Iodine concentration of organic and conventional milk: implications for iodine intake
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Abstract

Iodine is required for adequate thyroid hormone production, which is essential for brain development, particularly in the first trimester of pregnancy. Milk is the principal source of iodine in UK diets, and while small studies in Europe have shown organic milk to have a lower iodine concentration than conventional milk, no such study has been conducted in Britain. In view of the increasing popularity of organic milk in the UK, we aimed to compare the iodine concentration of retail organic and conventional milk and to evaluate regional influences in iodine levels. Samples of organic milk (n = 92) and conventional milk (n = 80), purchased from retail outlets in sixteen areas of the UK (southern England, Wales and Northern Ireland), were analysed for iodine using inductively coupled plasma MS. The region of origin of the milk was determined from information on the label. Organic milk was 42·1 % lower in iodine content than conventional milk (median iodine concentration 144·5 v. 249·5 ng/g; P<0·001). There was no difference in the iodine concentration of either conventional or organic milk by area of purchase. However, a difference was seen in iodine concentration of organic milk by region of origin (P<0·001). The lower iodine concentration of organic milk has public-health implications, particularly in view of emerging evidence of iodine deficiency in UK population sub-groups, including pregnant women. Individuals who choose organic milk should be aware that their iodine intake may be compromised and should ensure adequate iodine intake from alternative sources.
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Iodine is needed for the production of thyroid hormones that are vital during pregnancy and infancy owing to their role in brain and neurological development(1). While severe iodine deficiency can cause gross mental deficiency and infant mortality(1), there is emerging evidence that mild-to-moderate maternal iodine deficiency is associated with impaired infant development, including lower intelligence quotient (IQ) and increased incidence of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder(2). For these reasons, pregnant women are vulnerable to iodine deficiency(3).

The iodine content of foods is dependent on the source of the food, whether from sea or land, the soil content (affected by geology, geography, pH and soil leaching) and farming practice(1).

In the UK, milk and dairy products are the most important sources of dietary iodine, contributing as much as 42 % of adult intake(5). Higher milk-iodine concentration and increased milk consumption have been cited as the reasons for the eradication of endemic goitre in the UK in the 1960s, which was labelled as an ‘accidental public health triumph’ by Phillips(4). Iodine sufficiency has been assumed in the UK for many years(5,6) and iodised salt is scarcely available(7).

However, there is emerging concern that the iodine status of the population, particularly of young women(8–10) and pregnant women(7), may be inadequate.

Although conventional milk is the usual choice, organic milk is increasing in popularity because of perceived health and environmental benefits(11). Due to the strict organic farming regulations that govern the use of mineral supplements in livestock feed(12,13), organic milk may contain lower concentrations of trace minerals, thereby reducing or even reversing the potential health benefits. Studies in Europe have shown conventional milk to have a higher iodine concentration than organic milk(14,15), but no such study has been explicitly conducted in Britain.

The present study therefore aimed to compare the iodine content of organic and conventional milk available for purchase in the UK. A secondary aim was to determine regional variations in milk-iodine content. Our hypotheses were that (1) the iodine concentration of organic milk would be lower than that of conventional milk owing to organic farming practices and (2) milk-iodine concentration would differ between...
regions due to likely variations in soil-iodine content and farming practice across the UK.

**Materials and methods**

**Sampling**

Samples of supermarket semi-skimmed (<2% fat) own-brand conventional and own-brand organic milk were purchased from five leading supermarkets (total market share 79.4% (16)) in sixteen areas (largely identifiable as counties) of the UK (fourteen of which were in the south of England, one in Wales (Cardiff; Fig. 1) and one in Northern Ireland (County Antrim)) in June, July and August 2009. The areas were combined into four regions for analysis: South East (n 8), South West (n 6), Wales (n 1; Fig. 1) and Northern Ireland (n 1). In addition to own-brand supermarket milk, three samples of popular milk brands were purchased, the majority of which were organic. Fewer samples of branded milk were purchased reflecting their lower UK market share (17). As milk-iodine content is known to vary by season (6,18,19), sampling was restricted to one season so that milk purchased at the beginning and end of the collection period would be comparable. Semi-skimmed milk was selected as it is the most popular choice in the UK(20) and its iodine concentration does not differ from that of skimmed or full-fat milk(19). Milk was deemed to be organic based on the label claim and if it had an organic certification symbol, such as that of The Soil Association.

The areas sampled were chosen for logistical reasons but also because the inclusion of areas in the South East allowed the assessment of milk from densely populated regions, while the South West is both a region of major dairy farming(17,21) and has a history of high goitre rates(4). Northern Ireland and Wales were sampled to investigate potential regional variations, although sampling in Northern Ireland was restricted, as only two of the five chosen supermarket chains operated there.

The origin of milk was categorised as being from Wales, the West Country, Scotland, Northern Ireland or of unknown origin. The origin was determined from the label: where the geographical source of the milk was stated (e.g. milk from the West Country), this was assumed to be the case but otherwise, the European Union (EU) identification mark was used to trace the milk to the processing dairy(22) and in conjunction with Internet sources, the origin was determined where possible.

**Sample analysis**

Sample preparation and analysis were performed at LGC Limited, Teddington, Middlesex, UK. Sample aliquots were stored at −80°C before being transported to LGC Limited. An aliquot of 0.5g was mixed with 5 ml of 5% tetramethylammonium hydroxide (TMAH) solution, prepared by dissolving solid TMAH ($\geq$ 97%; Sigma Aldrich, Gillingham, Dorset, UK) in ultrapure water. The vial was then placed in an oven at 90°C for 3 h(23). To each sample, 0.5 ml of the internal standard was added (1300 ng/ml tellurium in 1% TMAH; Romil, Cambridge, UK) and the samples were made up to 50 g with 1% TMAH-solution. The digested samples were analysed for iodine concentration by inductively coupled plasma MS (Element2; ThermoFisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany) by external calibration using a stock standard, prepared in-house gravimetrically from high-purity potassium iodide (99.99%; Alfa Aesar, VWR, Lutterworth, Leicestershire, UK) in 5% TMAH solution. Subsequent dilutions were performed in 1% TMAH solution. Subsequent dilutions were performed in 1% TMAH solution. The uncertainty of the method was calculated as ±10% according to in-house United Kingdom Accreditation Service accredited methods, which are in accordance with International Organization for Standardization 17 025 and Eurachem/CITAC.
(Cooperation on International Traceability in Analytical Chemistry) guidelines. The accuracy of the results was verified using the certified reference material BCR 063R Skimmed Milk Powder (LGC Standards); (certified iodine content 810 ± 50 ng/g (dry weight basis)), the mean value for the certified reference material was 836.9 (SD 17.6) ng/g (n 12), a percentage recovery of 103.3%. The CV for the twelve measurements of the certified reference material was 2.1%. The means of the spiked recoveries for the certified reference material and the milk samples were 101.5 (SD 6.3) % (n 6) and 94.5 (SD 5.0) % (n 6) respectively.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was done with the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (version 17.0; SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Iodine concentration was not normally distributed in either organic or conventional milk samples, as determined by the Shapiro–Wilk test; data were therefore transformed using the natural logarithm to allow parametric testing. Geometric means with their 95% CI (computed by back transformation of log values) are reported along with the median. Independent t tests were used to test the difference between organic and conventional milk-iodine concentration and one-way ANOVA (with Bonferroni correction for post hoc analysis) was used for comparison of iodine concentration between the area of purchase and the region of origin of the milk. Statistical significance was set at P<0.05.

Results

Differences in iodine concentration

In total, ninety-two samples of organic milk and eighty samples of conventional milk were collected. Table 1 shows summary statistics (split by milk type) of iodine concentration for all samples, supermarket own-brand, other brands and by known region of origin.

An independent t test showed that the iodine concentration of organic milk was significantly lower than that of conventional milk (P<0.001), the median value of organic milk being 42.1% lower than that of conventional milk. The difference remained when the analysis was restricted to supermarket own-brand milk samples (n 154; P<0.001). Branded organic milk samples (n 15) were significantly lower in iodine concentration than the supermarket own-brand conventional milk samples (n 77, P<0.001; Table 1).

Analysis by area of purchase

One-way ANOVA showed that there was no difference in iodine concentration among the sixteen areas of purchase of either supermarket own-brand organic or conventional milk samples (P=0.75 and 0.49, respectively) or among the four regions (P=0.36 and 0.66, respectively), i.e. the three regions shown in Fig. 1 and Northern Ireland.

Analysis by region of origin of the milk

Organic milk from the West Country, Wales and of unknown origin was significantly lower in iodine than conventional milk of the same origin (P<0.001; Table 1). Due to the small number of samples originating from Wales and Northern Ireland, these regions were excluded from the one-way ANOVA for analysis by milk origin. Though no sampling was carried out in Scotland, eight of the organic milk samples were of Scottish origin. The iodine concentration of organic samples differed by region of origin (P<0.001; Table 1); post hoc testing revealed that Scottish organic milk was significantly lower in iodine than milk of some other regions (P<0.001; Table 1).

Table 1. Iodine concentration of organic and conventional milk samples and by known milk origin§

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Milk type</th>
<th>Number of samples</th>
<th>Iodine concentration (ng/g)</th>
<th>95% CI of geometric mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Geometric mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All samples</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>144.5</td>
<td>152.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>249.5</td>
<td>256.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supermarket own-brand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>148.0</td>
<td>159.8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>250.0</td>
<td>258.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branded milk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>131.0</td>
<td>118.3†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>196.0</td>
<td>208.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Country</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>140.5</td>
<td>130.3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>236.0</td>
<td>239.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wales</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>83.0</td>
<td>84.0†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>212.5</td>
<td>217.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>287.5</td>
<td>276.5‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Ireland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>220.5</td>
<td>220.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>222.0</td>
<td>221.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>145.0</td>
<td>152.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>275.5</td>
<td>266.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA, not applicable.

* Mean values of iodine concentration were significantly different from conventional milk of the same category (P<0.001; independent t test).
† Mean values of iodine concentration were significantly different from conventional supermarket own-brand milk (P<0.001; independent t test).
‡ Mean values of iodine concentration were significantly different from organic milk of West Country and unknown origin (P<0.001; one-way ANOVA post hoc test).
§ Statistical analysis was performed on log-transformed data.
significantly higher in iodine than both organic milk from the
West Country (P<0·001) and organic milk of unknown
origin (P<0·001). Conventional milk from the West Country
exhibited a lower iodine concentration than milk of unknown
origin, but the difference did not reach significance (P=0·051).
To prevent Scottish milk samples from skewing the results,
statistical testing was repeated with Scottish milk excluded;
the difference in iodine concentration between organic and
conventional milk remained significant (P<0·001) but the
difference between the median values increased to 43·1%
(data not shown).

Discussion
This is the first sizeable study to evaluate differences in iodine
concentration between organic and conventional milk. The
main finding of the present study, that organic milk has a signifi-
cantly lower iodine concentration than conventional milk,
supports both our original hypothesis and the findings of
other, smaller studies (fewer than twenty organic samples), in
Denmark(14) and Norway(15). Iodine concentrations of the
Norwegian and Danish summer organic milk samples were 31·8
and 40·8% lower than those of conventional milk, respectively,
the latter being close to the 42·1% found in the present study.

Milk-iodine concentration in the UK has increased from
the levels in the 1920s(4) through the use of iodine-supplemen-
ted feeds in dairy herds to protect livestock against deficien-
cy(4,10). Milk is also contaminated with iodine through the
use of iodophor disinfectants used in sanitisation and teat
dipping(24). The UK has never introduced a formal iodisation
programme to ensure optimal iodine status, despite goitre
being historically endemic up until the 1960s(4,25). Instead,
the country has experienced iodisation through an adventi-
tious increase in milk-iodine concentration(4). The present
finding that the iodine content of summer organic milk is
over 40% lower than that of conventional milk is a concern
from a public-health perspective.

The UK adult reference nutrient intake for iodine is
140 μg/d(26) with no increment for pregnancy, a recommen-
dation that is clearly outdated in the light of current WHO
advice for pregnancy of 250 μg/d(27). Given that milk and
dairy products are the primary source of iodine in the UK,
those who switch to organic milk, with its lower iodine
concentration, are likely to have a reduced iodine intake.
This is of particular concern during pregnancy when a
woman needs additional iodine for three reasons: (1) her pro-
duction of thyroid hormone increases by 50% in order to have
adequate supplies for her own and her baby’s needs; (2) she
needs to compensate for increased renal clearance of iodine;
and (3) she needs to provide iodine for the fetus to use
after the onset of fetal thyroid function(11). Consumption of
organic milk may reduce the chance of a woman meeting
the higher iodine requirement of pregnancy. Based on our
median milk iodine values, a portion (200 g) of conventional
milk would provide approximately 50 μg compared with
only 29 μg in a portion of organic milk; iodine intakes
would probably be further compromised if other organic
dairy products were consumed.

Although only a small proportion of liquid milk sold in the
UK is organic(26), sales of organic milk increased more than
50-fold over the 10-year period between 1997 and 2007(29),
and remained strong during the recent economic reces-
sion(11,26). Increased advertising and promotion of organic
milk is planned in the UK(28) and with a possible rise in
organic milk use, there is potential for exacerbation of the
mild iodine deficiency described in the UK, notably in school-
girls(10), pregnant women(7,30–32) and women of child-bearing
age(8,9).

The lower iodine concentration in organic milk can be
explained by differing practices on organic and conventional
farms. Organic farming regulations do not allow the routine
use of vitamin and mineral preparations(12,13). Regulations
also stipulate that at least 60% of the feed on organic farms
must be fresh or conserved forage(12), thus limiting the use
of concentrates and relying on soil minerals, which can be
low in some areas. Due to these restrictions, deficiencies in
some minerals, including iodine, can occur in organically
farmed livestock(35). Nitrogen-fixing crops, such as clover,
are important in organic farming and are used in place of arti-
ficial fertilisers(25). White clover contains cyanogenic gluco-
sides(34) that are thought to exhibit goitrogenic properties(1)
and, as suggested by Rasmussen et al.(14), greater use of goi-
trogenic feed could lower milk-iodine concentration through
the inhibition of the sodium-iodide symporter in the mammary
gland of the cow. As iodophor disinfectants and teat dips are
permitted in both organic(12) and conventional farming(35), it
is unlikely that the difference in iodine concentration can be
explained by their use.

Our hypothesis concerning regional differences in milk-
iodine concentration was only partially supported. Although
regional differences were found when milk was broadly
grouped by milk origin, no difference was found by area of
purchase. The latter finding may be explained by the supply-chain logistics in the milk industry, where milk is
bulkied in the processing dairy and delivered to stores within
the same supermarket chain in neighbouring areas(21). The
inclusion of Scottish milk was unintentional and was revealed
through interpretation of the EU identification mark(22). The
finding that Scottish milk is higher in iodine than that from
the West Country is interesting in that, historically, goitre
and cretinism were common in the West Country but their
incidence was lower in Scotland(25). As the present study did
not collect details on farming methods (e.g. soil and feed
type), it is not possible to explain the difference in iodine
concentration between regions.

The present study has a number of limitations: samples
were only collected in the summer months, so findings may
not be representative of the levels in winter milk. However,
as conventionally reared cattle are less reliant on mineral-
supplemented feed during the summer(4,10), any difference
observed between the sample groups in the summer is
likely to be matched, or exceeded during the winter. Milk
was largely purchased from the south of England, and while
this could be considered a limitation, the results indicate that
the region of origin was a greater influence on iodine concen-
tration than area of purchase. However, sample sizes for
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