The effect of body fatness on energetic efficiency and fasting heat production in adult sheep
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1. The effect of body fatness on the fasting heat production and energetic efficiency of adult sheep was studied.

2. Energy balance and heat production were determined in adult wether sheep at three fatness levels given a diet of grass hay and maize at four feeding levels, including fasting, in open-circuit respiration chambers. The sheep weighed approximately 60 kg at the start and were fed over a period of 4-7 months to obtain one of three levels of bodyfatness: fat 90 kg, medium 70 kg and thin 55 kg.

3. Neither the digestibility nor the metabolizability of the diet was affected by body fatness or feeding level.

4. Average fasting heat production was 6.47, 7.00 and 8.20 MJ/d for the thin, medium and fat sheep respectively, but when expressed as a multiple of metabolic body size (kg $W^{0.75}$) remained constant at 0.31 MJ/kg $W^{0.75}$.

5. At each level of feeding the fat sheep produced most heat, but when heat production was expressed as a multiple of fasting heat production or metabolic body size, the differences due to level of fatness disappeared. Heat increment was not affected by fatness and there was no suggestion that energy utilization was improved by loss of condition.

6. It is concluded that white adipose tissue is highly active and contributes significantly to the maintenance energy requirements of adult sheep. Metabolic body size is a good unit of reference for comparison of energy metabolism in adult animals of the same species but varying in body size.

In adult animals a change in body-weight is, for the most part, a change in the amount of fat tissue in the body. The contribution of this change to the energetic efficiency of the animal is important for both experimental and practical reasons. Wide variation in the amounts of fat deposited is a common physiological characteristic of both domestic and wild ruminant species.

The high-producing dairy cow must rely heavily on body fat reserves as an energy source in early lactation when the genetic drive to produce milk exceeds the ability to ingest food. Moe et al. (1971) showed that the over-all efficiency of fat deposition in late lactation and subsequent mobilization of this tissue in early lactation is almost as great as the efficiency of dietary energy utilization. However, the energy costs of maintaining this fat tissue during late lactation and the dry period have not been considered in this comparison.

In tropical countries with alternating dry and rainy seasons, ruminants are forced to deposit large amounts of body fat during the growing season and, to a great extent, survive on these body reserves during the dry season. Similarly, in Scandinavia, reindeer used for meat production deposit great amounts of fat (up to 50% body-weight) during the summer on which to survive during the scarcity of winter.

In accepting the occurrence of these phenomena, nutritionists must consider this reserve tissue as making a significant contribution to the total metabolism of the body and the extent to which it may influence the computation of total energy requirements.

Metabolic processes such as lipid turnover are energy dependent and so inevitably produce heat. As yet, however, little is known about the quantitative contribution of these individual processes to the over-all basal expenditure of an animal (MacRae & Lobley, 1982). There is evidence that basal release of glycerol from adipose tissue, i.e. basal lipolysis, is a function of the size of the fat cell (Smith, 1970). As fat cells enlarge, the basal rate of
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lipolysis increases but the effect of this increase on the total metabolic rate of the animal is not clear.

Lean body-weight has been suggested as a more correct basis for energy requirements compared with metabolic body size (kg body-weight\(^{0.75}\)) (e.g. Chesters, 1975; Pullar & Webster, 1977; Toutain et al. 1977) in animals with large amounts of body fat since this tissue is considered to be less active metabolically than lean tissue. However, conflicting reports exist (e.g. Graham, 1967; Deb et al. 1976; Blaxter et al. 1982; McCracken & McNiven, 1983), suggesting that fat tissue does contribute significantly to the energy requirement of the animal.

An understanding of the effects of body-weight change in ruminants on their energy requirements and efficiency is both of practical relevance and importance in relation to the design and interpretation of many experiments. Consequently, the present experiment was designed to study the effect of body fatness on heat production and energetic efficiency at three levels of nutrition and fasting in adult wethers.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

*Animals and diets*

Preliminary balance and respiration trials were conducted to determine the metabolizable energy (ME) content of the food used in the main experiment and to measure fasting heat production (FHP). Twenty trials were made on ten wethers ranging in weight from 45 to 85 kg, both at a maintenance level of feeding and at fasting. The animals, originally weighing about 60 kg, were given food at different planes of feeding to obtain a wide range of body-weights.

In the main experiment, nine adult crossbred wethers were used. At the start of the experiment the sheep all weighed about 60 kg. The animals were randomly allotted to different planes of feeding to obtain three levels of body fatness expressed by body-weights: fat 90 kg, medium 70 kg and thin 55 kg. The sheep were weighed twice weekly prior to the morning feed when crushed maize and high-quality grass hay were given on a 50:50 (w/w) basis for all feeding levels. After a period of 4-7 months, required to reach expected body-weights, balance and respiration measurements were made at four planes of nutrition for each of the three levels of body fatness: high 1500 g food/d, intermediate 900 g/d, low 600 g/d and fasting. The intermediate level always preceded the fasting measurements. The foods were from the same batch.

An experimental period consisted of a 7 d adjustment period followed by a collection period of 7 d in which the excreta were collected quantitatively and frozen at \(-18^\circ\) until analysed. For the fasting trials, only urine was collected.

The animals were accustomed to the metabolism cages and respiration chambers. Rations were given in equal meals at 12 h intervals and fresh water was available at all times. The animals received sufficient digestible protein, minerals and vitamins without supplementation according to published standards ((US) National Academy of Sciences/National Research Council, 1975). In the main experiment, one sheep in the thin group died of causes not related to the experiment.

*Chemical analysis*

Samples of food, refusals and fresh excreta were analysed for nitrogen by the Kjeldahl method; energy content was determined by use of an adiabatic bomb calorimeter, urine first being freeze-dried and faeces oven-dried.

The proximate composition of the food and faeces was determined by the standard AOAC methods (Association of Official Agricultural Chemists, 1970).
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Table 1. Chemical composition and digestibility of the diet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>As fed (%)</th>
<th>DM (%)</th>
<th>Digestibility (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dry matter (DM)</td>
<td>90·5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>77·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic matter</td>
<td>86·2</td>
<td>95·2</td>
<td>80·9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein*</td>
<td>12·1</td>
<td>13·4</td>
<td>68·4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fibre</td>
<td>15·4</td>
<td>17·0</td>
<td>76·5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (MJ/kg)</td>
<td>17·07</td>
<td>18·86</td>
<td>77·8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Nitrogen x 6·25.

Respiration trials

The two open-circuit respiration chambers used for the determination of heat and methane production were described by Sundstol et al. (1974). For the trials when the sheep were given food, the sheep were placed in the chambers for a 2 d adjustment period and then heat production measured at 18° for three 24 h periods and averaged. For the fasting trials, the sheep were placed in the chambers for a 2 d adjustment period without food and then heat production measured for two 24 h periods (days 3 and 4 of fast) and averaged. During the fasting trials urine was collected quantitatively and analysed for N and energy.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Analysis System (SAS Institute Inc., 1982) and Student's t test.

RESULTS

Diet

The average chemical composition and digestibility of the diet for all trials are shown in Table 1.

ME content of the feed was not affected by the extent of fatness in the sheep as shown in Fig. 1. There was no difference in digestibility or metabolizability between the preliminary trial and the main experiment.

The average energy balance results for the three levels of fatness in the main experiment are shown in Table 2. The individual values for the fat animals tended to be more variable than those of the medium or thin animals.

FHP

In the preliminary experiment, twenty respiration trials were conducted on adult wethers ranging in weight from 45 to 85 kg to determine FHP on the 3rd and 4th days of fasting. The relationship between MJ FHP and kg body-weight (W) was found to be expressed by the power equation FHP = a Wb. There was no significant difference (t test, P < 0·05) between the exponent b (0·76) and the accepted interspecies mean value of 0·75, the power of weight which is commonly used to define metabolic size, and the mean (with SD) FHP/kg metabolic body size was found to be 0·35 (0·04) MJ.

In the main experiment, the average FHP was 6·47, 7·00 and 8·20 MJ/d for the thin (54 kg), medium (63 kg) and fat (82 kg) sheep respectively. The average (with SD) FHP/kg metabolic body size was 0·31 (0·03) MJ for all fatness levels and there was no significant difference (t test) between the values for the three levels of fatness.
Fig. 1. Relationship between metabolizable energy intake (ME; MJ/d) and gross energy intake (GE; MJ/d) for the preliminary trial (n 20) (○, thin; △, medium; □, fat sheep) and for the main experiment (n 24) (●, thin; ▲, medium; ■, fat sheep). Common linear regression equation for both trials was:

\[ ME = 0.67 \times GE - 0.23, \quad r^2 = 0.9901 \quad (P < 0.001). \]

Each point is the mean of three or four observations.

| Table 2. Average energy balance results for the fat, medium and thin sheep on the same diet at three levels of feeding |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Fat | 3 | H | 88.3 | 25.46 | 19.77 | 2.79 | 12.0 | 66.2 | 14.1 |
| | 3 | I | 84.5 | 15.28 | 11.80 | 3.77 | 12.0 | 65.1 | 11.6 |
| | 3 | L | 83.3 | 10.18 | 7.87 | 5.79 | 13.3 | 62.6 | 10.7 |
| Medium | 3 | H | 68.8 | 26.03 | 20.16 | 3.22 | 10.3 | 67.0 | 13.7 |
| | 3 | I | 67.2 | 15.62 | 12.46 | 3.91 | 11.3 | 67.5 | 10.1 |
| | 3 | L | 62.8 | 10.41 | 8.27 | 5.08 | 12.0 | 66.0 | 9.8 |
| Thin | 2 | H | 59.0 | 25.18 | 18.96 | 3.98 | 9.6 | 65.1 | 12.1 |
| | 2 | I | 56.5 | 15.11 | 11.72 | 2.27 | 12.5 | 66.1 | 9.4 |
| | 2 | L | 50.6 | 10.07 | 7.86 | 4.53 | 12.6 | 66.4 | 7.4 |

* H, high; I, intermediate; L, low.

Energetic efficiency

The relationship between heat production (HP) and ME intake (ME;MJ/d) for the three fatness levels is shown in Fig. 2. At any given level of feeding, the fat sheep had a greater HP than the thin sheep, the medium sheep being intermediate.

The linear regression equations for the relationship between HP (MJ/d) and ME; (MJ/d) are as follows:

\[ \text{Fat HP} = 0.35 \times ME + 8.21, \quad r^2 = 0.8773 \quad (P < 0.001) \]
\[ \text{Medium HP} = 0.37 \times ME + 6.93, \quad r^2 = 0.8998 \quad (P < 0.001) \]
\[ \text{Thin HP} = 0.35 \times ME + 5.95, \quad r^2 = 0.9201 \quad (P < 0.001) \]
The regression coefficients were not significantly different (t test). Thus, the rate of change of HP with ME, i.e. heat increment, was not different for the three levels of body fatness. The difference in intercepts was tested and found to be significant ($P < 0.001$). However, when HP was expressed/kg metabolic body size, the differences disappeared and the relationship for the three fatness levels fitted one common equation:

$$\text{HP/kg } W^{0.75} = 0.01 \text{ ME}_1 + 0.31, \quad r^2 = 0.8266 \quad (P < 0.001).$$

When HP and ME$_1$ were expressed as multiples of FHP (Graham, 1969) the results from the three fatness groups were found to fit a single linear regression equation shown in Fig. 3.

$$\text{HP/FHP} = 0.38 \text{ ME}_1/\text{FHP} + 0.94, \quad r^2 = 0.8376 \quad (P < 0.001).$$

This demonstrates that regardless of body fatness the sheep had the same net efficiency.
Energy balance was calculated by subtracting the HP from the ME for each sheep and each feeding level. The relationship between energy balance (EB; MJ/d) and ME is shown in Fig. 4. At any given level of intake the thin sheep had the greatest energy balance and the fat sheep the lowest.

The linear regression equations for this relationship are as follows:

- Fat EB = 0.67 ME - 8.53, \( r^2 = 0.9650 \) \( (P < 0.001) \)
- Medium EB = 0.67 ME - 7.52, \( r^2 = 0.9641 \) \( (P < 0.001) \)
- Thin EB = 0.67 ME - 6.21, \( r^2 = 0.9709 \) \( (P < 0.001) \)

Parallelism was found in these regression equations and the intercepts for each equation were significantly different \( (P < 0.001) \).

From this relationship it was possible to determine the ME requirement at zero EB, i.e. maintenance energy requirement, and this was found to be 12.71, 11.17, and 9.27 MJ/d for the fat, medium and thin sheep respectively.

When the energy balance was expressed/kg metabolic body size the relationship for the three fatness levels fitted well one common linear regression equation:

\[
\text{EB/kg } W^{0.75} = 0.03 \text{ ME} - 0.32, \quad r^2 = 0.9274 \quad (P < 0.001)
\]

**DISCUSSION**

**Diet**

In the present experiment, the digestible energy and ME contents of the diet were not significantly affected by either body fatness or feeding level. Blaxter (1974) reviewed calorimetric experiments with sheep and showed that the change in apparent metaboliz-
ability of a diet with change in feeding level depends upon the fibre content of the diet. In general, the metabolizability declines with increasing feeding level only when the fibre content of the organic matter of the diet exceeds 160 g/kg. Below 160 g/kg the general finding is that the metabolizability of the diet increases with level of feeding. In the present experiment the crude fibre content of the organic matter of the feed was about 170 g/kg and, consistent with this, no major effect of feeding level was expected or found.

No problem in feed consumption was encountered for any of the groups of sheep on the high level of intake. This is contrary to the study of Graham (1969), who showed with fat sheep that voluntary intake declined steadily as body-weight increased to a high level (from 50 to 75 kg). The length of time on high level of intake may have been the reason for these differences since, in Graham’s study, the sheep were given the high level for up to 14 months, which is 4–7 months longer than the sheep in the present experiment. It is possible that consumption problems could have arisen with extended feeding periods.

FHP

In the main part of the present experiment, the average FHP/kg metabolic body size was 0.31 MJ for wethers ranging in weight from 50 to 90 kg. This agrees well with the results of Blaxter et al. (1982), who found a mean value of 0.32 MJ/kg \( W^{0.75} \). There was no significant difference between the groups of sheep when FHP was expressed as a multiple of metabolic body size and therefore it would appear from the results of this study that metabolic body size can be used to predict FHP in sheep varying greatly in body fat content.

The results of the two experiments confirm that, over a wide range of body-weight and condition, the FHP of adult sheep may be regarded as directly proportional to metabolic body size. This statement is in agreement with the results of McCracken & Gray (1976), Deb et al. (1976) and McCracken & McNiven (1983) with rats and Blaxter et al. (1982) with sheep.

In the present experiment, body composition determinations were not made but it is unlikely that lean body mass would increase in adult sheep so greatly as to cause the FHP to increase from 6.47 to 8.20 MJ/d as recorded for the thin and fat sheep respectively. Consequently, it must be concluded that metabolism of fat tissue must have contributed largely to this increased heat production.

Webster (1981) reviewed several articles from the literature and suggested that, in sheep and rats, maintenance energy requirements were better expressed as a function of lean mass than of weight and that fat metabolism (excluding brown adipose tissue) contributed little to heat production.

Similar results were found by Sundstol et al. (1979) with lean and fat pigs, and they suggested that the lean pigs had a greater mass of active lean tissue and therefore higher heat production.

One explanation for this discrepancy could be the method of animal selection. In the sheep experiment cited by Webster (1981) (Toutain et al. 1977) and in the pig experiment of Sundstol et al. (1979), the animals were selected for fatness or leanness from the population and this may, in fact, have been selection of animals having inherently higher or lower total metabolic rates, emphasizing the large individual differences between animals but perhaps complicating the position regarding individual tissue contribution to metabolism. In the pig experiment (Sundstol et al. 1979) the fat and lean animals were given equal amounts of food, and if the thin pigs had higher individual maintenance requirements there would be less energy remaining to deposit as fat.

In Graham’s extensive experiments (1964, 1967, 1969), which were not included by Webster (1981), and in the present experiments the sheep were of similar weight at the start of the experiments in order to minimize individual differences in metabolic rate.
Energetic efficiency

The present experiment demonstrated that the relationship between energy storage and feed intake was essentially the same for fat and thin sheep. Because FHP varies with body-weight within, as well as between, animals and is important in the relationship between intake and storage, comparisons between animals of different weight are complicated. Graham (1969) suggested that expression of both intake and storage as multiples of FHP is one way of simplifying such comparisons without altering the calculation of efficiency. It relates directly to the efficiency complex and makes the animal's net energy requirement for maintenance the unit. The importance of standardizing conditions for measuring FHP and the costs and time involved do not make this method of calculation practical under many conditions.

In the present experiment the rate of change of heat production with ME, (heat increment) was similar for all three fatness levels. Graham (1964) showed that this rate of change became greater as the sheep lost condition. It is clear that energy utilization is not improved by loss of condition as suggested by Quimby (1948). However, a greater level of feed consumption may be obtained for thin sheep resulting in higher productivity and therefore higher gross efficiency.

The number of observations in each group of sheep did not allow differentiation between linear and allometric regression equations for energy balance and ME, in order to determine if net efficiencies were different above or below maintenance. However, it is clear that the differences between the three fatness levels were statistically significant \( P < 0.001 \) at any given level of feed intake and that the efficiencies with which ME, from 0 to 18 MJ/d were utilized, were similar for the three groups.

Whole-body metabolism is the integration of a wide variety of metabolic rates in different tissues. The present results support the view that white adipose tissue is highly active and contributes significantly to the maintenance energy requirement. Metabolic body size (kg \( W^{0.75} \)) appears to be a good unit of reference for comparison of energy metabolism in adult animals of the same species but varying in body size (McCracken & McNiven, 1983).
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