A systematic review of omega-3 fatty acids and osteoporosis
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Abstract

Some epidemiological evidence suggests that diets high in omega-3 fatty acids (n-3 FAs) may be beneficial for skeletal health. The aim of this systematic review was to determine if randomized controlled trials (RCTs) support a positive effect of n-3 FAs on osteoporosis. A systematic search was performed in PubMed and EMBASE databases. We included RCTs with skeletal outcomes conducted in adults or children (>1 year old) using n-3 FA fortified foods, diets or supplements alone or in combination with other vitamins/minerals, versus placebo. Primary outcomes were incident fracture at any site and bone mineral density (BMD) in g/cm². Secondary outcomes included bone formation or resorption markers and bone turnover regulators. A total of 10 RCTs met inclusion criteria. Effect sizes with 95% confidence intervals were estimated to compare studies across various treatments and outcome measures. No pooled analysis was completed due to heterogeneity of studies and small sample sizes. No RCTs included fracture as an outcome. Four studies reported significant favorable effects of n-3 FA on BMD or bone turnover markers. Of these, three delivered n-3 FA in combination with high calcium foods or supplements. Five studies reported no differences in outcomes between n-3 FA intervention and control groups; one study included insufficient data for effect size estimation. Strong conclusions regarding n-3 FAs and bone disease are limited due to the small number and modest sample sizes of RCTs, however, it appears that any potential benefit of n-3 FA on skeletal health may be enhanced by concurrent administration of calcium.
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Background

For nearly four decades, polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) of the omega-3 (n-3) family have been studied extensively in relation to prevention and treatment of cardiovascular disease (1–5). The health-promoting effects of n-3 fatty acids (FAs) may be partially due to their immune-modulating and anti-inflammatory actions (6–8). Although this was first described in cardiovascular disease, the potential role that inflammatory mediators play in a host of other diseases and conditions including metabolic bone diseases such as osteoporosis has caused investigators to extend studies of n-3 FAs to include skeletal outcomes (9–15).

Osteoporosis is a pervasive public health problem. According to the World Health Organization, osteoporosis affects more than 75 million people in the United States, Europe and Japan. The estimated lifetime risk of hip, vertebral or wrist fractures is approaching 30–50% in developed countries, a prevalence similar to that of coronary heart disease (14). Chronic inflammation, due in part to increased cytokine expression after menopause and with aging, is one mechanism contributing to the pathogenesis of osteoporosis. Both pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines and hormones interact to regulate osteoblast and osteoclast differentiation and activity. The balance in these systems is central to the pathogenesis of osteoporosis (15,16).

The anti-inflammatory effects of n-3 FAs are well-known. Recently, a promising association between higher n-3 FA intake and improved bone turnover markers and bone mineral density (BMD) in humans has been reported in some (17–19) but not all (20,21) studies. This has led to interest in n-3 FAs as a nutritional factor that may decrease risk for osteoporotic fractures.

PUFAs have two primary physiological functions in humans. First, they are present as phospholipids in membranes and contribute to an optimum lipid bilayer structure to allow for intercellular communication and highly differentiated membrane functions. Second, they are the primary precursors of bioactive lipid mediators, including the eicosanoids, which have autocrine and paracrine actions throughout the body (22,23). Alpha linolenic acid (ALA: 18:3 n-3) is the essential n-3 FA in humans (23). ALA can be converted to longer chain n-3 FAs, eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) in most people, but the extent of this conversion appears to be small, especially when intake of n-6 FAs is high.
as is typical in Western diets (24,25). Marine sources provide most of the EPA and DHA found in the diet and incorporated into blood and tissues.

Though the association of n-3 FAs to bone turnover markers appears promising, the real test of clinical significance is the impact of n-3 FAs on osteoporotic fracture. A small number of epidemiological studies investigating the relationship of fish consumption or dietary n-3 FA consumption to fracture risk have yielded mixed results. In a large cohort of over 35,000 men and women from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC-Oxford), no differences were found in risk of fracture in those who reported eating fish only compared to those consuming meat plus fish in their typical diet (26). Consumption of both n-3 FAs and n-6 FAs in relation to osteoporotic fracture risk was investigated in a case-control study of 167 Spanish men and women over 65 years of age hospitalized for fracture versus matched controls. There was no significant association of total n-3 FA intake to fragility fracture, but there was a significantly elevated risk of fracture in individuals reporting the highest quartile of n-6 FA intake (> 18g/d) (27). However, in 137,486 postmenopausal women in the Women’s Health Initiative consuming small amounts of EPA + DHA, higher n-6 FAs were associated with a modest decrease in total fracture risk, but higher EPA + DHA intake was associated with a small increase in risk of fractures. Interestingly, women with the highest EPA + DHA intake in this cohort also had the lowest calcium and vitamin D intake. No association was noted between ALA or EPA + DHA intake and hip fracture risk (28).

Recently, the relation of various types of n-3 FAs to hip fracture risk was examined in 522 postmenopausal women and 352 men in the Framingham Osteoporosis Study. Higher ALA consumption was associated with lower hip fracture risk in women, but not men. No relationship was observed between EPA + DHA intake and hip fracture risk (29). Likewise, researchers in the Cardiovascular Health Study reported that neither fish intake nor EPA + DHA intake were associated with risk for hip fracture in 5045 participants aged 65 or older, and the LA intake of the background diet did not modify this relationship (30).

Suzuki et al. examined the impact of diet and lifestyle factors on hip fracture risk in a case-control study of 4573 Japanese elderly. Fish consumption 3–4 times per week was associated with a decreased risk of hip fracture when compared to the referent of <2 fish meals per week. However, eating more than 4 fish meals per week did not improve relative risk (31). Thus, epidemiological data regarding PUFAs and risk of total fracture or hip fracture remains equivocal.

Observational studies yield valuable information regarding associations of exposure to disease in free-living populations, but they do not allow strong conclusions to be drawn regarding cause and effect. Therefore, in order to examine the effect of n-3 FAs on prevention and/or treatment of osteoporosis, we undertook a systematic review of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) reported in the literature and cataloged electronically from 1946-April, 2011 to determine the effect of n-3 FA supplementation on BMD and fractures, and secondarily on regulation of bone turnover.

Materials and Methods

Criteria for considering studies for this review

This review was restricted to English language reports of RCTs with skeletal outcomes that were conducted in adults or children (> 1 year old) using n-3 FA fortified foods, diets or n-3 FA supplements alone or in combination with other vitamins/minerals, compared to placebo. The primary skeletal outcomes of interest were incident fracture at any site and BMD. Secondary skeletal outcomes included bone formation markers [bone specific alkaline phosphatase (BSAP) and osteocalcin (OC)], bone resorption markers [N-terminal telopeptide (NTx), C-terminal telopeptide (CTx), urinary pyridinoline (u-pyr) and urinary deoxypyridinoline (u-dpyr)] and regulators of bone turnover [osteoprotegerin (OPG), receptor activator of NFkB ligand (RANKL), OPG/RANKL].

Studies in which subjects had co-morbidities were included with the exception of co-morbidities that could directly impact both fatty acid absorption and skeletal outcomes (i.e. Crohn’s disease, irritable bowel syndrome, ulcerative colitis, celiac disease, cystic fibrosis). There were no restrictions based on gender of participants or race/ethnicity. If allocation of treatment and placebo were not random, studies were excluded.

Search methods for identification of studies

PubMed® database, including MEDLINE and OLDMEDLINE, was searched on April 14, 2011 and EMBASE database (containing references from 1974 – present) and EMBASE Classic (containing references from 1947-1973) was searched on April 23, 2011. MEDLINE is the primary component of PubMed® and time coverage is generally 1966 to the present. OLDMEDLINE is a subset of PubMed® that contains over 2 million citations from two print indexes: Cumulated Index Medicus and the Current List of Medical Literature from the years 1946 through 1965 (32). We used the following search strategy: Omega 3 fatty acids or n-3 fatty acids or essential fatty acids or eicosapentaenoic fatty acids or EPA or ethyl-EPA or ethyl eicosapentenoic acid or docosahexaenoic acid or DHA or docosapentaenoic acid or EPA or alpha linolenic acid or ALA or LNA or polyunsaturated fatty acids, including the MeSH terms: “Fatty Acids, Omega-3”[MeSH] OR “Fatty Acids, Essential”[MeSH] OR “Fatty Acids, Unsaturated”[MeSH] OR “Fish Oils”[MeSH] OR “Fats, Unsaturated”[MeSH] AND bone disease or osteoporosis or osteopenia or fracture, including MeSH terms: “Bone Diseases, Metabolic”[MeSH] OR bone diseases OR osteoporosis OR fracture. Additionally, bibliographies from retrieved papers were checked and reviewers were asked to contribute any other references for consideration.

Quality of evidence and statistical analysis

Studies meeting inclusion criteria were analyzed separately by two reviewers to evaluate quality of evidence across five categories: (1) risk of bias due to limitations in study design and/or execution such as no allocation concealment, no blinding, selective outcome reporting, intention to treat violation,
or large loss to follow-up, (2) inconsistency of results considering variation in effect sizes, (3) indirectness of evidence due to differences in populations, interventions and outcomes, (4) publication bias, and (5) imprecision of results due to small number of events or wide confidence intervals. This type of assessment is based on the GRADE system\(^\text{33,34}\), but because of heterogeneity of populations, treatments and endpoint measures, studies were not pooled for analysis. After reviewing each study in relation to the five categories, a quality of evidence level was assigned as explained in Table 1. Any discrepancies were resolved by a third independent reviewer.

To compare the magnitude of the effect of n-3 FAs on various endpoint measures, we used effect size and its corresponding 95% confidence interval\(^\text{35–37}\) to summarize the differences between treatment and the control. Effect size is the difference between the means of the two groups \((M1 - M2)/S\). The accuracy of the estimated effect size is quantified by its confidence interval, which is influenced by the sample size. To make conclusions, if the confidence interval of the estimated effect size includes zero, then no statistically significant difference between the two groups was found for that particular endpoint. The absolute magnitude of the effect size indicates its strength: 0·2 suggests a small effect, whereas an effect size of 1·0 indicates a large effect.

### Results

Results of the PubMed® and EMBASE search yielded 122 and 188 papers respectively, of which 24 studies were flagged for potential inclusion based on title and abstract. Of these, nine RCTs met our inclusion criteria. Additionally, a RCT published soon after the initial search was submitted by one of the reviewers and added to the included studies. The 10 RCTs selected for full analysis of quality and effect size are summarized in Table 2. Relevant aspects of study design, including whether skeletal outcomes were analyzed as part of an ancillary study within a larger study or as secondary endpoint measures, are detailed for the reader. Effect size estimates with 95% confidence intervals and the quality of evidence level with footnoted explanations are also included in the table.

Four\(^\text{38–40}\) of the 10 RCTs had significant positive effect size estimates with 95% confidence intervals greater than zero, indicative of a positive effect of n-3 FA treatment on the endpoint measured compared to control. Five studies\(^\text{41–45}\) showed no significant difference in effect size of treatment versus control, and one study\(^\text{46}\) did not provide sufficient data for effect size calculation. A moderate quality of evidence level was given to three of the four significant RCTs (See Table 2). A low quality of evidence level was assigned to one\(^\text{8}\) of the four RCTs because of a serious risk of bias associated with drop out or exclusion of ≥50% of participants and a small number of final events/participants \((n=21)\).

### Discussion

This systematic review of RCTs of n-3 FAs and bone disease yielded 10 studies for inclusion which reflected heterogeneous populations, treatments and endpoint measures. No RCTs addressing fracture as an outcome were identified. Four studies investigated BMD as a primary outcome, with only one\(^\text{40}\) showing significant improvement or maintenance of BMD in a sample of elderly Caucasian South African women with osteopenia or osteoporosis treated with a combination of evening primrose oil (high in linoleic and gamma linolenic acid), fish oil and calcium versus a placebo of coconut oil and calcium for 18 months. The effect size for femoral neck BMD in the trial by Kruger et al. was very large \((2·16; 95\% \ CI 1·52, 2·79)\), with effect on lumbar BMD in the moderate range \((0·75; 95\% \ CI 0·22, 1·27)\). The very large effect size seen with femoral neck BMD is likely due in part to the relatively small sample size \((n=60\) completed study\)); but it is also possible that the combination of n-6 FAs, n-3 FAs and 600 mg of calcium carbonate may preferentially impact hip BMD, especially in frail, elderly women. In the Women’s Health Initiative, hip BMD, but not total spine BMD, was significantly higher in postmenopausal women taking 1000 mg calcium carbonate and 400 IU of vitamin D daily versus placebo over 9 years of follow-up\(^\text{47}\).

Several factors could have contributed to the beneficial effects observed in the trial by Kruger et al. First, participants may have been especially responsive to the intervention because of their advanced age and nutritional status. BMD decreases with age and age is the most powerful predictor of fracture\(^\text{48,49}\). Positive changes in BMD related to n-3 FA supplementation may be more easily detected in vulnerable groups of individuals such as this elderly cohort. Additionally, these women were consuming less than the Recommended Dietary Allowance at the time for calcium, magnesium and vitamin D, and according to the researchers, could have been marginally deficient in essential fatty acids prior to study entry. Compromised nutritional status may have contributed to bone fragility and made this cohort more likely to respond to nutritional intervention. Second, participants were initially treated for 18 months. By comparison, other RCTs examining BMD treated participants for 3-12 months (Bassey et al., 2000; Dodin et al., 2005; Cornish & Chilibeck, 2009), which may not

### Table 1. Quality of evidence levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality Level</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>Further research is unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of the effect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Low</td>
<td>Any estimate of the effect is very uncertain and we have little confidence in the estimate.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from\(^\text{41,42}\).
### Table 2. Summary of randomized controlled trials included in systematic review organized by primary outcome measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, Year</th>
<th>Patient/subjects</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Outcome Measures*</th>
<th>Effect Size (95% CI)</th>
<th>Quality of Evidence</th>
<th>Conclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Studies with Bone Mineral Density as Primary Outcome</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornish and Chilli- beck, 2009</td>
<td>Older healthy adults (65-4 ± 0-8 yrs Canadian, 28M, 23F)</td>
<td>Double blinded randomized 30 ml flaxseed oil (14 g ALA, n 25) vs corn oil placebo (n 26) plus resistance training for 12 wks</td>
<td>Primary Lumbar BMD, Hip BMD</td>
<td>0·1 (−0·45,0·64)</td>
<td>low¹</td>
<td>No significant differences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dodin et al., 2005</td>
<td>Postmenopausal women in Canada</td>
<td>Double blinded randomized 40 g/day flaxseed oil (14 g ALA, n 94) vs wheat germ placebo (n 94) for 1 yr</td>
<td>Primary Lumbar BMD, Femoral neck BMD</td>
<td>0·22 (−0·07,0·52)</td>
<td>mod²</td>
<td>No significant differences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kruger et al., 1998</td>
<td>Postmenopausal women with senile osteoporosis (mean age 79-5 years) in S. Africa</td>
<td>Randomized evening primrose oil + fish oil + calcium (n 29) vs coconut oil + calcium placebo (n 31) for 18 months</td>
<td>Primary Lumbar BMD, Femoral neck BMD</td>
<td>0·75 (0·22,1·27)</td>
<td>mod³</td>
<td>A combination of GLA, EPA, DHA, LA and calcium may maintain lumbar and increase femoral neck BMD compared to coconut oil and calcium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bassey et al., 2000</td>
<td>Healthy premenopausal women (34–35 yr) in UK</td>
<td>Double blinded randomized Efucal (4 g evening primrose oil, 440 mg fish oil, 1 g calcium; n 24) vs 1 g calcium control (n 24) for 1 yr</td>
<td>Primary Secondary</td>
<td>OC BSAP Dpyr</td>
<td>0·14 (−0·64,0·37)</td>
<td>low⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy postmenopausal women (55–58 yr) in UK</td>
<td>Double blinded randomized Efucal (n 21) vs calcium control (n 24) for 1 yr</td>
<td>Primary Secondary</td>
<td>OC NTX BSAP</td>
<td>0·12 (−0·72,0·48)</td>
<td>0·26 (−0·35,0·86)</td>
<td>No significant differences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Studies with Bone Formation/Resorption Markers as Primary Outcome</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appleton et al., 2011</td>
<td>Mild-moderately depressed adults (total 190, only 113 in the analysis, 26M, 87F) in UK</td>
<td>Double blinded, randomized to 1-48 g EPA + DHA (n 53) vs olive oil placebo (n 60) for 12 wks</td>
<td>Ancillary data analysis Serum β-CTX</td>
<td>0·05 (−0·32,0·42)</td>
<td>low⁵</td>
<td>No significant differences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salari et al., 2010</td>
<td>Postmenopausal women in Iran</td>
<td>Blinded, randomized to 900 mg omega 3 FA (n 13) vs unidentified placebo (n 12) for 6 months</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Serum OC**</td>
<td>0·22 (−0·56,1·01)</td>
<td>very low⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dawczynski et al., 2009</td>
<td>Rheumatoid arthritis patients in Germany (43F, 2M)</td>
<td>Double blinded, randomized crossover design omega 3 fortified dairy (1-1 g ALA, 0-7 g EPA, 0-4 g DHA) vs standard dairy products in diet for 12 wks and 8 wks washout</td>
<td>One of Primary end-point</td>
<td>OC Dpyr/Cr</td>
<td>0·32 (0·47,1·11)</td>
<td>0·35 (0·26,0·96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Griel et al., 2007</td>
<td>Hyperlipidemic adults (48-6 ± 1 year, 20M, 3F) in US</td>
<td>Double blinded, randomized three period crossover design Average American, LA,ALA diet with 6 wk diet, ~ 3 wk washout</td>
<td>Ancillary data analysis</td>
<td>NTX BSAP</td>
<td>1·94 (1·24,2·64)</td>
<td>mod⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Studies with Regulators of Bone Turnover as Primary Outcome</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin-Bautista et al., 2010</td>
<td>Hyperlipidemic patients in Spain (35–65y)</td>
<td>Double blinded randomized to fortified milk with fish oil (0-06 g ALA, 0-2 g EPA, 0-14 g DHA) oleic acid and vitamins (n 39) vs standard milk control (n 53) for 1 yr</td>
<td>Ancillary data analysis</td>
<td>OPG RANKL OPG/RANKL OC CTX</td>
<td>2·92 (2·26,3·59)</td>
<td>mod⁹</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Effect Size (95% CI)</th>
<th>Outcome Measures</th>
<th>Conclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kolahi et al.</td>
<td>Women with rheumatoid arthritis (18–74 yr, mean 50) in Iran</td>
<td>Insufficient data for ES calculation</td>
<td>OPG</td>
<td>Authors reported significant increase in OPG and decrease in sRANKL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RANKL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>very low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Double blinded randomized, fish oil (1 g/d, n = 43) for 6 months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>The effect sizes for these measures were based on the data estimated from the figures in the paper.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* BMD - Bone mineral density; OC - Osteocalcin; BSAP - Bone specific alkaline phosphatase; Dpyr - deoxypyridinoline; uPyr - urinary pyridinoline; NTX - N-terminal telopeptide; CTX - C-terminal telopeptide; Cr - Creatinine; OPG - Osteoprotegerin; RANKL - receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa B ligand.

** No intention to treat analysis, indirect evidence regarding effect of ALA related to resistance training, short duration of treatment for endpoint measured.

† Unclear if double blinded, indirect evidence regarding EPA related to multiple components of fortified foods.

‡ Large loss to follow up and/or exclusion, no intention to treat analysis, small sample size, no placebo identification.

§ Insufficient data to calculate effect size, placebo not identified.

¶ Moderate sample size, indirect evidence regarding effect of EPA + DHA related to multiple components of fortified food.
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placebo. Two of the reviewed RCTs with positive outcomes used standard dairy products as a placebo\(^8\) one used coconut oil plus calcium\(^{40}\) and one used a controlled feeding crossover design comparing three diets\(^{59}\).

Of the several potential mechanisms whereby n-3 FAs may impact bone, two of the most well-defined involve decreasing pro-inflammatory cytokines critical to regulation of bone turnover and modulating calcium balance. Cytokines are key regulators of the osteoprotegerin/receptor activator of NFκB ligand (OPG/RANKL) ratio in bone\(^{15}\). RANKL is expressed in osteoblasts and activates its receptor, RANK, which is expressed on osteoclasts, thus promoting osteoclast formation and activation, as well as suppressing apoptosis of osteoclasts. Osteoprotegerin (OPG) is a secretory glycoprotein expressed by osteoblasts which blocks RANKL from activating RANK. The ratio of OPG/RANKL is critical in the pathogenesis of resorptive bone disease, with a higher ratio indicating less bone resorption\(^{15}\).

The effect of n-3 FAs on nuclear factor-kappaB (NF-κB) has been examined in vitro. Pre-treatment of osteoclasts with EPA decreased tumor necrosis factor α (TNF α)-induced NF-κB protein expression and activation in a dose-dependent manner\(^{55}\). The n-3 FAs have been shown to decrease production of the n-6 FA derived eicosanoid, prostaglandin E\(_2\) (PGE\(_2\))\(^{54,55}\) and increase bone formation markers, alkaline phosphatase and osteocalcin\(^{113}\). High PGE\(_2\) decreases OPG production and increases RANKL expression\(^{15}\). DHA added to osteoblastic cell cultures does not stimulate RANKL, but the n-6 FA, arachidonic acid, stimulates RANKL and inhibits OPG secretion by 25-30% thus reducing the OPG/RANKL ratio\(^{17}\). There was a relatively large positive effect on OPG and osteocalcin in subjects consuming milk fortified with n-3 FAs, oleic acid and vitamins (Martin-Bautista et al., 2010). These data suggest that there is an optimum balance of n-3 and n-6 FAs needed to promote a less inflammatory cytokine environment favorable to bone remodeling.

A second possible mechanism by which n-3 FAs may influence bone is related to up-regulation of intestinal calcium absorption. Essential FAs are necessary for maximal vitamin D-dependent calcium absorption\(^{50}\). DHA and EPA decrease urinary calcium excretion in a rat model of osteoporosis exacerbated by restricted food intake\(^{52}\) and in patients with idiopathic calcium nephrolithiasis, fish oil decreases urinary calcium excretion and returned the high level of calcium excretion, especially when dietary calcium intake is low.

Conclusions

In summary, an n-3 and n-6 FA mixture combined with calcium, n-3 FA fortified dairy products, and a high ALA diet resulted in statistically significant positive effects on bone-related outcomes in diverse individuals in four RCTs in this review. However, five RCTs showed no significant effects of n-3 FA intervention. Due to the small number of RCTs and the heterogeneity of the studies, we are unable to make strong conclusions regarding supplementation of n-3 FAs and skeletal health. Although there is insufficient evidence to support a positive relation between n-3 FA and prevention or treatment of osteoporosis at this time, it appears that any benefit might be enhanced by inclusion of n-3 FAs in foods high in calcium, vitamins and minerals, or in concentrated oil mixtures with other PUFAs and calcium. To definitively address the role of both plant-based and marine sources of n-3 FAs for reducing risk for osteoporosis, there is a need for further large scale investigation of the differential effects of various n-3 FAs in relation to skeletal outcomes, particularly fracture.
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