge ratio (m/z) 15–110, 100–220, 210–510 and 500–1200), with an acquisition time of 5 min, on a linear trap quadrupole linear ion trap (Thermo Electron Corporation, San Jose, CA, USA). The resulting mass spectrum was the mean of twenty scans about the apex of the infusion profile. Raw data dimensionality was reduced by electronically extracting signals with characteristic curve (AUC) and Welch’s t test, were used in feature selection, to produce a full feature rank list based on their statistical score values\(^23\). RF feature selection was obtained by calculating importance scores, being the mean decrease in accuracy over all classes when a feature is omitted from data. The AUC used the area under curve of the sensitivity (true-positive rate) against the specificity (false-positive rate), and Welch’s t test ranked the features by their absolute values of the false discovery rate-corrected P values. Randomised re-sampling strategies using bootstrapping were applied in the process of classification and feature selection to counteract the effect of any unknown structured variance in the data. In the present data analysis, 100 bootstraps were used for classification and feature selection with RF using 1000 trees.

Pearson’s correlation coefficients between selected variables were calculated using the R-function cor (correlation function). Variables with correlation coefficients >0.7 were considered to belong to a cluster indicative of different ionisation or potential biotransformation/breakdown products of a metabolite.

Targeted metabolite analysis – validation of features

Selected variables revealed by FIE-MS data mining were investigated further using targeted Nano-Flow (TriVersa NanoMate; Advion BioSciences Limited, Norfolk, UK) linear trap quadrupole-Fourier-transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectroscopy ultra (FT-ICR-MS; where ultra referred to predictions are made by a majority vote of the trees. The best split is based on the variables in the training set instead of all variables. To assess the classification performance, the RF classification margin, defined as the proportion of votes for the correct class minus the maximum proportion of votes for the other classes, was used. Average margin values ≥0.3 indicate adequate classification in metabolomic experiments\(^23\).

Feature selection techniques were used to select the nominal mass signals (m/z), which were responsible for discriminating between different sample classes. A combination of three methods, RF, area under the receiver-operating characteristic curve (AUC) and Welch’s t test, were used in feature selection, to produce a full feature rank list based on their statistical score values\(^23\). RF feature selection was obtained by calculating importance scores, being the mean decrease in accuracy over all classes when a feature is omitted from data. The AUC used the area under curve of the sensitivity (true-positive rate) against the specificity (false-positive rate), and Welch’s t test ranked the features by their absolute values of the false discovery rate-corrected P values. Randomised re-sampling strategies using bootstrapping were applied in the process of classification and feature selection to counteract the effect of any unknown structured variance in the data. In the present data analysis, 100 bootstraps were used for classification and feature selection with RF using 1000 trees.

Pearson’s correlation coefficients between selected variables were calculated using the R-function cor (correlation function). Variables with correlation coefficients >0.7 were considered to belong to a cluster indicative of different ionisation or potential biotransformation/breakdown products of a metabolite.

Targeted metabolite analysis – validation of features

Selected variables revealed by FIE-MS data mining were investigated further using targeted Nano-Flow (TriVersa NanoMate; Advion BioSciences Limited, Norfolk, UK) linear trap quadrupole-Fourier-transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectroscopy ultra (FT-ICR-MS; where ultra referred to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volunteer ID</th>
<th>Grapefruit</th>
<th>Oranges</th>
<th>Fruit juice</th>
<th>Total ‘citrus’†</th>
<th>‘Citrus’ exposure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>213</td>
<td>0·000</td>
<td>0·066</td>
<td>0·066</td>
<td>0·132</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>223</td>
<td>0·000</td>
<td>0·066</td>
<td>0·066</td>
<td>0·132</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218</td>
<td>0·000</td>
<td>0·140</td>
<td>0·000</td>
<td>0·140</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220</td>
<td>0·000</td>
<td>0·140</td>
<td>0·140</td>
<td>0·280</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>217</td>
<td>0·430</td>
<td>0·140</td>
<td>0·140</td>
<td>0·710</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>221</td>
<td>0·000</td>
<td>0·066</td>
<td>0·780</td>
<td>0·846</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>215</td>
<td>0·000</td>
<td>0·066</td>
<td>1·000</td>
<td>1·066</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>214</td>
<td>0·066</td>
<td>0·430</td>
<td>1·000</td>
<td>1·496</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>216</td>
<td>0·066</td>
<td>0·430</td>
<td>1·000</td>
<td>1·496</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204</td>
<td>0·066</td>
<td>1·000</td>
<td>1·000</td>
<td>2·066</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219</td>
<td>0·000</td>
<td>0·066</td>
<td>2·500</td>
<td>2·566</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>222</td>
<td>0·140</td>
<td>2·500</td>
<td>0·066</td>
<td>2·706</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The scoring system is described in Table S1 of the supplementary material (available online at http://www.journals.cambridge.org/bjn).
† Sum of columns 2, 3 and 4 per volunteer.
the high-sensitivity ICR-cell). For each biological class, pools were constructed using urine samples from four volunteers chosen at random and reconstituted in methanol–water (80:20, v/v). For each spray on the TriVersa Nanomate, a sample volume of 13·0 μl was used, and 2 μl of air were aspirated after the sample. Gas pressure was maintained between 0·2 and 0·6 psi, with the voltage at 1·4–1·7 kV (generally rated after the sample. Gas pressure was maintained between brackets. DF1, discriminant function 1; DF2, discriminant function 2.

Table 2. Discrimination of habitual dietary citrus exposure by positive ionisation mode flow injection electrospray-ionisation (FIE) MS fingerprint analysis of overnight (PRE) and fasting urine samples in free-living volunteers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mass range (m/z)</th>
<th>Tw value</th>
<th>AUC</th>
<th>Margin</th>
<th>Tw value</th>
<th>AUC</th>
<th>Margin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15–110</td>
<td>1·26</td>
<td>0·78</td>
<td>0·13</td>
<td>1·27</td>
<td>0·72</td>
<td>0·14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100–220</td>
<td>1·73</td>
<td>0·94</td>
<td>0·26</td>
<td>2·24</td>
<td>0·90</td>
<td>0·28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210–510</td>
<td>1·20</td>
<td>0·77</td>
<td>0·13</td>
<td>1·10</td>
<td>0·70</td>
<td>0·08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500–1200</td>
<td>1·13</td>
<td>0·55</td>
<td>0·01</td>
<td>0·88</td>
<td>0·67</td>
<td>0·07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

m/z, Mass:charge ratio; Tw, discriminant function 1 eigenvalue; AUC, area under the receiver-operating characteristic curve; Margin, random forest (RF) classification margin.

Principal component-linear discriminant analysis (PC-LDA) and RF classification of data acquired by FIE-MS (positive ion mode) analysis of pre-test day overnight urine samples ‘PRE’ and ‘fasting’ urine samples, after a 12 h (minimum) fast, from twelve individuals. For PC-LDA, ‘dietary citrus exposure’ from Table 1 was the class structure applied consisting of ‘high’, ‘medium’ and ‘low’ citrus consumers (twelve volunteers, two repeat samples provided). Pairwise RF comparisons were made between five ‘high’ and four ‘low’ citrus consumers.

For metabolite signal identification, the accurate mass values were then queried against MZedDB, an interactive accurate mass annotation tool that can be used to provide tentative annotation of signals by means of neutral loss and/or adduct formation rules24. Further metabolite signal identification was obtained using FIE-MS/MS in which the scan window was set for twenty scans, with an isolation width of m/z 1 and normalised collision energy of 40 V. The activation coefficient Q of 0·250 was chosen and an activation time of 30 ms, with wideband activation turned on and source fragmentation of 20 V. Mass range settings were dependent upon the molecular weight of the target ion. Chemical standards investigated with FT-ICR-MS and FIE-MS/MS were obtained commercially at highest purity, and solvents were of HPLC-MS grade. Standards were prepared by dissolving 1 mg of the metabolite standard in 1 ml extraction solvent and reconstituted in methanol–water (80:20, v/v). To confirm the identity of salt adducts, additional aqueous Na\(^+\) or K\(^+\) ion-containing solutions (50 mM as bicarbonate in the case of Na\(^+\) and chloride in the case of K\(^+\)) were added to aid adduct formation.

Results

Classification of habitual consumption of citrus foods using metabolite fingerprint analysis of urine

PC-linear discriminant analysis was used to determine how well ‘PRE’ and ‘fasting’ urine samples from study 1 volunteers

![Fig. 1](https://www.cambridge.org/core)
in each of the habitual citrus exposure levels (high, medium and low) were discriminated using both positive and negative ionisation modes and four overlapping mass ranges (m/z 15–110, 100–220, 210–510 and 500–1200). In positive ionisation mode (Table 2), the mass range m/z 100–220 had the most classification power using both ‘PRE’ and ‘fasting’ urine samples. The same mass range was the most informative using negative ionisation mode data (see Table S3 of the supplementary material, available online at http://www.journals.cambridge.org/bjn). Good discrimination of each citrus exposure class is evident in PC-linear discriminant analysis score plots comparing FIE-MS fingerprints of ‘PRE’ (Fig. 1(a)) and ‘fasting’ urine samples (Fig. 1(b)). The eigenvalue (T_w) for separation between high and low citrus consumers in the first discriminant function dimension (DF1) is >2 for fasting urine FIE-MS fingerprint models (m/z 100–220), which indicates robust classification of the habitual consumption of citrus foods (Fig. 1(b)) in study 1 volunteers.

Fig. 2. Identification of signals explanatory of habitual dietary citrus exposure level following analysis of urine samples by positive ion mode flow injection electro-spray-ionisation MS. Random forest (RF) importance scores of the top-ranked positive-mode discriminatory signals in a pairwise comparison between ‘high’ and ‘low’ citrus fruit consumption for study 1 (− ⋅ − ) and study 2 (− ⋅ − ) data using (a) pre-test day overnight ‘PRE’ urine and (b) ‘fasting’ urine samples, after a 12 h minimum fast; (c) top fifteen signals in both PRE and fasting urine samples in study 1 and study 2 discriminating ‘high’ and ‘low’ citrus consumers based on FFQ data. Black shading and white type indicate that the m/z signal is ranked in the top fifteen in three or all of the datasets; medium shading indicates that the m/z signal is ranked in the top fifteen in both urine samples for that particular study; (d) a clade of a hierarchical cluster analysis of the fifty top-ranked signals discriminating ‘high’ and ‘low’ citrus consumers following analysis of either PRE or fasting study 1 urine sample. Data shown are based on the correlation coefficient using the Pearson correlation method. *m/z 160 is ranked 17th in PRE data and m/z 198 is ranked 29th. Information relating to putative individuals is presented in Fig. 3 and Table 3. ID, individual.
Identification of urine metabolite fingerprint signals potentially explanatory of habitual citrus food consumption level

A total of five volunteers in study 1 were considered to be high-level habitual consumers of citrus foods, while four individuals were classed as reporting low-level exposure to citrus foods (Table 1). Analysis of FFQ data from study 2 volunteers (see Table S1 of the supplementary material, available online at http://www.journals.cambridge.org/bjn) revealed that a similar number of volunteers could be considered either high or low habitual consumers of citrus foods (six individuals were categorised as high consumers and five were low consumers). For each volunteer in study 1, two independent fasting and two independent overnight void (PRE) urine samples were available. Fasting and overnight void urine samples were collected from study 2 volunteers on six independent occasions spread throughout a 14-month period. In both studies, volunteers had consumed a freely chosen diet for several weeks before collecting urine samples, and thus for the purpose of the present analyses, each was considered an independent class (i.e. high or low citrus consumer) replicate. Metabolite fingerprints from ‘PRE’ and ‘fasting’ urine samples representative of high and low habitual citrus exposure classes (study 1 samples, eighteen: ten high citrus and eight low citrus; study 2 samples, sixty-six: thirty-six high citrus and thirty low citrus) were subjected to pairwise comparison, using machine-learning techniques in which a combination of three methods (RF, AUC and Welch’s t test) were employed to rank features for discrimination power. To maximise predictability, re-sampling using the bootstrap method was applied. As a ‘rule of thumb’ we have shown, using a range of other FIE-MS datasets, that the threshold for significance in a pairwise analysis lies within an importance score range of 0.0015–0.003[25].

The curve inflection occurring at approximately 0.002 shows that the top fifteen to twenty of the m/z signals conferred the majority of discriminatory power in both PRE and fasting urine samples (Fig. 2(c)). In PRE urine sample, two further signals (m/z 160 and 198) formed part of the same correlation grouping. These high-ranked nominal mass bins within this clade (Fig. 2(d)) were investigated in detail by ultra-high mass resolution FT-ICR-MS. Table 3 summarises the accurate mass FT-ICR-MS analysis of the correlated explanatory mass bins in both PRE and fasting urine samples. Querying the identity of the accurate mass signals in MZedDB[24] suggested that these correlated explanatory signals were ionisation adducts and isotopes of proline betaine (stachydrine) and of hydroxyproline betaine (Table 3). A comparison of spectra derived from FIE-MS/MS fragmentation of m/z 144 (Fig. 3(a)), with an authentic sample of synthetic proline betaine [M+H][+] (Fig. 3(b)) confirmed this annotation. In addition, the correlated explanatory signals, proposed to be salt adduct and isotopes of proline betaine (Table 3), were also confirmed by FIE-MS/MS fragmentation with standards (data not shown). FIE-MS/MS spectra of the nominal mass bin containing predicted hydroxyproline betaine [M+H][+] (m/z 160) from a single fasting individual (Fig. 3(c)) substantially matched that of the FIE-MS/MS spectra of an authentic sample of 4-hydroxyproline betaine [M+H][+] (Fig. 3(d)). However, the presence of fragment ions at m/z 60, 102 and 116 in the spectra derived from FIE-MS/MS analysis of this particular individual’s urine suggested the presence of more than one chemical in this nominal mass bin. The FIE-MS/MS spectra of m/z 160 from a ‘pool’ derived from urine collected from four random fasting volunteers (Fig. 3(e)) showed an enhancement of fragment ions m/z 60 and 116. In addition, the correlated signal proposed to be a K+ adduct of hydroxyproline betaine (Table 3) was also confirmed by FIE-MS/MS fragmentation (data not shown). The structures of proline betaine and 4- and 3-hydroxyproline betaine are shown in Fig. 4(a).

Table 3. Identity of highly ranked and correlated signals potentially explanatory of habitual dietary citrus exposure using Fourier-transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectroscopy (FT-ICR-MS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nominal mass</th>
<th>Accurate mass in FT-ICR-MS</th>
<th>Putative identification</th>
<th>Ionisation product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>144·10 187</td>
<td>Proline betaine</td>
<td>[M+H][+]**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>145·10 518</td>
<td>Proline betaine</td>
<td>13C isotope of [M+H][+]**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166</td>
<td>166·06 377</td>
<td>Proline betaine</td>
<td>[M+Na][+]**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td>167·06 713</td>
<td>Proline betaine</td>
<td>13C isotope of [M+Na][+]**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>182</td>
<td>182·05 772</td>
<td>Pro betaine</td>
<td>[M+K][+]**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>183</td>
<td>183·06 109</td>
<td>Proline betaine</td>
<td>13C isotope of [M+K][+]**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>160·09 674</td>
<td>4-Hydroxyproline betaine</td>
<td>[M+H][+]**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>198</td>
<td>198·05 267</td>
<td>4-Hydroxyproline betaine</td>
<td>[M+K][+]**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Acute exposure to a test breakfast containing orange juice demonstrates proline betaine, hesperidin and narirutin biotransformation and excretion

The possibility of biotransformation and excretion of proline betaine was examined in spot urine samples collected 2 and 8 h after consumption of a standard breakfast including 200 ml orange juice in study 1 volunteers. Signals differentiating fasting urine samples from either a 2 or 8 h postprandial urine sample in both positive- and negative-ion mode FIE-MS data are shown in Table 4. Comparison of signals at both 2 and 8 h after consumption of orange juice allowed an assessment of the potential contribution of metabolite signals derived from the colonic fermentation of ingested food residues (present in 8 h but not in 2 h samples). Explanatory signals common to both urine sampling times in positive-ion data (italicised in Table 4) corresponded with those derived from proline betaine and hydroxyproline betaine. The two explanatory mass bins, m/z 223 and m/z 319, in negative-ion...
data (italicised in Table 4) discriminated strongly between fasting and postprandial urine samples at both 2 and 8 h. These two signals were highly correlated both with each other (Fig. 5(a)) and with the positive-ion signals representative of proline betaine (Fig. 5(b)). Detailed FIE-MS/MS analysis of m/z 223 showed a loss of m/z 80, suggesting that it was a sulphonated derivative of proline betaine (Table 5). Analysis of m/z 319 showed fragment ions at m/z 175 and 113 corresponding to glucuronate ‘fingerprint’ ions (29). Both m/z 223 and m/z 319 produced a fragment ion at m/z 143, of which the second-generation fragment ions (m/z 125, 115, 113 and 99) matched the fragmentation spectra of an authentic sample of synthetic proline betaine m/z 143 (Table 5). In addition, FIE-MS/MS analysis of m/z 161, which also correlated with m/z 223 and m/z 319 (Fig. 5(a) and (b)), yielded a fragment ion at m/z 143, with identical second-generation fragment ions (data not shown). In addition, two other correlated negative-ion features, m/z 345 and 343, are most probably glucuronides of a further, as yet unidentified, compound (data not shown).

The flavonoid glycosides hesperidin (hesperetin-7-rutinoside) and narirutin (naringenin-7-rutinoside) are abundant in oranges and have previously been suggested as possible biomarkers for citrus exposure (7,13–15,25,26). As expected (14), conjugates of the flavonoid aglycones (hesperidin sulphate, hesperetin monoglucuronide and naringenin monoglucuronide; m/z 381, 477 and 447, respectively) only appeared in urine 6–8 h after consumption of the standard breakfast (Fig. 6) when monitored by targeted analysis of specific ions. Although these ions appeared in urine 8 h after consumption of orange juice in the breakfast (signals bold in negative-ion data; Table 4), these signals were not explanatory of habitual citrus exposure levels in either ‘PRE’ or ‘fasting’ urine samples (see Table S5 of the supplementary material, available online at http://www.journals.cambridge.org/bjn).

**Proline betaine and its biotransformation products as potential biomarkers of habitual, in addition to acute, citrus exposure**

Within 2 h of acute exposure to orange juice (from the standard breakfast), proline betaine and hydroxylated derivatives were present in urine and persisted in detectable concentrations for at least 8 h. In addition, in both ‘fasting’ and ‘PRE’ urine samples, positive-ion signals derived from both

---

**Table 4.** Top twenty positive- and negative-ion features (m/z 100–550) discriminating between fasting and either a 2 or 8 h postprandial urine sample after exposure to a standard breakfast containing orange juice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Positive-ion signals</th>
<th>Negative-ion signals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fasting v. 2 h</td>
<td>Fasting v. 8 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>478</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Rank in a random forest classification of fasting v. postprandial urine samples. Fasting, spot urine samples collected after a 12 h (minimum) fast. Signals associated with proline betaine are italicised; flavonone conjugate signals are bold, m/z 381, hesperetin sulphate [M−H]−; m/z 447, naringenin glucuronide [M−H]−; m/z 477, hesperetin glucuronide [M−H]−; twelve individuals, twenty-four samples per time point.
of these chemicals were strongly explanatory of citrus intakes estimated by FFQ (Table 3). The targeted analysis of proline betaine \(m/z\) signals in urine samples from individual volunteers representing low, medium and high habitual citrus exposure classes demonstrated a potential quantitative relationship between exposure level and signal intensity (Fig. 7). The false discovery rate-adjusted \(P\) values indicate a significant difference between the high and low consumers for all three proline betaine \(m/z\) signals (\(m/z\) 144, 166 and 182) in both 'PRE' and 'fasting' urine samples (\(P<0.05\); see Table S6 of the supplementary material, available online at http://www.journals.cambridge.org/bjn). However, it was not possible to distinguish between medium and either high- or low-level consumers (false discovery rate-adjusted \(P\) values >0.05; see Table S6 of the supplementary material, available online at http://www.journals.cambridge.org/bjn). The elevation of excretion of these three proline betaine \(m/z\) signals in the high citrus consumers compared with low citrus consumers in PRE urine samples showed a sensitivity of 84.7–92.2\% and a specificity of 74.2–94.1\% depending on the adduct (see Table S7 of the supplementary material, available online at http://www.journals.cambridge.org/bjn). In the fasting urine samples, the elevation of excretion of these three proline betaine \(m/z\) signals showed a sensitivity of 80.8–89.2\% and a specificity of 79.6–89.0\% (see Table S7 of the supplementary material, available online at http://www.journals.cambridge.org/bjn). In addition, negative-ion signals associated with sulphonated or glucuronidated proline betaine biotransformation products were also present at low levels in fasting and PRE urine samples (data not shown).

**Discussion**

In the present study, we used a non-targeted metabolomics approach to discover and structurally identify urinary biochemical markers of citrus exposure, in a small group of volunteers. Subsequently, we confirmed this observation in a second group of volunteers of similar size, but who provided a larger number of replicate urine samples collected at intervals over a 14-month period. We observed that proline betaine, an abundant component of citrus fruits, was strongly explanatory of both acute and habitual exposure to citrus-containing foods. Previous reports have described the rapid excretion of proline betaine in urine following exposure to either the pure chemical or orange juice. A recent investigation of proline betaine excretion...
using NMR analysis of postprandial urine samples suggested that it was cleared from the body rapidly and could not be
detected easily 14 h after consuming orange juice\textsuperscript{(31)}. Subsequent validation of urinary proline betaine as a potential
biomarker of citrus consumption was undertaken using samples and data from the International Study of Macro- and
Micro-Nutrients and Blood Pressure study, in which participants
were dichotomised into citrus consumers and citrus
non-consumers on the basis of two consecutive multipass
24 h dietary recalls repeated after 3 weeks and analysis of
two 24 h urine sample collections made concurrently\textsuperscript{(31)}. The
previous report concluded that proline betaine was an effect-
vie biomarker of citrus exposure, where 24 h dietary recall
data indicated that citrus products had been consumed
within the previous 24 h. The rapid clearance kinetics of pro-
line betaine reported by Heinzmann et al.\textsuperscript{(31)} might seem to
limit the utility of this metabolite as a biomarker of citrus con-
sumption. However, as well as confirming that proline betaine
can be detected by MS in 2 and 8 h postprandial urine samples
after acute exposure to orange juice, we demonstrated that
this metabolite is present at elevated levels in overnight void
(‘PRE’) and ‘fasting’ urine samples in individuals reporting
habitually high intake of citrus foods. Furthermore, the
present study shows that the quantitative relationships between
habitual citrus intake, estimated by FFQ and the levels of pro-
line betaine in fasting urine sample, are not dependent on
the knowledge of citrus fruit consumption on the day of urine
collection nor is it compromised by unreported factors associated
with the timing of citrus intake before urine sampling.

Unlike previous reports that used only positive ionisation
mode liquid chromatography–MS procedures only\textsuperscript{(33)} or
NMR fingerprinting\textsuperscript{(31)} to detect proline betaine, we have
demonstrated that biotransformed proline betaine derivatives
are detectable in urine samples and are explanatory of habit-
ual citrus exposure levels. Betonicine (4-hydroxyproline
betaine) is a component of citrus fruits, present at a lower con-
centration than proline betaine\textsuperscript{(33)}, and thus its appearance in
postprandial urine samples following exposure to orange juice
(\textit{m/z} signals 160 and 198 in positive-ion mode data; Table 4) is
unsurprising. Analysis of biotransformation products in rat
urine samples has suggested hydroxylation of proline betaine
at carbon 2\textsuperscript{(34)}. The present FIE-MS/MS analysis of \textit{m/z} 160
revealed three additional fragment ions (\textit{m/z} 60, 116 and 102).
Of the three fragment ions, two (\textit{m/z} 60 and 102) matched
abundant signals in the previously reported fragmentation

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textit{m/z} & \textbf{223} & & \textit{m/z} & \textbf{319} & \\
\hline
\textbf{MS\textsuperscript{2} ions} & \textbf{MS\textsuperscript{2} fragment identification} & \textbf{MS\textsuperscript{2} ions} & \textbf{MS\textsuperscript{2} fragment identification} & \textbf{MS\textsuperscript{2} ions} & \textbf{MS\textsuperscript{2} fragment identification} \\
\hline
208 & [M–CH\textsubscript{3}] & – & 301 & [M–H\textsubscript{2}O] & 125 & [M–H\textsubscript{2}O] \\
205 & [M–H\textsubscript{2}O] & – & 289 & [M–CH\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{2}] & 115 & [M–C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4}] \\
193 & [M–(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}] & – & 275 & [M–N\textsuperscript{+}(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}] & 113 & [M–CH\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{2}] \\
179 & [M–N\textsuperscript{+}(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}] & – & 261 & [M–CH\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{2}N(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}] & 99 & [M–N\textsuperscript{+}(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}] \\
165 & [M–CH\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{2}N(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}] & – & 193 & Glucuronide + H\textsubscript{2}O & – & – \\
143 & [M–SO\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{2}] & – & 175 & Glucuronide + H & – & – \\
141 & [M–SO\textsubscript{3}CO] & – & 113 & Glucuronide – H – CO\textsubscript{2} – H\textsubscript{2}O & – & – \\
115 & [M–SO\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{2}CO] or [M–SO\textsubscript{3}–(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}] & – & – & – & – & – \\
81 & [M–SO\textsubscript{3}–CH\textsubscript{3}–H\textsubscript{2}O] & – & – & – & – & – \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Confirmation of sulphonated and glucuronated negative-ion mode derivatives of proline betaine following analysis of urine samples by flow injection electrospray-ionisation MS}
\end{table}

![Fig. 6. Orange juice flavonoid aglycone conjugate signals in postprandial urine samples following exposure to orange juice.](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114511001164)
spectra of an authentic sample of synthetic 3-hydroxyproline betaine [M+H]⁺, but the origin of the fragment ion at m/z 116 is currently unknown (34). Therefore, our data (Fig. 3(e)) suggest that 3-hydroxyproline betaine is also present in human urine, which is probably derived by biotransformation of proline betaine. Additionally, we demonstrate, for the first time (using negative ionisation mode FIE-MS fingerprinting), that proline betaine is also conjugated in human subjects to form sulphate and monoglucuronide derivatives. This relatively complex phase II metabolism was not identified in a previous study of citrus fruit metabolism using NMR (31) probably because of the relative insensitivity of NMR-based methodology. The present study shows that proline betaine conjugates are present in urine within 2–8 h after consuming orange juice, and that signal intensities of these derivatives are substantially lower than those reflecting the presence of non-modified proline betaine. Further work is required to describe quantitatively the kinetics of appearance and excretion of sulphate and glucuronide derivatives of proline betaine to help evaluate the possible utility of these biotransformation products as putative biomarkers of citrus exposure. In contrast to proline betaine, citrus food flavonone biomarkers would be subject to considerable diurnal variation dependent on the timing of major phases of colonic fermentation activity required for their absorption (14, 15). This is in agreement with a recent review (35), which also

![Fig. 7. Box plots of the top positive-ion mode explanatory metabolite signals between 'high', 'medium' and 'low' habitual citrus fruit consumers. (a) m/z 144, fasting urine; (b) m/z 144, PRE urine; (c) m/z 166, fasting urine; (d) m/z 166, PRE urine; (e) m/z 182, fasting urine; (f) m/z 182, PRE urine; n 12 volunteers; between 'high' and 'low' citrus consumers, false discovery rate (FDR)-corrected P values < 0.05; between 'high' or 'low' and 'medium' consumers, FDR-corrected P values > 0.05 (see Table S6 of the supplementary material, available online at http://www.journals.cambridge.org/bjn, for complete test results). The box indicates the interquartile range; the red horizontal bar indicates the median; vertical bars indicate the maximum and minimum values up to 1.5 x interquartile range; error bars represent the standard error of twelve volunteers. 'PRE', pre-test day overnight urine voids; 'Fasting', spot urine sample after a 12 h (minimum) fast. TIC, total ion count.]
concludes that the biotransformation products of the flavonone glycosides hesperidin and narirutin are unlikely to be suitable biomarkers of habitual exposure to citrus.

In the present study, we provided a fruit-free standardised meal\textsuperscript{16,22} on the evening before collection of overnight (PRE) and fasting urine samples and were able to distinguish between low, medium and high habitual intake of citrus foods (estimated by FFQ) based on urinary proline betaine measurements, despite studying samples from only a relatively small number of volunteers. It is observed that even though six replicate samples were available for each volunteer in study 2, the inclusion of extra replicates did not improve significantly the classification robustness over that achieved in study 1 volunteers. In support of the potential of this metabolite as a biomarker of citrus intake, we have observed strong links between orange juice consumption in a standard breakfast and urinary proline betaine excretion 2–8 h later. In addition, we have provided preliminary evidence that proline betaine may be metabolised in human subjects to a number of derivatives including sulphates and glucuronides – an observation contrary to the assumption that proline betaine is metabolically inert\textsuperscript{51}. A potential quantitative relationship between high and low dietary citrus consumption and urinary excretion of proline betaine signals \(m/z \ 144, 166 \text{ and } 182\) in positive-ion data was demonstrated (adjusted \(P\) values < 0.05; see Table S6 of the supplementary material, available online at http://www.journals.cambridge.org/bjn). However, differences in intensity levels of these adducts between medium citrus consumers and either low or high consumers were not statistically significant (\(P > 0.05\)). This may be because individuals who consume either a high amount (at least once a day) or very low amounts of a particular food generally are able more accurately estimate their consumption (using a FFQ reporting system) than individuals who consume these foods at ‘medium’ levels. In addition, of course, it is technically easier to detect the larger differences between ‘high’ and ‘low’ intakes. Relatively high sensitivities and specificities are easier to detect the larger differences between ‘high’ and ‘medium’ levels. In addition, of course, it is technically easier to detect the larger differences between ‘high’ and ‘low’ intakes. Relatively high sensitivities and specificities of our proposed biomarker. These areas require further investigation.

Acknowledgements

The present study was supported by the UK Food Standards Agency (project N05073). The authors thank the volunteers for their commitment, the CRF, Newcastle, for nursing support; Claire Kent, Heather E. Gifford, Julie Coaker and Linda Penn for their practical support; and Marks & Spencer for donating the chocolate éclairs (standardised evening meal). We thank Kathleen Taillart for FIE-MS analysis and for technical support, and Dr Wanchang Lin (Manchester School of Biomedicine) for support with the statistical analysis of data. The authors’ contributions to the study were as follows: A. J. L. conducted the data analysis, produced figures, researched the literature and wrote the manuscript; M. B. developed the urine extraction procedures, designed the metabolite fingerprinting experiments, supervised MS support staff, preprocessed data for analysis and edited the manuscript; G. F. undertook the volunteer recruitment, coordinated the volunteer CRF visits and supervised CRF support staff, refined sampling methodology and edited the manuscript; J. C. M. coordinated the study, supervised the study in Newcastle University, designed the volunteer handling protocols and edited the manuscript; J. D. coordinated the study, supervised the study in Aberystwyth, designed the figures and wrote the manuscript. None of the authors has a conflict of interest with respect to the study.

References