Parenteral amino acids v. dextrose infusion: an anabolic strategy to minimise the catabolic response to surgery while maintaining normoglycaemia in diabetes mellitus type 2 patients
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Abstract

Loss of body protein and hyperglycaemia represent typical features of the stress response to surgery and anaesthesia. This appears to be particularly pronounced in patients with diabetes mellitus type 2. The aim of the present study was to highlight the greater benefit of amino acids (AA) as represented by positive protein balance and maintenance of blood glucose homeostasis compared with dextrose (DEX) in diabetic patients after colorectal surgery. A total of thirteen patients underwent a 5 h stable isotope infusion study (2 h fasted, 3 h fed with an infusion of AA (n 6) or DEX (n 7)) on the second post-operative day. Glucose and protein kinetics were assessed by using the stable isotopes L-[1-13C]leucine and [6,6-2H2]glucose. The transition from fasted to fed state decreased endogenous glucose production (P < 0·001) in both groups, with a more profound effect in the DEX group (P = 0·031). In contrast, total glucose production was increased by the provision of DEX while being lowered by AA (P = 0·021). Feeding decreased protein oxidation (P = 0·009) and protein synthesis in the AA group, whereas DEX infusion did not affect oxidation and even decreased protein synthesis. Therefore, only AA shifted protein balance to a positive value, while patients in the DEX group remained in a catabolic state (P < 0·001). Parenteral nutritional support with AA rather than with DEX is an effective strategy to achieve a positive protein balance while maintaining normoglycaemia in diabetic patients after colorectal surgery.
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Surgical injury provokes an endocrine metabolic stress response(1) inducing increased levels of stress hormones (cortisol, adrenaline, noradrenalin and glucagon) and decreased secretion and peripheral action of insulin(2). This pathway causes a catabolic state including stereotypical metabolic alterations such as hyperglycaemia, enhanced lipolysis, increased muscle protein breakdown and amino acid (AA) oxidation(3). Since insulin resistance appears to play a role in these metabolic changes as well as in diabetes mellitus type 2 (DM2), it has been suggested that the catabolic stress responses to surgery may be exaggerated in DM2 patients(4,5).

Malnutrition in surgical patients is associated with higher rates of morbidity and mortality(6). These concerns are emphasised in the population of diabetic patients undergoing gastrointestinal surgery, as they suffer from higher risk per se due to their metabolic disorder and the type of surgery.

Protein losses induced by the stress response to surgery can be attenuated by total parenteral nutrition, but only starving or malnourished patients with cancer benefit in the sense of a shift to anabolism(7). Unselected iso- or hyperenergetic nutritional support in well-nourished surgical patients has no evidence-based indication and may even cause harm, as it is associated with increased morbidity(8). Traditional strategies for patients after gastrointestinal surgery include fasting and intravenous fluids with hypoenergetic dextrose (DEX) until bowel motility is secured. However, hyperglycaemic levels, as possibly induced by DEX infusion, affect the immune system leading to an increased risk of infection and are related to an increased risk of post-operative complications in cardiac surgery(9).

A previous study focusing on the metabolic response of patients with DM2 to major abdominal surgery reported...
marked protein catabolism as reflected by an increased oxidative protein loss in patients with DM2 compared with non-diabetics (4). Although infusing DEX (4 mg/kg per min) did not affect protein metabolism in either group, plasma glucose levels were higher and endogenous glucose production was suppressed to a lesser extent in diabetic patients (4). The provision of even low-dose DEX was accompanied by hyperglycaemia (10,11), which has been associated with higher perioperative complication rates (9). Therefore, subsequent trials provided nutritional support including AA with the goal of achieving a protein-sparing effect while maintaining normoglycaemia (12,13). This anabolic effect of AA has been shown to be pronounced in diabetic patients receiving perioperative epidural analgesia compared with patient-controlled analgesia with morphine (12), reinforcing the concept that the type of analgesia could be of importance in modulating the catabolic response to surgery (14).

Based on these separately reported findings in diabetic patients (4,12), it was decided to analyse the data sub-sets of the diabetic groups having epidural analgesia from two previous investigations in order to directly compare the two nutritional support regimens based on the administration of AA v. DEX. The aim of the present study was to highlight the greater benefit of AA as represented by positive protein balance and maintenance of blood glucose homeostasis compared with DEX alone in the vulnerable population of DM2 patients.

Methods

Patients

A total of thirteen patients with DM2 undergoing elective colorectal surgery, recruited in two previous studies conducted at the McGill University Health Centre, Montreal, Canada, were analysed. With the approval of the Ethics Committee of the Montreal General Hospital, written informed consent was obtained from six patients in the AA group (12), and with the approval of the Ethics Committee of the Royal Victoria Hospital, Montreal, Canada written informed consent was obtained from seven patients in the DEX group (4).

Inclusion criteria for both groups were age > 18 years, DM2 (controlled by diet, oral hypoglycaemic medication or insulin) and colorectal surgery for non-metastatic disease (including right, transverse, left, sigmoid, subtotal, total and hemicolectomy and colorectal surgery, recruited in two previous studies conducted in diabetic patients (4)). It was decided to analyse the data sub-sets of the diabetic groups having epidural analgesia from two previous investigations in order to directly compare the two nutritional support regimens based on the administration of AA v. DEX. The aim of the present study was to highlight the greater benefit of AA as represented by positive protein balance and maintenance of blood glucose homeostasis compared with DEX alone in the vulnerable population of DM2 patients.

Anaesthesia and perioperative care

The patients underwent bowel preparation on the day before surgery and were allowed to drink clear fluids until midnight. The patients were operated during the morning hours by one of three surgeons who were informed of the study protocol. Oral hypoglycaemic medications were discontinued on the day of surgery. Perioperative glycaemic control was achieved by an insulin sliding scale aiming to maintain blood glucose levels between 5 and 10 mmol/l. Blood glucose was measured every 6 h. General anaesthesia was standardised: propofol/thiopentone, fentanyl and rocuronium were used for induction and anaesthesia was maintained with nitrous oxide in oxygen and desflurane or isoflurane at end-tidal concentrations required to keep heart rate and blood pressure within 20% of pre-operative values.

An epidural catheter was inserted between thoracic vertebral levels T8–T11 before the induction of general anaesthesia. Neuraxial blockade was established with 0.5% bupivacaine to achieve a bilateral sensory block from thoracic dermatome level 4 to sacral dermatome level 1. The blockade was maintained with an additional administration of 0.25% bupivacaine (5–10 ml boluses) during surgery. The sensory block from dermatomes T8 to L3 was post-operatively maintained for at least 48 h by continuous epidural infusion of 0.1% bupivacaine supplemented with 2 µg/ml fentanyl. Pain levels at rest, during mobilisation and coughing were evaluated in all patients using an eleven-point visual analogue scale (0, no pain; 10, excruciating pain) every 4 h after surgery. Pain treatment was adjusted to achieve a visual analogue score level <2 at rest and <5 during mobilisation or coughing. All patients received hypoenergetic DEX (5% in 1/4 normal saline) on the first post-operative day until midnight when the infusion was changed to normal saline until the study period.

Experimental protocol

Beginning at 08.00 hours on the second day after surgery following overnight fasting and receiving only 0.9% normal saline from midnight on, the patients underwent a 5 h tracer kinetic study to characterise protein and glucose metabolism. The study consisted of a 2 h fasted state, which was followed by a 3 h fed state (Fig. 1). Plasma kinetics of leucine and glucose were determined using tracer quantities of 1-[1-13C]leucine (99% 13C) and [6,6-2H2]glucose (99% 2H) (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Cambridge, MA, USA). Sterile solutions of isotopes were prepared in the hospital pharmacy and kept at 4°C. Breath samples were collected at 0.08 mg/kg (2400 g £ 10 min) and stored at −70°C. Breath samples were collected in a 2 litre latex bag and transferred immediately to 10 ml vacutainers (BD Vacutainer, Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). Sodium bicarbonate (NaH10CO3; 0.08 mg/kg) was administered orally. Priming doses of 1-[1-13C]leucine (4 µmol/kg) and [6,6-2H2]glucose (22 µmol/kg) were injected and followed immediately by continuous infusions of 1-[1-13C]leucine 0.06 µmol/kg per min and [6,6-2H2]glucose 0.22 µmol/kg per min lasting for 2 h (fasted state). For the subsequent 3 h period of the fed state, the
concentration of L-[1-13C]leucine increased to 0·12 μmol/kg per min and that of [6,6-2H2]glucose was maintained at 0·22 μmol/kg per min in the AA group, whereas the concentration of [6,6-2H2]glucose increased to 0·44 μmol/kg per min and that of L-[1-13C]leucine was maintained at 0·22 μmol/kg per min in the DEX group. During the fed state, a solution of crystallised beet sugar (10% DEX anhydrous; Avebe, Foxhol, The Netherlands) was infused at 4 mg/kg per min in the DEX group. Patients in the AA group received a 10% AA solution without electrolytes (Travasol™, Baxter, Montreal, Canada) at a rate of 0·02 ml/kg per min (equivalent to 2·9 g/kg per d) to achieve plasma AA concentrations at least two-fold above basal concentrations. Both infusion rates are based on the European Society of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition guidelines for protein and glucose intake. Towards the end of each study period, four blood and breath samples were collected at 10 min intervals, when the tracers were assumed to have reached an isotopic steady state. Additional blood samples were drawn during the last 10 min of the fasted- and fed-state periods for the analysis of glucose, insulin, glucagon and cortisol. Indirect calorimetry (Vmax 29N; SensorMedics, Yorba Linda, CA, USA and Datex Deltatrac, Helsinki, Finland) was performed as described previously. Under steady-state conditions, leucine flux (Q) is defined by the formula: Q = S + O = B + I, where S is the rate at which leucine is incorporated into the body protein, O is the rate of leucine oxidation, B is the rate at which unlabelled leucine enters the free AA pool from endogenous protein breakdown and I is the rate of leucine intake including the tracer (rate of infusion of L-[1-13C]leucine; μmol/kg per h) and diet. The calculation of the values for glucose and protein metabolism was performed as described previously.

**Statistical analysis**

The longitudinal data with one independent factor ‘type of feeding’ and two time points (fasted v. fed) were analysed with a Brunner–Langer model with an ANOVA-type statistic. Statistical analyses were conducted using the SAS System 9.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). A significance level of 0·05 was used for all tests.

**Results**

**Patients**

There were no significant differences regarding sex, age, height, weight, BMI and duration of surgery in both groups (Table 1). The pain scores measured by the visual analogue scale never exceeded the value of 4, and no patient complained about severe pain.

**Glucose and protein kinetics**

Fasting glucose kinetics were comparable in both groups (Table 2). The main effect of feeding was to decrease endogenous glucose production (endogenous rate of appearance (Ra) of glucose; P<0·001). The transition from fasted to
fed state lowered the endogenous Ra of glucose to a greater extent in the DEX group compared with that in the AA group ($P=0.031$), whereas total glucose production (total Ra of glucose) was higher in the DEX group compared with the AA group ($P=0.021$). DEX infusion decreased the endogenous Ra of glucose dramatically while increasing the total Ra of glucose. In contrast, AA infusion decreased both endogenous Ra and total Ra of glucose ($P=0.034$ and $P<0.001$, respectively). The transition from fasted to fed state decreased glucose clearance ($P<0.001$), with a more profound effect for the AA group ($P=0.003$).

Fasting AA kinetics (Table 3) were similar in both groups except for leucine oxidation (DEX group: 29 (SD 9) μmol/kg per h; AA group: 15 (SD 5) μmol/kg per h; $P=0.005$), resulting in a less negative protein balance in the AA group compared with the DEX group (DEX group: $-29$ (SD 9) μmol/kg per h; AA group: $-15$ (SD 5) μmol/kg per h). The main effect of feeding was a decrease in endogenous Ra of leucine ($P=0.016$). The type of nutrition had a greater impact on leucine oxidation in the AA group, showing an increase when compared with the constant values in the DEX group ($P=0.009$). The infusion of AA, therefore, shifted protein balance to a positive value, whereas patients in the DEX group remained in a net catabolic state ($P=0.025$). This contrasting effect was mainly attributed to the type of feeding ($P<0.001$).

### Gaseous exchange

Gaseous exchange values were comparable for the groups in the fasted state. No significant changes were observed by the effect of nutrition or type of nutrition (Table 4).

### Plasma hormones and metabolites

Hormones and metabolites (Table 5) were also affected by feeding. Feeding increased plasma glucose ($P<0.001$) and insulin ($P=0.009$), resulting in hyperglycaemia in the DEX group and normoglycaemia in the AA group ($P=0.063$ for the type of feeding and $P=0.001$ for the interaction). Feeding provoked an increase in glucagon in the AA group and a decrease in the DEX group ($P=0.03$). The insulin:glucagon ratio increased to a greater extent during DEX infusion compared with AA provision ($P=0.013$). When using the homeostasis model assessment (HOMA) to account for insulin sensitivity, feeding increased the HOMA values in both groups ($P<0.001$).

### Discussion

The present results suggest that an intravenous infusion of AA prevents pronounced hyperglycaemia in diabetic patients after colorectal surgery. This is in accordance with the state of insulin resistance observed in patients with diabetes mellitus, resulting in limited suppressibility of endogenous glucose production and abnormal peripheral glucose utilisation. Furthermore, a positive protein balance can be achieved, whereas DEX infusion alone does not affect protein metabolism and the patients remain in a net catabolic state. Endogenous glucose supply is based on glycogenolysis and gluconeogenesis. Overnight fasting leads to post-absorptive

### Table 1. Demographic data of patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td>6 ± 7</td>
<td>7 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>74 ± 9</td>
<td>68 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>173 ± 11</td>
<td>167 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>86.5 ± 21.8</td>
<td>70.9 ± 5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>28.9 ± 5.8</td>
<td>25.4 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ASA, American Society of Anesthesiologists classification; OAD, oral anti-diabetic drugs.

### Table 2. Kinetics of glucose metabolism in the fasted and fed state for the dextrose (DEX) and amino acid (AA) groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>DEX</th>
<th></th>
<th>AA</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fasted</td>
<td>Fed</td>
<td>Fasted</td>
<td>Fed</td>
<td>Feeding state*</td>
<td>Type of nutrition†</td>
<td>Interaction‡</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endogenous rate of appearance of glucose (μmol/kg per min)</td>
<td>15.3 ± 2.1</td>
<td>3.9 ± 1.2</td>
<td>17.3 ± 3.3</td>
<td>12.2 ± 3.2</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total rate of appearance of glucose (μmol/kg per min)</td>
<td>15.3 ± 2.1</td>
<td>24.6 ± 1.8</td>
<td>17.3 ± 3.3</td>
<td>12.2 ± 3.2</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose clearance (ml/kg per min)</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
<td>0.917</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Probability that values are influenced by parenteral alimentation.
† Probability that values are influenced by the type of nutrition.
‡ Probability that the effect of type of nutrition is greater in one group.
conditions, where glycogenolysis and gluconeogenesis each provide about half of the whole-body glucose production (20). With ongoing fasting, gluconeogenesis increases gradually and contributes up to 90% of glucose production after 42 h in non-diabetic patients (21). In a more moderate manner, these adaptations are also observed in patients with DM2 (5, 22) and in well-nourished patients with malignant diseases (23). The present findings are consistent with these reports, as the endogenous Ra of glucose is about 35% higher in diabetic patients compared with that in non-diabetic patients in post-absorptive conditions (10, 11, 13). The inhibitory effect of exogenous glucose on endogenous glucose production depends on the amount of glucose infused and the patient’s metabolic state. This is also reflected by a reduced suppression of endogenous glucose production in septic or traumatised patients (24, 25). Furthermore, altered glucose homoeostasis may be hypothesised that AA infusion suppresses the endogenous rate of appearance of leucine, i.e. proteolysis, because less AA are required for gluconeogenesis independent of the effect of insulin.

The anti-catabolic effect of insulin depends on the state of peripheral resistance, mainly through the inhibition of muscle protein breakdown (26). In patients with diabetes mellitus type 1, protein breakdown and oxidation are increased, whereas in DM2 patients with good or moderate glucose control, the rate of appearance of leucine and protein breakdown is normal (29). Furthermore, in poorly controlled and obese DM2 patients, protein breakdown was higher than in their non-diabetic counterparts (50), and leucine oxidation has not been shown to be increased (5). In the fasted state, discrepant results regarding leucine oxidation were detectable, as the DEX group clearly showed higher leucine oxidation compared with previously published results in non-diabetic patients (13). Therefore, it may be hypothesised that AA infusion suppresses the endogenous rate of appearance of leucine, i.e. proteolysis, because less AA are required for gluconeogenesis independent of the effect of insulin.

### Table 3. Kinetics of protein metabolism in the fasted and fed state for the dextrose (DEX) and amino acid (AA) groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable (µmol/kg per h)</th>
<th>DEX</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>AA</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Feeding state*</th>
<th>Type of nutrition†</th>
<th>Interaction‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rate of appearance of Leu</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endogenous rate of appearance of Leu (net protein breakdown)</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leu oxidation</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein synthesis of Leu</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein balance of Leu</td>
<td>−29</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>−29</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>−15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Probability that values are influenced by parenteral alimentation.
† Probability that values are influenced by the type of nutrition.
‡ Probability that the effect of type of nutrition is greater in one group.

### Table 4. Gaseous exchange in the fasted and fed state for the dextrose (DEX) and amino acid (AA) groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>DEX</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>AA</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Feeding state*</th>
<th>Type of nutrition†</th>
<th>Interaction‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VO₂ (ml/min)</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>0.888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCO₂ (ml/min)</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RQ</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.846</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VO₂: oxygen consumption; VCO₂: carbon dioxide production; RQ: respiratory quotient.
* Probability that values are influenced by parenteral alimentation.
† Probability that values are influenced by the type of nutrition.
‡ Probability that the effect of type of nutrition is greater in one group.
both groups. Additionally, it could be argued that the DEX group included patients with a wider range of insulin sensitivity as represented by homeostasis model assessment (HOMA) in the fasted state.

The relative concentrations of insulin and glucagon control the hepatic glucose balance \(^{(32)}\). The insulin:glucagon ratio is inversely correlated with increased glucose production in the liver. A high ratio indicates glucose storage and increased protein synthesis, whereas a low ratio is a sign of increased gluconeogenesis from AA. In a previous study, comparing diabetic patients with non-diabetic patients after colorectal surgery, lower insulin concentrations were found to be accompanied by higher glucagon levels in the diabetic group. Furthermore, negative correlations between the insulin:glucagon ratio and glucose production as well as AA oxidation led to the conclusion that these changes might be attributed to alterations in the insulin-glucagon system \(^{(4)}\).

The present study revealed higher levels of insulin in the fasted as well as in the fed state for the DEX group, whereas glucagon decreased in the DEX group and increased in the AA group during feeding, resulting in a higher insulin:glucagon ratio in patients of the DEX group.

Infusion of AA increased the Ra of leucine, leucine oxidation, as well as protein synthesis accompanied by a reduced net protein breakdown, resulting in a positive protein balance. In contrast, the administration of DEX did not affect protein kinetics, and the protein balance remained negative in the fed state. The patients receiving AA showed a net leucine balance in the fed state that was 59 \(\mu\)mol/kg per h higher than in the patients receiving glucose. Assuming body protein contains 8% leucine, this translates to savings of 140 g protein in a 70 kg person in 1 d. The potential impact on lean body mass and functional outcomes in post-operative patients was not assessed in the present study. However, the present findings are consistent with the observations in non-diabetic patients, although the protein balance even appears to be improved to a greater extent in diabetic patients \(^{(13)}\).

With regard to post-operative morbidity and mortality, a previous large clinical trial indicated no justification of routine protein-sparing therapy for normonourished or mildly malnourished patients undergoing major abdominal surgery \(^{(33)}\). However, the present study included an oral starvation period of 5 d in a heterogeneous cohort and lacks both a metabolic analysis and the inclusion of diabetic patients. Taking into account the evolution of today’s clinical practice promoting a fast track approach with early oral feeding, future studies focusing on lipid metabolism, early post-operative feeding and provision of micronutrients and immunonutrition might offer additional insight into the optimal perioperative nutritional support.

In summary, the present study of two types of feeding in diabetic patients after colorectal surgery underscores the anabolic benefits of AA while possibly preventing hyperglycaemia-induced comorbidities associated with DEX infusion. Therefore, a peripheral intravenous provision of AA in a perioperative setting of major abdominal surgery in diabetic or malnourished patients with only short oral fasting periods might be considered to improve nutritional support of these

### Table 5: Plasma concentrations of circulating metabolites and hormones in the fasted and fed state for the dextrose (DEX) and amino acid (AA) groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Feeding state</th>
<th>Type of nutrition</th>
<th>Interaction</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol/l)</td>
<td>Fasted</td>
<td>DEX</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fed</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (pmol/l)</td>
<td>Fasted</td>
<td>DEX</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fed</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucagon (pmol/l)</td>
<td>Fasted</td>
<td>DEX</td>
<td>0.647</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fed</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortisol (nmol/l)</td>
<td>Fasted</td>
<td>DEX</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fed</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin:glucagon ratio</td>
<td>Fasted</td>
<td>DEX</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fed</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA</td>
<td>Fasted</td>
<td>DEX</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fed</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.445</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HOMA, homeostasis model assessment.

* Probability that values are influenced by parenteral alimentation.
† Probability that values are influenced by the type of nutrition.
‡ Probability that the effect of type of nutrition is greater in one group.
patients. However, the perioperative provision of AA with respect to improved outcomes in clearly defined patient groups requires further investigation.
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