Late gestation undernutrition can predispose for visceral adiposity by altering fat distribution patterns and increasing the preference for a high-fat diet in early postnatal life
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Abstract
We have developed a sheep model to facilitate studies of the fetal programming effects of mismatched perinatal and postnatal nutrition. During the last trimester of gestation, twenty-one twin-bearing ewes were fed a normal diet fulfilling norms for energy and protein (NORM) or 50% of a normal diet (LOW). From day 3 postpartum to 6 months (around puberty) of age, one twin lamb was fed a conventional (CONV) diet and the other a high-carbohydrate–high-fat (HCHF) diet, resulting in four groups of offspring: NORM-CONV; NORM-HCHF; LOW-CONV; LOW-HCHF. At 6 months of age, half of the lambs (all males and three females) were slaughtered for further examination and the other half (females only) were transferred to a moderate sheep diet until slaughtered at 24 months of age (adulthood). Maternal undernutrition during late gestation reduced the birth weight of LOW offspring \((P<0.05)\), and its long-term effects were increased adrenal size in male lambs and adult females \((P<0.05)\), increased neonatal appetite for fat-\((P<0.001)\) rather than carbohydrate-rich feeds \((P<0.001)\), and reduced deposition of subcutaneous fat in both sexes \((P<0.05)\). Furthermore, LOW-HCHF female lambs had markedly higher visceral:subcutaneous fat ratios compared with the other groups \((P<0.001)\). Postnatal overfeeding (HCHF) resulted in obesity \((>30\%\text{ fat in soft tissue})\) and widespread ectopic lipid deposition. In conclusion, our sheep model revealed strong pre- and postnatal impacts on growth, food preferences and fat deposition patterns. The present findings support a role for subcutaneous adipose tissue in the development of visceral adiposity, which in humans is known to precede the development of the metabolic syndrome in human adults.
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Individuals subjected to intra-uterine growth restriction (IUGR) are often born smaller-for-gestational age and with asymmetric body proportions\(^{1,2}\), and have an increased risk of developing welfare diseases such as type 2 diabetes, obesity and cardiovascular disorders\(^{3–5}\). This led Hales & Barker\(^{6}\) to propose the ‘thrifty phenotype hypothesis’ which suggests a fetal programming effect of IUGR on adult health and disease. Restricted fetal growth might be an immediate adaptation to adverse intra-uterine conditions giving the individual a better chance of survival to term\(^{7}\). The growth and development of crucial organs such as the brain may be spared, while the fetal growth of most other organs, such as the pancreas, liver and kidneys\(^{8,9}\) and skeletal muscle is arrested, and low- and birth-weight individuals are generally born with less lean body mass\(^{10,11}\) than controls. In evolutionary terms, this makes good sense, as it might be ‘safer’ to spare muscle growth and invest in fat deposition, thereby developing what has been termed a ‘thin–fat phenotype’, to increase

Abbreviations: CONV, conventional diet; CT, computer tomography; HCHF, high-carbohydrate–high-fat diet; IUGR, intra-uterine growth restriction; LOW, 50% of normal diet; NORM, normal diet.
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the chances of survival in the immediate postnatal period.\textsuperscript{(12,13)}

In humans and animals, IUGR can also predispose for a greater allocation of fat postnatally in the visceral adipose tissue of young individuals.\textsuperscript{(14–16)} In humans, it is now well accepted\textsuperscript{(27)} that visceral fat deposition is associated with an increased risk of later development of metabolic dysfunctions, but this obviously depends on postnatal nutrition exposure.

Postnatal dietary effects have been extensively investigated in human subjects and animals born with body weights normal-for-gestational age. As expected, postnatal food intake and weight gain are highly correlated with adiposity in later life\textsuperscript{(18,19)}, and high dietary intake in the very early postnatal period is a particular risk factor, as it has been reported in the rat.\textsuperscript{(20)}

Much less is known about postnatal dietary effects on individuals who have been subjected to IUGR. In rats, exposure to prenatal energy or protein restriction has been shown to increase food intake postnatally, resulting in hyperphagia, accelerated weight gain and catch-up growth\textsuperscript{(21)}. In addition, there are also indications from animal models that prenatal programming can have an impact on appetite regulatory systems in sheep\textsuperscript{(22)} and alter food preferences in postnatal life in rats in favour of high-fat foods\textsuperscript{(23)}.

It remains to be established, however, to what extent dietary corrections introduced later in postnatal life could be used as an intervention to prevent or overcome developmental and metabolic disorders caused by the unfavourable interactive effects of fetal and early postnatal nutrition, and therefore whether special nutritional recommendations would be appropriate for individuals born small-for-gestational age.

The majority of studies on fetal programming have been conducted with rodents (rats and mice) as experimental models, but great care must be taken in making direct comparisons between rodents and humans born with body weights normal-for-gestational age. Other animal models are therefore needed with gestation periods, litter sizes and offspring maturity at birth that are more similar to humans in order to supplement and verify findings during this period of development. For this important reason, we set out to develop an experimental animal model based on sheep, which will allow us to study the mechanisms involved in developmental changes, and metabolic and endocrine function in a lifetime perspective depending on the interactions between nutrition exposures in late gestation and early postnatal life. The nutrition exposure in postnatal life includes a nutrition exposure in postnatal life that is much more important for individuals who have undergone a normal fetal development than in individuals who were exposed to late gestational malnutrition.

**Materials and methods**

All experimental animal handling and procedures were approved by the Danish National Committee on Animal Experimentation and conducted at the experimental farm Rørrendegaard of the Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Denmark.

**Experimental animals and experimental design**

Offspring of both sexes from twin-pregnant Shropshire sheep (mated with the same Shropshire ram and with parities ranging from 2 to 6) were used in this 2 × 2 factorial design experiment with two late-gestational and two early-postnatal nutritional treatments (Fig. 1).

**Feeding and housing of twin-pregnant sheep**

Twin-pregnant sheep (n 21) were fed either a normal diet (NORM, n 10) consisting of silage plus a commercial concentrate supplement (Färekraft T; Lærkegaard) during the last 6 weeks of gestation (term 147 d) in accordance with requirements specified by the National Research Council\textsuperscript{(26)} for digestible energy and digestible crude protein, or a 50% of normal diet (LOW, n 11) with silage and concentrate provision equivalent to 50% of the amount (per kg\textsuperscript{0.775}) offered to NORM-fed sheep, i.e. an overall undernutrition of 50% with respect to energy and protein (Table 1). LOW sheep were offered small daily amounts of barley straw to avoid discomfort from hunger. The sheep were fed at 07.30 and 15.30 hours, half the ration being given at each feeding. They were housed in sawdust-bedded individual bar-walled pens (1.5 × 3 m) in the same barn. Before lambing, they were fitted with an udder cover to prevent the newborn lambs from sucking. The sheep were milked by hand 3 h after parturition with the aid of oxytocin (200 mU oxytocin in 1 ml saline given intravenously just before milking), colostrum was sampled and the yield determined, and each lamb subsequently received 200 ml colostrum by a stomach tube to
Feeding and housing of lambs during postnatal dietary treatments (3–6 months of age)

The two lambs from each twin pair were assigned to different postnatal feeding regimens from day 3 to 6 months postpartum, either a conventional diet (CONV, n 21) or a high-carbohydrate–high-fat diet (HCHF, n 21), thereby resulting in four experimental groups in our Copenhagen sheep model: NORM-CONV (n 10); NORM-HCHF (n 10); LOW-CONV (n 11); LOW-HCHF (n 11). When allocating lambs to the postnatal feeding groups, it was attempted to obtain as even a distribution of sex and birth weight across the four different experimental groups as possible. The CONV-fed lambs in the milk-feeding period (from day 3 to 8 weeks of age) received milk replacer (*ad libitum*) until a maximum allowance of 2 litres/d was reached), dairy cream (Osted og mejeri APS; 38 % fat in DM; *ad libitum*) until a maximum allowance of 0.5 litres/d was reached) and popped maize (Tjørnehøj Mølle; *ad libitum*) until a maximum allowance of 1 kg/d was reached) supplemented with barley straw (*ad libitum*). No other health problems were detected, and no antibiotic or other treatments were given to any of the experimental animals at any time point during the experiment.

**Table 1.** Chemical composition and digestible energy (DE) content of the experimental feeds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feed</th>
<th>Percentage in feed</th>
<th>Percentage in DM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DM</td>
<td>Ash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silage</td>
<td>61.3%</td>
<td>0.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Färekraft</td>
<td>88.5%</td>
<td>1.73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hay</td>
<td>91.8%</td>
<td>0.64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maize</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>0.13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk powder</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>0.72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>0.72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cream</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
<td>0.12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C fibre, crude fibre; CP, crude protein; C fat, crude fat; NA, not available.
exchange. At 1 week after conclusion of these tests and measurements, half of the 6-month-old (around puberty) animals from each feeding group were slaughtered, being a total of nineteen animals (all of the sixteen males and three of the females).

Throughout the study, all animals (sheep and lambs) had free access to water and a vitamin–mineral mix (Maxcare Sheep Pre Lamb Minerals; Trouw Nutrition International).

The daily ration was delivered in two meals each day given at 07.30 and 15.30 hours, except for lambs during the first 8 d of bottle feeding, which were fed four times each day.

**Feeding and management of females from adolescence to young adulthood**

Only female adolescent offspring (n 17) continued in the experiment after 6 months of age, and from this point onwards, they were managed as a single flock and all were fed the same moderate level, grass-based diet (pasture during summer, silage indoors during winter) until conclusion of the experiment at an age of approximately 24 months.

**Feed intake and body weight recordings**

Feed intake was recorded over a 24 h period once weekly in twin-pregnant sheep. In offspring, intake of individual feed-stuffs was recorded daily during the milk feeding period (from day 3 to 8 weeks of age), and once weekly thereafter until the end of the experimental postnatal feeding period at 6 months of age.

Body weights were determined at birth and weekly thereafter until 23 weeks of age and at 1 and 2 years of age in the live female animals. Body proportions (crown–rump length, girth circumference, height over withers and head circumference) were measured at days 1, 3 and 8, at 22 weeks of age, and at 1 and 2 years of age.

**Slaughter of the experimental animals**

Animals slaughtered at 6 months of age received Fentanyl (Dumex-Alpharma; 5 μg/kg body weight intravenously) for pain relief, were anaesthetised with Rapinovet® (Schering-Plough; induction with an intravenous injection of 2 mg propofol/kg body weight) and were finally exsanguinated. The animals slaughtered at 24 months of age were killed by captive bolt stunning followed by exsanguination. Immediately after exsanguination, the different organs were excised and weights recorded. Subcutaneous back fat layer thickness was measured after exsanguination, the different organs were excised and weights recorded. Subcutaneous back fat layer thickness was measured at days 1, 3 and 8, at 22 weeks of age, and at 1 and 2 years of age.

**Open-air calorimetry**

At 6 months and 2 years of age, animals were placed in metabolism cages and respiratory gaseous exchange was measured during a 22 h period in an open-air circuit system (temperature 15–18.8°C, humidity 65–75%, 12 h light–dark cycle) consisting of two respiration chambers, as described by Chwallabog et al.(33) Respiratory quotients were calculated as total CO₂ production divided by total O₂ consumption.

**Computer tomography scanning**

At 6 months and 2 years of age, the seventeen live female lambs were subjected to computer tomography (CT) examination using a single-slice helical CT scanner (Siemens), and were sedated during scannings with Domitor® vet (Orion Pharma Animal Health; 0·02 mg Medetomidin/kg intramuscularly) and Ketaminol® vet (Intervet; 0·8 mg Ketamin/kg intramuscularly). A region from the level of the thoracic inlet to the hip joints was included. Slice thickness was 8 mm, and scan time was approximately 80 s per scan. Images were processed using a filter favouring contrast resolution. Histograms showing the frequency of occurrence of each CT number (measured in Hounsfield units) in all image slices were used to determine the relative proportions of image pixels that displayed adipose tissue tissue to those that displayed non-adipose soft (lean) tissue as reported previously(33). Semi-automated image analysis techniques described previously(33) were used to determine the thickness of subcutaneous adipose tissue on particular image slices.

**Mitogenic activity and insulin growth factor I content in the colostrum**

Concentration of insulin growth factor I in whey fractions prepared from the colostrum sampled from sheep 3 h after parturition was determined using non-competitive time-resolved immunofluorometric assays of the sandwich type validated for cow serum and milk samples, as described previously(36). The mitogenic activity of whey prepared from colostrum samples was determined using a sensitive cell-based screening assay with mammary epithelial cells(37), which has previously been used for determining the biological activity of bovine milk including colostrum samples(38). Treatment media were prepared from the colostrum from nineteen individual sheep by adding concentrations of 0·01, 0·1, 0·5, 1, 2·5 and 5% of whey samples to culture medium with three replicates per sample, and mitogenic activity of whey samples was determined using incorporation of [methyl-³H]thymidine (dpm/well) as a measure of DNA synthesis(37). Results were adjusted to a similar scale by dividing values for [methyl-³H]thymidine incorporation per well by the average basal value for incorporation in each assay.

**Statistical analyses**

All statistical models were derived from the same linear mixed model:

\[ y = X\beta + Zb + e, \]

where \( y \) is a \( N \)-dimensional vector of observations; \( X \) is a \( NxP \) design matrix for the fixed effects; \( \beta \) is a \( P \)-dimensional vector of fixed-effects parameters; \( Z \) is a \( Nxq \) design matrix for the random effects; \( b \) is a \( q \)-dimensional vector of
Random effects; e is a N-dimensional vector of residuals. The random effects $b \sim N(0, \Sigma)$ and $e \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I)$ are assumed to be mutually independent. Matrices $\Sigma$ and $\Lambda$ were positive-definite matrices parameterised by a general small set of parameters, modelling dependence between observations from the same unit (lamb or sheep). For each model, the residuals were plotted against the model predicted to check homogeneity of variance and potential influential outliers. Parameters, modelling dependence between observations on the same animal, were included as a random effect to account for twin effect. The body proportion data were analysed separately for animals younger and older than 6 months of age. The first-order autoregressive process was dropped, but the random effect of lamb was kept, providing a compound symmetry correlation structure for observations on the same animal.

The potential confounding with sex and age at slaughter is acknowledged. The organ data model included fixed effects of sheep diet (LOW and NORM), age at slaughter for certain parameters and their interactions. Sheep (1, …, 21) was included as a random effect to account for twin effect. The body proportion data were analysed separately for animals younger and older than 6 months of age. The first-order autoregressive process was dropped, but the random effect of lamb was kept, providing a compound symmetry correlation structure for observations on the same animal.

All models were incorporated in R(41) utilising the packages nlme and multcomp for fitting the linear mixed models and multiple comparisons, respectively (42, 43).

### Results

Only for very few of the parameters reported in the present study could we detect a significant interaction between

---

**Table 2.** Daily energy and protein intake of twin-pregnant sheep during the last 6 weeks of gestation (term 147 d) and sex distribution and birth weights of their offspring

(Least square means (LSMEAN) with their standard errors except feed requirements which are presented as a range)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Late-gestation nutrition group of the pregnant sheep</th>
<th>NORM</th>
<th>LOW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LSMEAN SEM</td>
<td>LSMEAN SEM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numbers of ewes in the experiment</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight of ewes (kg, 6 weeks pre-partum)</td>
<td>78.5a</td>
<td>71.5b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCS of ewes (6 weeks pre-partum)</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>3.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE (MJ/d, last 6 weeks pre-partum)</td>
<td>20.14a</td>
<td>10.78b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norm for DE (MJ/d, last 6 weeks pre-partum)*</td>
<td>Increasing from 19.6 to 20.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCP intake (g/d, last 6 weeks pre-partum)</td>
<td>164.99a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norm for DCP intake (g/d, last 6 weeks pre-partum)†</td>
<td>Increasing from 130 to 193</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of lambs in the experiment</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight of lambs (kg)</td>
<td>4.68a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of lambs</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight of males (kg)</td>
<td>4.78a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight of females (kg)</td>
<td>4.62a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NORM</th>
<th>LOW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Least square mean values within a row with unlike superscript letters were significantly different ($P&lt;0.05$).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Least square mean values within a row with unlike superscript letters were significantly different ($P&lt;0.001$).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Least square mean values within a row with unlike superscript letters were significantly different ($P&lt;0.05$).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Least square mean values within a row with unlike superscript letters were significantly different ($P&lt;0.001$).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Digestible energy (DE) and crude protein intake of the experimental lambs from birth to 6 months of age*

(Least square means (LSMEAN) with their standard errors)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NORM-CONV</th>
<th>NORM-HCHF</th>
<th>LOW-CONV</th>
<th>LOW-HCHF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LSMEAN SEM</td>
<td>LSMEAN SEM</td>
<td>LSMEAN SEM</td>
<td>LSMEAN SEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of lambs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE intake (MJ/d)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–8 weeks</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>11.4†</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 weeks–6 months</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>16.6‡</td>
<td>1.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digestible crude protein intake (g/d)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–8 weeks</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>5.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 weeks–6 months</td>
<td>195.0</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>72.4†</td>
<td>8.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NORM, normal diet; CONV, conventional hay diet; LOW, 50 % of normal diet; HCHF, high-carbohydrate–high-fat diet.

* The experimental lambs were offspring of NORM or LOW during the last 6 weeks of gestation. From 3 d to 6 months of age, the lambs were fed either a CONV, which was adjusted to achieve moderate growth rates, or a HCHF consisting of popped maize, dairy cream and milk replacer. The CONV lambs were additionally fed milk replacer during the first 8 weeks postpartum.

† There was a main effect of the postnatal diet (CONV vs. HCHF, $P<0.001$).

‡ Least square mean value was significantly different from that of the CONV groups (LOW-CONV and NORM-CONV, $P<0.001$).
pre- and early postnatal nutrition. Therefore, in the following paragraphs, no interactions between pre- and early postnatal nutrition were observed unless specifically stated. Likewise, no significant differential responses to prenatal and postnatal diets with age (for those parameters where this could be evaluated) were observed unless specifically stated.

**Birth weight of offspring, colostrum yield and mitogenic activity**

Birth weight was significantly reduced in female lambs by late gestation undernutrition and was 550 g lower in the LOW females than in the NORM females (P=0.05; Table 2). It was also reduced in male lambs, but the difference did not quite reach significance (P=0.056; Table 2).

Colostrum production was significantly lower in the LOW sheep than in the NORM sheep (335.5 (SEM 65.8) v. 541.1 (SEM 65.4) ml, P<0.05). Insulin growth factor concentration in the colostrum was unaffected by the pre-partum treatment (683 (SEM 65) v. 631 (SEM 65) ng/ml).

**Dietary intakes, feed preferences and respiratory quotients**

During the milk-feeding period (from day 3 to 8 weeks of age), digestible energy intake was about 100% higher in the HCHF lambs than in the CONV lambs (Table 3), for which feed intakes were adjusted weekly to achieve moderate weight gains. However, daily protein intakes were in the same range for all experimental groups during the first 8 weeks of life, where milk supplementation was given to all lambs (Table 3).

From 8 weeks (weaning of CONV lambs) to 6 months of age, the average daily intake of digestible energy was higher in the NORM-HCHF group compared with the other groups. The CONV lambs had approximately three times higher digestible crude protein intake than HCHF-fed lambs during this period (Table 3).

Interestingly, as shown in Fig. 2, the HCHF lambs exposed to the LOW-HCHF diet had an increased appetite for the high-fat dairy cream (P=0.004), and the first animals had already reached their maximal daily allowance by 3 weeks of age compared with 6 weeks of age for the NORM-HCHF lambs. In contrast, consumption of high-starch maize by the LOW-HCHF lambs during the first 6 weeks of life was only one-third of that consumed by the NORM-HCHF lambs, and maize intake remained lowest in the LOW-HCHF lambs throughout the experimental feeding period (P<0.001).

In 6-month-old lambs, the HCHF diet as expected changed substrate oxidation in the direction of a more lipid-based metabolism as evidenced by lower respiratory quotient values (0.82 (SEM 0.038)) compared with animals fed the CONV diet (0.91 (SEM 0.034)) (P=0.004).

**Body weight and body proportions**

Growth rates of about 225 g/d, being close to what was planned (see above), were achieved in both groups of CONV-fed lambs during the first 6 months of life through the weekly adjustment of their dietary provision.

The prenatal diet had no effect on weight gain in early postnatal life from 3 d to 6 months of age in any of the lambs studied (Fig. 3). The HCHF lambs increased their body weights with almost 50% more compared with the CONV lambs (P<0.001; Fig. 3), and also had larger girth circumferences (P=0.004) at 8 weeks of age (721 (SEM 1.4) cm v. 61.1 (SEM 1.3) cm) and 6 months of age (84.4 (SEM 1.5) cm v. 77.4 (SEM 1.4) cm). Crown–rump length and height over withers were not affected by the prenatal (P=0.28 and 0.16, respectively) or postnatal (P=0.09 and 0.16, respectively) treatments during the early growth period.

After the change to a moderate feeding level at 6 months of age (at which point all sheep remaining in the experiment were females), the LOW-HCHF females lost about 10% of their body weight over the following 6 months, the NORM-HCHF females maintained their body weights, whereas the two CONV groups continued to grow (Fig. 4). From 1 to 2 years of age, the NORM females increased their body weights more than the LOW females (P=0.002), and at 2 years of age,
the NORM-HCHF females had higher body weights than the LOW-CONV sheep \( (P = 0.04) \) and tended to have higher body weights than the LOW-HCHF sheep \( (P = 0.07) \). A long-term effect of prenatal undernutrition (LOW) was observed on body proportions, where the LOW females had smaller girth circumferences \( 88.5 \text{(SEM 1.8)} \) \( \times \) \( 97.8 \text{(1.7)} \) cm, \( P < 0.001 \) than the NORM females at 2 years of age.

Organ development and fat deposition patterns in male lambs and in adult females

Due to the subdivision of sexes at the two slaughter times at 6 months and 2 years of age, it is unfortunately not possible to distinguish sex and age effects when evaluating organ and fat depot weights.

In the 6-month-old males, the HCHF diet compared with the CONV diet significantly increased the gross weight of renal fat, abdominal fat and the thickness of the subcutaneous fat layer and the significances were maintained also when correcting for differences in body weight (Table 4). In contrast, kidney weight was reduced by close to \( 33\% \) in the HCHF lambs compared with CONV lambs at 6 months of age, even after correction for body weight. The only prenatal (LOW) effects, which remained after correcting for differences in body weights, were reduced adrenal glands \( 0.72 \text{(SEM 0.05)} \) \( v. \) \( 0.64 \text{(SEM 0.06)} \) % of body weight; \( P = 0.019 \) compared with the NORM animals, and this was seen in both the males slaughtered at 6 months of age and in adult females after 1.5 years of dietary correction. The subcutaneous back fat layer was also thinner in the LOW animals compared with NORM animals (both sexes at each their age; \( 2.83 \text{(SEM 0.85)} \) \( v. \) \( 4.21 \text{(SEM 0.85)} \) mm, \( P = 0.012 \)). At 2 years of age (1.5 year after diet conversion), the high fat:lean ratios previously observed in the HCHF females were no longer evident and the only significant difference observed was a lower fat:lean ratio in the LOW-CONV females compared with the NORM-HCHF females \( (P < 0.05; \text{Fig. 6α}) \).

Discussion

The present study presents the new Copenhagen sheep model, in which obesity (fat content in soft tissues of >38%) and altered lipid deposition patterns can be successfully induced in lambs with a special postnatal feeding regimen. Due to circumstances beyond our control, we were unable to subdivide ewes and rams into separate flocks when the differential feeding period and individual housing came to an end around puberty. Since all males were slaughtered at 6 months of age, sex and age effects were obviously confounded for fat and organ weights in the present study. However, the effects of pre- and postnatal nutrition combinations on early-life feed preferences, growth and development in body proportions, and fat deposition patterns

![Fig. 3. Influence of pre- and postnatal nutrition exposures on growth patterns of lambs (males and females) from birth to 22 weeks of age. NORM, normal diet fulfilling norms for energy and protein; LOW, 50 % of a normal diet; CONV, conventional diet; HCHF, high-carbohydrate–high-fat diet. NORM-CONV (○, n 10), NORM-HCHF (●, n 9), LOW-CONV (□, n 10) and LOW-HCHF (■, n 9). *** \( P < 0.001 \).](https://www.cambridge.org/core/doi/10.1017/S0007114512004199/asset/123456789_1020212221.png)
(visceral v. subcutaneous) could be evaluated in both male and female animals until 6 months of age. We could also determine the impacts of these pre- and postnatal dietary exposures on the development of individual organs in male animals at 6 months of age (young animals) when they were killed. Furthermore, we could demonstrate how a long period of dietary correction affected fat deposition patterns in young adult, female sheep with different histories of pre- and postnatal nutrition, since fat deposition patterns were characterised in these females by CT scanning at both 6 and 24 months of age. It should be remembered that we detected only a very few significant interactive effects of pre- and postnatal nutrition for the parameters reported here, and we are only addressing issues relating to interactions in the discussion when significant effects were detected. The data presented here demonstrate the potential usefulness of sheep as an experimental animal model, even for postnatal dietary interventions, and notwithstanding the characteristic features of the ruminant digestive system. It was one of the main objectives of the study to establish such a new large animal model, which is comparable to humans in terms of gestational period, number of offspring, birth weight and maturity at birth.

Late gestational nutrition influences food preferences in early postnatal life

Young lambs that were undernourished during late gestation (LOW) preferred to eat a fat- and energy-dense diet (dairy cream) and reached the predefined daily limit for dairy cream intake 3 weeks earlier than those born to NORM-fed mothers who preferred to eat more of the less energy-dense and starch-rich popped maize. In a human study investigating food preferences in offspring born to undernourished mothers during the Dutch hunger famine, it has been shown that such offspring preferred more fatty foods in adult life, findings which are also supported in a rat model of IUGR (44,45).

To the best of our knowledge, we are the first to conduct such an extreme dietary manipulation in a ruminant animal (sheep) in order to mimic a high-carbohydrate–high-fat feeding regimen as might be found in monogastrics. It is very interesting that our dietary manipulation revealed the same changes in food preferences in the young ruminant in response to prenatal undernutrition that have been reported in other monogastric species such as humans (45). Although our data cannot show whether the increased taste for high-fat feeds persists into adulthood in the LOW sheep, and

### Table 4. Slaughter organ weights (in g and as a percentage of total body weight) of the experimental animals at 6 months (males and three females) and 2 years (females) of age

(Least square means (LSMEAN) with their standard errors)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organ (g) and % of total body weight</th>
<th>CONV</th>
<th>HCHF</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>CONV</th>
<th>HCHF</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LSMEAN</td>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>LSMEAN</td>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>LSMEAN</td>
<td>SEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney g</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver g</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart g</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>3.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>0.0061</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adrenals g</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>3.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.0069</td>
<td>0.00049</td>
<td>0.0052</td>
<td>0.00057</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longissimus† g</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>581</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biceps‡ g</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal fat g</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>1129</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal fat g</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>1803</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>1149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcutaneous fat (mm)§</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>13.17</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONV, conventional diet; HCHF, high-carbohydrate–high-fat diet.

*The animals were fed a moderate CONV or HCHF from 3 d to 6 months of age.

† Muscularis longissimus dorsi.

‡ Biceps femoris.

§ Subcutaneous back fat thickness measured above the longissimus dorsi muscle at the last rib.
although the typical diet for a ruminant during evolution was never rich in fat, this points towards a mechanism whereby prenatal undernutrition can programme food preference for a high-fat diet.

Weight gain during the early growth phase is determined by postnatal nutrition

In several other rat and sheep studies, where prenatal undernutrition has been combined with postnatal ad libitum feeding, observations such as hyperphagia, catch-up growth and accelerated weight gains have been reported in animals exposed to prenatal undernutrition compared with animals that were adequately nourished prenatally. However, the LOW-HCHF lambs in the present study did not ingest more energy or gain more weight than the NORM-HCHF animals. This might be related to the time window of intervention during gestation, which in the present study was in the third trimester. In terms of development and maturation, this corresponds more to the lactation period in rats. However, it may also relate to our imposed limitation on daily dairy cream intake by the HCHF lambs, thus giving them ad libitum access only to maize. The cream intake limit of 0.5 litres/d was reached within the first 3–5 weeks of age in the LOW lambs compared with 6–8 weeks of age in the NORM lambs. As described above, the LOW-HCHF lambs found cream more palatable than maize and, if we had offered the cream mix ad libitum, they could possibly have continued to increase intake, and consequently gained more weight and develop larger adipose tissue stores than the NORM-HCHF lambs. As for the CONV lambs, the daily feed provision

Fig. 5. Impact of a postnatal high-carbohydrate–high-fat diet (HCHF; pictures to the left-hand side of dashed line) or a moderate conventional diet (CONV; pictures to the right-hand side) on carcass characteristics in lambs. (a) Representative daily feed ration offered to the HCHF or CONV lambs, (b) phenotype and carcass characteristics in animals at 6 months of age (pictures are representative for male lambs from the HCHF and CONV groups, respectively), (c) representative HCHF lamb liver demonstrating the extensive fat infiltration (note the pale colour) compared with the normal CONV liver and (d) HCHF heart with clearly visible pericardial infiltration compared with a normal CONV heart.

Fig. 6. Fat deposition patterns in female experimental animals measured by computer tomography (CT) scanning in the tracheal region. (a) Fat:lean ratios at 6 months and 2 years of age. Note that ratio of 1.0 and 0.6 are equivalent to a fat percentage in soft tissues of 50 and 38%, respectively. In CT scans from 2-year-old animals, the forestomachs were large and full of ingesta, and could not be distinguished from fat and lean tissues. Data from 2 years of age were therefore derived from a single transverse slice containing the tracheal bifurcation. For three of the sheep (not from the same dietary group) scanned at 2 years of age, fat quantities were below the threshold of detectability. Fat:lean ratios in these animals were set to the lowest detectable fat:lean ratio (11.7%). This may have led to a slight overestimation of adiposity. Fat:lean ratios in these animals were set to the lowest detectable fat:lean ratio (11.7%). This may have led to a slight overestimation of adiposity for these three individuals. There was a significant effect for the postnatal diet ($P<0.05$). (b) Visceral:subcutaneous fat ratios in females at 6 months of age by the end of the postnatal period with differential feedings. Values are least square means, with standard errors represented by vertical bars. Mean values were significantly different between the groups: * $P<0.05$, ** $P<0.01$ and *** $P<0.001$. NORM, normal diet fulfilling norms for energy and protein; LOW, 50% of a normal diet; CONV, conventional diet; HCHF, high-carbohydrate–high-fat diet. NORM-CONV (☐, n 4), NORM-HCHF (●, n 4), LOW-CONV (○, n 5) and LOW-HCHF (●, n 4) (see legend to Fig. 1).
was continuously adjusted to ensure a moderate and fixed daily weight gain during the first 6 months of life, irrespective of the prenatal nutrition exposures, which we successfully achieved. Any capacity for catch-up growth in the LOW-CONV lambs compared with the NORM-CONV lambs could therefore not be expressed during the early postnatal growth phase. However, after the differential feeding period, where the females were allowed to eat ad libitum of the normal low-fat, grass-based diet, we did not see any indications of long-term prenatal programming of weight gain. In contrast and to our surprise, we found that the LOW lambs and sheep had reduced subcutaneous fat and smaller girth circumferences compared with the NORM sheep. Thus, and in agreement with Bellinger et al. (49), prenatal undernutrition does not seem to be a risk factor for increased adiposity per se, unless the offspring are challenged by a high-fat diet after birth.

Effects of early postnatal overnutrition on visceral and ectopic fat deposition can (in females) be reversed by dietary correction later in life

Due to their higher dietary energy intake, the HCHF lambs had higher growth rates and achieved larger body size and weight at 6 months of age than the CONV lambs. This was associated with a proportional increase in the development of different body tissues and organs, since virtually all postnatal dietary effects on organ weights disappeared upon correction for body weight. Adipose tissue was a notable exception. The HCHF lambs clearly became obese with fat percentages in lean tissues exceeding 38% in female animals, and this substantial increase in fat deposition was observed in all of the three adipose tissues investigated, both from CT scans of females and males at slaughter. The development of obesity was associated with a dramatic increase in the visceral:subcutaneous fat ratio in young females, and widespread ectopic fat deposition was clearly visible in the slaughtered HCHF male lambs. This is interesting, since it has been demonstrated in human subjects and in rodent models that visceral adiposity can predispose for development of the metabolic syndrome, whereas subcutaneous fat is considered a more ‘healthy’ fat (17, 49, 50). When the obese HCHF female lambs were transferred to a moderate diet for 1-5 years, body fat content was completely normalised, and in adult sheep, the fat distribution pattern in the adult HCHF sheep did not differ from that of the CONV sheep (without a previous history of obesity).

The HCHF diet had a negative impact on only one organ, the kidney, whose weight (when corrected for body weight) was reduced by a dramatic 33% in the HCHF male lambs compared with the CONV male lambs. The low protein level in the HCHF diet may have played an important role in this context, since it has been shown in another sheep study that an adequate protein supply is important to sustain kidney growth and development (51). However, the limited protein supply to the HCHF lambs did not interfere with skeletal muscle or longitudinal growth. In the HCHF lambs, the higher energy intake must therefore have compensated for a low dietary protein intake to improve protein utilisation, which is a well-known phenomenon (52), and in this way, normal skeletal and longitudinal growth could be sustained, and, in fact, quantitatively increased in the HCHF lambs compared with the CONV lambs. In the adult female sheep, there were no indications that kidney weight was influenced by the nutritional history (HCHF or CONV) in early postnatal life. Our data do not allow us to evaluate whether the dietary correction and higher protein intake after 6 months of age could have stimulated a compensatory growth of the kidneys in the HCHF females, or whether the kidney response to postnatal diets differs between males and females.

LOW diet might interfere with subcutaneous fat expandability and increases the disposition for visceral adiposity upon exposure to a high-fat postnatal diet in postnatal life

The present study contributes to the growing body of experimental and observational evidence in animals and human subjects that prenatal life events can be important in setting fat mass and fat distribution patterns later in life (53). Lambs subjected to the LOW diet had a higher disposition for visceral fat deposition when exposed to a high-fat diet very early in postnatal life. Interestingly, this was associated with reduced subcutaneous adipose tissue thickness, as observed in both male and female lambs, resulting in increased visceral:subcutaneous fat ratios. This impact of the LOW diet on subcutaneous fat was still evident in the adult females irrespective of their postnatal nutritional history, suggesting that late postnatal undernutrition may have differential programming effects in different adipose tissues. In subcutaneous adipose tissue, the programming outcome of the LOW diet might be a limited capacity for expansion in response to overnutrition in postnatal life. The thinner subcutaneous fat layer observed in the LOW offspring supports findings from other species, in which IUGR has been shown to interfere with the development and size of subcutaneous adipose tissue in postnatal life (31, 49). The mechanisms whereby prenatal undernutrition can interfere with adipocyte development, and in a tissue-specific manner, are not known. It will be very important to investigate such mechanisms in future studies, as they may contribute to explain how adverse intra-uterine conditions can predispose for development of visceral adiposity and the metabolic syndrome in later life. In this context, it is very interesting that subcutaneous adipose tissue has been suggested to play a specific role in the development of visceral adiposity and ectopic fat deposition (54). Reduced fat accumulation capacity in subcutaneous adipose tissue can cause a nutrient overflow when dietary intake is abundant, resulting in visceral fat deposition. This is important when one considers that the death of adipocytes is closely related to their growth up to the critical death size, and this death size is smaller in the visceral adipocyte than in the subcutaneous adipocyte (55). This probably accounts for the greater morbidity related to visceral fat (56) and the subsequent association with ectopic fat deposition.

Thus, the outcomes of prenatal exposure to a LOW plane of nutrition on fat deposition patterns and subcutaneous fat deposition can (in females) be reversed by dietary correction later in life.
thickness could not be reversed in female animals by dietary correction later in life, in contrast to the effects of postnatal dietary exposures introduced as early as 3 d after birth. This points to birth as a critical time point in relation to when long-term programming of body functions can be induced.

We also found, in agreement with other studies, that prenatal undernutrition increases the size of the adrenal glands, supporting the theory that the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis is important for postnatal adaptations in individuals subjected to prenatal undernutrition\(^\text{55,56}\). In human studies, low birth weight has been associated with hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis overactivity, insulin resistance and the metabolic syndrome, and overactivity of the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis has in turn been associated with visceral obesity and development of the metabolic syndrome\(^\text{57,58}\). The increased adrenal weight relative to total body weight observed in our LOW offspring could be indicative of hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis hyperactivity, and other animal studies have indeed revealed a strong prenatal programming effect on this axis, which becomes increasingly manifested with age\(^\text{55,56}\). However, it remains to be established whether changes in this or other endocrine systems are implicated in the programming of adipose tissue deposition, function and/or expandability.

**Conclusion**

We have demonstrated that our Copenhagen sheep model has the potential to expose the underlying mechanisms by which pre- and early postnatal nutrition interact to modify body functions and development in a manner that can predispose for obesity and the metabolic syndrome later in life. The present study has shown that adolescent lambs exposed to a LOW plane of nutrition prenatally had a higher disposition for development of visceral adiposity when exposed to a high-fat diet in early postnatal life compared with lambs that were adequately nourished in fetal life. Increased appetite for high-fat over carbohydrate-rich foods observed in the LOW lambs may exacerbate this visceral adiposity risk. The association between an increased disposition for visceral adiposity and a reduced fat accumulation capacity in subcutaneous adipose tissue in lambs of both sexes and in adult female sheep (irrespective of their postnatal dietary history) suggests that subcutaneous adipose tissue is a target organ for fetal programming. Expandability of subcutaneous adipose tissue can thus be a key factor controlling the apportionment of a nutrient overflow, directing it towards visceral and ectopic fat deposition, which in humans is known to precede the development of the metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes. The effects of postnatal dietary exposures, introduced right after birth, on fat deposition patterns appeared to be almost completely reversible after dietary correction in the adult females studied, in contrast to the effects of prenatal exposures. This suggests that birth is a critical time point in relation to adverse long-term programming. The sheep model can thus be particularly relevant when fetal exposures in the third trimester are the focus of interest.
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