A Lactobacillus casei Shirota probiotic drink reduces antibiotic-associated diarrhoea in patients with spinal cord injuries: a randomised controlled trial
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Abstract

Certain probiotics may prevent the development of antibiotic-associated diarrhoea (AAD) and Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhoea (CDAD), but their effectiveness depends on both strain and dose. There are few data on nutritional interventions to control AAD/CDAD in the spinal cord injury (SCI) population. The present study aimed to assess (1) the efficacy of consuming a commercially produced probiotic containing at least $6.5 \times 10^9$ live Lactobacillus casei Shirota (LcS) in reducing the incidence of AAD/CDAD, and (2) whether undernutrition and proton pump inhibitors (PPI) are risk factors for AAD/CDAD. A total of 164 SCI patients (50.1 (SD 17.8) years) with a requirement for antibiotics (median 21 d, range 5–366) were randomly allocated to receive LcS ($n$ 76) or no probiotic ($n$ 82). LcS was given once daily for the duration of the antibiotic course and continued for 7 days thereafter. Nutritional risk was assessed by the Spinal Nutrition Screening Tool. The LcS group had a significantly lower incidence of AAD (17.1 v. 4.9 %, $P$, 0.001). At baseline, 65 % of patients were at undernutrition risk. Undernutrition (64.1 v. 33.3 %, $P$, 0.01) and the use of PPI (38.4 v. 12.1 %, $P$ = 0.022) were found to be associated with AAD. However, no significant difference was observed in nutrient intake between the groups. The multivariate logistic regression analysis identified poor appetite ($1/2$ meals eaten) (OR 5.04, 95 % CI 1.28, 19.84) and no probiotic (OR 8.46, 95 % CI 3.22, 22.20) as the independent risk factors for AAD. The present study indicated that LcS could reduce the incidence of AAD in hospitalised SCI patients. A randomised, placebo-controlled study is needed to confirm this apparent therapeutic success in order to translate into improved clinical outcomes.
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Antibiotic-associated diarrhoea (AAD) is a common complication of antibiotic use1–5. The frequency of AAD can be as high as 60 % during hospital outbreaks or intermediate (13–29 %) during endemic periods3.1

Risk factors for AAD include the use of broad-spectrum antibiotics, various host factors (e.g. old age), a longer hospitalisation period and exposure to nosocomial pathogens2.2. Diarrhoea associated with antibiotic use and caused by Clostridium difficile is also a complication of treatment with antimicrobial agents and has been reported in up to 25 % of patients3. Once this ecosystem of micro-organisms is disturbed, patients become more susceptible to infection with opportunistic pathogens.

C. difficile is a spore-forming, Gram-positive anaerobe that is a major cause of AAD, and specifically of C. difficile-associated diarrhoea (CDAD) in hospitals. It is a particularly virulent pathogen because it produces an enterotoxin and a cytotoxin, both of which cause mucosal injury and damage to the colon. When antibiotic therapy disrupts this natural defence, C. difficile multiplies and produces toxins, causing diarrhoea. In some cases, severe inflammation (C. difficile-associated colitis) can develop6.

Abbreviations: AAD, antibiotic-associated diarrhoea; CDAD, Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhoea; CFU, colony-forming units; LcS, Lactobacillus casei Shirota; PPI, proton pump inhibitor; SCI, spinal cord injury; SNST, Spinal Nutrition Screening Tool.
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There is growing interest in probiotics to reduce the risk of AAD and CDAD, because of their wide availability as safe dietary supplements, and concern over recent outbreaks of severe *C. difficile* in the UK\(^{(7)}\). Probiotics are defined as ‘live microorganisms which, when administered in adequate amounts, confer a health benefit on the host’\(^{(8)}\). Probiotics are thought to be beneficial via mechanisms linked to the recolonisation of the gut mucosa, the restoration of the gut microbiota equilibrium, the competitive exclusion of pathogens and toxins from the host and the re-establishment of the luminal metabolome\(^{(4,9–12)}\).

Early studies for the prevention and treatment of AAD, which identified the use of proton pump inhibitors (PPI)\(^{(12)}\) and undernutrition\(^{(13)}\) as the possible risk factors for AAD/CDAD, have failed to provide a clear result. A more recent meta-analysis that included eighty-two randomised controlled trials was therefore performed\(^{(14)}\). Of the eighty-two randomised controlled trials, seventeen involved *Lactobacillus*-based interventions. The meta-analysis found that adjunctive probiotic administration was associated with a reduced risk of AAD (relative risk 0.64, 95% CI 0.47, 0.86), but that there was a variation in the trial design, type of probiotic strains, dosage, duration of treatment and study population, presumably explaining much of the prior uncertainty\(^{(12)}\).

To the best of our knowledge, data on the prevalence of AAD in spinal cord injury (SCI) patients are limited, and no studies have examined the effect of probiotics in preventing diarrhoea in SCI patients\(^{(15)}\). It appeared logical to assess probiotics in SCI patients because these patients are particularly vulnerable to diarrhoea and its consequences for many reasons\(^{(16)}\). Diarrhoea can delay rehabilitation, increase the risk of developing pressure ulcers/delay wound healing and reduce quality of life\(^{(16)}\).

We therefore planned a randomised controlled trial to assess the efficacy of a commercial probiotic (LcS, *Lactobacillus casei* Shirota) for the prevention of AAD and CDAD in adult patients with SCI. The objectives of the present study were to determine (1) the potential efficacy of LcS for the prevention of AAD and CDAD for the duration of antibiotic use, and for 30 d, and (2) whether undernutrition risk and the use of PPI are the risk factors for AAD and CDAD.

### Materials and methods

Adult patients who sustained a SCI and who were admitted to the National Spinal Injuries Centre at Stoke Mandeville Hospital were screened. The inclusion criteria were in-patients aged >18 years old who had sustained a SCI less than 6 months before and who were due to receive antibiotics for infection.

Patients were excluded from the study for the following reasons: (1) diarrhoea before antibiotic therapy; (2) antibiotics for prophylaxis; (3) bowel pathology that could result in diarrhoea; (4) bowel surgery in the last 6 months; (5) infective endocarditis; (6) regular probiotic use in the previous 8 weeks; (7) pancreatitis; (8) active inflammatory bowel disease; (9) immunosuppression; (10) nil by mouth/non-functioning gut; (10) known cows’ milk protein intolerance; (11) those who were unable to give informed consent due to cognitive impairment; (12) those with acute stroke.

### Study design

Between September 2010 and September 2012, after obtaining written informed consent, a total of 164 SCI patients, who were within 24 h of commencing antibiotics, were randomly allocated to receive a probiotic drink (Yakult Light\(^{(8)}\); 65 ml containing a minimum of 6.5 × 10^9 colony-forming units (CFU) LcS/bottle and skimmed milk, or no probiotic (routine care) for the duration of the antibiotic course. The antibiotic course was finished, a further 7 d of study drink was prescribed for patients in the active arm of the study. Bowel movements were monitored routinely by the nursing staff on the ward using the Bristol stool chart\(^{(17)}\). Where there was evidence of diarrhoea (defined as more than two liquid stools (Bristol Stool Chart type 5, 6 or 7) a day for 3 or more days, in quantities in excess of normal), a stool sample was collected and sent to the microbiology laboratory for the detection of *C. difficile* toxin and glutamate dehydrogenase analysis. Other than in the administration of the yogurt drink, there were no differences in patient care between the two groups.

The principal investigator recorded the occurrence of diarrhoea throughout the study, as documented by the nurses. The census date was fixed 30 d after the antibiotic course had finished.

The participants’ baseline clinical, nutritional and biochemcial information were collected. These included age, sex, level of SCI, completeness of injury, American Spinal Injury Association Impairment Scale score, reason for SCI, weight and height, and routine blood tests for total protein and albumin concentrations, Hb, leucocyte count, Mg and C-reactive protein. Information about nutritional factors, such as route of nutrition, nutrient intake as estimated by food record charts (nil by mouth, less than half, half, more than half and all eaten)\(^{(18)}\), interruptions and supplementation of nutrition (use of oral nutritional supplements and artificial nutrition support), was collected. Additional clinical data were recorded, which included the presence of co-morbidities, the use of mechanical ventilation, the history of intensive care unit stay, the number of medications, the name and route of antibiotics and the use of PPI and laxatives.

The intensity of antibiotic exposure was used to categorise patients into those on relatively low-risk antibiotics (metronidazole and parental aminoglycosides), those at ‘medium-risk’ antibiotics (tetracyclines, sulphonamides, macrolides and quinolones) and those at ‘high-risk’ antibiotics (aminopenicillin and cephalosporins), using the criteria described elsewhere\(^{(19)}\).

### Definition of undernutrition risk

Patients were considered at risk of undernutrition on the basis of the Spinal Nutrition Screening Tool (SNST)\(^{(20)}\). The SNST assesses eight criteria, of which the majority were recognised as predictors or symptoms of undernutrition: history of recent weight loss; BMI; age; level of SCI; presence of co-morbidity; skin condition; appetite; ability to eat. Each step of screening has a score of up to 5 and the total score reflects the patient’s degree of risk. A score of 0–10 indicates a low risk of undernutrition, 11–15 indicates a moderate risk of undernutrition and...
>15 indicates a high risk of undernutrition. Patients who had a SNST score ≤10 were considered at low risk and those with a SNST score ≥11 were considered at risk.

Sample size

Based on the results of a similar UK trial of probiotics (effectiveness of other commercially produced probiotic preparation containing *L. casei* DN-114 001 (*L. casei* Inunantis; 1×10^9 CFU/ml), *Streptococcus thermophilus* (1×10^9 CFU/ml) and *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* (1×10^7 CFU/ml) in the prevention of AAD in general hospitalised patients in November 2002 to January 2005) (21), we estimated that a sample size of 164 was needed, such that a 20% difference in the proportion of patients developing AAD (30% in the control group and 10% with LcS) would be detected with a statistical power of 90% and at a significance level of 5%.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to describe the basic features according to age, sex and disease symptoms. χ² tests were used to compare differences in the distribution of qualitative variables. Differences in quantitative variables, according to their distribution, were analysed by the parametric t test or the non-parametric Mann–Whitney test. Multiple binary logistic regression analysis was used to determine significant predictors for AAD, and effect estimates were presented as odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals. For all tests, a P value of 0·05 or less or a β value of 0·2 were considered as significant. Statistical analysis was performed using the S-Plus statistical software (version 15·0; S-Plus, Inc.) and SPSS (version 19; IBM Corporation). All analyses were performed on an intention-to-treat basis.

Approximately 10% of the routine data were lost in the present study (predominantly simple biochemical and haematological variables). To reduce the bias implicit in utilising only complete cases, multiple imputation procedures for the laboratory data were implemented using SPSS (version 19; IBM Corporation) Markov Chain Monte Carlo multiple imputation function to produce five imputed data-sets. These were each analysed as normal; thereafter, standard statistical analysis was performed using the Minitab statistical software (version 15·0; Minitab, Inc.) and SPSS (version 19; IBM Corporation). All analyses were performed on an intention-to-treat basis.

Ethical consideration

The present study, conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki, received ethical approval from the National Research Ethics Committee (reference no. 10/H0605/19) and approval from the local research and development department. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients before data collection and intervention.

The investigators comprised a research dietitian (S. W.), spinal cord physician (A. J.), microbiologist (J. O. D.), gastroenterologists (M. W., R. S. and A. F.), geriatrician (C. Y. Y.), nutrition scientist (G. G.) and health psychology statistician (S. P. H.). To monitor the progress and conduct of the study, all investigators attended meetings before the study, and met for communal annual updates in October 2011 (interim analysis) and October 2012 (end of the study meeting).

Results

The patient flow is summarised in Fig. 1. Over the 24 months of the study period, 167 SCI patients were approached by the principal investigator; three patients refused to participate in the study. Of the 164 patients who met the inclusion criteria and agreed to participate in the study, six patients withdrew during the study. Finally, 158 patients completed the study (mean age 50·1 (SD 19·1) years; 20·1% female; 62·5% tetraplegia; 37·8% complete SCI; Table 1).

SCI was caused by traumatic injury (74·4%) (fall: n 59, 36·6%; road traffic accident: n 35, 21·7%; sports-related injury: n 23, 14·3%; assault: n 3, 1·9%) and non-traumatic causes (n 41, 25·5%).

The prevalence of risk for undernutrition was 65% (n 104) at the time of recruitment. Only one (25%) in four was found to be missing one or more of their hospital meals. There were no differences observed between the LcS and control groups with regard to the daily intake of energy (5774 (SD 480·7) kJ; median 5439 (SD 785·8) kJ), protein (42·3 (SD 3·8) g; median 39·5 (SD 5·4) g) and dietary fibre (12·4 (SD 3·2) g; median 11·6 (SD 2·8) g).

Thirty-one (20·4%) of 152 patients were prescribed PPI. Patients at risk of undernutrition were found to receive more prescribed medications than the low-risk group (13 v. 11, P<0·01).

Most patients received one antibiotic (52·7%), but 38·9% received two and 8·4% received three. A total of twenty-eight different types of antibiotics were recorded in the present study: the oral route was used in 57·8% of patients. The median days of antibiotic were 21 d (range 5–366); no statistically significant differences with regard to antibiotic intake were observed between the LcS and control groups.

The reasons for starting the antibiotic treatment, in descending order, were as follows: urinary tract infection (n 74, 45·1%); respiratory tract infection (n 46, 28·0%); post-operative infection (n 19, 11·6%); sepsis (n 5, 3·1%); spinal tuberculosis treatment (n 4, 2·5%); spinal abscesses (n 4, 2·5%); osteomyelitis (n 3, 1·8%); *Helicobacter pylori* infection (n 2, 1·2%); skin infection (n 2, 1·2%); other (n 5, 3·1%).

At baseline, the LcS and control groups were similar with respect to demographic and clinical characteristics, which included: onset of SCI; proportions of tetraplegia and complete SCI; indications for antibiotic use; planned antibiotics (number and route); laxative use; PPI use; BMI; appetite and use of enteral feeding tubes (Table 2).

Patients in the control group received significantly more ‘low-risk’ antibiotics (23·1 v. 6·6%, χ² = 8·429, P = 0·004) and fewer ‘high-risk’ antibiotics (47·6 v. 63·2%, χ² = 3·878, P = 0·049) than those in the LcS group. No adverse events were reported in the present study.
Antibiotic-associated diarrhoea

Overall, the incidence of AAD was 36%. The incidence of AAD was significantly lower in the LcS group than in the control group (17·1% v. 54·9%; \( \chi^2 = 22·39, P<0·001 \)).

Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhoea

Only one AAD case was associated with \( C. \) difficile infection (glutamate dehydrogenase positive; \( C. \) difficile toxin positive); this patient was in the control group.

Risk factors for antibiotic-associated diarrhoea/Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhoea

Patients at undernutrition risk who received routine care were found to have a significantly higher incidence of AAD than those at low risk of undernutrition who received routine care (64·1% v. 33·3%, \( \chi^2 = 7·101, P=0·008 \)). We observed a lower incidence of AAD in the ‘at-risk’ patients in the LcS group than in those in the routine-care control group (15·7% v. 4·1%, \( \chi^2 = 25·356, P<0·001 \)).

In the LcS group, patients who took regular PPI were found to have a significantly higher incidence of AAD than those in the non-PPI group (38·4% v. 12·1%, \( \chi^2 = 5·627, P=0·022 \)). There were no statistically significant differences observed in patients in the control group (56·3% v. 50·9%, \( \chi^2 = 0·142, P=0·707 \)).

The univariate linear regression analysis revealed that the number of drugs (OR 1·19, 95% CI 1·06, 1·32), the number of antibiotics (OR 1·96, 95% CI 1·12, 3·42), nutrition risk score (OR 1·15, 95% CI 1·05, 1·26), serum albumin concentration (OR 0·90, 95% CI 0·84, 0·96), mechanical ventilation (OR 0·30, 95% CI 0·12, 0·77), gastrostomy feeding (OR 0·10, 95% CI 0·01, 0·91), nil-by-mouth status (OR 0·18, 95% CI 0·068, 0·46), poor appetite (<1/2) (OR 4·96, 95% CI 1·65, 14·91) and being in the control (not LcS) group (OR 5·45, 95% CI 2·62, 11·35) were all associated with increased AAD. The binary multivariate logistic regression analysis identified reduced appetite (<1/2) (OR 5·04, 95% CI 1·28, 19·84) and being in the control group (OR 8·46, 95% CI 3·22, 22·20) as the unique risk factors for AAD (Table 3).
Table 2. Baseline characteristics of the study participants
(Number of patients and percentages or median values)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>LcS group</th>
<th>Control group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>Low 52:5</td>
<td>51 0.657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male 62</td>
<td>81.6 0.948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>67 88.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary diagnosis on recruitment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onset of SCI (days)</td>
<td>71 0.474</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetraplegia</td>
<td>48 63.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete SCI</td>
<td>27 35.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median number of laxatives</td>
<td>2 0.735</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median number of drugs</td>
<td>12 12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median number of antibiotics</td>
<td>1 0.949</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotic route: oral</td>
<td>26 34:2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk of antibiotics causing diarrhoea*</td>
<td>5 6.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>5 6.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>25 32:9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>48 63:2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indication of antibiotics</td>
<td>32 42:1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary tract infection</td>
<td>20 26:3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory tract infection</td>
<td>14 18:9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of PPI†</td>
<td>51 68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk of undernutrition‡</td>
<td>9 11:8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrient intake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (kJ)</td>
<td>5777.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary fibre (g)</td>
<td>12:4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>24:2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of enteral feeding tube</td>
<td>9 11:8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As only a small number of patients developed CDAD during the follow-up period, this form of analysis was deemed inappropriate for the CDAD data.

Discussion

This is the first randomised controlled trial with an adequate sample size, which has evaluated the efficacy of LcS for the prevention of AAD in patients with SCI. The number of AAD cases was significantly lower in the LcS group.

The present study found a higher incidence of AAD (36.1%) than some previous reports (approximately 25%) (1). This may be attributed to a longer follow-up period (30 d) than in many of the other published trials (often only 7–14 d) (22) as diarrhoea may occur up to 2 months after discontinuing antibiotic treatment (4).

The present study defined AAD as more than two liquid stools (Bristol Stool Chart type 5, 6 or 7) a day for more than 3 d, in quantities in excess of normal. This was chosen on clinical grounds according to the recommendation of the Hospital Microbiology Department at the time of the study. This relatively stringent definition enabled us to differentiate between clinically relevant and clinically unimportant changes in the consistency of stools. Definitions of AAD, however, vary between published studies. For example, Hickson et al. (21) defined diarrhoea as at least 2 stools per d for more than 3 d, whereas Stein et al. (23) defined diarrhoea as ≥ 2 watery stools within 24 h. The present results are nonetheless consistent with those of other trials performed on hospitalised adult patients (21, 23).

Current evidence indicates that some probiotic strains reduce the incidence of AAD/CDAD in general hospitalised patients (21); however, the effects are strain-, product-, dose- and disease-specific (12–14, 24). It has been suggested that the dose of probiotics should exceed 10^10 CFU/d in order to prevent AAD (12). The present study dose of a minimum of 6.5 × 10^10 CFU LcS was selected on pragmatic grounds and to aid compliance, and it is possible that a higher dose might have yielded even greater benefit. However, the effect of increasing dosage of probiotics should be monitored carefully as unexpected adverse events may also occur.

An important criterion for any probiotic is that the strains used survive the passage through the stomach and arrive in a viable state in the small intestine and colon. LcS has been shown to survive and be well tolerated in the upper gastrointestinal tract and which reach the large intestine in a viable state, and several human intervention trials have shown the presence of LcS in stool samples following daily consumption of a commercially available probiotic yogurt drink (25–28).

LcS is considered safe to be used in clinical settings and has been used in a broad range of patients (29–31). Evidence from

Table 3. Multivariate logistic regression analysis to identify risk factors for antibiotic-associated diarrhoea
(Standard errors, odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of drugs</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>1.105</td>
<td>0.962, 1.271</td>
<td>0.158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of antibiotics</td>
<td>0.373</td>
<td>1.751</td>
<td>0.843, 3.639</td>
<td>0.133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNST score</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>1.127</td>
<td>0.963, 1.318</td>
<td>0.137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.927</td>
<td>0.942, 1.020</td>
<td>0.119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical ventilation</td>
<td>0.745</td>
<td>0.594</td>
<td>0.138, 2.557</td>
<td>0.484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrostomy feeding</td>
<td>1.415</td>
<td>0.468</td>
<td>0.029, 7.490</td>
<td>0.591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nil by mouth</td>
<td>1.460</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>0.009, 2.787</td>
<td>0.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor appetite (&lt;1/2)</td>
<td>0.699</td>
<td>5.045</td>
<td>1.283, 19.84</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probiotic control (routine care)</td>
<td>0.492</td>
<td>8.459</td>
<td>3.224, 22.196</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SNST, Spinal Nutrition Screening Tool.
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human studies suggests that LcS predictably increases the number of beneficial intestinal bacteria (28). LcS has been shown to help with constipation (32), modulate immune function (33, 34), reduce global infection risk (35), inhibit H. pylori (36), and reduce the risk of diarrhoea (37).

Several probiotics have been used safely in patients (38). The safety of LcS has been confirmed in a wide range of patients and disease states (29, 31), including critically ill children admitted for intensive care (30).

The present study suggests that poor appetite, which can lead to undernutrition, is an independent risk factor for AAD. A significant number of patients tend to miss one or more of their hospital meals, and this can contribute to a substantial loss of energy. In the present study, meals were not consumed by one-third of the patients, indicating an important missed opportunity in SCI patients. Previous research has suggested that consumption of oral nutritional supplement by patients with known poor appetite could improve their clinical outcome (39). Clinicians should be aware of the need to identify and treat undernutrition and thus prevent undernutrition-related complications.

Probiotic administration and intake of dietary fibre can influence the composition of the gut microbiota, and thus promotes the availability of SCFA in the colon. This could have a beneficial effect on reducing the onset of diarrhoea because SCFA are potent stimulators of colonic water and electrolyte absorption (15, 40). In the present study, nutrient intake was estimated by a food record chart, so it was not possible to perform individual dietary analyses. After taking an average of our hospital menu, however, we found no statistical difference in the intake of energy, protein and dietary fibre between the two groups (LcS and control).

There are some limitations associated with the unblinded nature of the study. Due to the lack of a true placebo for the trial, use of a heat-treated LcS was considered while planning the present study, but the production and quality-control requirements for this approach were not possible. Heat treatment may not have killed all of the bacteria and may have led to undesirable taste changes in the material which would have affected compliance in the control group. Furthermore, heat-treated bacteria can have some immune-modulatory effects. Therefore, we had little choice but to elect to have an open-label study and use no intervention for the control group.

It should be noted that data for all of the bowel results were collected by trained nurses using validated criteria (17) as part of their daily routine clinical assessment. Data on the occurrence of diarrhoea were subsequently collected by research staff according to the definition of diarrhoea. The nursing management was aware of but had no role in data collection; thus, we believe the present findings can be generalised to SCI patients receiving antibiotics.

In the present study, the encouragingly low incidence of CDAD probably reflects the adoption of the protocol recommended by the UK Department of Health that focuses on strict hygienic practices, strict antibiotic use, restricted use of laxatives and PPI, and isolation wards for confirmed and suspected C. difficile cases (5). The numerical data do not contradict a possible additional benefit from LcS.

In conclusion, based on the present observation of a significant decrease in the incidence of AAD in patients with SCI, we can now consider LcS as a valid measure for the prevention of AAD in patients with SCI admitted to SCI centres. To confirm the present findings and evaluate the effectiveness of LCs in reducing the consequences of AAD/CDAD, a larger placebo-controlled study including different geographical locations is needed.
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