Effects of plant sterol esters in skimmed milk and vegetable-fat-enriched milk on serum lipids and non-cholesterol sterols in hypercholesterolaemic subjects: a randomised, placebo-controlled, crossover study
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Abstract
Plant sterol (PS)-supplemented foods are recommended to help in lowering serum LDL-cholesterol (LDL-C). Few studies have examined the efficacy of PS-enriched skimmed milk (SM) or semi-SM enriched with vegetable fat (PS-VFM). There is also insufficient information on factors predictive of LDL-C responses to PS. We examined the effects of PS-SM (0.1 % dairy fat) and PS-VFM (0.1 % dairy fat plus 1.5 % vegetable fat) on serum lipids and non-cholesterol sterols in hypercholesterolaemic individuals. In a placebo-controlled, crossover study, forty-three subjects with LDL-C > 1300 mg/l were randomly assigned to three 4-week treatment periods: control SM, PS-SM and PS-VFM, with 500 ml milk with or without 3.4 g PS esters (2 g free PS). Serum concentrations of lipids and non-cholesterol sterols were measured. Compared to control, LDL-C decreased by 8.0 and 7.4 % (P < 0.015, both) in the PS-SM and PS-VFM periods, respectively. Serum lathosterol:cholesterol (C) ratios increased by 11–25 %, while sitosterol:C and campesterol:C ratios increased by 70–120 % with both the PS-fortified milk. Adjusted LDL-C reductions were variably enhanced in participants with basal low serum lathosterol/C or conversely high sitosterol/C and campesterol/C. Subjects with post-treatment serum PS:C ratios above the median showed mean LDL-C changes of −5.9 to −10.4 %, compared with 1.7 to −2.9 % below the median. In conclusion, consumption of 2 g/d of PS as PS-SM and PS-VFM lowered LDL-C in hypercholesterolaemic subjects to a similar extent. Basal and post-treatment changes in markers of cholesterol metabolism indicating low cholesterol synthesis and high cholesterol absorption predicted improved LDL-C responses to PS.
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Plant sterols (PS) are established non-pharmacological agents that help reduce serum total and LDL-cholesterol (LDL-C) concentrations in conjunction with a healthy diet. PS are naturally occurring plant constituents that are structurally similar to cholesterol and partially inhibit its intestinal absorption, which is the mechanism responsible for their cholesterol-lowering effect. Although details are not fully elucidated, it is believed that displacement of cholesterol from intestinal micelles by the more hydrophobic and bulkier PS molecules limits the amount available for absorption, resulting in less cholesterol reaching the liver with ensuing stimulation of LDL clearance1,2,3.

According to recent meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials in adults, the predicted LDL-C-lowering effect of the recommended daily dose of PS or plant stanols (approximately 2 g) is about 9 to 10%4,5. Theoretically, the food or beverage format used as the matrix for PS could influence the magnitude of the cholesterol-lowering response. In their meta-analysis, Demonty et al.5 systematically evaluated the effect of food format and, at standard doses of PS, found no differences in efficacy between high-fat v. low-fat and solid v. liquid foods. In another meta-analysis, AbuMweis et al.5 suggested that PS or stanols consumed in fat spreads, mayonnaise and salad dressings, and milk and yoghurt would lead to larger pooled average LDL-C reductions than other food formats. Together, with the fact that some dairy foods are low in fat, these data suggest that fat content is
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not a crucial characteristic for ensuring optimal efficacy of PS-enriched foods.

However, it appears to be counterintuitive to use high-fat food products as vehicles for a cholesterol-lowering agent in the diet of hypercholesterolaemic subjects. If PS dispersed in low-fat drinks retain their cholesterol-lowering efficacy, then either skimmed dairy products or those with replacement of dairy fat by or enrichment with vegetable fat appear as best suited. Given its enrichment in unsaturated fatty acids, dairy products containing vegetable fat might even enhance the cholesterol-lowering response to PS. So far, only a few studies have examined the cholesterol-lowering effect of PS-fortified milk or yoghurt; fewer were considered good-quality studies in the cited meta-analysis\(^{(3)}\); and no study has compared the serum lipid effects of skimmed milk (SM) and semi-skimmed vegetable-fat-enriched milk (VFM) containing PS.

A common observation in clinical practice is that there is a significant and large inter-individual variability in the extent of cholesterol reduction to a PS challenge in spite of using the same food format, daily dose, intake occasion and timing in compliant individuals, whereby responders and non-responders are often identified. Cholesterol absorption efficiency also shows a large inter-individual variability, which ranges from 20 to 80\(^{\%}\)\(^{(6)}\) and is ascribable in part to variation in genes involved in the metabolic pathways along the enterohepatic axis\(^{(7)}\). Circulating non-cholesterol sterols can be used as surrogate markers of cholesterol metabolism in such a way that levels of the main cholesterol precursor, lathosterol, reflect endogenous synthesis, while those of PS such as sitosterol and campesterol mirror the efficiency of intestinal absorption\(^{(8)}\). It has been postulated that individuals who disburse high basal serum PS levels (being cholesterol hyper-absorbers) should benefit more from an inhibition of intestinal cholesterol absorption by PS or plant stanols, while the converse would occur in those with low serum PS levels (being cholesterol hypo-absorbers), but the evidence thus far is contradictory, with studies both supporting\(^{(9–12)}\) and negating this hypothesis\(^{(13,14)}\). On the other hand, it has been recently reported that subjects with high endogenous cholesterol synthesis have a lesser cholesterol-lowering response to PS intake than those with low cholesterol synthesis\(^{(15)}\).

We hypothesised that PS-fortified SM with partial enrichment of vegetable fat (PS-VFM with 0·1 % dairy fat plus 1·5 % vegetable fat) would lower LDL-C concentrations to a greater extent than skimmed milk (0·1 % dairy fat) with the same PS content (PS-SM) and that basal circulating non-cholesterol sterol concentrations and their changes after PS consumption would relate to the variability of LDL-C responses. To test these hypotheses, we conducted a randomised, placebo-controlled, crossover feeding study with hypercholesterolaemic subjects comparing two such PS-enriched milk products with plain SM (0·1 % dairy fat) for outcomes on changes of the serum lipid profile and of serum non-cholesterol sterols as surrogate markers of cholesterol synthesis and absorption.

**Materials and methods**

**Subjects**

Adult men and women with polygenic hypercholesterolaemia attending the Lipid Clinics of the Hospital Clinic of Barcelona and Hospital Universitari de Bellvitge were eligible for participation if they had serum LDL-C concentrations greater than 1300 mg/l (3·37 mmol/l) and TAG concentrations less than 3000 mg/l (3·36 mmol/l); no evidence of alcohol or drug abuse; absence of diabetes mellitus and liver, kidney, thyroid or other endocrine diseases, as assessed by medical history, a complete physical examination and laboratory tests; no history of lactose intolerance; and not being on a weight-reducing diet. Subjects under stable treatment with statins or fibrates were eligible if fulfilling the aforementioned lipid criteria. Consumption within the preceding 8 weeks of other compounds capable of influencing cholesterol metabolism ( bile acid-binding resins, ezetimibe, psyllium, fish oil supplements, soya lecithin, phytoestrogens and other PS-fortified foods) was a reason for exclusion. Because the selected study population had common (polygenic) hypercholesterolaemia, we also excluded persons whose elevated serum cholesterol levels had a strong genetic basis (such as heterozygous familial hypercholesterolaemia or familial combined hyperlipidaemia), as established by standard criteria. On admission to the Lipid Clinics, all participants had been advised to follow a Mediterranean-type lipid-lowering diet.

For a crossover design, statistical power calculation indicated that to detect a mean difference of 80 (± 140) mg/l in serum LDL-C between the PS-enriched milk (PS-SM and PS-VFM) and the placebo SM, forty-two patients in total would need to complete the study (\(\alpha = 0·05\) and a power of >0·9). From computerised registers of clinical records, fifty-six hypercholesterolaemic patients (twenty-seven women and twenty-nine men) who initially met the eligibility criteria were selected for screening and were asked to participate in the study. They were offered free milk but no monetary compensation.

**Study design**

This double-blind, two-centre, placebo-controlled, crossover, randomised study consisted of a 4-week run-in period with general recommendations of a Mediterranean-type lipid-lowering diet and consumption of 500 ml placebo SM in two portions per d followed by a 12-week experimental phase with the same background diet. At the end of the run-in period, participants were randomly assigned by a computer-generated random number table using a balanced latin-square design to one of six possible diet sequences, consisting of three intervention periods lasting 4 weeks each: placebo SM (control), PS-SM and PS-VFM. Given that diet-induced lipoprotein changes stabilise in <4 weeks\(^{(16)}\), we did not incorporate a washout period between the diet periods. Subjects were requested to follow the same dietary recommendations throughout the duration of the study and to consume the two daily 250 ml milk servings with two different main meals...
after thoroughly shaking the package to minimise adsorption of PS to its inner surface.

Routine laboratory measurements were conducted at the screening visit to ensure both normal health status and fulfillment of eligibility of lipid criteria. Twice during the last week of the run-in period and of each one of the three dietary periods (control, PS-SM and PS-VFM), the study participants came to the clinic for a medical visit, an interview with the dietitian, anthropometric and blood pressure (BP) measurements, and blood sampling. Adverse effects were recorded at each visit.

The study protocol was approved by the institutional review boards of each institution and all participants provided written informed consent. This study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki and was registered at www.controlled-trials.com as ISRCTN14285706.

Dietary intervention

All subjects had face-to-face interviews with the dietitian for nutritional counselling to facilitate understanding of the study protocol and adherence to the background diet and study milk consumption. At the end of each treatment period, dietary compliance was assessed by 7-d diet recalls. The nutrient composition of the diets was calculated with Food Processor Plus software, version 8.0 (ESHA Research, Salem, OR, USA), which was adapted to the nutrient databases of specific Mediterranean foods when appropriate. Intake of total PS in the usual diet was estimated from the database of Spanish foods developed by Jiménez-Escrig et al. (17).

The PS-fortified and control milk products were produced under factory-controlled conditions by Unilever (Vlaardingen, the Netherlands) and portion packaged in 250 ml coded containers. The ultra high temperature shelf-stable milk products (per 500 ml daily serving) of the run-in period and of each one of the three dietary periods (control, PS-SM and PS-VFM, the study participants came to the clinic for a medical visit, an interview with the dietitian, anthropometric and blood pressure (BP) measurements, and blood sampling. Adverse effects were recorded at each visit.

The study protocol was approved by the institutional review boards of each institution and all participants provided written informed consent. This study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki and was registered at www.controlled-trials.com as ISRCTN14285706.

Dietary intervention

All subjects had face-to-face interviews with the dietitian for nutritional counselling to facilitate understanding of the study protocol and adherence to the background diet and study milk consumption. At the end of each treatment period, dietary compliance was assessed by 7-d diet recalls. The nutrient composition of the diets was calculated with Food Processor Plus software, version 8.0 (ESHA Research, Salem, OR, USA), which was adapted to the nutrient databases of specific Mediterranean foods when appropriate. Intake of total PS in the usual diet was estimated from the database of Spanish foods developed by Jiménez-Escrig et al. (17).

The PS-fortified and control milk products were produced under factory-controlled conditions by Unilever (Vlaardingen, the Netherlands) and portion packaged in 250 ml coded containers. The ultra high temperature shelf-stable milk products were plain, placebo SM (0·1 % dairy fat), SM enriched with 6·8 g/l PS esters (equivalent to 4 g/l of free PS) and SM enriched with vegetable fat to the level of a semi-SM (0·1 % dairy fat plus 1·5 % vegetable fat) and 6·8 g/l PS esters.

The nutritional composition of the milk products is shown in Table 1. The origin of the PS was tall oil and they were esterified with fatty acids from sunflower oil. The composition of the PS was 76·7 % sitosterol, 8·9 % sitostanol, 7·7 % campessterol, 1·1 % stigmasterol and 1 % campestanol, with other minor sterols/stanols making up the remaining 2·6 %.

Adherence to study milk intake was assessed by recount of empty packages returned to the clinic after each diet period. In addition, serum PS concentrations, which typically increase with PS ingestion, were used as a biological marker of compliance.

Measurements

BMI was calculated as weight (kg) divided by the square of height (m). Waist circumference was measured after expiration at the midpoint between the lowest rib and the iliac crest. BP was measured with a random-zero mercury sphygmomanometer. We used the mean of two measurements of systolic and diastolic BP taken while subjects were sitting after a 5 min rest.

Venous blood samples were collected and immediately centrifuged at 2500 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. All biochemical determinations were performed at the core laboratory in Hospital Clinic. Freshly separated serum was used to determine total cholesterol, TAG and HDL-cholesterol (HDL-C; after precipitation of apo B-containing lipoproteins) by standard enzymatic methods in an automatic analyser DDPII Hitachi (Roche, Basle, Switzerland), using specific reagents (Boehringer-Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany). LDL-C was calculated by using the Friedewald equation. In one of the duplicate blood samples, concentrations of variables reflecting glucose control and kidney and liver function, i.e. fasting glucose, total bilirubin, aspartate aminotransferase, alkaline transaminase, alkaline phosphatase, γ-glutamyl transpeptidase and creatinine were determined on the automatic analyser.

Haematological variables (total and differential leucocyte count, erythrocyte count, Hb concentration, haematocrit, mean corpuscular volume, and platelet count and volume) were determined on a Coulter counter. None of these variables was affected by the treatments (data not shown).

Serum non-cholesterol sterols were measured by GC, as described before (18). Epicoprostanol (2 µg) was added to serum (0·1 ml) as internal standard. After alkaline hydrolysis, extraction and derivatisation to trimethylsilyl ethers, sterols were quantified on a 30-m non-polar capillary column (TRB-Esterol, Teknokroma, Barcelona, Spain) equipped with flame ionisation detection in a Perkin Elmer GC AutosystemTM (Perkin Elmer, Norwalk, CT, USA) apparatus. Each run quantified the most representative non-cholesterol sterols, i.e. lathosterol, campesterol and sitosterol. Non-cholesterol sterols are expressed as both absolute values (µmol/l) and ratios to cholesterol (C, µmol/mmol) because, like cholesterol, these sterols are transported exclusively in lipoprotein particles and their concentrations are altered by changes in carrier
lipoprotein concentrations\(^{(1)}\). Inter- and intra-assay CV were 5·0 and 3·2 % for lathosterol, 1·9 and 16 % for campesterol and 2·0 and 1·8 % for sitosterol, respectively.

### Statistical analyses

The two measurements obtained at the end of each dietary period were averaged. Macronutrient, anthropometric and BP changes among the four treatment periods (run-in, placebo milk and the two PS-fortified milk) were compared by a repeated-measures ANOVA. Bonferroni’s correction was used to correct for multiple testing. Treatment order and centre were entered in the models as an additional factor, but they were not significant and were not considered further. Serum lipid and sterol concentrations at the end of the run-in and each intervention period were considered as the baseline value for the following intervention period and data were analysed by using a mixed-model ANCOVA for repeated measures, with sex, age, baseline levels, period, and treatment sequence and their interactions with treatment as covariates. All analyses started with the same model including all main effects and first-order interactions, and then non-significant terms were removed for the final model. The Tukey–Kramer post hoc test was used for multiple comparisons in these analyses. Models considering LDL-C concentrations by groups with high \( v \) low non-cholesterol sterols were examined by an ANCOVA with baseline LDL-C and hypolipidaemic drug treatment as covariates. Pearson’s correlation coefficients were used to assess relationships between continuous variables. Partial correlations adjusted for LDL-C levels after placebo were used to assess relationships between LDL-C changes and non-cholesterol sterol ratios adjusting by basal LDL-C levels and hypolipidaemic drug treatment. Statistical significance was set at the \( P<0·05 \) level. Data were analysed using software SPSS 16.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and SAS version 9.2 (TS1M0; SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

### Results

#### Study participants

Of the fifty-six selected candidates, forty-four accepted to participate in the study and signed the informed consent (twenty-two in each centre). One woman withdrew soon after start of the study for personal reasons. Therefore, forty-three subjects (twenty-two men and twenty-one women) with a mean age of 49 (sd 15) years completed the study and were included in the final analysis. Eight subjects were under statin treatment and one subject was treated with fibrates. Other treatments were antihypertensive agents (\( n \ 7 \)), allopurinol (\( n \ 2 \)) and anti-inflammatory agents (\( n \ 2 \)). None of these subjects discontinued drug treatment or changed doses during the trial.

### Adherence to the dietary intervention

The self-reported nutrient intakes of both the run-in and experimental diet periods showed good adherence to the healthy, Mediterranean-type dietary recommendations. As shown in Table 2, the nutrient composition was similar in all diet periods, except for the PS-VFM period, in which total fat and PUFA content increased at the expense of carbohydrates, reflecting the differential composition of the corresponding VFM. Other nutrients, e.g. protein and total energy intake showed differences that were small but statistically significant. Importantly, the background diet intakes of most nutrients capable of influencing lipid metabolism, i.e. SFA,

#### Table 2. Dietary composition during each treatment period* (Mean values and standard deviations)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Run-in</th>
<th>Placebo skimmed milk</th>
<th>PS-fortified skimmed milk</th>
<th>PS-fortified semi-skimmed vegetable fat milk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total energy†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kcal/d</td>
<td>2029(^{a,b})</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>1877(^{a})</td>
<td>424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kJ/d</td>
<td>8489</td>
<td>2163</td>
<td>7853</td>
<td>1774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (% of energy)</td>
<td>20·8(^{a,b})</td>
<td>4·2</td>
<td>21·8(^{b})</td>
<td>3·8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate (% of energy)</td>
<td>42·8(^{a})</td>
<td>7·5</td>
<td>41·4(^{a,b})</td>
<td>7·6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fibre (g/d)</td>
<td>19·3(^{a})</td>
<td>9·0</td>
<td>16·8(^{a})</td>
<td>6·4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soluble fibre (g/d)</td>
<td>5·0(^{a})</td>
<td>2·7</td>
<td>4·2(^{a})</td>
<td>1·9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (% of energy)†</td>
<td>35·4(^{a})</td>
<td>6·9</td>
<td>35·5(^{a})</td>
<td>6·3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFA</td>
<td>7·9(^{a})</td>
<td>1·7</td>
<td>8·3(^{a})</td>
<td>2·2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUFA</td>
<td>19·2(^{a})</td>
<td>5·2</td>
<td>19·0(^{a})</td>
<td>4·4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUFA</td>
<td>5·3(^{a})</td>
<td>2·2</td>
<td>5·5(^{a})</td>
<td>1·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trans-fatty acids</td>
<td>1·8(^{a})</td>
<td>1·6</td>
<td>1·9(^{a})</td>
<td>1·5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol (mg/d)</td>
<td>263(^{a})</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>285(^{a})</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant sterols (mg/d)‡</td>
<td>256(^{a})</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>222(^{a})</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol (g/d)</td>
<td>4·0(^{a})</td>
<td>7·1</td>
<td>3·5(^{a})</td>
<td>6·5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PS. plant sterols.

\(^{a,b}\) Mean values within a row with unlike superscript letters were significantly different from each other (\( P<0·05 \), Bonferroni’s test).

\(^\dagger\) Data were analysed by using ANOVA for repeated measures. Bonferroni’s post hoc comparison test was used for multiple comparisons.

\(\dagger\) Exclusively from background diet.
MUFA and *trans*-fatty acids, cholesterol, soluble fibre, alcohol and PS were similar throughout the study period.

Consumption of all milk products was well tolerated and no adverse effects were reported. Compliance was judged as >95% in each diet period according to empty milk packages returned to the clinic.

### Adiposity measures, blood pressure and blood glucose

At the end of the run-in period, the study subjects had mean body weight of 72·5 (sd 12·0) kg, BMI 26·6 (sd 3·0) kg/m², waist circumference 93·1 (sd 12·4) cm, systolic BP 128 P (to 2) compared to the PS-SM milk. At the end of the PS-VFM placebo, but HDL-C rose by 3·8% after the PS-VFM milk (by 8·0 and 7·4%, respectively. Changes in HDL-C after the were significantly (VFM periods, total cholesterol and LDL-C concentrations relative to the placebo SM period. After the PS-SM and PS-sequence and the changes during the two PS milk periods compared to the run-in period ranged from

### Serum lipid profile

Serum lipids at the end of the run-in period were: total cholesterol 2640 (sd 310) mg/l, LDL-C 1840 (sd 260) mg/l, HDL-C 530 (sd 130) mg/l and TAG 1360 (sd 700) mg/l. Table 3 shows adjusted serum lipid concentrations at the end of each diet sequence and the changes during the two PS milk periods relative to the placebo SM period. After the PS-SM and PS-VFM periods, total cholesterol and LDL-C concentrations were significantly (P<0·015) reduced by 7·8 and 6·3% and by 8·0 and 7·4%, respectively. Changes in HDL-C after the PS-fortified milk (both) were non-significant compared to placebo, but HDL-C rose by 3·8% after the PS-VFM milk (P<0·05 compared to the PS-SM milk). At the end of the PS-VFM period, TAG concentrations decreased by 18·7% (P<0·01), while there was a non-significant reduction of 13·2% observed with PS-SM. Participants treated with lipid-lowering drugs had lipid responses that were similar to those receiving only dietary treatment (data not shown).

There were moderate correlations between the changes in LDL-C and their concentrations after the placebo period: r = −0·282 (P = 0·067) for the PS-SM period and r = −0·399 (P = 0·008) for the PS-VFM period. On the other hand, serum TAG changes were strongly related to concentrations after the placebo milk: r = −0·594 (P < 0·001) for PS-SM and r = −0·518 (P < 0·001) for PS-VFM.

### Serum non-cholesterol sterols

Sitosterol/C after the placebo milk correlated directly with campesterol/C (r = 0·450, P = 0·002) and inversely with lathosterol/C (r = −0·374, P = 0·014). Correlations were weaker when considering absolute non-cholesterol sterol concentrations, with r values of −0·299 (P = 0·052) for sitosterol v. lathosterol and 0·298 (P = 0·053) for sitosterol v. campesterol. Absolute concentrations of lathosterol and PS as well as their ratios to cholesterol in each treatment period and their changes from the placebo period are shown in Table 4. Both absolute and cholesterol-normalised values of the cholesterol synthesis precursor, lathosterol, increased slightly (range, 5·5–25%) after the two PS-fortified milk periods, but only the increase of lathosterol/C after the PS-VFM milk was statistically significant (P < 0·05). On the other hand, campesterol/C and sitosterol/C increased significantly (P < 0·001) by 70–75% (63–75% as absolute values) and 106–121% (91–110% as absolute values), respectively. Non-cholesterol sterol ratios after the placebo milk correlated with those observed after PS consumption. Thus, for the PS-SM and PS-VFM periods, the respective r values were: lathosterol/C, 0·625 and 0·302 (P < 0·05, both); campesterol/C, 0·509 and 0·336 (P < 0·05, both); and sitosterol/C, 0·529 and 0·649 (P < 0·001, both). The changes of lathosterol/C, campesterol/C and sitosterol/C were also correlated between the two PS milk periods, with respective r values of 0·389 (P = 0·010), 0·526 (P < 0·001) and 0·559 (P < 0·001). Similar correlations

### Table 3. Serum concentrations of lipids in each dietary period

(Mean values with their standard errors and 95% confidence intervals)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serum lipids (mg/l)</th>
<th>A: Placebo skimmed milk</th>
<th>B: PS-fortified skimmed milk</th>
<th>C: PS-fortified semi-skimmed vegetable-fat milk</th>
<th>A–B P ANCOVA</th>
<th>A–C P ANCOVA</th>
<th>B–C P ANCOVA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol*</td>
<td>2560*</td>
<td>2360*</td>
<td>2400*</td>
<td>0·0009</td>
<td>0·0069</td>
<td>0·7782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change from placebo†</td>
<td>−197</td>
<td>−299, −95</td>
<td>−263, −60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-cholesterol*</td>
<td>1750*</td>
<td>1610*</td>
<td>1620*</td>
<td>0·0037</td>
<td>0·0121</td>
<td>0·9082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change from placebo†</td>
<td>−148</td>
<td>−235, −61</td>
<td>−216, −43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-cholesterol*</td>
<td>5200*</td>
<td>510*</td>
<td>540*</td>
<td>0·2954</td>
<td>0·0624</td>
<td>0·0043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change from placebo†</td>
<td>−110</td>
<td>−320, 10</td>
<td>−1, 40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAG*</td>
<td>1440*</td>
<td>1250*</td>
<td>1170*</td>
<td>0·0551</td>
<td>0·0075</td>
<td>0·4279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change from placebo†</td>
<td>−190</td>
<td>−381, 10</td>
<td>−458, −77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PS, plant sterols.

*a,b*Mean values within a row with unlike superscript letters are significantly different from each other by the Tukey–Kramer test (P < 0·05).

*Data analysed by using ANCOVA for repeated measures with sex, age, baseline levels, period and treatment sequence and their interactions with treatment as covariates.

The Tukey–Kramer post hoc test was used for multiple comparisons.

†Mean difference (95% confidence interval) from values during the placebo milk period.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A: Placebo skinned milk</th>
<th>B: PS-fortified skinned milk</th>
<th>C: PS-fortified semi-skimmed vegetable-fat milk</th>
<th>A–B ( P ) ( P ) ANCOVA</th>
<th>A–C ( P ) ( P ) ANCOVA</th>
<th>B–C ( P ) ( P ) ANCOVA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol synthesis precursor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lathosterol*</td>
<td>11.99a</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>12.65a</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>13.93a</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change from placebo†</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>2.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lathosterol/C*</td>
<td>2.15a</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>2.38a,b</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>2.68b</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change from placebo†</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.20, 0.66</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.20, 0.66</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol absorption markers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campesterol*</td>
<td>14.68a</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>23.90b</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>25.65b</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change from placebo†</td>
<td>9.22</td>
<td>10.98</td>
<td>8.51, 13.44</td>
<td>9.22</td>
<td>5.34b</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campesterol/C*</td>
<td>3.06b</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>5.21b</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>5.34b</td>
<td>5.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change from placebo†</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>1.67, 2.63</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitosterol*</td>
<td>13.25a</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>25.26b</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>27.77b</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change from placebo†</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>14.52</td>
<td>9.35, 17.34</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>5.60b</td>
<td>5.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitosterol/C*</td>
<td>2.54b</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5.24b</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>5.60b</td>
<td>5.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change from placebo†</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>2.14, 3.26</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>3.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PS, plant sterols.

*Mean values within a row with unlike superscript letters are significantly different from each other by the Tukey–Kramer test (\( P < 0.05 \)).

Data analysed by using ANCOVA for repeated measures, with sex, age, baseline levels, period and treatment sequence and their interactions with treatment as covariates. The Tukey–Kramer post hoc test was used for multiple comparisons.

† Mean difference (95% confidence interval) from values during the placebo milk period.
were observed when using absolute instead of cholesterol-normalised non-cholesterol sterol values (data not shown).

In partial correlation models adjusted for LDL-C concentrations after placebo milk and hypolipidaemic drug treatment, LDL-C changes related directly to sitosterol:C after the placebo milk only in the PS-VFM period \((r = 0.320, P = 0.042)\), but not in the PS-SM period \((r = 0.173, P = 0.281)\). No correlations were observed for lathosterol:C or campesterol:C with LDL-C changes.

To further assess whether basal serum non-cholesterol sterols as markers of cholesterol synthesis and absorption efficiency were predictive of cholesterol-lowering responsiveness, we plotted (Fig. 1) the mean percentage LDL-C changes for each PS milk period and compared groups above and below the median values of non-cholesterol sterols:C ratios after the placebo milk. After adjustment for placebo LDL-C levels and hypolipidaemic drug treatment, LDL-C responses to PS-enriched milk consumption were enhanced in participants whose serum lathosterol:C values were below the median, compared to those above the median. Conversely, low basal serum PS:C ratios tended to be associated with blunted LDL-C responses, compared to high ratios.

With the premise that serum non-cholesterol sterol changes after PS consumption would also reflect differences in cholesterol synthesis and absorption, we further examined their predictive power of LDL-C responses. Fig. 2 depicts the individual absolute changes (from corresponding values after the placebo milk period) of serum sitosterol:C and campesterol:C after the PS-VFM period. We divided the groups into subjects with increases of either serum PS ratio above and below the respective medians and calculated the LDL-C responses of each subgroup. As shown, participants disclosing increases of PS ratios above the median were significantly more responsive than those with smaller increases. Similar trends existed after PS-SM intake, with adjusted changes of LDL-C (mean and 95% CI) of \(-6.7\) \((-12.1, -1.3)\)% and \(-1.9\) \((-7.4, 3.6)\)% respectively, when the changes of sitosterol:C were above or below the median, and of \(-5.9\) \((-11.4, -0.4)\)% and \(-2.9\) \((-8.2, 2.5)\)% respectively, when the changes of campesterol:C were above or below the median. When absolute sitosterol and campesterol values were used, similar trends were observed but statistical significance was lost for most associations (data not shown). The changes of lathosterol or lathosterol:C after the intake of the PS-enriched milk (both) had no predictive value of LDL-C responses. That serum PS:C ratios increased in all individual participants (Fig. 2) provides additional proof of compliance with the test milks used in the present study.

**Discussion**

The results of this randomised, placebo-controlled, crossover feeding study conducted in subjects with moderate hypercholesterolaemia show that, when compared to consumption of placebo SM, the intake of 2 g/d of PS with enriched SM or VFM is associated with similar significant decreases in serum total cholesterol and LDL-C concentrations. Compared to the PS-SM, the increased consumption of PUFA from the vegetable fat-enriched PS-VFM resulted in a similar LDL-C lowering response but an enhanced reduction of serum TAG concentrations. Furthermore, LDL-C responses to PS-enriched milk consumption were variably enhanced in participants with low basal serum lathosterol:C ratios or high basal serum PS:C ratios. They were also more pronounced in those with higher post-treatment increases of serum PS:C ratios. As both low-serum lathosterol and high-serum PS are indicative of low cholesterol synthesis and high intestinal cholesterol absorption efficiency\(^{19}\), these data suggest that subjects with low basal cholesterol synthesis, who are also cholesterol hyper-absorbers, sustain more benefit from an intervention
aimed at inhibiting intestinal cholesterol absorption such as PS intake than those with high basal cholesterol synthesis and reciprocally low intestinal cholesterol absorption efficiency.

In our study, administration of PS in two different low-fat milk formats was associated with an LDL-C-lowering efficacy of approximately 8%, close to the average reduction of 9–10% expected with a 2 g/d dose provided in different food formats (3,4) or to LDL-C reductions reported for similar doses delivered with low-fat foods such as milk and beverages (3).

One previous study had examined the effects of PS incorporated into VFM at two doses (1·2 and 1·6 g/d), which resulted in LDL-C reductions of 7 and 10%, respectively, in comparison to a control group (20). The higher PUFA content of the PS-VFM added 1·5% of energy to the overall diet (Table 2). In the absence of other dietary fatty acid or cholesterol changes, such higher dietary PUFA intake would translate into an LDL-C decrease of 13 mg/l (21), but the LDL-C response to PS was similar with the two PS milk (Table 3). Our study was not powered to detect significant LDL-C changes due to this small increment of dietary PUFA intake. However, consumption of the PS-VFM, but not that of the PS-SM, was associated with a significant 18·7% lowering of TAG, which, like that of LDL-C, was related to basal lipid levels. No consistent significant changes in TAG have been usually described after PS supplementation, but recent reports suggest that modest reductions occur after plant stanol consumption in relation to baseline levels (13) and in patients with metabolic syndrome associated with elevated TAG (22). As it occurs with statins due to suppressed cholesterol synthesis (23), PS may decrease the mass of hepatic cholesterol available for VLDL production via a lower flux of intestinal cholesterol, with ensuing reduction of circulating TAG (22).

Although intestinal absorption of PS is low (1), both absolute serum PS concentrations and cholesterol-standardised values, mainly sitosterol and campesterol, do reflect dietary intakes and are thus elevated following a plant-based diet rich in PS (38) or consumption of PS-supplemented foods (23), as was also observed in our study. Serum lathosterol:C ratios increased by 11–25%, which can be explained by small compensatory increases in endogenous cholesterol synthesis (19). Similar large increases in circulating PS concentrations and small increases or no changes in cholesterol synthesis precursors have been observed in numerous short-term clinical studies with PS intake (23,24), as well as in a non-experimental setting after long-term use (25). Whether elevated serum PS concentrations within physiological ranges carry an increased atherogenic risk is a controversial topic (18,23,26–28). If proved to be true, this might weaken the beneficial effect of the cholesterol-lowering effect of PS on cardiovascular risk reduction. Clinical studies with cardiovascular end-points would be necessary to find an ultimate answer to this telling question.

We further examined whether serum concentrations of non-cholesterol sterols related to the cholesterol-lowering responsiveness to PS, an important topic for which so far inconsistent results have been reported (9–15). In line with the findings of the elegant studies of Rideout et al. (15), who used kinetic stable-isotope measures of endogenous cholesterol synthesis, we showed that basal cholesterol synthesis, estimated from circulating levels of the cholesterol precursor lathosterol, was inversely associated with the LDL-C response to PS (Fig. 1). Similar findings have been reported by other

Fig. 2. Mean (plus 95% CI) percentage LDL-cholesterol (LDL-C) responses in participants v. changes in (a) serum sitosterol:cholesterol (C) and (b) campesterol:C ratios above and below the median changes (2·32 and 1·81 µmol/mmol, respectively), indicated as broken lines. All individual data points start at zero in the x-axis because they represent absolute changes from corresponding values after the placebo milk period. Data were analysed by ANCOVA with adjustment for LDL-C concentrations after the placebo period and hypolipidaemic drug treatment.
authors (29–31). Conversely, high baseline cholesterol absorption efficiency, as defined by high sitosterol/C and campesterol/C, related directly, albeit non-significantly, to enhanced LDL-C lowering after PS supplementation, which concurs with findings from other studies using PS or plant stanols (9–12), but not with those of other similar studies (13,14). We showed that basal non-cholesterol sterol ratios correlated with those observed after PS consumption. Because higher increases in serum PS following dietary PS intake would potentially be expected in cholesterol hyper-absorbers, we additionally explored the predictive power of post-treatment serum PS changes. Indeed, the LDL-C-lowering response to PS was superior in individuals with higher increases in serum PS, particularly after the PS-VFM period (Fig. 2). Taken together, our findings and those of previous studies (9–12, 29–31) suggest that measuring serum non-cholesterol sterols before starting PS treatment might help select individuals with a greater likelihood of optimally responding to PS intervention, namely those characterised by low endogenous cholesterol synthesis and high intestinal cholesterol absorption efficiency. Most probably, variations in genes involved in lipid transport in the enterohepatic circulation are involved in the causal pathway of the inter-individual variability in cholesterol metabolism and lipid responsiveness to PS therapy (7,32–34).

One limitation of this intervention study is that it was conducted under free-living conditions, with individuals following their own rather than a controlled prescribed diet. However, self-reported nutrient intake and applied measures of adherence to the test foods showed good compliance with dietary instructions. Another limitation is the small number of participants enrolled into the study, which was sufficient to demonstrate cholesterol-lowering effects, but blunted the significance of the associations between serum non-cholesterol sterols and LDL-C responses. A further limitation is the inclusion of participants receiving statins, which profoundly affect cholesterol homeostasis (7,12). However, statin doses were stable throughout the present study, the main results were similar if these participants were excluded, and analyses of non-cholesterol sterols were adjusted for statin use. The study also has the strength of a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover design.

In conclusion, our study confirms that the consumption of PS in the food formats of enriched SM or semi-skimmed VFM in the context of a healthy Mediterranean diet significantly decreased LDL-C in moderate hypercholesterolaemic subjects. We did not observe a greater hypocholesterolaemic effect of combining PS with PUFA-rich vegetable fat in an SM food matrix, but TAG concentrations were lower after consumption of the PS-VFM. Finally, basal serum non-cholesterol sterol concentrations and post-treatment changes in circulating PS were predictive of LDL-C responses to PS consumption.
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