Salt need needs investigation
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Abstract
Expensive and extensive studies on the epidemiology of excessive sodium intake and its pathology have been conducted over four decades. The resultant consensus that dietary sodium is toxic, as well as the contention that it is less so, ignore the root cause of the attractiveness of salted food. The extant hypotheses are that most sodium is infiltrated into our bodies via heavily salted industrialized food without our knowledge and that mere exposure early in life determines lifelong intake. However, these hypotheses are poorly evidenced and are meagre explanations for the comparable salt intake of people worldwide despite their markedly different diets. The love of salt begins at birth for some, vacillates in infancy, climaxes during adolescent growth, settles into separate patterns for men and women in adulthood, and, with age, fades for some and persists for others. Salt adds flavour to food. It sustains and protects humans in exertion, may modulate their mood, and contributes to their ailments. It may have as yet unknown benefits that may promote its delectability, and it generates controversy. An understanding of the predilection for salt should allow a more evidence-based and effective reduction of the health risks associated with sodium surfeit and deficiency. It is the purpose of this brief review to show the need for research into the determinants of salt intake by summarising the little we know.

Background
It took 85% of the time life has existed on earth for animals to emerge onto dry land, and that occurred only when they could take with them the 0.9% salty water that mimicked the primordial sea they relinquished\(^1\),\(^2\),\(^3\). Hence, for terrestrial animals, sodium, the part constituent of common salt, is an indispensable, irreplaceable, life-supporting cation. In many animals, the means for acquiring and retaining it have evolved, respectively sodium appetite and kidneys. Indeed, sodium demarcates the two forms of life by its motility, essential for animals but absent in plants.

For humans, salt may have initiated trade and urbanization surrounding salt mines (European). Salt also serves religion and ceremony, and into the 20\(^{th}\) century, its use for conserving food prevented starvation in both cold and hot climates\(^4\),\(^5\),\(^6\). Today, worldwide, salt is consumed daily, repeatedly, totalling an amount that is in excess of that required to preserve life, which many hold to increase society’s disease burden, vascular and cancerous, significantly and cause three million deaths annually\(^7\). Obesity is estimated to cause four million deaths\(^8\), but a million of those may be due to salt intake\(^9\),\(^10\),\(^11\), so that while the two may be similarly deadly, the causes of obesity are researched incomparably
more\textsuperscript{12}. The reason for this is not clear, but it may be that obesity is prominently visible, whereas salt is allied to a silent killer, hypertension\textsuperscript{13}.

The sole methods proposed to regulate salt intake are based on meagre evidence and their efficacy is dubious. It stands to reason that, if we knew the causes of salt intake, we could regulate it better\textsuperscript{14}. It is the purpose of this brief review to highlight the need for research into the determinants of salt intake by summarising the little we know.

Critique of causes of salt intake and intervention

The determinants of our excessive salt appetite have been scarcely researched, and consequently are scarcely understood\textsuperscript{14,15,16,17,18}. Research has been primarily into the consequences of salt intake, primarily comprising large-scale studies, which have engendered the consensus that salt is toxic, along with a nuanced contention that it is less so\textsuperscript{7,9,10,11,18,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27}. The extant hypotheses about the causes of excess salt intake are that mere exposure to salt early in life, together with sodium infiltrated without our knowledge into our bodies via heavily salted processed food, determines our lifelong intake\textsuperscript{20,23,26,28,29}. Yet shoppers and diners may choose comparatively heavily salted food because salt enhances the flavours, rather than for its taste \textit{per se}\textsuperscript{29,30}, and salt intake is similar or greater where food is less industrialised\textsuperscript{7,23}. Similarly, the evidence for early exposure as a determinant of later salt intake is poor, and many animal experiments have failed to confirm it\textsuperscript{15,16,30,31,32}. The opposite is better evidenced: early sodium \textit{restriction} increases lifelong intake\textsuperscript{31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39}. Moreover, growing children and adolescents ingest and prefer more salt than they were ever previously exposed to\textsuperscript{16,39,40} (figure 1). Hence, both extant hypotheses are meagre and unproven suppositions for a phenomenon as potent, pervasive, and persistent as similar ingestion of salt across people with widely differing diets\textsuperscript{7,24,41}.

Nevertheless, these hypotheses engender the prime methods advocated to regulate salt intake, advisory, admonitory, and supervisory\textsuperscript{23}. They are adopted widely, but selected instances have attained extraordinarily diverse results as measured over years (from an increase of 0.5 to a decrease of 4.8 g/d salt per individual\textsuperscript{23}). However, long-term intervention studies and metanlyses included no comparison groups, provided no data on prior trends, and rarely reported concomitant dietary and BMI changes which may determine sodium intake. Moreover, they were confounded by sex, regional, and socioeconomic differences, epochs of increases during intervention, different samples before and after intervention, and changes in
energy intake and diet\textsuperscript{(23,41,42,43,44,45)}. Therefore, it is moot whether reduction is intervention-related any more than the parallel decrease in total energy intake, to which sodium intake is inextricably linked\textsuperscript{(45)}. Further, failures and contradictory data for the same countries in line with global increases or stability have also been reported\textsuperscript{(14,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,42,47,49,50,51)}. Excess salt intake has been related to many severe diseases, and yet it is uncertain how its use can be limited, because too little salt may contribute also to ill-health. People and communities differ so much that epidemiological studies, the mainstay of the sodium-disease correlation, may swamp diversity, which may range from strong positive relationships to none or inverse relationships, even within the same study\textsuperscript{(19,26,27,41,42,52,53,54)}. A J-shaped curve has been proposed to account best for the data\textsuperscript{(19)}. Wide acceptance of the infiltration and early exposure doctrines may divert us from efforts to understand the causes of high salt intake.

**Salt appetite and need**

Salt appetite in animals, that is, its determinants and mechanisms, has been well studied. Studies have revealed that bodily sodium deficit rapidly transforms the perception of the taste of even concentrated salt from repulsive to desirable. The transformation is mediated by extensive brain circuits, neurohormones, and hormones\textsuperscript{(2,55,56,57)}. Consistent with the benefit suggestion, salt consumption to alleviate a deficit frequently engenders a lifelong enhancement of salt appetite. It has been proposed that this is adaptive, prioritizing salt by increasing its hedonic attraction, and hence salience, and storing sodium sources in memory, all as increased protection to prevent hyponatraemic challenge, which has now become a proven hazard. The hazards have been suggested to be ecological (sodium-scarce environments), constitutional, or pathological (tendencies for, and individual causes of, dehydration and hyponatraemia)\textsuperscript{(58,59,60,61,62,63,64,65,66,67,68)}.

While these physiological systems exist in humans too, the behaviour differs markedly. The remedial hedonic transformation is vestigial at best in humans, and poorly evidenced. In fact, no reliable study of salt-deficient humans wanting salt spontaneously exists, and the studies that have been conducted failed to demonstrate it convincingly\textsuperscript{(69,70,71,72,73,74, but see\textsuperscript{(75,76)}}. Indeed, in contradiction to studies in animals, even neonate\textsuperscript{(59,77)}, studies in humans have found that they do not crave, seek, or ingest salt when in need, and can die from its lack in the body with salt at hand\textsuperscript{(78)}.

In contrast to animals, whose salt consumption can be remedial, which is absent in humans, humans take pleasure from consuming salt with almost every food and meal. Daily it pleases
all the inhabitants of the planet. Salt is invariably taken with food, which it enhances in many ways, increasing saltiness, suppressing bitterness, promoting taste where it is understated and imbuing it where absent, modifying texture, and preserving, frequently when its own taste is covert\(^{(15,16,17,56,79,80)}\). While this is consistent with the infiltration hypothesis as a cause of high salt intake, before acceding to it, recall the issue: why has our sense of taste evolved to respond in this way? It seems to be no coincidence, because while the infinite variety of tastes and flavours is served by four taste receptors on the tongue, reinforced by olfaction, there is one more, unique among taste receptors in that it is dedicated solely to one ion - sodium, the salt taste receptor (to which olfaction cannot contribute). There may even be one or two more, less specific, backup receptors\(^{(81,82)}\). No other nutrient, taste molecule, or ion, is awarded such specificity in humans or animals. These receptors, in addition to the taste of salt, also mediate some of its effects on other tastes via peripheral (oral) or brain mediated neural activity\(^{(81,83)}\). Indeed, sodium deficiency can impair other taste sensations\(^{(71)}\).

Thus, human salt appetite does not appear to be remedial as it is in animals, but it may be beneficial in other ways that enhance its taste to promote its intake.

**Acquisition of salt appetite**

As already mentioned, it is generally believed that early exposure to salt in food determines lifelong intake, but the evidence is poor, and therefore, I shall detail what we do know.

The precocious rat pup brain has the salt appetite already at birth. By 12 days of age the pup will lick salt if it requires it and by weaning it develops the ability to pinpoint sodium among cations, possibly paralleling the process in the human foetus\(^{(59)}\).

Many preterm (~10% of babies) and some full-term babies are at risk of hyponatraemia and receive sodium supplementation to ensure proper growth and neurological and cognitive development\(^{(31,84,85,86,87)}\). The severity of the obligatory neonatal dehydration and sodium loss predicts the sodium content of the diet we will compose in childhood and possibly beyond\(^{(36,37,38,88)}\) (figure 2).

-  **Figure 2 here** -

Only some babies have a liking for salt\(^{(34)}\), in part dependent on birthweight, blood pressure \(^{(37,89,90,91)}\), and the severity of their mother’s morning sickness\(^{(33,34,35,36)}\). The severity of the mother’s morning sickness also has a long-term effect, increasing salt appetite in her offspring in their infancy, adolescence, and adulthood\(^{(32,33,34,35)}\). Then, in infancy, childhood vomiting and diarrhoea contribute further to the perinatal influences increasing later salt appetite\(^{(35,36,37,88)}\) (figure 3). It is assumed that vomiting, whether maternal during pregnancy,
or in the child, and diarrhoea, cause sodium loss, thereby engaging the protective enhancement mentioned above.

As it develops, the human child increasingly has a predilection for salt, marginally related to early dietary experience\(^{32,92,93}\), but significantly related to neonatal hyponatraemia and to growth\(^{16,31,32,36,37,38,39,40,88,89}\). It is important to note that this increase in later salt appetite occurs with no experience of salt taste, a phenomenon established in rats\(^{2,58,65,66,94}\); in babies, the neonatal sodium supplementation is administered intravenously which may not condition a salt preference\(^95\). In fact, it may be that the consequent increased dietary sodium of such children (aged 10-15 years) is unaccompanied by a preference for the taste of salt per se\(^{37,\text{but cf} \ 89}\), a known dissociation\(^{80,89,96}\). Finally, in the adolescent growth spurt, sodium intake outstrips the intake of calories, other macronutrients, and electrolytes, together suggesting a unique developmental or maturational requirement\(^{39,40,84,85,86,97,98}\).

These observations, particularly that children’s salt intake is greater than adults’ and boys’ greater than girls’\(^{39}\), contradict the pervasive notion that “mere exposure” to dietary salt, specifically early exposure, determines the subsequent attraction for salt, and its intake. “Mere exposure” is difficult to confirm in humans, but many studies in which rats were exposed to high dietary salt from gestation to adolescence have generally failed to reveal a systematic, sodium-specific, relationship to long-term salt preference\(^{16,89}\).

Thus, in humans, its enhancement by early sodium loss, restriction, or deficiency is the most substantiated determinant of long-term salt appetite. The extent of the salt intake that is thus determined remains to be investigated, but morning sickness may affect 33% of pregnancies\(^{33,99}\) and in a small study increased salt intake in 50% of adolescents was due to putative perinatal sodium losses, a phenomenon consistent with other early metabolic programming\(^{31,35,36,85}\) (Figures 2 and 3). Together, these could suggest a significant contribution to high salt intake in the population.

**Adult salt intake**

However, in adults, sodium loss, restriction, or deficiency no longer enhances salt appetite\(^{100}\).

Adult salt intake is lower and settles into different patterns for men and women. Men take more salt per kg of body weight than women by ~20%, possibly because men sweat more and have a greater lean mass\(^{16,76}\). Men’s higher intake also possibly protects them from depression, because low dietary sodium can contribute to depression, and women suffer more
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from depression\textsuperscript{(39,101)} (Figure 4). In rats, low sodium also indicates depression, and antidepressant treatment may reduce salt intake\textsuperscript{(102,103)}. The relationship of salt intake and mood is examined briefly below.

- Figure 4 here -

Salt and the elderly

Unlike other pleasures, sensations and tastes, such as thirst and hydration that wane with age, the taste for salt probably does not. Older people continue to relish their salt, and it may therefore be useful in maintaining their nourishment in age-related anorexia and hyponatraemia\textsuperscript{(39,104,105,106)}. Older people are frequently hypertensive and hence routinely recommended to restrict sodium intake, although some researchers have suggested the opposite advice might be given\textsuperscript{(22,53)}. Cognitive impairment related to salt intake in the elderly has been studied, but the results are currently indeterminate\textsuperscript{(105,106,107,108,109)}.

Sodium deficiency

Dietary sodium deficiency is rare, occurring in extreme cases of eating or drinking disorders\textsuperscript{(110)}. Hyponatraemia, especially frequent among the institutionalized, hospitalized, and the elderly, is associated with multiple pathologies, including of mood, and with mortality, and it is due to multiple fluid and electrolyte disorders\textsuperscript{(111,112)}. Hyponatraemia is also frequent in physical exertion, due to sodium loss in sweat or overhydration that leaches sodium, particularly among less trained athletes. Nevertheless, athletes can be in mortal danger of hyponatraemic crisis because its diagnosis requires astute health workers\textsuperscript{(78,113)}. These counsellors are necessary, because unlike animals, humans seek salt to please their palate, but not to save their life\textsuperscript{(78)}. Hence, many sports authorities recommend sodium supplementation for safety, as well as to maintain athletic performance and accelerate recovery after it\textsuperscript{(78,113,114,15)}. Such effects could condition a salt preference and contribute to its intake\textsuperscript{(76)}.

Substantive findings suggest that low dietary sodium contributes to cardiovascular diseases, whether in general or only in vulnerable individuals, as in the case of high sodium intake, is not however known\textsuperscript{(19,22,25,27,53,54)}. If sodium intake alleviates the discomfort caused by these diseases, its taste may become preferred, and thus, its intake may be increased.

Are there benefits supporting excess salt intake?

Sodium intake is essential to all bodily functions and to all organs, tissues, and cells, their membranes and contents, but whereas current physiological knowledge indicates that a pinch
a day suffices (~1.3g salt or 500mg Na\(^+\)), our intake far exceeds this amount. Evolutionary rationale suggests that prominent characteristics, such as perceiving the taste of salt as delectable, are readily explainable as adaptations, but it is not known how our excess salt intake may be beneficial\(^{(15,16,17,39)}\). Might there be benefits yet to be discovered driving this excessive intake\(^{(38,115)}\)?

Benefits would tend to promote the inheritance of an increased salt appetite, whereas ailments such as hypertension, stroke, and cancer would not tend to restrain it, because evolutionary rationale biases for the inheritance of properties that are effective prior to reproductive age (the benefits), rather than after it (the ailments). Further, some of the maladaptive effects of sodium may be adaptive in other circumstances\(^{(62,116,117)}\). Nevertheless, currently there is little evidence that salt appetite is inherited\(^{(119,120,121)}\).

Another suggested determinant is addiction. This implies that all 7.6 billion of humans are addicted to salt, despite the obvious fact that pure crystalline salt is not craved, ingested, injected, or inhaled, even by people in putative withdrawal on a low sodium diet. This also dissociates animal studies that proposed that brain sodium appetite substrates also serve other addictions\(^{(2,122)}\). Moreover, an addiction that is a norm might be a contradiction in terms, and dealing with it is rather daunting, considering our failure with other addictions, all of them together comparatively imperceptible\(^{(123,124)}\).

**Conditioning salt preference through exertion, mood, health, and disease**

Many physiological changes, modifying salt appetite or modified by it, may have little to do with maintaining sodium homeostasis. On the other hand, they may condition subsequent sodium intake, if when sodium is lost salt intake alleviates some discomfort. This may underlie the increased acceptability of isotonic drinks in athletes and exercisers and in patients with sodium wasting diseases who discover salt to be prophylactic, and for the relief of hyponatraemia\(^{(35,68,76,125)}\).

In animal studies, salt was found to mitigate stress and facilitate social behaviour, which are useful effects, while low sodium and its related hormones were found to indicate depression\(^{(2,55,102,126)}\). The human data are less consistent. Hostile male medical students seem to prefer salt\(^{(127)}\), and low dietary sodium contributes to depression in Japanese men and marginally in American women, who may self-medicate with salt to improve their mood\(^{(39,101)}\). Other research has failed to relate the two, or indicated cultural and dietary dependence, and yet other studies suggested salt may increase anxiety and even panic\(^{(39,127,128,129,130,131)}\).
Before or after exertion, many athletes drink sodium containing fluids and some swallow salt pills, and salt can aid recovery after exertion and condition a preference\(^{(76,113,114,115)}\). However, it is not known whether this generalises to the athlete’s dietary intake.

Desert-dwellers relish salt, possibly to support hydration. They trade in salt and preserve their food in it, and it sustains their crucially important livestock and features prominently in their folklore\(^{(118)}\).

In some salt-wasting diseases, such as congenital adrenal hyperplasia, children frequently prefer salt to medication. It may ameliorate their affliction acutely, and so may become favoured, whereas medication requires persistence and compliance and, even though its therapy is more comprehensive, long delayed effects condition poorly\(^{(95)}\).

Salt may mitigate pain\(^{(132)}\), dietary sodium has an inconclusive direct relation to headache, is inversely related to migraine\(^{(133)}\) and may alleviate certain forms of fibromyalgia\(^{(134,135)}\). There is even a hypothesis that our high salt intake crucially protects us in the case of the many desiccating diseases\(^{(62)}\).

A long-standing issue of whether sodium can be stored in the body has been resolved with the discovery of hypertonic sodium in subcutaneous skin and muscle. More importantly, immunity may be compromised by high salt intake, although some immune protection may be reduced with reduced salt\(^{(136,137,138)}\).

Such beneficial effects might condition a preference for the taste of salt, contributing to its intake\(^{(35,76,123)}\). Similar ideas have been considered for food intake, where palatability is central to the reinforcement hypothesis contributing to food intake and obesity\(^{(2,124,139)}\). Salt, of course, contributes greatly to palatability.

It is also possible that short-term negative effects condition reduced salt intake\(^{(76)}\), but their potential for regulation of salt intake has not been explored.

**Humans dislike salt and do not eat it**

A very significant and frequently overlooked observation is that animals eat salt\(^{(94,140)}\), whereas humans do not\(^{(16)}\). Surprisingly, the delectability of salt for humans is unrelated to its taste. Very few people eat pure salt (an observation that militates against the addiction hypothesis).

Pure salt is inedible not merely because of its being concentrated (and activating aversive signalling taste receptors\(^{(82)}\)), given that it is also aversive at low concentrations in water. This may be more than an issue of hedonism; it may be a physiological response, because salt in solution is emetic\(^{(141)}\). Indeed, there are no salty drinks. Paradoxically, the same concentration
(about 1%) in an adulterated aqueous solution, such as tomato soup or beef broth, is relished\cite{15,56}.

In contrast, animals lick rock salt, do not like salt in food (wherein its intake cannot be regulated\cite{142}), prefer it in solution (wherein its intake can be regulated to the required 0.9\%\cite{143}) and relish it most in the 0.9\% physiological concentration (like a saline drip). Hyponatraemic humans, however, require health workers to both diagnose their condition and administer sodium\cite{68,78,111,112,113,114}. Further, sodium deficient animals recognise sodium in any mineral form\cite{68}, whereas humans do not, taking only the single form, table salt (sodium chloride), suggesting that sodium, the life-essential ion, is not the target cation taste as it is for animals\cite{15}.

The comparison with the animal research is instructive, because the animal behaviour, as outlined above, defines the behavioural requirements for the maintenance of sodium homeostasis, each of which humans abrogate, suggesting strongly that the human love of salt in food does not stem from physiological sodium requirement.

The causes must therefore be behavioural, with the caveat that there may well be specific requirements during early development and growth.

**Limitations**

Confirmation, but particularly further research, of the determinants of salt intake is clearly required. Specifically, the significance of conditioning to excess sodium intake is indeterminate. Research of this underrepresented science is limited at present, but resources for its encouragement should be found. The alternative notion that the excess salting of food has no palpable cause is not tenable.

**Conclusions**

Throughout life, our love of salt peaks and dips. Salt flavours our food and promotes its consumption and thus possibly obesity; it sustains and protects us in physical exertion, may occasionally be remedial, contributes to our growth and ailments, and generates controversy\cite{26}.

Nonetheless, salt itself is inedible.

The attribution of this complexity to early dietary exposure and processed food is unsubstantiated, as well as inadequate.

The fundamental question persists of why we love the taste of salt.
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An understanding of the predilection for salt taste should improve evidence-based intervention for effective reduction of the health risks associated with both sodium surfeit and deficiency. For example, individual control of salt intake could benefit from counselling focussed on children born to mothers who had high rates of nausea and vomiting during pregnancy, were hyponatraemic as neonates, or suffered sodium losses in infancy, people with mood issues, and dissociating salt for exertion and diet for athletes. None of this is currently applied, and probably little known among those working to regulate sodium intake. However, most critical and promising are the determinants of salt intake, the discovery of which is surely awaiting novel and creative approaches in this crucial domain of human behaviour, nutrition, and illness.

As astonishing science prepares to launch our first spaceship to Mars, it has yet to unravel the reasons for our daily 80,000-ton sprinkle of salt \(^{(49)}\). Despite not knowing why we need so much salt, the ship will be supplied with it \(^{(144)}\).
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Figure 1. Salt preference in teens ($r = 0.64, p < 0.02$). From Leshem (2009) with permission.
Figure 2. Correlations of neonatal serum and dietary sodium in children by ethnicity (left) and gender (right). Black symbols and continuous lines, Arabs and boys; white symbols and dashes, Jews and girls. Correlations: Arabs, r 0.333 (not significant, but without outlier, r 0.470*); Jews, r 0.520*; boys, r 0.549*; girls, r 0.400*. *P<0.05. Data from 41 children aged 10.5±0.2 (SEM) years. From Shirazki et al., 2007, with permission.
Figure 3. Relation of history of mineralofluid loss (maternal vomiting during pregnancy, infantile vomiting and diarrhoea) the avidity (sum of all test measures) for the taste of salt or sugar. The means + SEM for salt are higher than for sweet because they include scores for salting of food and dietary NaCl. 0 = no history of mineralofluid loss, 3 = highest incidence of mineralofluid loss. **p<0.01, different from 0. *p<0.01, different from 3. The data are from 50 (8, 15, 18, 9 by mineralofluid loss score) girls and boys aged 14±2 (SEM) years, and their mothers. From Leshem (1998) with permission.
Figure 4. Relationship of weight-adjusted dietary sodium (a) and adding salt (b) to depression. Men, line, women, dashes. Both variables are adjusted for dietary kcal. The relationships are significant for women.