Greater Dietary Inflammatory Index score is associated with higher likelihood of chronic kidney disease
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Abstract
Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is described as a progressive alteration of kidney function, resulting from multiple factors, including behaviours. We investigated the association of the Dietary Inflammatory Index (DII®) with prevalent CKD in adult Americans. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey participants with measured data on kidney function markers from 2005 to 2012 were included in this study. Prevalent CKD was based on an estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) <60 ml/min per 1.73 m² or urinary albumin/creatinine ≥30 mg/g. Energy-adjusted DII (E-DII™) scores were calculated from 24-h dietary recalls. Statistical analyses accounted for the survey design and sample weights. We included 21 649 participants, with 1634 (6.2%) having prevalent CKD. Participants with high E-DII scores had greater BMI, fasting blood glucose and systolic blood pressure, and were more likely to be diabetic or hypertensive (all P<0.001) compared with those with lower E-DII scores. In regression models adjusted for age, sex, race, fasting blood glucose, blood pressure, BMI, hypertension and diabetes status, mean eGFR significantly decreased across increasing quartiles of E-DII, whereas serum uric acid level and log urinary albumin:creatinine ratio significantly increased (all P<0.001). Prevalent CKD increased from 5.3% in the lowest to 9.3% in the highest E-DII quartile (P<0.02). In multivariable-adjusted logistic regression models, the odds of prevalent CKD were 29% higher in the highest compared with the lowest E-DII quartile. Pro-inflammatory diet is associated with declining kidney function and high prevalence of CKD. Dietary changes that reduce inflammation have a potential to prevent CKD.

Key words: Dietary Inflammatory Index: Inflammation: Chronic kidney disease: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a progressive loss of kidney function over time, leading to irreversible kidney failure (1). The pathophysiological process involved in CKD is characterised by a background of low-grade chronic inflammation (2). Together with coagulation disorders and neutrophil-endothelium interaction, inflammation is believed to play a role in the genesis of kidney injury, potentially leading to chronically impaired kidney function (3). Diet may play a central role in the regulation of chronic inflammation (4), and, possibly, in kidney health. Anti-inflammatory nutrients such as n-3 PUFA, fibre and many vitamins (5) have been associated with better kidney function, lower risk of albuminuria and slower decline in kidney function (5–7). Conversely, nutrients assumed to have pro-inflammatory effects such as SFA or sugar (8) have been linked with worsening of kidney function (9). Studies have concluded that Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) and Mediterranean dietary patterns, which are rich in protective nutrients such as antioxidant vitamins including vitamin E, C, A, K, Mg, Ca, fibre, PUFA, MUFA and phytochemicals and poor in SFA, trans-fatty acids and simple carbohydrate, can affect kidney function and decrease the risk of CKD (10,11). The Dietary Inflammatory Index (DII®) was designed to assess the inflammatory potential of the diet based on the pro- and anti-inflammatory properties of its various components.

Abbreviations: ACR, urinary albumin:creatinine ratio; CKD, chronic kidney disease; DII, Dietary Inflammatory Index; E-DII, energy-adjusted dietary inflammatory index; eGFR, estimate glomerular filtration rate; NHANES, Nutrition and Health Examination Surveys.
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The DII was developed to provide a means for estimating the overall inflammatory potential of the diet\textsuperscript{(12,13)}. Previously, the DII was associated with a range of outcomes including markers of systemic inflammation, CVD, telomere length and overall mortality\textsuperscript{(14–18)}. However, there is very limited evidence on the association between dietary patterns and kidney health\textsuperscript{(19)}. The main objectives in the management of CKD are the reduction of unfavourable symptoms of uraemia, delaying the start of renal replacement therapy and quality-of-life improvement\textsuperscript{(20)}. Diet, specifically through a focus on foods with anti-inflammatory properties, including those with high concentrations of vitamins and minerals, has the potential to decrease oxidative stress. Dietary restrictions imposed in CKD subjects make it difficult to ensure adequate micronutrient content in the diet, while, at the same time, it has been suggested that these patients have an impaired intestinal absorption of minerals and vitamins\textsuperscript{(21)}. The aim of this study was to investigate the association of the inflammatory potential of diet with kidney function and prevalent CKD in adult Americans. We hypothesised that those living with prevalent CKD would have more pro-inflammatory diets than those without CKD.

**Methods**

**Population**

We used data from the Nutrition and Health Examination Surveys (NHANES), which is described in greater detail elsewhere\textsuperscript{(22)}. In brief, these are periodic cross-sectional surveys conducted by the US National Center for Health Statistics, and during which home visits are conducted to administer questionnaires to collect data on demographics, diet and other health behaviours. NHANES applies complex multistage probability sampling procedure to ensure selection of participants from various geographical locations and adequate racial/ethnic representation\textsuperscript{(22)}. Trained interviewers collected participants’ demographic, socio-economic, dietary and health-related information using questionnaires administered during home visits. Clinical examination and dietary assessment are conducted by skilled personnel using a mobile examination centre (MEC)\textsuperscript{(22)}. All procedures were carried out in accordance with relevant approved guidelines and regulations\textsuperscript{(23–26)}. Informed consent was obtained from all participants, and the National Centre for Health Statistics Research Ethics Review Board approved the protocol. For the present analysis, four survey cycles (i.e. 2005–2006, 2007–2008, 2009–2010 and 2011–2012) were combined to produce estimates with greater precision and limited to adults aged 18 years. After excluding pregnant and lactating women (n 986), as well as participants with missing information on the variables of interest (n 1547), the final analytical sample included 21 649 respondents from NHANES 2005–2012.

**Biochemical analysis**

Methods for Biochemical analyses are described in the NHANES Laboratory/Medical Technologists Procedures Manual\textsuperscript{(25–26)}. A blood specimen was drawn from the participant’s antecubital vein by a trained phlebotomist according to a standardised protocol. Fasting glucose was measured in plasma by a hexokinase method using a Roche/Hitachi 911 Analyzer and Roche Modular P Chemistry Analyzer. The concentration of creatinine in serum was determined using the modular chemistry side of a Beckman Coulter Dx C800 using the Jaffe reaction method (kinetic alkaline picrate). The creatinine calibration is traceable to an isotope dilution MS reference method\textsuperscript{(27)}. Urinary creatinine by the Jaffe rate reaction, and urinary albumin by solid-phase fluorescent immunoassay, from a random urine sample\textsuperscript{(28)} were used to calculate the urinary albumin:creatinine ratio (ACR). The CKD Epidemiology Collaboration equation\textsuperscript{(29)} was used to estimate glomerular filtration rate (eGFR, in ml/min per 1·73 m\textsuperscript{2}) and eGFR lower than 60 ml/min per 1·73 m\textsuperscript{2} was used to define low eGFR. ACR >0·3 mg/g was used to define albuminuria, and the presence of either low eGFR or albuminuria was used to define CKD in line with Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes 2012 recommendations\textsuperscript{(30)}.

**Diet and Dietary Inflammatory Index**

Dietary data in NHANES were collected using a single 24-h dietary recall interview at the MEC\textsuperscript{(22)}. The development and validation of the DII has been discussed in detail elsewhere\textsuperscript{(12)}. The 24-hour derived dietary information was used to calculate energy-adjusted DII (E-DII\textsuperscript{(TM)}) scores for all participants\textsuperscript{(12)}. The DII food parameters available in NHANES database included carbohydrates; protein; fat; grams of alcohol; fibre; cholesterol; SFA, MUFA and PUFA; n-3 and n-6 PUFA; niacin; vitamins A, B\textsubscript{1}, B\textsubscript{2}, B\textsubscript{6}, B\textsubscript{12}, C, D and E; Fe; Mg; Zn; Se; folic acid; and caffeine. Higher (i.e. more positive) scores tend to indicate more pro-inflammatory diets and more negative values are more anti-inflammatory\textsuperscript{(12)}. To control for the effect of total energy intake, the E-DII was calculated per 4184 kJ (1000 kcal) of food consumed. We used energy-adjusted food parameters wherein we calculated all the food parameters per 4184 kJ (1000 kcal) of consumption. This required using an energy-adjusted world database.

**Statistical analysis**

Data analyses followed the CDC guidelines for complex NHANES data analysis, accounting for the masked variance and using the recommended weighting methodology\textsuperscript{(31)}, imple-mented with the use of SPSS\textsuperscript{®} complex sample module version 22·0 (IBM Corp). We used means with their standard errors for continuous variables (with groups compared via ANOVA) and percentages for categorical variables (with groups compared using the $\chi^2$ test). The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used to evaluate the normal distribution of continuous variables. The natural logarithm of ACR and urinary albumin were taken to approximate a normal distribution. Adjusted mean of kidney function markers across E-DII quartiles was calculated using ANCOVA. These models were adjusted for age, sex, race, fasting blood glucose, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, BMI (kg/m\textsuperscript{2}), diabetes (self-reported history of diabetes or fasting plasma glucose $\geq$ 7·0 mmol/l (126 mg/dl)) and hypertension.
Logistic regression models, using a similar adjustment strategy, were then used to derive the OR and 95% CI for the association of E-DII (by quartile) with prevalent CKD, always using the lowest quartile as reference. A P value < 0.05 was used as the nominal cut-off point to indicate statistically significant results.

Results

Of the 21 649 participants included in the analyses, 1634 (6.6%) had prevalent CKD. The characteristics of participants overall and across E-DII quartiles are summarised in Table 1. The E-DII score ranged from –5.66 to +4.33, with a median of 0.44 (25th–75th percentiles i.e. interquartile range –1.04 to 1.62). Mean age decreased from 53.6 to 42.1 years (P < 0.001), whereas the proportion of women decreased from 58.3% to 47.1% (P < 0.001) across increasing quartiles of E-DII. Across increasing E-DII quartiles, the proportion of non-Hispanic White (the largest ethnic group) followed a U-shape; the proportions of Mexican-American and other Hispanics followed a reversed U-shape; the proportions of non-Hispanic Blacks increased; and the proportion of the remaining racial groups decreased (P < 0.001). The proportion of participants with more than high school education (the larger group) followed a reversed U-shape, those with high school education (the second larger group) followed a U-shape pattern and participants with less than high school education decreased from 16.8% in the lowest quartile to 8% in the top quartile of the E-DII distribution (P < 0.001). The cardio-metabolic risk profile systematically deteriorated across increasing quartiles of the E-DII (all P < 0.001). For instance, values (highest v. lowest E-DII quartiles) were 5.66 v. 5.48 mmol/l (102 v. 98.7 mg/dl) for mean fasting blood glucose, 124 v. 121.6 mmHg for systolic blood pressure, 29.2 v. 28.2 kg/m² for BMI, 11.2 v. 8.0% for prevalent diabetes mellitus and 34.1 v. 28.1% for prevalent hypertension, as shown in Table 1.

Adjusted mean levels of kidney function markers by quartile of E-DII score are shown in Table 2. Across increasing E-DII quartiles, mean serum uric acid increased from 316 to 329 μmol/l (5.31 to 5.35 mg/dl) (P < 0.001), urine albumin increased from 2.01 to 2.25 mg/l (P < 0.001) and eGFR decreased from 96.3 to 90.7 ml/min/1.73 m² (P < 0.001). Log ACR also increased from 2.10 to 2.19 (P = 0.023). The proportion of participants with prevalent low eGFR, albuminuria or CKD systematically increased across increasing quartiles of E-DII (all P < 0.001 for linear trend). Proportions of participants with CKD, by E-DII quartile, were 11.2% in the first (lowest) quartile, 12.1% in the second quartile, 14.1% in the third quartile and 16.4% in the top quartile (Table 1). In age-, sex- and race-adjusted logistic regressions, compared with the lowest quartile of the E-DII, the OR was 1.14 (95% CI 0.95, 1.37) for the second quartile, 1.24 (95% CI 1.02, 1.50) for the third quartile and 1.35 (95% CI 1.08, 1.69) for the top quartile (P for trend < 0.001, CKD diagnosed by eGFR). In logistic regression models adjusted for age, sex, race, blood glucose, blood pressure, BMI, diabetes and

### Table 1. Descriptive characteristics of participants across quartiles of energy-adjusted Dietary Inflammatory Index (E-DII)

(Mean values with their standard errors)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quartiles of E-DII</th>
<th>First</th>
<th>Second</th>
<th>Third</th>
<th>Fourth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics</td>
<td>Mean SEM</td>
<td>Mean SEM</td>
<td>Mean SEM</td>
<td>Mean SEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min. and max. of E-DII</td>
<td>–5.39 to –1.06</td>
<td>1.07 to 0.39</td>
<td>0.38 to 1.74</td>
<td>1.75 to 4.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of participants</td>
<td>5153 ± 23</td>
<td>5131 ± 24</td>
<td>5147 ± 24</td>
<td>5129 ± 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (%)</td>
<td>Male 41.7 ± 2.2</td>
<td>51.4 ± 2.6</td>
<td>52.7 ± 2.6</td>
<td>52.9 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female 58.3 ± 2.3</td>
<td>48.6 ± 2.5</td>
<td>47.3 ± 2.6</td>
<td>47.1 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>53.6 ± 0.23</td>
<td>48.8 ± 0.25</td>
<td>44.7 ± 0.26</td>
<td>42.1 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race (%)</td>
<td>White (non-Hispanic) 46.7 ± 2.4</td>
<td>43.4 ± 2.5</td>
<td>43.1 ± 2.6</td>
<td>46.4 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Hispanic Black 16.8 ± 2.6</td>
<td>19.2 ± 2.7</td>
<td>23.8 ± 2.8</td>
<td>29.3 ± 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mexican-American 16.5 ± 2.6</td>
<td>20.1 ± 2.7</td>
<td>18.1 ± 2.8</td>
<td>13.3 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other Hispanic 9.5 ± 2.1</td>
<td>9.9 ± 2.2</td>
<td>8.9 ± 2.3</td>
<td>6.6 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other 10.5 ± 2.3</td>
<td>7.4 ± 2.4</td>
<td>6.2 ± 2.5</td>
<td>4.3 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (%)</td>
<td>Less than high school 16.8 ± 2.6</td>
<td>13.9 ± 2.7</td>
<td>11.2 ± 2.8</td>
<td>8.0 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Completed high school 38.4 ± 2.7</td>
<td>36.2 ± 2.8</td>
<td>41.6 ± 2.9</td>
<td>47.9 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More than high school 44.1 ± 2.8</td>
<td>49.6 ± 2.9</td>
<td>47.0 ± 3.0</td>
<td>44.1 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting blood glucose (mg/dl)*</td>
<td>98.7 ± 0.42</td>
<td>100.1 ± 0.39</td>
<td>102.8 ± 0.49</td>
<td>102.4 ± 0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>121.6 ± 0.29</td>
<td>122.6 ± 0.43</td>
<td>123.3 ± 0.62</td>
<td>124.2 ± 0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>68.4 ± 0.17</td>
<td>69.1 ± 0.11</td>
<td>69.5 ± 0.19</td>
<td>69.7 ± 0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>28.2 ± 0.08</td>
<td>28.7 ± 0.04</td>
<td>28.9 ± 0.09</td>
<td>29.2 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2 diabetes (%)</td>
<td>8.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td>9.7 ± 1.1</td>
<td>11.7 ± 1.2</td>
<td>11.2 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (%)</td>
<td>28.1 ± 2.9</td>
<td>29.3 ± 3.1</td>
<td>31.4 ± 3.4</td>
<td>34.1 ± 3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low eGFR (%)</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.7</td>
<td>7.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>9.3 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albuminuria (%)</td>
<td>9.8 ± 1.0</td>
<td>10.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>11.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>13.2 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CKD (%) (low eGFR or albuminuria)</td>
<td>11.2 ± 2.0</td>
<td>12.1 ± 2.1</td>
<td>14.1 ± 2.4</td>
<td>16.4 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

eGFR, estimate glomerular filtration rate; CKD, chronic kidney disease.

* To convert glucose in mg/dl to mmol/l, multiply by 0.0555.
hypothesis status, compared with the lowest quartile of E-DII, the OR of low eGFR was 1.09 (95% CI 0.90–1.32) for the second quartile, 1.18 (95% CI 0.97–1.44) for the third quartile and 1.29 (95% CI 1.03–1.62) for the top quartile (P<0.001). Equivalent figures were 1.11 (95% CI 0.78–1.59), 1.13 (95% CI 1.06–1.22) and 1.19 (95% CI 1.10–1.28) for albuminuria (P<0.001), and 1.08 (95% CI 1.02–1.13), 1.15 (95% CI 1.08–1.23) and 1.23 (95% CI 1.10–1.35) for CKD (P<0.001).

Discussion

This study examined the association between the E-DII and prevalent CKD in a large population of adult Americans. A pro-inflammatory diet was associated with adverse profiles of kidney function markers, translating into higher rates of prevalent CKD, even after adjustment for a range of extraneous factors.

In line with our findings, recent investigations have reported that a pro-inflammatory diet was associated with systemic inflammation, as well as with reduced kidney function, in elderly individuals. In the prospective observational Nurses’ Health Study, it was reported that, compared with the lowest quartile, the highest quartile of Western pattern score ‘pro-inflammatory’ was associated with a high risk of microalbuminuria (OR 2.17; 95% CI 1.81–2.60) and rapid eGFR decline ≥3 ml/min/1.73 m² per year (OR 1.77; 95% CI 1.26–2.48) for albuminuria (P<0.001). On the other hand, women in the top quartile of the DASH score (highly loaded with anti-inflammatory materials such as fruit and vegetables) had decreased risk of rapid eGFR decline (OR 0.55; 95% CI 0.38–0.80). An investigation in the Multi-ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis that included almost 5000 ethnically diverse men and women reported that a dietary pattern rich in whole grains, fruit or vegetables and fish (anti-inflammatory) was inversely associated with markers of inflammation including CRP and soluble ICAM-1, whereas a diet pattern rich in fats and processed meats (pro-inflammatory) is directly associated with markers of inflammation including CRP. Furthermore, cytokine-mediated inflammation has been suggested to be involved in the early stages of impaired kidney function in the elderly, whereas cyclooxygenase-mediated inflammation does not appear to play a role in this stage. Thus, inflammation seems to be a reasonable target for potential preventive and therapeutic interventions in patients with CKD.

Strengths and limitations

This is among the largest studies on the association of kidney disease with E-DII. Participants were a random sample of the general population, and therefore the results can be extrapolated to the general US population. Because data collection was performed on all days of the week in NHANES, the potential for day-specific information bias is very low. Our findings also have to be considered in the context of some study limitations. First, the cross-sectional nature of the data does not allow for direct inference about causality. Second, it is well known that a single 24-h diet recall interview is not ideal for characterising an individual’s long-term habitual intake. Third, calculation of E-DII scores was based on twenty-seven out of forty-five food parameters, which may affect our findings. Despite these shortcomings, which would have increased overall error, we were able to detect a relationship between E-DII scores and CKD.

Conclusion

The potentially deleterious effect of pro-inflammatory diet on kidney health, supported by our findings and those of other...
investigators, suggests the potential utility of the modulation of inflammatory properties of diet, in strategies to prevent kidney disease. If confirmed in clinical trial, this knowledge may have application for both population-wide and high-risk approach to CKD prevention and control in various settings.
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