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**Abstract**

To assess the impact of prebiotic supplementation during gestation and fetal and early neonatal life, gestating BALB/cj dam mice were fed either a control or a prebiotic (galacto-oligosaccharides–inulin, 9:1 ratio)-enriched diet throughout pregnancy and lactation, and allowed to nurse their pups until weaning. At the time of weaning, male offspring mice were separated from their mothers, weaned to the same solid diet as their dam and their growth was monitored until killed 48 d after weaning. Prebiotic treatment affected neither the body-weight gain nor the food intake of pregnant mice. In contrast, at the time of weaning, pups that had been nursed by prebiotic-fed dams had a higher body weight (11·0 (SE 1·2) g) than pups born from control dams (9·8 (SE 0·9) g). At 48 d after weaning, significantly higher values were observed for colon length and muscle mass in the offspring of prebiotic-fed dams (1·2 (SE 0·1) cm/cm and 5·7 (SE 1·8) mg/g, respectively), compared with control offspring (1·1 (SE 0·1) cm/cm and 2·9 (SE 0·9) mg/g, respectively), without any difference in spleen and stomach weight, or serum leptin concentration. The present preliminary study suggests that altering the fibre content of the maternal diet during both pregnancy and lactation enhances offspring growth, through an effect on intestinal and muscle mass rather than fat mass accretion.
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The prevalence of the metabolic syndrome, as defined by the combination of abdominal obesity, impaired glucose tolerance, dyslipidaemia and hypertension(1), is increasing throughout the world. This syndrome is associated with an increased risk of CVD and type 2 diabetes(13). The pathophysiology of the metabolic syndrome is clearly multifactorial, and besides the direct impact of dietary imbalance, there is considerable evidence that adverse environmental influences during early development may increase disease risk in later life(22).

A prebiotic is a non-viable food component that confers a health benefit on the host associated with modulation of the microbiota(3). Prebiotic consumption may be associated with various health benefits including a reduction of colorectal cancer risk(4), a reduction of atopic dermatitis incidence in formula-fed, high-risk infants(5) and the prevention of type 2 diabetes(6).

Several studies(7,8) have described the effect of prebiotic supplementation on body weight and fat mass in adult experimental animal models. In some studies(9), the decrease in overall fat mass, and in the various deposits of white adipose tissue, is not associated with any effect on body weight. A recent study has shown that supplementation with a mix of inulin and fructo-oligosaccharides had a significant benefit on the maintenance of an appropriate BMI and fat mass accretion in non-obese adolescents(10). However, very little is known about the effects of consuming a high-fibre diet during pregnancy and the development of the offspring(11).

**Methods**

**Experimental procedure**

The experimental protocol was approved by Pays de la Loire Animal Care Committee. Female BALB/cj (Janvier, Le Genest

---

**Abbreviation:** GOS, galacto-oligosaccharides.
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Prebiotic effects on the weight and behaviour of pregnant mice

During pregnancy, weight gain did not differ between females of both control (n 13) and prebiotic (n 12) groups (Fig. 1). Food intake was similar for both groups (2·4 (SE 0·1) g/d in the control group v. 2·5 (SE 0·3) g/d in the prebiotic group) during pregnancy.

At birth, the number of offspring per female was not different between the control (5·6 (SE 0·3)) and prebiotic (4·1 (SE 2·6)) groups. After birth, cannibalism occurred more frequently in the control group (5·6 (SE 3·4)) than in the prebiotic group (4·1 (SE 2·6)).

Prebiotic effects on pup growth

Table 1 summarises the results obtained on body weight and food intake during the growth of the offspring. Offspring length, tissue and organ weight, and blood leptin concentration, 48 d after weaning (killed), are also shown in Table 1.

At weaning, body weight was significantly higher in the prebiotic group than in the controls. The difference in body weight between the two groups persisted throughout the end of the experiment and was 13·4, 16·9, 13·4 and 14·2 % at 0, 2, 40 and 48 d after weaning, respectively.

Upon killing 48 d after weaning, we did not observe any difference in spleen and stomach weights, whereas significant differences were found in colon length and thigh muscle weight between the prebiotic and control groups (Table 1). There was a non-significant trend towards higher leptin concentrations in the prebiotic group.

Discussion

The present study addressed the effect of prebiotic supplementation on pregnant mice and the development of their offspring in the perinatal period. The control and prebiotic-supplemented diets were carefully matched for nutrient and energy content, and the only difference was the presence of GOS–inulin in the ‘prebiotic diet’ replacing equivalent amounts of dietary fibre and sugars from the cellulose and lactose fractions.

Table 1. Organ weights (expressed in mg/g total body weight) and plasma leptin concentration in male offspring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Prebiotic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weaning</td>
<td>9·8</td>
<td>11·0***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>day + 2</td>
<td>10·6</td>
<td>12·4***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>day + 40</td>
<td>21·9</td>
<td>24·9***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>day + 48</td>
<td>22·6</td>
<td>25·8***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food intake (g/d)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weaning</td>
<td>1·6</td>
<td>1·6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>day + 2</td>
<td>3·4</td>
<td>3·6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>day + 40</td>
<td>8·6</td>
<td>9·3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>day + 48</td>
<td>11·3</td>
<td>12*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomach (mg/g)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>day + 48</td>
<td>11·3</td>
<td>13·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spleen (mg/g)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>day + 48</td>
<td>3·3</td>
<td>2·9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle (mg/g)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>day + 48</td>
<td>2·9</td>
<td>5·7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptin (ng/ml)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>day + 48</td>
<td>24·4</td>
<td>34·3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean values were significantly different from those of the control group: *P<0·05, **P<0·01, ***P<0·001.
control diet. Thus, differences observed between the groups can be attributed to the prebiotic.

To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first to provide evidence for the beneficial effects of a prebiotic diet administered during gestation. The prebiotic diet indeed(14) did not alter food intake nor weight gain during pregnancy, compared with the control diet(25), did not alter the number of offspring per dam, but(14) it decreased the incidence of cannibalism. In addition, prebiotic supplementation had a significant impact on offspring body weight at weaning. Body weight could have been different between the groups of the offspring at the time of birth, but we chose not to weigh pups from birth until weaning in an effort to minimise stress in the dams and their offspring. The offspring of dams fed a prebiotic diet were heavier at 21 d (weaning) but their weight gain from weaning to the end of the study (27 d after weaning) was the same as that of the offspring of dams fed a control diet. This difference in body weight was not associated with an increase in the weight of several splanchnic organs (e.g. stomach or spleen) but was associated with an increase in colon length. Most intriguing was the rise in muscle mass, without any rise in fat mass, as reflected by the unaltered leptin level.

Several studies(12,13) have shown that excessive weight gain during pregnancy increases the risk of developing hyperlipidaemia, insulin resistance and obesity in childhood. In the present study, the prebiotic diet affected neither body-weight gain nor food intake during pregnancy. The 2-fold reduction in the incidence of cannibalism during lactation in the ‘prebiotic group’, compared with the control group, clearly warrants further investigation, including, for instance, the determination of stress hormones such as corticosterone in pregnant and lactating mice.

Very few studies have explored the effects of prebiotics on the development of offspring. In a rat pup model, Maurer et al.(14) did not observe any difference in body-weight gain between the offspring of dams fed either a high-fibre diet, a high-protein diet or a control diet. The difference in prebiotic composition could contribute to this discrepancy. In our case, we used a mix of GOS–inulin with a 9:1 (w/w) ratio, whereas Maurer et al.(14) used a mix of oligofructose–inulin with a 1:1 (w/w) ratio.

It is interesting to note that in the present study, the effects observed in pups occurred via indirect exposure to the prebiotic-enriched diet. As little is known on the effect of prebiotic supplementation on the oligosaccharide content of breast milk, investigating whether prebiotics fed to lactating dams cross into rat milk deserves further study.

In numerous studies on adult rodent models, the decrease in body weight and fat mass following feeding with prebiotics was associated with a reduction of food/energy intake(9,15). In the present study, we did not observe any decrease in food intake in either pregnant mice or their offspring, but observed an increase in body-weight gain in prebiotic offspring at the time of weaning, without any obvious impact on fat mass, as serum leptin level remained unaltered.

Accordingly, prebiotic supplementation increased muscle mass without any increase in body fat mass. The mechanisms involved remain to be explored, and could involve the secretion of hormones known to promote protein anabolism such as insulin, growth hormone and insulin-like growth factor 1. Regardless of its mechanism(s), such protein anabolic effect of prebiotics, if confirmed in human infants, would be of potential relevance to neonatal care. In fact, enhancing early growth, and particularly lean body mass accretion, has long been the ultimate goal of neonatologists. The high-protein formulas that have been routinely used to achieve that goal, however, may expose to the risk of excess fat mass deposition and/or the metabolic syndrome in the long run(16,17). Promoting lean body mass without enhancing fat mass accretion in the perinatal period through prebiotic supplementation therefore warrants further investigation.

Finally, in the present study, prebiotic supplementation was associated with an increase in the pup’s colon length. The present results are consistent with earlier data on adult rats fed with fibre-enriched diets(18–20), and with the study in rat pups born from dams fed a high-fibre diet(21). Such a trophic effect on the offspring’s colon suggests that the intestinal microbiota was affected in pups. Whether alterations in intestinal microbiota mediate the effects observed on offspring body composition through metabolic and/or hormonal modulation remains to be explored in our model.

In conclusion, increasing the fibre content of the maternal diet during pregnancy and lactation allowed improvement of body-weight gain in the offspring without any impact on fat mass but with an increase in muscle mass. Such a promising finding suggests that the supplementation of the maternal diet during the perinatal period should be explored as a promising, novel strategy to enhance the growth of infants with intra-uterine-to-extra-uterine growth restriction.
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