Maternal depression, stress and feeding styles: towards a framework for theory and research in child obesity
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Abstract
Against the background of rising rates of obesity in children and adults in the USA, and modest effect sizes for obesity interventions, the aim of the present narrative review paper is to extend the UNICEF care model to focus on childhood obesity and its associated risks with an emphasis on the emotional climate of the parent–child relationship within the family. Specifically, we extended the UNICEF model by applying the systems approach to childhood obesity and by combining previously unintegrated sets of literature across multiple disciplines including developmental psychology, clinical psychology and nutrition. Specifically, we modified the extended care model by explicitly integrating new linkages (i.e. parental feeding styles, stress, depression and mother’s own eating behaviour) that have been found to be associated with the development of children’s eating behaviours and risk of childhood obesity. These new linkages are based on studies that were not incorporated into the original UNICEF model, but suggest important implications for childhood obesity. In all, this narrative review offers important advancements to the scientific understanding of familial influences on children's eating behaviours and childhood obesity.
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Over the past three decades, the percentage of adults who are obese has doubled; the percentage of children who are overweight has doubled; and the percentage of adolescents who are overweight has tripled. Two-thirds of US adults are overweight or obese. An estimated one-third of US children and adolescents are overweight, while 17% are obese13. Children who are obese tend to become obese adults2–4. Obesity contributes to the major causes of death in the USA, including atherosclerotic CVD, type 2 diabetes and some forms of cancer5–7. Obesity affects quality of life, increases medical costs and increases job absenteeism in adults8–10; direct and indirect costs associated with obesity in adults are estimated at $209 billion or 20·6% of US healthcare expenditures11. Obesity in both children and adults is most prevalent among ethnic minority groups14–17.

The extended UNICEF care model is a framework that can be used to identify factors that affect nutrition in infancy and early childhood. This framework identifies three major sets of factors that influence child nutrition: food/economic resources; caregiver resources; community health resources19. Recently, Wachs19 has proposed a revised version of the extended UNICEF care model to expand the influence of three caregiver resources to include maternal education, intelligence and depression, as well as child characteristics. Wachs’ revised version takes a systems approach to understand how different elements are linked to determine undernutrition in children. Wachs emphasises the importance of understanding the factors that influence outcome variability as well as the different degrees and natures of these linkages. His review included a brief
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Maternal education, maternal intelligence and maternal depression are the three caregiver resources central to Wachs’ revised version of the model. Wachs added these three caregiver resources because they are both interlinked and linked to other dimensions of the model. Wachs defined maternal education as the years of schooling achieved by the mother in the public school system. Studies have shown that higher levels of maternal education are linked to better quality and quantity of children’s diet, as well as to an increase in access to economic resources. Additional studies have indicated that maternal education levels might moderate the process through which family income influences child nutrition. Moreover, mothers with higher education levels were found to more likely have a greater variety of coping strategies to supplement family nutrition under times of financial stress. Finally, higher maternal education levels were found to be related to better maternal health and nutritional status. This is important because mothers with poor health or nutritional status are less likely to provide adequate nutrition for their family.

Lower education levels have also been reported to be associated with childhood obesity, especially in children with obese mothers. Similarly, higher levels of parental education may serve as a protective factor against childhood obesity. However, the relationship between parental education and childhood obesity may be moderated by ethnicity and culture.

The relationship between parental education and the risk of childhood obesity may be a function of parental perceptions or parent–child interactions. For example, mothers with higher levels of education may be more accurate in their perception of their children’s weight compared with those with lower levels of education. Lower maternal education levels have been reported to be related to more prompts for children to eat novel foods, which has been found to be a predictor of higher BMI in children. Some studies suggest an inverse relationship between parental education and dietary fat intake and selection of high-fat foods by children.

Maternal intelligence was also added as a caregiver resource to Wachs’ revised model. Wachs defined intelligence as an individual’s ability to both modify and adapt to his or her environment. Studies have shown an association between higher levels of schooling and higher levels of intellectual performance. Wachs argued that higher intellectual functioning might act as a mediator in the aforementioned relationship between maternal education and child nutrition.

Maternal depression was the third caregiver resource added to the model by Wachs. Depressed mothers exhibit disturbed patterns of mother–infant interactions (i.e. reduced sensitivity, responsibility and interaction). These characteristics may limit the ability of a mother to respond appropriately when feeding her child. Moreover, in families of low socio-economic status (SES), restriction of food choices requires greater caregiver involvement (e.g. providing the best possible nutrition at the lowest cost). Such active involvement is less likely to occur in depressed mothers.

Wachs included social support as a mechanism that affected caregiver resources. Social support was defined as the mother’s social network of family and friends, who through their interactions can enhance her ability to function under stressful conditions. Studies have shown that higher levels of social support positively affect mothers’ ability to care for their family during times of economic stress. Women with lower levels of social support were found to be at an increased risk of maternal depression.

Finally, Wachs incorporated child characteristics into the extended UNICEF care model. The following four child characteristics were included: health; sex; age; temperament. These child characteristics interact with the other factors in the model to affect child nutrition. For example, individual differences in child temperament may directly influence child nutrition and moderate or mediate the influence of family and caregiver resources on child nutrition. Furthermore, there is evidence suggesting that maternal feeding practices vary depending on the weight of children. Mothers who perceived their daughters to be overweight reported using more restrictive feeding practices. Similarly, mothers reported using more pressure in feeding when daughters were thinner.

Overall, these patterns of findings show that other factors beyond financial resources and food availability influence child nutrition and these include many non-economic factors. Although Wachs’ proposed revision offers mediating and moderating links between different elements of the extended UNICEF care model, certain limitations prevent the model from being a complete framework for childhood nutrition and subsequently childhood obesity.

Linking Wachs’ version of the extended UNICEF care model to childhood obesity: emotional climate of the parent–child relationship

The effectiveness of current obesity programmes (based on published reviews) is quite modest, with many programmes demonstrating no significant reduction in weight. Including family factors in our understanding of the interrelated constructs affecting childhood obesity is clearly needed so that effective obesity prevention programmes can be developed. An important family construct that has not been included in Wachs’ revised version of the extended UNICEF care model is the emotional climate of the parent–child relationship. Parents are the gatekeepers within their households making certain foods available/accessible or not and providing modelling while consuming those foods in front of their children. It is well known that parent–child feeding interactions affect children’s weight status. However, the emotional quality of the parent–child relationship may play an important role in what is transmitted to the child within the family context, especially when it comes to feeding.

Certain factors may affect the quality of the parent–child relationship. Depression (which already appears in Wachs’ revised model) clearly plays a role in parent–child interactions and is commonly observed among mothers.
of young children. However, other risk factors (as has been mentioned above) such as stress, culture and low SES interact with maternal depression to affect children. Women of ethnic minorities and low SES exhibit the highest rates of maternal depression as they more frequently experience negative life events and have fewer resources to cope with these events. These mothers are at a risk of low self-esteem and providing inconsistent, inappropriate discipline. Furthermore, maternal depression has been reported to be associated with increased pressure on daughters to eat more food and higher use of maternal restrictive feeding, which may play a role in childhood obesity.

The aim of the present narrative review paper is to extend the UNICEF care model to focus on childhood obesity and its associated risks with an emphasis on the emotional climate of the parent–child relationship within the family. Elevated levels of familial risk (such as stress and depression) can interfere with parents’ abilities to provide appropriate parenting/feeding within the family context. Based on Wachs’ review, we modified the extended care model by explicitly integrating new linkages (i.e. parental feeding styles, stress, depression and mother’s own eating behaviour) that have been found to be associated with the development of children’s eating behaviours and risk of childhood obesity. This new model, applying the systems approach to childhood obesity, combines sets of literature across multiple disciplines including developmental psychology, clinical psychology and nutrition. These new linkages are based on studies that were not incorporated in the original UNICEF model, but suggest important implications for childhood obesity. This review paper focuses on two narrative reviews: (1) relationships between parental feeding styles and children’s eating behaviours and weight status (Table 1) and (2) relationships between maternal mental health and parental feeding behaviours (Table 2). Other linkages are discussed briefly. These extended links are depicted in a reformulation of Wachs’ model shown in Fig. 1. This research offers important advancements to the scientific understanding of familial influences on children’s eating behaviours and weight status.
Table 1. Relationships between parental feeding styles and children’s eating behaviours and weight status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Feeding measure</th>
<th>Other assessment tools</th>
<th>Covariates</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hughes et al.</td>
<td>Questionnaires, cross-sectional</td>
<td>231 parent–child dyads; low-income families with preschoolers (130 Hispanic and 101 African American)</td>
<td>CFSQ</td>
<td>CFQ, PDI and BMI</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Authoritative feeding styles were associated with higher levels of nurturance and organisation (general parenting) and monitoring of snack and high-fat food consumption (feeding practices). Authoritarian feeding styles were associated with higher levels of physical punishment (general parenting) and restriction and pressure to eat (feeding practices). Indulgent feeding styles were associated with lower levels of physical punishment (general parenting) and restriction and pressure to eat (feeding practices). Uninvolved feeding styles were associated with lower levels of nurturance and follow-through on discipline (general parenting) and monitoring (feeding practices). Children with indulgent parents had higher BMI z-scores compared with children with authoritarian parents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hughes et al.</td>
<td>Questionnaires, cross-sectional</td>
<td>718 parent–child dyads; low-income families with preschoolers (209 Hispanic, 309 African American, and 200 White)</td>
<td>CFSQ</td>
<td>CBQ, PANAS and BMI</td>
<td>Parental ethnicity, education, age, BMI, and affect and child age, sex and temperament</td>
<td>Indulgent feeding styles were associated with higher child BMI after controlling for child temperament, parental affect and known correlates. Indulgent parents reported lower negative affect for themselves and lower negative affectivity for their children.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hughes et al.</td>
<td>Observations and questionnaires,</td>
<td>177 parent–child dyads; low-income families with preschoolers (ninety-seven African American and eighty Hispanic)</td>
<td>CFSQ</td>
<td>Home observation coding system (global affect), observed behavioural feeding practices and BMI</td>
<td>None – global affect ratings and feeding practices were not associated with parental BMI and child BMI and sex; length of the meal occasion was controlled for.</td>
<td>Parents with indulgent feeding styles made fewer demands on their children to eat during dinner and showed lower levels of negative affect and intrusiveness and higher levels of emotional detachment. Hispanic boys with indulgent parents had higher BMI scores compared with Hispanic boys with parents in the other three feeding style categories.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tovar et al.</td>
<td>(75)</td>
<td>383 immigrant mother–child dyads (138 Brazilian, 131 Haitian and 114 Latino). Children’s average age was 6 years</td>
<td>CFSQ</td>
<td>CES-D, PSS and BMI acculturation (two questions)</td>
<td>Maternal ethnicity, education, age, BMI, perceived stress, depression, and acculturation and child age and sex</td>
<td>The majority of immigrant mothers were categorised into high-demanding/low-responsive (authoritarian) or low-demanding/high-responsive (indulgent) feeding styles. The low-demanding/high-responsive (indulgent) feeding style was positively associated with child weight after adjusting for known correlates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hennessy et al.</td>
<td>Questionnaires, cross-sectional</td>
<td>Ninety-nine parent–child dyads (twenty-two Hispanic, forty-eight African American and twenty-nine White); low-income rural sample with children aged 6–11 years</td>
<td>CFSQ</td>
<td>CFQ, PDI and BMI</td>
<td>Parental ethnicity, education, age, sex, marital status, and BMI and child age and sex</td>
<td>The relationship between parenting style and feeding style showed modest agreement. Feeding style, but not parenting style, was associated with child BMI after controlling for known covariates (the indulgent feeding style was associated with a higher child weight status). Multiple regression analysis demonstrated that feeding style moderated the association between restrictive feeding practices and child BMI z-scores. No moderating relationship was found between feeding style and the practices of pressure to eat or monitoring and child weight.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Permissive/indulgent feeding styles were associated with the intake of low-nutrient, energy-dense foods among children. In the presence of a permissive/indulgent feeding style, higher levels of monitoring were associated with the intakes of low-nutrient, energy-dense foods among children. The authoritative feeding style was associated with a low intake of low-nutrient, energy-dense foods among children.

Table 1. Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author(s) Study design</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Other assessment tools</th>
<th>Feeding measure</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hennessy et al. (78)</td>
<td>Questionnaires, cross-sectional study, USA</td>
<td>Questionnaires, Caregiver's Feeding Styles Questionnaire (CFSQ)</td>
<td>To be added</td>
<td>Greater self-served portions and energy intakes during mealtime were observed among children whose mothers reported an indulgent or authoritarian feeding style.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher et al. (79)</td>
<td>Questionnaires, USA</td>
<td>Questionnaires, Child Feeding Questionnaire (CFQ), Parenting Dimensions Inventory (PDI), BMI</td>
<td>CFSQ</td>
<td>Children were associated with it (66). However, a positive association between permissive/indulgent general parenting style was found to be associated with an increased risk of childhood obesity (59,65,64). These findings suggest that both high control and low control in parenting are a risk factor for childhood obesity.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The emotional climate of the parent–child feeding relationship: a new paradigm for childhood obesity

A distinct research path has emerged in the obesity literature associating general parenting styles with children’s overweight status (59). A general parenting style refers to the overall attitude and emotional climate that a parent creates with his or her child (60,64). Parents are categorised into one of the four parenting styles based on dimensions of demand/control and response/nurturance: authoritative (high demand/high response), characterised by parental involvement, nurturance and structure; authoritarian (high demand/low response), characterised by restrictive, punitive and power-assertive behaviours; permissive/indulgent (low demand/high response), characterised by warmth and acceptance in conjunction with a lack of monitoring; uninvolved (low demand/low response), characterised by little control and involvement.

Rhee et al. (59) found childhood obesity to be most prevalent in children with parents having an authoritative general parenting style – a finding consistent with an earlier work on high parental control of children’s food intake and eating self-regulation (62). The permissive/indulgent general parenting style was also found to be associated with an increased risk of childhood obesity (59,65,64). These findings suggest that both high control and low control in parenting are a risk factor for childhood obesity.

A small number of studies have examined the relationship between general parenting styles and children’s eating behaviours. The uninvolved general parenting style (also referred to as the rejecting style) was found to be negatively associated with children’s fruit and vegetable intake (65,66), while the permissive general parenting style was found to be positively associated with it (66). However, a positive association between the authoritative general parenting style and children’s fruit and vegetable intake has been the most consistent in the literature (65,67–69). The authoritative general parenting style was also found to be negatively associated with children’s high-fat and/or high-sugar food intake (65,69,70).

More recently, the concept of feeding styles has been introduced into the literature, which embeds how parents interact with their children around eating within the general parenting style framework. Similar to general parenting styles, a feeding style refers to the overall attitude and emotional climate that a parent creates with his or her child during eating episodes, which in turn affects the child’s eating behaviour. This relationship is depicted in Fig. 1.

The Caregiver’s Feeding Styles Questionnaire (71) measures styles of feeding along two dimensions: parental demandingness and responsiveness regarding children’s eating behaviour. Demandingness refers to the extent to which parents are demanding of their children in eating episodes, while responsiveness refers to the extent to which parents show sensitivity towards their children’s needs in the eating context. Similar to general parenting styles, differences in the two dimensions result in four styles of parental feeding: authoritative (high demand/high response), characterised by reasonable nutritional demands and feeding structure, as...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Maternal mental health assessment</th>
<th>Parental feeding behaviour assessment</th>
<th>Adjustment for potential confounders</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blissett &amp; Farrow (201)</td>
<td>Questionnaires, longitudinal study, UK</td>
<td>Sixty-two mothers of 2-year-old children (female: n 31; male: n 31). Well-educated, professional and affluent mothers</td>
<td>GSI and BSI at 6 and 12 months</td>
<td>CFQ at 12 and 24 months</td>
<td>Maternal occupation, infant weight, infant sex, number of births and maternal age</td>
<td>Controlling feeding practices at 1 year were predicted by greater experience of maternal psychological distress at 6 months. Parental control over feeding at 2 years was predicted by feeding strategy at 1 year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farrow &amp; Blissett (58)</td>
<td>Questionnaires and mealtime observations, UK</td>
<td>Eighty-seven mother–infant dyads</td>
<td>BSI during pregnancy and at 6 and 12 months post-partum</td>
<td>CFQ</td>
<td>Breast-feeding duration and infant weight</td>
<td>Anxious psychopathology was significantly associated with restrictive feeding practices at 1 year. Maternal depression was not significantly associated with controlling or restrictive feeding practices at 1 year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francis et al. (40)</td>
<td>Questionnaires, 5-year longitudinal study, USA</td>
<td>104 overweight and ninety-two non-overweight mothers and their 5-year-old, non-Hispanic, White daughters</td>
<td>CES-D (note: maternal depression was included as one of the covariates)</td>
<td>CFQ</td>
<td>Family income, maternal education and general parenting style</td>
<td>Maternal depression was related to pressure to eat, but not to maternal restriction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goulding et al. (202)</td>
<td>Cross-sectional, observational study, USA</td>
<td>295 low-income mothers and their 4- to 8-year-old children (female: n 143; male: n 152) recruited from Head Start programs</td>
<td>CES-D</td>
<td>CFQ and CFSQ, semi-structured narrative interviews and videotaped observations</td>
<td>Child sex, food fussiness, and number of older siblings and maternal age, BMI, education, race/ethnicity, single-parent status, perceived child weight, and concern about child weight</td>
<td>Elevated depressive symptoms were related to more pressuring of children to eat and overall demandingness during feeding. Maternal depression was associated with lower maternal authority in child feeding. Maternal depressive symptoms were not significantly related to self-reported restriction in child feeding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hurley et al. (203)</td>
<td>Telephone survey, statewide sample, Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children, USA</td>
<td>702 mother–infant dyads (age range = 0–12 months)</td>
<td>PSS, PRIME-MD (PHQ) and STAI</td>
<td>CFQ, FYB and IFQ</td>
<td>Maternal age, education, number of births, marital status and ethnicity and infant age and weight</td>
<td>Maternal stress was associated with forceful and uninvolved feeding; maternal depression was associated with forceful, indulgent and uninvolved feeding; maternal anxiety was associated with restrictive, forceful and uninvolved feeding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumeng et al. (204)</td>
<td>Questionnaires and observations, Eunice Kennedy Shriver NICHD SECCYD dataset, national sample, USA</td>
<td>1218 mothers and their children (female: n 609; male: n 609)</td>
<td>CES-D (note: maternal depression was included as one of the covariates)</td>
<td>Videotaped feeding interactions at 15, 24 and 36 months</td>
<td>Child race/ethnicity and sex, family income/need ratio, and maternal education and weight status</td>
<td>Maternal depressive symptoms were not associated with any maternal feeding behaviour at any age.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitchell et al. (89)</td>
<td>Questionnaires, Australia</td>
<td>124 mothers and their children (female: n 65; male: n 59; mean age = 6 years)</td>
<td>DASS-21</td>
<td>CFQ and CFSQ</td>
<td>Controlling feeding practices (restriction and pressure to eat) and authoritarian feeding styles were positively correlated with maternal depression, anxiety and stress.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
well as sensitivity towards the child's needs; authoritarian (high demand/low response), characterised by controlling feeding practices with little sensitivity towards the child's needs; indulgent (low demand/high response), characterised by a lack of rules and structure regarding feeding allowing the child the freedom to determine his or her nutritional intake; uninvolved (low demand/low response), characterised by a lack of control and involvement in feeding.

We searched a variety of databases for empirical studies on the relationship between maternal mental health and/or parent–child feeding behaviours and/or children's eating behaviours and/or children's weight status using the following search strategy: (maternal depression and/or life stress and/or maternal stress) and (‘parenting styles’ and/or ‘feeding practices’ and/or ‘feeding styles’ and/or ‘authoritarian’ and/or ‘authoritative’ and/or ‘indulgent’). Most of the studies found evaluated additional variables such as infant temperament, maternal demographics and breast-feeding behaviour. However, for the purposes of this narrative review, the information was divided into two tables, specifically focusing on the relationships between parental feeding styles and children's eating behaviours and weight status (Table 1) and the relationships between maternal mental health and parental feeding styles (Table 2). For both reviews, the information tabulated includes the following: authors and year of publication; study design; subject characteristics; maternal mental health assessment used; parent–child feeding behaviour assessment used; variables used for adjustment; main findings (from the fully adjusted models).

A number of studies across the child nutrition literature have reported findings linking parental feeding styles and children's eating behaviours and weight status (Table 1). Across a series of studies involving African American, White and Hispanic low-income families with children aged 3–11 years, the indulgent feeding style was found to be associated with higher weight status in children(71–75). Furthermore, the indulgent feeding style was found to be associated with self-selected portion sizes and intake in children aged 4–6 years(76), a lower intake of fruits, vegetables and dairy foods in low-income preschoolers(77), and a higher intake of low-nutrient, energy-dense snacks in rural low-income ethnically diverse children(78). The uninvolved feeding style was also found to be associated with a higher intake of energy-dense foods in preschoolers(77). Conversely, the authoritative feeding style was found to be associated with a lower intake of low-nutrient, energy-dense snacks in children(78). Details regarding the methods and specific results from these eight studies showing associations between indulgent feeding and children's eating behaviours/weight status are given in Table 1. In general, these studies support the theory that parents who are highly responsive to their children during eating episodes but do not set appropriate boundaries around food deter the development of appropriate eating behaviours that may contribute to children's weight gain.

Racial/ethnic differences have been observed across feeding styles. With regard to the two permissive feeding styles, Hispanic parents were found to more likely be indulgent, whereas African American parents were found to more likely be uninvolved(71).
In a separate study conducted among immigrant mother–child dyads of Brazilian, Haitian or Latino descent living in the Greater Boston area, the majority of the mothers were categorised as having an authoritarian feeding style or an indulgent feeding style. Moreover, among these immigrant mothers, women with higher stress scores were found to more likely express an authoritarian feeding style. More details regarding these studies are given in Table 1.

Distinct from parenting/feeding styles, feeding practices are considered more goal-oriented approaches to feeding where parents have specific aims regarding how and what they feed their children. There has been some confusion in the feeding literature regarding definitions of feeding constructs and the use of the terms styles, practices, strategies and directives to depict parent–child feeding behaviours. The aforementioned definitions of parenting styles, feeding styles and feeding practices will be used for the purposes of this review paper. Parent–child feeding behaviours will encompass both feeding style and feeding practice constructs.

Certain feeding practices have been shown to be detrimental to the development of appropriate eating behaviours in children. These include prompts to eat, restriction on eating certain foods, using food as a reward and some types of modelling. The use of these practices can lead to negative consequences for children. Parents who continually prompt their children to eat during eating episodes divert attention away from their internal cues of fullness, which may cause problems with eating self-regulation. When food is used as a reward (e.g. getting dessert for eating vegetables), the reward becomes the desirable object, while the required food becomes less desirable. In the long term, this could lead to a shift in neurophysiology that would sensitize the brain’s mesolimbic dopamine system to crave greater quantities of the reward. Although modelling has been shown to have positive associations with children’s intake, parents can unintentionally model unhealthy behaviours (consuming large portions or eating high-fat foods) without realising the consequences for their children. Finally, restrictive feeding practices have been shown to be counterproductive such that restricted foods become sought after by the child when the food becomes available and the parent is no longer there to restrict.

Most studies examining restriction, use of rewards and modelling have been based on parents’ report of their own behaviour. Many of the studies examining these constructs have done so without considering the context of their use. For example, restriction has been linked to children’s behaviours in predominantly experimental studies in laboratory settings. Furthermore, in a recent study of parent–child interactions using direct observation, the use of rewards as a feeding practice was almost non-existent. Moreover, the use of eating prompts was the most common and consistent feeding practice observed in parent–child interactions during meals. More work is needed to determine whether restriction, use of rewards and modelling (highly studied individual feeding practice constructs) are commonly used in the home environment during parent–child eating episodes.

The Child Feeding Questionnaire is the most common instrument used in the childhood obesity literature to measure parental feeding practices. This questionnaire measures three feeding practice constructs: restriction (the extent to which parents limit their children’s access to ‘unhealthy’ foods); pressure to eat (the degree to which parents attempt to make sure that their children are eating enough); monitoring (the extent to which parents keep track of their children’s snack and high-fat food intake). Restriction and pressure to eat are the only two feeding practice constructs that have consistently been found to be associated with children’s weight status over multiple studies.

**Maternal depression, parenting styles, and feeding styles and practices**

Research regarding general parenting indicates that low levels of parental satisfaction are associated with more-controlling and less-responsive parenting styles and practices. Maternal depression can impair parenting practices and has been linked to less-sensitive feeding interactions with children, but existing research is based on self-reports of feeding practices. Haycraft et al. examined the relationships between maternal self-reported symptoms of depression and observations of mothers’ feeding practices during a meal occasion. Mothers who reported greater symptoms of depression were found to use more verbal and physical pressure for their children to eat and to offer more incentives or conditions in exchange for their children eating. Mothers also used more vocalisations with their children about food during the observed meal occasion when they had greater symptoms of depression. There was no link between symptoms of depression and observations of maternal use of restriction. Symptoms of depression were found to be linked to observations of mothers implementing more-controlling, less-sensitive feeding practices with their children. Moreover, Hughes et al. found higher levels of general parental control and authoritarian feeding practices (i.e. pressuring children to eat more food and restricting them from eating certain amounts or types of foods) to be associated with authoritarian feeding styles. In contrast, higher levels of general parenting responsiveness were found to be associated with authoritative feeding styles. The influence of maternal depression over parental feeding styles, and consequently over childhood obesity, is depicted in Fig. 1.

**Maternal depression, stress and feeding behaviours**

In our search for articles examining maternal mental health and parental feeding behaviours, over thirty articles were reviewed; however, only eight articles were found to specifically address the relationship between maternal mental health and parental feeding. In particular, these eight studies included an assessment of maternal mental health. The major characteristics of these eight studies are summarised in Table 2.

Most of the studies were conducted in either the USA or the UK, while one study was conducted in Australia. The majority
of studies had a longitudinal design, and all of them used questionnaires as their main data collection tool. Subjects were mother–infant dyads, with numbers ranging from 62 to 702 per study. Most of the subjects were White, except for the participants of a study involving immigrant mother–infant dyads.

Maternal mental health variables were measured using a variety of instruments. Of the six studies that assessed maternal mental health, two used the Brief Symptom Inventory, while two other studies used the Center for Epidemiological Studies-Depression Scale. The other questionnaires used included the Global Severity Index, the Perceived Stress Scale, the Primary Care Evaluation of Mental Disorders Patient Health Questionnaire, the Spielberger State–Trait Anxiety Inventory, and the Depression Anxiety and Stress Scale.

Parent–child feeding behaviours were assessed using a variety of questionnaires. Of the six studies that assessed parent–child feeding behaviours, five used the Child Feeding Questionnaire. The sixth study used the Caregiver’s Feeding Styles Questionnaire – also used in other studies. Other questionnaires used were the Infant Feeding Questionnaire and Feeding Your Baby.

Finally, most of the studies adjusted for a wide range of potential confounding factors. Most, but not all, of the studies adjusted for well-known factors associated with depression such as breast-feeding duration, infant weight, and socioeconomic factors such as education and income.

Maternal depression, stress and eating behaviours

Eating is often cited as a means of coping with stress(93,94). Stress affects eating behaviours in different people in different ways. Studies have demonstrated both increased (hyperphagic response) and decreased (hypophagic response) eating in response to stress(95). Greer & Wing(96) concluded that chronic stressors tend to facilitate hypophagia, whereas acute and possibly milder stressors often result in hyperphagia. Greater stress was also found to be associated with more fatty food intake, less fruit and vegetable intake, more snacking, and a reduced likelihood of daily breakfast consumption(97). These effects were independent of individual (sex and weight) and social (SES and ethnicity) factors. Nonetheless, some investigators are beginning to establish a link between chronic stress and overeating (especially high-fat, high-carbohydrate and comfort foods) within the African American community(98). Increased intake of snack-type foods and decreased intake of meal-type foods were found to occur with increased stress(99). Stress was found to be associated with higher energy and saturated fat and sugar intakes(100). A significant moderating effect of restrained eating, with a hyperphagic response to stress, was observed in restrained eaters, compared with no effect in unrestrained eaters(100).

In contrast, another study demonstrated that females with high scores on disinhibition significantly ate more during stress and that neither disinhibition nor restraint was associated with the relationship between eating and stress in males(101). Clearly, stress is related to eating. There is a growing body of research linking dysregulated stress physiology to an increased vulnerability to reward (i.e. dopamine)-producing behaviours (i.e. eating and drug use)(98,99,102–104). We theorise that chronic exposure to stress will have a direct impact on eating behaviours and feeding styles. However, more research is needed to clarify the stress–eating association, particularly in various ethnic populations. Similar to stress, depression is associated with changes in an individual’s eating behaviour. One of the diagnostic criteria for major depression is the change in eating behaviour(105). The relationship between maternal depression and stress and their association with mother’s own eating behaviour are depicted in Fig. 1. Moreover, preliminary investigations suggest that prenatal and postnatal depressive symptomatology experienced by the mother – along with SES – could also have a deleterious effect on her child’s stress regulatory systems(106–108).

Resemblance of mother and child food consumption patterns

Family dietary practices have been shown to be an important determinant of children’s diet quality. Parents are gatekeepers and can serve as role models for their children’s health-related behaviours(100,105,109–112), including diet. Parental food preferences(113) and dietary intake(114,115) have been shown to influence children’s eating behaviours (see Fig. 1). The majority of studies have documented the impact of maternal influences on the dietary intake of young children.

Young children imitate their parents in their choice of diet(105), for example, preschool children have been shown to choose healthy foods that they have seen their parents purchase(117,118). A strong positive correlation was found between the dietary intake of younger children and that of their parents than between the dietary intake of older children or adolescents and that of their parents(106). Stronger positive correlations have been shown between the dietary intake of parents and that of their daughters(107,119) than between the dietary intake of parents and that of their sons(108,109). Although there is a widespread perception of a strong resemblance in parent–child dietary intakes(120–123), surprisingly, some studies have shown that the resemblance is weak(109). This is probably because the eating patterns of children are influenced by a variety of factors(111), and the family environment is just one of these factors.

Evidence suggests correlations between nutrient intakes among family members(115,124). More recently, studies have focused on familial resemblance in dietary intake patterns, such as consumption of dairy products(115,125,126), sweetened beverages(115,126), fruits and vegetables(112,115,124), and snacks/sweets(112,115). Parent–child dietary intake resemblance was found to vary by food group(115). This is not surprising given that the majority of studies evaluated single foods or food groups in isolation and not within the context of a meal. A recent study has expanded the current literature to include an examination of resemblance in intakes of foods, within the context of a meal, among mother–child dyads from families of limited incomes(127). Moderate-to-strong correlations were observed between the intakes of foods consumed at the dinner meal occasion among the mother–child dyads. The foods/beverages that the mothers
served themselves were a significant predictor of the type and amounts of foods that their children were served.

**Children’s eating behaviours and obesity**

Differing eating patterns confound our understanding of the relationship between nutrient intake and chronic diseases, including obesity\(^\text{129}\). Eating patterns include restaurant food consumption, beverage consumption, portion sizes, meal patterns and meal frequency, school meal participation and consumption, and diet quality. The link between children’s eating behaviours and obesity is depicted in Fig. 1. Some studies have reported each of these eating pattern components and its relationship with child nutrition.

The estimated frequency of fast-food meal consumption was found to be positively associated with energy intake in women\(^\text{129}\). Daily energy intake away from home has increased from 23% (1977) to 34% (2006)\(^\text{130}\). Fast foods were the largest contributor of foods prepared away from home, providing 3.5% of energy intake in 1994 and 6.1% in 2006 in children\(^\text{130}\). Children consuming fast foods were found to have higher intakes of energy, total fat, SFA and sugar than those who did not\(^\text{131,132}\). Thus, fast food consumption was found to have a negative impact on diet quality\(^\text{133}\). Fast food restaurants contribute few servings of fruits, vegetables, whole grains and dairy foods to the diets of children\(^\text{134,135}\). The frequency of restaurant food consumption was found to be positively associated with increased body fatness in adults\(^\text{136}\). Obese individuals were found to choose more total food at a fast food restaurant than their leaner counterparts, but this did not occur at the other types of eating establishments studied\(^\text{137}\). The increasing proportion of household food income spent on foods prepared away from home may help to explain the rising national prevalence of obesity.

The possible relationship between sugar-sweetened beverage consumption and obesity received a lot of attention when a 2001 prospective study reported that the consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages is associated with a 60% increase in the risk of obesity in 11–12-year-old children\(^\text{138}\). Although this study ignited a controversial debate, several criticisms have been raised on the interpretation of the study’s findings\(^\text{139–141}\). From 2006 to 2007, four review articles have been published\(^\text{142–145}\): two of the articles stated that there is strong evidence that sweetened beverage consumption is associated with weight status\(^\text{142,143}\); however, the other two articles concluded that the evidence is inconclusive\(^\text{144–145}\). Another ten review articles have been published from 2007 to 2010\(^\text{146–155}\). Once again, there was no consensus regarding the evidence: five articles concluded that the evidence on the relationship between sugar-sweetened beverage consumption and weight is inconclusive\(^\text{146–150}\) and the other four articles stated that the evidence is strong\(^\text{151,152,154,155}\). There are several reasons for the discrepancies found in studies investigating the association between sugar-sweetened beverage consumption and weight status\(^\text{146}\). However, the verdict is not yet out that sugar-sweetened beverage consumption is a major eating pattern associated with obesity. As with any food, if one eats too much, it will contribute energy to the diet and will cause weight gain if energy intake exceeds energy expenditure.

Only a few studies have examined the influence of portion size on intake in adults\(^\text{156–159}\) and children\(^\text{160}\). Adults were found to consume more food when served portions that were 1.5 times larger than a standard portion size\(^\text{161,162}\). Larger portion sizes have been shown to increase the intakes of both lean and obese adults\(^\text{156}\). Similarly, 5-year-old children were found to consume greater amounts when presented with larger portions\(^\text{160}\). Several studies have shown that providing children with larger food portions leads to significant increases in food and energy intakes\(^\text{163}\). Children aged 3–5 years were found to consume more of the entrée and less of the other foods, such as fruits and vegetables, when larger entrée portions were served, resulting in an increased energy intake\(^\text{164}\). Larger portion sizes could be contributing to the increasing prevalence of overweight among children and young adults\(^\text{164}\).

Adolescents with a consistent meal pattern (i.e. three meals a day) were found to be leaner than those with an inconsistent meal pattern\(^\text{165}\). This observation is in agreement with findings from studies showing a link between obesity and skipping meals\(^\text{165–169}\). An inconsistent meal pattern may mean skipping meals to reduce energy.

Breakfast consumption has been shown to improve nutrient intake\(^\text{170–173}\) and to be associated with lower BMI and other measures of adiposity in children\(^\text{170,172–178}\). Ready-to-eat cereals\(^\text{172,179–181}\), including pre-sweetened ready-to-eat cereals\(^\text{182,183}\), breakfasts, have specifically been shown to be associated with lower measures of weight and adiposity. In one study\(^\text{172}\), weight/adiposity parameters of individuals consuming ‘other breakfasts’ were compared with those of breakfast skippers and those consuming ready-to-eat cereal breakfasts and BMI z-scores and waist circumferences of the breakfast skippers were found to be greater than those of individuals consuming ready-to-eat cereals or ‘other breakfasts’. A recent systematic review and meta-analysis\(^\text{184}\) has concluded that evidence that regular consumption of breakfast cereals results in a lower BMI and a reduced likelihood of being overweight in children and adolescents is suggestive. However, a cumulative meta-analysis challenges the proposition that skipping breakfast leads to weight gain\(^\text{185}\). It is concluded that the scientific evidence is distorted by research lacking provable value and biased research reporting. More long-term trials are needed to better understand the proposed effect of breakfast consumption on obesity and potential mechanisms.

The percentage of children consuming snacks has increased from 74% in 1977–78 to 98% in 2003–06\(^\text{186}\). Snacks have been shown to be associated with an increased energy intake, accounting for more than 27% of daily energy intake in children\(^\text{186,187}\). In addition, snacking contributes significantly to nutrient intake\(^\text{187–189}\). The relationship between snacking and childhood obesity is less clear. Nearly 15 years ago, the Booth hypothesis\(^\text{190}\) has stated that ‘grazing’ or multiple eating episodes between meals, rather than the traditional
pattern of three meals per d, is a major contributing factor of obesity. Conversely, few studies have actually shown that snacking is negatively associated with body fatness and a reduced risk of overweight and abdominal obesity. However, other studies have shown that snacking is not associated with weight status and not an independent predictor of overweight and abdominal obesity. There are several possible explanations for the inconsistent results on the association between snacking and childhood obesity. Study results may be equivocal because snack definitions have not been clearly established or are inconsistent across studies. Moreover, snacking patterns are not homogeneous and vary considerably in their contribution to dietary intake.

**Adaptation of the extended UNICEF care model**

The aim of the present narrative review paper was to extend the UNICEF care model to focus on childhood obesity and its associated risks with an emphasis on the emotional climate of the parent–child relationship within the family (see Fig. 1). We sought to integrate previously unintegrated sets of literature across multiple disciplines including developmental psychology, clinical psychology and nutrition. In doing so, we discussed empirical evidence in support of the following links highlighted in Fig. 1: the relationships between maternal mental health (specifically depression) and parental feeding; the relationships between parental feeding styles and children's eating behaviours and weight status; the relationships between maternal stress and depression and mother's own eating behaviour; resemblance in mother–child food consumption patterns. Together, the studies reviewed herein point to the importance of considering the emotional climate of the parent–child relationship within the family in a systems approach to obesity.

**Limitations and future research directions**

Our narrative review revealed several limitations in the research designed to further espouse the links previously reviewed and integrated to the adaptation of the extended UNICEF care model. First, there remain clear gaps in the literature in terms of the number of studies that include maternal mental health in the emotional climate of the parent–child feeding relationship, with only six studies identified linking maternal mental health to feeding. Importantly, future studies should include measurement of main effects as demonstrated in Fig. 1 in combination with consideration of moderating and mediating effects, as there is a significant dearth of studies that test the full model. The use of structural equation modelling in this regard with large prospective sampling designs will be important to move the field forward. Second, current research is characterised by several methodological limitations such as an overreliance on self-reports as well as questionnaire-based measures. The impact of future studies may be greatly affected by the inclusion of interview-based measures of depression and stress in mothers and observational measures of feeding within the context of the family. Third, it became clear to us in conducting this narrative review that there is a serious lack of cross talk between psychology and nutrition with the direction of a lack of cross talk potentially being in the direction of a lack of integration of nutrition work in clinical psychology. No standard handbook on depression includes a section or chapter on the effects of maternal depression on children’s overweight status or parent–child feeding behaviours in the household. There is a clear gap in communication that may be addressed by reviews of the current sort being published in clinical psychology and psychiatry journals. Finally, the potential effect of cultural worldviews, beliefs and practices on coping with stress and eating styles is yet to be studied with adequate specificity. Being able to effectively measure culture-specific constructs will allow for empirically driven interpretations of within-group and between-group differences.

**Conclusion**

Notwithstanding the limitations described above, our extension of the UNICEF model provides a roadmap for future research to stimulate cross-disciplinary research into the complex problem of obesity. It is only through a complex understanding of the aetiology of a problem that factors may be identified for targeted and effective intervention.
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