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Abstract

In this paper we generalize the work of Harris–Soudry–Taylor and construct the compatible systems of two-dimensional Galois representations attached to cuspidal automorphic representations of cohomological type on $GL_2$ over a CM field with a suitable condition on their central characters. We also prove a local-global compatibility statement, up to semi-simplification.

1. Introduction

In the works [HST93] of Harris–Soudry–Taylor and [Tay94] of Taylor, the authors construct the compatible system of two-dimensional $p$-adic Galois representations attached to a cuspidal automorphic representation of cohomological type on $GL_2$ over an imaginary quadratic field, whose central character satisfies a suitable invariance condition. The improvement [BH07] of Berger–Harcos shows that the Galois representations constructed by [HST93, Tay94] satisfy the correct equality of Frobenius and Hecke polynomials, outside an explicit finite set of primes. The aim of the present work is to generalize the results of [BH07, Tay94] to a general CM field, and to prove a local-global compatibility statement, up to semi-simplification, at primes not dividing $p$.

To state the main result of this paper, we set up some notation. Let $E$ be a CM field, with $F$ its maximal totally real subfield. Denote by $\tau$ the Galois conjugation of $E$ over $F$. Suppose that $\pi$ is a cuspidal automorphic representation on $GL_2(A_E)$ (where $A_E$ is the ring of adeles of $E$). We assume that $\pi$ is of cohomological type. Denote by $\omega_\pi$ the central character of $\pi$. In this paper, we are interested in such cohomological $\pi$, whose central character $\omega_\pi$ satisfies the following condition.

(Char) There exists an algebraic idele class character $\tilde{\omega}$ of $A_F^\times$ such that

$$\omega_\pi = \tilde{\omega} \circ \text{Norm}_{E/F}$$

(here $\text{Norm}_{E/F}$ is the norm map from $A_E^\times$ to $A_F^\times$), and $\tilde{\omega} = \bigotimes_v \tilde{\omega}_v$ satisfies in addition the following: the sign $\tilde{\omega}_v(-1)$ takes the same value for all Archimedean places of $F$.

Condition (Char) in particular implies that $\omega_\pi$ is invariant under $\tau$, i.e. that $\omega_\pi \circ \tau = \omega_\pi$. In the case where $E$ is an imaginary quadratic field, i.e. $F = \mathbb{Q}$ as considered in [HST93, Tay94], condition (Char) is equivalent to requiring that $\omega_\tau$ is invariant under $\tau$. But for $F \neq \mathbb{Q}$ it is stronger than the invariance.

Now fix an embedding $\bar{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Similarly, for each prime $p$, fix an embedding $\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$. Fix an isomorphism $t_p : \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p \cong \mathbb{C}$ compatible with these two embeddings of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$. 
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As usual, we denote by $G_L$ the absolute Galois group of any field $L$.

**Theorem 1.1.** Suppose that the central character of $\pi$ satisfies condition (Char). Then for each prime $p$, there exists a continuous irreducible $p$-adic Galois representation

$$\rho_p : G_E \to \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$$

such that, for each finite prime $w$ of $E$ not dividing $p$, we have the local-global compatibility statement, up to semi-simplification,

$$t_p \text{WD}(\rho_p|_{G_{E_w}})^{ss} \cong L_{E_w}(\pi_w \otimes |\det|_w^{-1/2})^{ss}.$$

Here WD is the Weil–Deligne functor, and $L_{E_w}$ is the local Langlands correspondence for $\text{GL}_2(E_w)$. Furthermore, if $\pi_w$ is not of the form $\text{St}_{E_w} \otimes \chi$, where $\text{St}_{E_w}$ is the Steinberg representation of $\text{GL}_2(E_w)$, and $\chi$ is a character of $E_w^\times$, then we have the full-local-global compatibility (as usual up to Frobenius semi-simplification)

$$t_p \text{WD}(\rho_p|_{G_{E_w}})^{F,ss} \cong L_{E_w}(\pi_w \otimes |\det|_w^{-1/2}).$$

According to general conjectures, since $\pi$ is cohomological, the Galois representation $\rho_p$ should be geometric in the sense of Fontaine–Mazur, i.e. $\rho_p$ should be deRham (in the sense of Fontaine) at places of $E$ above $p$. We are only able to show that $\rho_p$ is Hodge–Tate in general. More precisely we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.2.** For each finite prime $w$ of $E$ above $p$, the representation $\rho_p$ is Hodge–Tate at $w$, with the correct Hodge–Tate weights (determined by the Archimedean components of $\pi$; see Theorem 5.17 for the precise statement).

Furthermore, suppose that $w$ is a place of $E$ above $p$, inert over $F$, and such that $\pi_w$ is spherical, with distinct Satake parameters $\alpha_w \neq \beta_w$. Then $\rho_p$ is crystalline at $w$.

Suppose that $w$ is a prime above $p$ that splits over $F$, with conjugate prime $w^\sigma$, such that $\pi_w$ and $\pi_{w^\sigma}$ are spherical, with Satake parameters $\alpha_w$, $\beta_w$ for $\pi_w$, and $\alpha_{w^\sigma}$, $\beta_{w^\sigma}$ for $\pi_{w^\sigma}$ respectively. Suppose that the elements $\{\alpha_w, \beta_w, \alpha_{w^\sigma}, \beta_{w^\sigma}\}$ are all distinct. Then $\rho_p$ is crystalline at $w$ (and also at $w^\sigma$).

**Remark 1.3.** The Galois representation $\rho_p$ is constructed using $p$-adic limit process from Galois representations which are geometric. However, taking the $p$-adic limit process does not in general preserve the deRham property, which is the reason that we are not able to show that $\rho_p$ is deRham at primes above $p$ in general. For exactly the same reason, the existence of $\rho_p$ alone does not imply the Ramanujan conjecture for $\pi$.

We note that the crystalline assertion in Theorem 1.2, under the stated conditions, was proved by Jorza [Jor10] in the case where $E$ is imaginary quadratic.

**About the proof**

As in the case of [BH07, Tay94], the fundamental difficulty in the construction of the Galois representation is that the group $\text{Res}_{E/Q} \text{GL}_2/E$ (Weil restriction of scalars) does not admit a Shimura variety. The basic idea of Harris et al. [HST93] is that when the cuspidal automorphic representation $\pi$ on $\text{GL}_2(A_E)$ satisfies condition (Char), the representation $\pi$ admits a lifting $\Pi$ as a cuspidal automorphic representation on the group $\text{GSp}_4(A_F)$. One can choose the lifting $\Pi$ so that it is represented as a holomorphic Siegel–Hilbert modular form. The argument of [BH07, Tay94], involving consideration of quadratic twists of $\pi$, allows one to extract the two-dimensional...
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Galois representation associated to $\pi$ from the four-dimensional Galois representation associated to $\Pi$, if the latter can be constructed.

One of the difficulties in the construction of the Galois representation associated to $\Pi$ is that it is not of cohomological type. More precisely, its Archimedean components belong to holomorphic limits of discrete series. To circumvent this difficulty, we draw on the results of [MT12] (which is a generalization of the results of Kisin and Lai [KL05] and Jorza [Jor10] to the Siegel–Hilbert case). Suppose first that the cuspidal automorphic representation $\Pi$ admits Iwahori fixed vectors at primes of $F$ above $p$. Then the main result of [MT12] gives a one-parameter family of $p$-adic deformation of $\Pi$, with the property that there is a Zariski dense set of so-called classical points in the family, corresponding to cuspidal automorphic representations of $GSp_4(A_F)$ of cohomological type (actually having Archimedean components in holomorphic discrete series), and these points accumulate to $\Pi$. A standard argument using the theory of pseudo-character allows one to construct the Galois representation attached to $\Pi$, if one can construct the four-dimensional Galois representations attached to a cuspidal automorphic representation on $GSp_4(A_F)$ (say $\Pi'$) that is of cohomological type.

When $F = \mathbb{Q}$, the Galois representation associated to such a $\Pi'$ can be constructed from the $p$-adic etale cohomology of a suitable Siegel three-fold (work of Laumon [Lau05] and Weissauer [Wei05]). However, when $F \neq \mathbb{Q}$, the Galois representation obtained by using the $p$-adic etale cohomology of a similar Siegel–Hilbert modular variety would be of dimension $4^{[F:\mathbb{Q}]}$ (the same phenomenon as in the construction of Galois representations associated to Hilbert modular forms), and is no longer appropriate. Instead, if one has a lifting of $\Pi'$ to an automorphic representation $\Pi''$ on $GL_4(A_F)$, then the latter would be of cohomological and self dual type, and the arguments of Sorensen [Sor10] and Chenevier and Harris [CH13] allow one to construct the Galois representation associated to $\Pi''$, using Galois representations that ultimately come from etale cohomology of Shimura varieties of unitary groups [Shi11].

If $\Pi''$ is globally generic, then the lifting to $GL_4(A_F)$ follows from the work of Jacquet–Piateski–Shapiro–Shalika (unpublished, see for example [GRS97]) and of Asgari and Shahidi [AS06]. However, in our case, the members corresponding to the classical points in the $p$-adic family are not generic at the Archimedean primes, in particular not globally generic, and their result does not apply. Instead, we use the result of Arthur [Art, Art04] on endoscopic classification of the discrete automorphic spectrum of $GSp_4(A_F)$, which in our case gives the required lifting to $GL_4(A_F)$ (alternatively we can use the result of Arthur [Art] on the generic packet conjecture, but in any case this is proved using the main results of [Art] on endoscopic classification).

The above strategy works in the case when $\Pi$ has an Iwahori-fixed vector at primes of $F$ above $p$. To treat the general case, we use the patching lemma of [Sor10], together with the result that we can do base change for automorphic representation on $GSp_4$ over solvable extensions. This latter result again relies on the works of Arthur [Art, Art04], combined with the solvable base change theorems of Arthur and Clozel [AC89] for general linear groups, and the result of Shahidi [Sha97] on the non-vanishing of the twisted exterior square $L$-function at $s = 1$.

The method of using $p$-adic deformation is the main difference to the method of [Tay91], where the method of considering $p$-power congruences between $\Pi$ and forms of cohomological type is used instead. Even though the method of using $p$-adic deformation is less elementary, it has an advantage, namely one can prove a local-global compatibility statement for primes of $F$ not dividing $p$, up to semi-simplification, for the Galois representation associated to $\Pi$ (which is not of cohomological type), using the corresponding local-global compatibility statement for the Galois representations associated to cuspidal automorphic representations on $GSp_4(A_F)$ that...
are of cohomological type. The argument is a generalization of Chenevier [Che], and we rely on the work of Gan and Takeda [GT11a, GT11b], which gives a fairly explicit description of the local Langlands correspondence for $\text{GSp}_4$, and allows us to control the semi-simple part of the local Langlands parameter at primes not dividing $p$ in a $p$-adic family via Bernstein components. Here we are also using the results of Chan and Gan [CG2] to ensure that the local Langlands correspondence for $\text{GSp}_4$ constructed by Gan–Takeda is compatible with the trace formula lifting from $\text{GSp}_4$ to $\text{GL}_4$ in the framework of [Art, Art04]. We note that it seems difficult to obtain the full local-global compatibility statement (i.e. including the monodromy part) using the $p$-adic deformation technique.

Once we have a local-global compatibility (up to semi-simplification) statement for the Galois representation associated to $\Pi$, we can use a generalization of the argument of [BH07], again involving quadratic twists, to obtain the local-global compatibility statement, up to semi-simplification, for the Galois representation associated to the cuspidal automorphic representation on $\text{GL}_2(A_F)$ that we started with. Since base change arguments are used in the proof of local-global compatibility (up to semi-simplification), it is crucial that we are able to do the construction for a general CM field. Finally, the part of Theorem 1.2 on the crystalline property can be proved as in the work of Jorza [Jor10], using the results of K. Nakamura [Nak11] and Tan [Tan11] generalize results of Kisin to arbitrary $p$-adic local fields on analytic continuation of crystalline periods.\

On the lifting from $\text{GL}_2/E$ to $\text{GSp}_4/F$. To be precise, the relevant group is the algebraic group $H$ over $F$, whose group of $F$-points is given by

$$H(F) = \text{GL}_2(E) \times F^\times/\{(z \text{Id}_2, \text{Norm}_{E/F}z^{-1}), z \in E^\times\}.$$ 

We have $H \cong \text{GSO}(3,1)/F$ (the identity component of $\text{GO}(3,1)/F$). As before, $\pi$ is a cuspidal automorphic representation on $\text{GL}_2(A_E)$, whose central character $\omega_\pi$ satisfies condition (Char). Thus $\omega_\pi$ factors through the norm map $\text{Norm}_{E/F}$, and there are exactly two choices of Hecke characters $\tilde{\omega}$ of $A_E^\times$ through which $\omega_\pi$ factors, corresponding to extensions $\tilde{\pi}$ of $\pi$ to $H(A_F) \cong \text{GSO}(3,1)(A_F)$. As in [HST93], one can extend $\tilde{\pi}$ to $\text{GO}(3,1)(A_F)$ by considering auxiliary data (the choice of a suitable sign for each place of $F$). One can then consider the orthogonal-symplectic dual reductive pair $(\text{GO}(3,1)/F, \text{GSp}_4/F)$, and hence construct the theta lifting of $\tilde{\pi}$ (more precisely an extension of $\tilde{\pi}$ to $\text{GO}(3,1)(A_F)$) to $\text{GSp}_4(A_F)$. It is proved by Takeda [Tak11] (building on the work of Roberts [Rob01]) that the theta lifting is non-zero (when [HST93] was written, it was only known that given $\pi$, there is a sufficiently ample infinite set of quadratic Hecke characters $\eta$ of $A_E^\times$ such that the theta lifting construction applied to $\pi \otimes \eta$ is non-zero).

On the other hand $H$ is one of the elliptic $\epsilon$-twisted endoscopic group of $\text{GSp}_4/F$ (here $\epsilon$ is the quadratic idele class character of $A_E^\times$ corresponding to the quadratic extension $E/F$, hence a character of $\text{GSp}_4(A_F)$ via the similitude factor). In the work [Cha10], the lifting of $\tilde{\pi}$ to $\text{GSp}_4(A_F)$ is constructed as a trace formula lifting in the framework of $\epsilon$-twisted endoscopy (at least under some local conditions on $\pi$). In this paper, we will also deduce this lifting as a corollary of Arthur’s work on endoscopic classification of automorphic representation on $\text{GSp}_4(A_F)$.

---

1 The first draft of this paper was written in 2011; in the intervening years there has been significant progress in the construction of Galois representations attached to cohomological automorphic forms on general linear groups over totally real and CM fields. For the most up to date results the reader should refer to the works of Harris et al. [HLTT13] and Scholze [Sch13].
In this paper we crucially rely on Arthur’s works on endoscopic classification of automorphic representations for the group $\operatorname{GSp}_4$ (see [Art, Art04]). At the time of writing, the results in [Art, Art04] are still conditional on the stabilization of the twisted trace formula; however significant progress in this direction has been made by Waldspurger and others. We also note that in [Art] the results are worked out for symplectic and orthogonal groups, though it is sketched in [Art04] how the formalism and results in the setting of [Art] can be extended to cover the case of $\operatorname{GSp}_4$. Thus the results of this paper will be conditional on the results of Arthur in [Art, Art04].

**Organization of the paper**

In §2, we summarize the results from Arthur’s endoscopic classification of the discrete automorphic spectrum [Art, Art04] that we need in the following. We also record a consequence of Arthur’s results on base change of automorphic representation on $\operatorname{GSp}_4$ (which was already known to several earlier authors [Sha97, Sou05]).

In §3 we combine Arthur’s work with the result of Sorensen [Sor10], and Chenevier and Harris [CH13], to obtain results on existence of Galois representation associated to cuspidal automorphic representation on $\operatorname{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_F)$ of cohomological type, together with a local-global compatibility statement. The fact that Arthur’s results imply such statements on Galois representation is well known, but we record the statements here for reference.

Section 4 is the main technical core of the paper. The goal is to construct the Galois representation associated to cuspidal automorphic representation on $\operatorname{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_F)$, whose Archimedean components are in the holomorphic limit of discrete series. This uses the technique of $p$-adic deformation from [MT12]. We also prove a local-global compatibility statement up to semi-simplification for the Galois representation constructed, using the idea of Chenevier [Cha10], together with the results of Gan and Takeda [GT11a, GT11b] and Chan and Gan [CG2].

In §5, we apply the results in §4 to the construction of the Galois representation associated to a cuspidal automorphic representation on $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ of cohomological type, whose central character satisfies condition (Char), by the method of [BH07, Tay94]. We show that the lifting from $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ to $\operatorname{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_F)$ follows from Arthur’s results. The local-global compatibility statement, up to semi-simplification, follows from the results of §4, together with a generalization of the argument of [BH07]. With this comes the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

**Notation 1.4.** In general if $F$ is a number field, and $v$ a prime of $F$ (both finite and Archimedean), we denote by $F_v$ the completion of $F$ at $v$. We denote by $\text{art}_v : F_v^\times \rightarrow W_{F_v}^\phi$ the local reciprocity isomorphism at $v$, with $W_{F_v}$ being the Weil group of $F_v$. We generally denote by $\text{Frob}_v$ a geometric Frobenius element at $v$, and $\text{art}_v$ is normalized so that $\text{Frob}_v$ corresponds to a uniformizer of $F_v$. For $w \in W_{F_v}$, we denote by $|w|_v$ the absolute value of $w$ induced by the normalized absolute value on $F_v$ under $\text{art}_v$. If $F_v = \mathbb{R}$, then the isomorphism $W_{\mathbb{R}}^\phi \cong \mathbb{R}^\times$ is induced by sending $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times \subset W_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{C}^\times \cup \mathbb{C}^\times j$ to $|z|_{\mathbb{C}} := |z|^2$ (thus $z$ is the usual absolute value of a complex number), and $j \mapsto -1$.

If $v$ is finite and $w \in W_{F_v}$, then valuation of $w$ is defined to be the integer $r$ such that $|w|_v = Nv^{-r}$, here $Nv$ is the norm of $v$.

We denote by $\mathcal{L}_v$ the local Langlands correspondence for $\operatorname{GL}_n(F_v)$ associating an $n$-dimensional Frobenius semi-simple Weil–Deligne representation of $W_{F_v}$ to an irreducible admissible representation of $\operatorname{GL}_n(F_v)$. We use the normalization as in the works of Harris-Taylor and Henniart. In this paper we need to use the local Langlands correspondence for $\operatorname{GL}_n(F_v)$ when $n = 1, 2, 4$. We denote each of these cases by $\mathcal{L}_v$ as no confusion can arise.
We denote by $\mathbb{A}_F$ the ring of adeles of $F$, and by $| \cdot |_{\mathbb{A}_F}$ the adelic norm.

We denote by $G_L$ the absolute Galois group of any field $L$. If $E/F$ is a Galois extension, with $\tau \in \text{Gal}(E/F)$, then for any representation $\rho$ of $G_E$, we denote by $\rho^\tau$ the conjugation of $\rho$ by $\tau$, given by $\rho^\tau(g) = \rho(\tau g \tau^{-1})$ for $g \in G_E$.

If on the other hand $E$ and $F$ are local fields, and $\pi$ is an irreducible admissible representation of $\text{GL}_n(E)$, then we define the irreducible admissible representation $\pi^\tau := \pi \circ \tau$. We have a similar definition in the adelic context when $E$ and $F$ are number fields.

If $\rho$ is any $p$-adic representation of $G_F$ (with $F$ a number field or a local field), then for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we denote by $\rho(n)$ the Tate twist of $\rho$ by the $n$th power of the $p$-adic cyclotomic character. We employ the convention that the Hodge–Tate weight of the $p$-adic cyclotomic character is $-1$.

In this paper, the symplectic similitude group $\text{GSp}_4$ is defined with respect to the following skew-symmetric matrix

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & & & \\
 & 1 & & \\
 & & -1 & \\
& & & -1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

2. Resume on Arthur’s results on endoscopic classification of automorphic representation on $\text{GSp}_4$

For this section $F$ will denote a general number field. For any prime $v$ of $F$ (both finite and Archimedean), we denote by $F_v$ the completion of $F$ at $v$.

2.1 Local $L$ and $A$-parameters

We begin by discussing the local $L$ and $A$-parameters which are needed to state Arthur’s results. For more details the reader is referred to [Art04] or [Art, ch. 1].

For each of the local completions $F_v$, we let $L_{F_v}$ be the $\text{SL}_2$ form of the local Langlands group of $F_v$. Thus if $W_{F_v}$ is the Weil group of $F_v$, then

\[
L_{F_v} = \begin{cases} W_{F_v} & \text{if } v \text{ is Archimedean} \\ W_{F_v} \times \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]

For $\sigma \in L_{F_v}$, we denote by $|\sigma|_v$ the absolute value of the image of $\sigma$ in $W_{F_v}$.

Let $N \geq 1$ be an integer. Since we will be working with similitude groups, we consider the group $\tilde{G}_N := \text{GL}_N \times \mathbb{GL}_1$, as an algebraic group over $F$, whose Langlands dual group can be taken as $\tilde{G} = \text{GL}_N(\mathbb{C}) \times \text{GL}_1(\mathbb{C})$. The dual group $\tilde{G}_N$ is equipped with the automorphism $\tilde{\alpha}$, where

\[
\tilde{\alpha}((x, y)) = (x^* \cdot y, y) \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in \tilde{G}_N.
\]

Here $x^* = {}^t x^{-1}$ is (up to conjugacy) the unique outer automorphism of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$.

We denote by $\Phi_v(N)$ the set of admissible homomorphisms from $L_{F_v}$ to $\tilde{G}_N$ up to $\tilde{G}_N$-conjugacy

\[
\tilde{\phi} : L_{F_v} \rightarrow \tilde{G}_N.
\]

Elements $\tilde{\phi} \in \Phi_v(N)$ are called $L$-parameters of $\tilde{G}_N(F_v)$, and can be written in the form

\[
\tilde{\phi} = \phi \oplus \chi,
\]
where $\phi$ and $\chi$ are respectively $N$ and a one-dimensional representation of $L_{F_v}$. Thus $\chi$ is a character of $W_{F_v}$, which will consequently be identified as a character of $F_v^\times$ via local class field theory, and $\phi$ is an $L$-parameter for $GL_N(F_v)$ (in the usual sense). We also denote by $\Phi_{v,\text{bdl}}(N) \subset \Phi_v(N)$ the subset of parameters $\tilde{\phi}$ whose image in $\tilde{G}_N$ is bounded.

When $v$ is finite, so that $L_{F_v} = W_{F_v} \times SL_2(C)$, and $\phi : L_{F_v} \to GL_N(C)$ as above, we denote by $\phi^{ss} : W_{F_v} \to GL_N(C)$, the semi-simple part of $\phi$, as the homomorphism

$$\phi^{ss}(w) = \phi \begin{pmatrix} |w|^{1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & |w|^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } w \in W_{F_v}. \quad (2.1)$$

Now to define the $A$-parameters we enlarge the local Langlands group and form the group $L_{F_v} \times SL_2(C)$ (when $v$ is finite this extra $SL_2(C)$ factor of Arthur is not to be confused with the $SL_2(C)$ factor for monodromy action occurring in the definition of $L_{F_v}$). Denote by $\Psi_v(N)$, the set of $A$-parameters of $G_N(F_v)$, to be the set of admissible homomorphisms (again up to $\tilde{G}_N$-conjugacy)

$$\tilde{\psi} : L_{F_v} \times SL_2(C) \to \tilde{G}_N$$

such that $\tilde{\psi}|_{SL_2(C)}$ is algebraic, and that $\psi|_{L_{F_v}}$ has bounded image. Again such a $\tilde{\psi}$ can be written in the form $\psi = \psi \oplus \chi$, where $\psi$ is an $N$-dimensional representation of $L_{F_v} \times SL_2(C)$ such that $\psi|_{SL_2(C)}$ is algebraic, and $\chi$ is a character of $W_{F_v}$. As in [Art, Art04] since we do not yet know the generalized Ramanujan conjecture (for cuspidal automorphic representations on general linear groups), we have to work with the larger set $\Phi^+_{v}(N)$ consisting of $\tilde{\psi}$ as above but without the boundedness condition on $\psi|_{L_{F_v}}$. If $\psi \in \Psi_v(N)$ is trivial on $SL_2(C)$ (note that this is not the $SL_2(C)$ that occurs in the definition of $L_{F_v}$ when $v$ is finite), then by definition $\tilde{\psi} \in \Phi_{v,\text{bdl}}(N)$.

Given an $A$-parameter $\tilde{\psi} = \psi \oplus \chi$, we denote by $\phi_\psi : L_{F_v} \to GL_n(C)$ the homomorphism defined by

$$\phi_\psi(\sigma) = \psi \left( \sigma, \begin{pmatrix} |\sigma|^{1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & |\sigma|^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix} \right) \quad \text{for } \sigma \in L_{F_v}. \quad (2.2)$$

For $\tilde{\psi} = \psi \oplus \chi$ as above, we will refer to $\tilde{\phi}_\psi := \phi_\psi \oplus \chi$ as the $L$-parameter associated to the $A$-parameter $\tilde{\psi}$.

We will be interested in the parameters (both $L$ and $A$) that are stable under the automorphism $\tilde{\alpha}$. Thus if $\tilde{\psi} = \psi \oplus \chi \in \Psi_v(N)$, then $\tilde{\psi}$ is $\tilde{\alpha}$-stable if $\tilde{\alpha} \circ \tilde{\psi}$ is conjugate to $\tilde{\psi}$ under $\tilde{G}_N$, or equivalently the representation

$$\psi^* \otimes \chi : \sigma \mapsto \psi(\sigma^*) \cdot \chi(\sigma) \quad \text{for } \sigma \in L_{F_v} \times SL_2(C)$$

is equivalent to $\psi$ itself, and we refer to this as saying that $\psi$ is $\chi$-self dual. Similarly for (the simpler case of) $\Phi_v(N)$.

Let $\tilde{\psi} = \psi \oplus \chi$ be an $\tilde{\alpha}$-stable parameter. Thus $\psi$ is $\chi$-self dual and there exists $A \in GL_n(C)$ such that

$$\psi^* \otimes \chi = A^{-1} \psi A.$$

We say that $\tilde{\psi}$ is symplectic (respectively orthogonal) type, if $A^t = -A$ (respectively $A^t = A$).

We now specialize to the case $N = 4$. Put $G := \text{GSp}_4$ the algebraic group over $F$ given by the symplectic similitude group of a four-dimensional symplectic vector space over $F$, and we denote by $c$ the similitude character of $\text{GSp}_4$. The Langlands dual group $\hat{G}$ of $G$ is given...
by $\tilde{G} = \text{GSpin}_5(\mathbb{C})$, the similitude spin group in five variables. However, in this case we have the exceptional isomorphism

$$\text{GSpin}_5(\mathbb{C}) \cong \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C})$$

such that the standard four-dimensional spin representation of $\text{GSpin}_5(\mathbb{C})$ corresponds to the standard representation of $\text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C})$. We will used this identification in the following. We thus regard $\tilde{G}$ as a subgroup of $\text{GL}_4(\mathbb{C})$, and hence we have the embedding

$$\tilde{G} \hookrightarrow \tilde{G}_4 = \text{GL}_4(\mathbb{C}) \times \text{GL}_1(\mathbb{C})$$

$$g \mapsto (g, c(g)).$$

In the context of [Art, Art04] the group $G$ is an elliptic twisted endoscopic group of $\tilde{G}_4$ with respect to $\tilde{\alpha}$. We note that in the context of [Art, Art04] it is ‘more correct’ to think of $G$ as the similitude spin group $\text{GSpin}_{5/F}$. Indeed for general $N$ the group $\text{GSpin}_{2N+1/F}$ (and not $\text{GSp}_{2N/F}$ when $N > 2$) occurs as a twisted endoscopic group of $\tilde{G}_N$ with respect to $\tilde{\alpha}$. However since we have the exceptional isomorphism $\text{GSp}_{4/F} \cong \text{GSpin}_{5/F}$ it does not matter in this case.

We define $\Phi_v(G)$ to be the $\tilde{G}$-conjugacy classes of admissible homomorphisms $\phi : L_{F_v} \rightarrow \tilde{G}$, and $\Phi_{v,\text{bd}}(G)$ to be the subset of parameters with bounded image. Similarly $\Psi_v(G)$ is the set of $\tilde{G}$-conjugacy classes of admissible homomorphisms $\psi : L_{F_v} \times \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ whose restriction to $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is algebraic, and whose restriction $\psi|_{L_{F_v}}$ has bounded image (we also define the set of parameters $\Psi_v^+(G)$ without the boundedness condition on the restriction to $L_{F_v}$). These are the set of local $L$ and $A$-parameters of $G(F_v)$ respectively. Given $\phi \in \Phi_v(G)$, the composite of $\phi$ with the embedding $\tilde{G} \hookrightarrow G_4$ gives a $\tilde{\alpha}$-stable symplectic type parameter. In fact this identifies the set $\Phi_v(G)$ with the subset of (equivalence classes of) parameters in $\Phi_v(G)$ that are $\tilde{\alpha}$-stable and of symplectic type, cf. [GT11b, Lemma 6.1]. The same remarks apply to $\Psi_v(G)$.

Finally for future reference, given $\phi \in \Phi_v(G)$, we denote by $k_\phi$ the $L$-parameter of $\text{GL}_4(F_v)$ obtained by composing $\phi$ with the inclusion $G \hookrightarrow \text{GL}_4(\mathbb{C})$.

### 2.2 The local classification

Denote by $\Pi_v(G)$ the set of (isomorphism classes) irreducible admissible representations of $G(F_v)$, and $\Pi_v,\text{temp}(G)$ the subset of tempered representations. In this subsection we state Arthur’s result on the endoscopic classification of $\Pi_v(G)$.

Let $\psi \in \Psi_v(G)$. Put

$$S_\psi = \text{centralizer of the image of } \psi \text{ in } \tilde{G},$$

$$S_\psi^0 = S_\psi / Z(\tilde{G}).$$

We denote by $S_\psi$ the character group of $S_\psi^0$.

**Theorem 2.1 [Art, Art04].** Given any $\psi \in \Psi_v(G)$, there is a finite multi-set $\Pi_\psi$ (i.e. a set with multiplicities) consisting of irreducible unitary representations of $G(F_v)$, together with a map

$$\Pi_\psi \rightarrow \hat{S}_\psi$$

$$\pi \mapsto \langle \cdot, \pi \rangle$$

which is characterized by (twisted) endoscopic transfer.
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In the particular case where $\psi = \phi \in \Phi_{v,\text{bdd}}(G)$, then $\Pi_\phi$ is actually a set (i.e. with no multiplicities), and consists of tempered representations of $G(F_v)$. The map

$$\Pi_\phi \to \hat{S}_\phi$$

is injective, and bijective when $v$ is finite. We have

$$\Pi_{v,\text{temp}}(G) = \prod_{\phi \in \Phi_{v,\text{bdd}}(G)} \Pi_\phi.$$

For $\phi \in \Phi_{v,\text{bdd}}(G)$, the set $\Pi_\phi$ is called the $L$-packet associated to $\phi$. Thus Theorem 2.1 gives in particular a classification of $\Pi_{v,\text{temp}}(G)$ by partitioning $\Pi_{v,\text{temp}}(G)$ into $L$-packets corresponding to the set of $L$-parameters $\Phi_{v,\text{bdd}}(G)$, and it also describes the elements in the $L$-packet corresponding to $\phi \in \Phi_{v,\text{bdd}}(G)$ in terms of the characters of the component group $S_\phi$. In our case with $G = $ GSp$_4(F)$, the groups $S_\phi$ are either trivial or isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, so the size of the $L$-packets $\Pi_\phi$ is either one or two. We refer the reader to [Art, ch. 1] for the precise meaning of the packets $\Pi_\phi$ and the map $\Pi_\phi \to \hat{S}_\phi$ being characterized by twisted endoscopic transfer.

The usual Langlands quotient construction gives the construction of packets $\Pi_\phi \subset \Pi_v(G)$ for all $\phi \in \Phi_v(G)$. In the following we refer to this classification of $\Pi_v(G)$ as the local Langlands correspondence for $G(F_v)$, and we denote by

$$\text{rec}_v : \Pi_v(G) \to \Phi_v(G)$$

the surjective map sending $\pi \in \Pi_\phi$ to $\phi$. Under this correspondence, the central character of $\pi$ is equal to the similitude character of the parameter $\text{rec}_v(\pi)$ (as usual identified via local class field theory).

In our particular case with $G = $ GSp$_4$, another construction of the local Langlands correspondence for GSp$_4(F_v)$ is given by the works of Gan and Takeda [GT11a, GT11b], using the method of theta correspondence. We denote by

$$\text{rec}_{v}^{GT} : \Pi_v(G) \to \Phi_v(G)$$

the local Langlands correspondence as constructed in [GT11a, GT11b]. In Chan and Gan [CG2] it is shown that the local Langlands correspondence for GSp$_4$ constructed by Gan–Takeda coincides with that of Arthur, i.e. $\text{rec}_v = \text{rec}_v^{GT}$, by showing that the $L$-packets constructed by Gan–Takeda satisfy the local character relations as in the case of the packets constructed by Arthur.

Finally, for $\psi \in \Phi_v(G)$, the multi-set $\Pi_\psi$ (commonly called an $A$-packet in the literature) pertains to the global classification instead of the local classification, which we describe in the next subsection. We note that the $A$-packet $\Pi_\psi$ in general contains representations that belong to different $L$-packets (in the sense above). In the case where $v$ is finite and $\psi$ is an unramified parameter, in the sense that $\psi|_{L_{F_v}} : L_{F_v} \to \hat{G}$ is unramified (i.e. factors through the projection $L_{F_v} \to W_{F_v} \to W_{F}/(1/I_{F_v})$), then the fibre of the map $\Pi_\psi \to \hat{S}_\psi$ over the trivial character is the unique spherical representation in this packet with $L$-parameter $\phi_\psi$ (having no multiplicities). We refer to [Art, p. 44] for the extension of the construction of packets for parameters in the larger set $\Psi^+_v(G)$.

2.3 Formal global parameters and the global classification

In the absence of the global automorphic Langlands group, we define a global $A$-parameter formally [Art, Art04]. We return momentarily to the setting of the group $\tilde{G}_N = \text{GL}_N \times \text{GL}_1$
(over $F$). A global $A$-parameter for $\widetilde{G}_N$ is a formal expression $\widetilde{\psi} = \psi \oplus \chi$, where $\chi$ is an idele class character of $A_F^\times$, and $\psi$ is a formal unordered sum

$$\psi = \psi_1 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \psi_s$$

where each $\psi_r$ is a formal expression

$$\psi_r = \mu_r \boxtimes \nu_r$$

where $\mu_r$ is a cuspidal automorphic representation of $GL_{m_r}(A_F)$, and $\nu_r$ is an algebraic representation of $SL_2(C)$ of dimension $n_r$, such that $N = m_1n_1 + \cdots + m_sn_s$. If all the $\nu_r$ are the trivial representation of $SL_2(C)$, then we say that $\widetilde{\psi}$ is a generic parameter. If on the other hand $s = 1$, i.e. $\psi = \mu \boxtimes \nu$, then we say that $\widetilde{\psi}$ is a simple parameter.

We say that $\psi = \psi_1 \oplus \chi$ is $\alpha$-discrete if the $\psi_r$ are all distinct (that is, the pairs $(\mu_r, \nu_r)$ are distinct), and the cuspidal automorphic representations $\mu_r$ are $\chi$-self dual, i.e.

$$\mu_r^* \otimes (\chi \circ \det) \cong \mu_r,$$

here $\mu_r^*$ denotes the contra-gradient of $\mu_r$ (this is to mimic the definition of $\chi$-self duality for local parameters in the previous subsection; note that the finite-dimensional representations $\nu_r$ of $SL_2(C)$ are all self dual). We denote the set of such $\alpha$-discrete global $A$-parameters of $\widetilde{G}_N$ as $\Psi_{2,F}(N)$.

In general, given a cuspidal automorphic representation $\mu$ of $GL_m(A_F)$ that is $\chi$-self dual, i.e. satisfies $\mu^* \otimes (\chi \circ \det) \cong \mu$, we say that $\mu$ is of symplectic type (respectively orthogonal type) with respect to $\chi$, if the twisted exterior square $L$-function $L(s, \mu, \Lambda^2 \otimes \chi^{-1})$ (respectively the twisted symmetric square $L$-function $L(s, \mu, \text{Sym}^2 \otimes \chi^{-1})$) has a pole at $s = 1$. Under the condition that $\mu$ is $\chi$-self dual, these two cases are mutually exclusive (here and in the following, by $L$-function we only need to work with the partial $L$-function defined by Euler factors outside the set of primes where the data ramifies). Slightly more generally, for a formal expression $\mu \boxtimes v$, with $\mu$ a $\chi$-self dual cuspidal automorphic representation of $GL_m(A_F)$, and $v$ an algebraic representation of $SL_2(C)$ of dimension $n$, then we say that $\mu \boxtimes v$ is of symplectic type with respect to $\chi$, if either $n$ is odd and $\mu$ is of symplectic type with respect to $\chi$, or $n$ is even and $\mu$ is of orthogonal type with respect to $\chi$ (noting that an algebraic representation of $SL_2(C)$ of dimension $n$ is symplectic if $n$ is even and orthogonal if $n$ is odd). We similarly define the condition for $\mu \boxtimes v$ to be of orthogonal type, namely that either $n$ is odd and $\mu$ is of orthogonal type with respect to $\chi$, or $n$ is even and $\mu$ is of symplectic type with respect to $\chi$.

Then given $\widetilde{\psi} = \psi \oplus \chi \in \Psi_{2,F}(N)$, with $\psi = \psi_1 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \psi_s$ as above, we define $\widetilde{\psi}$ to be of symplectic type, if all the $\psi_r$ are of symplectic type with respect to $\chi$. One can similarly define the condition for $\widetilde{\psi}$ to be of orthogonal type.

We now specialize to the case $N = 4$. With $G = GSp_{4,F}$ as before, we define $\Psi_{2,F}(G)$ to be the subset of $\Psi_{2,F}(4)$ consisting of global $A$-parameters of $G_4$ of symplectic type, and $\Psi_{2,F}(G, \chi) \subset \Psi_{2,F}(G)$ to be the subset of parameters with similitude character $\chi$ (if the context is clear we will then denote a general element of $\Psi_{2,F}(G, \chi)$ as $\psi$ rather than $\widetilde{\psi}$). Given $\widetilde{\psi} = \psi \oplus \chi \in \Psi_{2,F}(G, \chi)$, one can define the component group $S_\psi$ formally, cf. [Art, §1.4]. If $\psi = \psi_1 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \psi_s$, then $S_\psi \cong \mathbb{Z}/2^{s-1} \mathbb{Z}$ (again in our case where $G = GSp_4$ the value of $s$ is actually either 1 or 2).

A parameter $\psi = \psi_1 \oplus \chi \in \Psi_{2,F}(G, \chi)$ has a localization $\widetilde{\psi}_v \in \Psi_{2,F}^+(4)$ for each place $v$ of $F$. Indeed if $\psi = \psi_1 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \psi_s$, with $\psi_r = \mu_r \boxtimes \nu_r$ as above, the local $v$-component $(\mu_r)_v$ of $\mu_r$ is an irreducible admissible representation of $GL_{m_r}(F_v)$ that is self dual with respect to $\chi_v$. Denote by $L_v((\mu_r)_v)$ the $L$-parameter corresponding to $(\mu_r)_v$ under the local Langlands correspondence for $GL_{m_r}(F_v)$. We then define the local parameter $\widetilde{\psi}_v$ (as an honest representation...
of \( L_{F_v} \times \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \))

\[
\psi_v = L_v((\mu_1)_v) \boxtimes \nu_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_v((\mu_s)_v) \boxtimes \nu_s,
\]

\[\tilde{\psi}_v = \psi_v \oplus \chi_v.\]

We then have \( \tilde{\psi}_v \in \Psi_v^+(4) \), and \( \psi_v \in \Phi_v(4) \) if \( \psi \) is generic.

In [Art, Art04] it is shown that if \( \tilde{\psi} \in \Psi_2(G, \chi) \), then \( \tilde{\psi}_v \in \Psi_v^+(G) \). Similarly if \( \psi \) is generic then \( \tilde{\psi}_v \in \Phi_v(G) \). One can also define a localization map on the component groups

\[ S_\psi \to S_{\tilde{\psi}_v}, \]

\[ s \mapsto s_v. \]

Given \( \psi \in \Psi_{2,F}(G, \chi) \), we then define the global packet \( \Pi_\psi \) associated to \( \psi \) as

\[
\Pi_\psi = \bigotimes_v' \Pi_{\psi_v} = \left\{ \pi = \bigotimes_v' \pi_v \mid \pi_v \in \Pi_{\psi_v} \text{ for all } v, \langle \cdot, \pi_v \rangle = 1 \text{ for almost all } v \right\}
\]

(as in the local case if \( \psi \) is not generic, then \( \Pi_\psi \) is to be regarded as a multi-set). If \( \pi \in \Pi_\psi \), then \( \pi \) defines the character \( \langle \cdot, \pi \rangle \) on \( S_\psi \) via \( s \mapsto \prod_v \langle s_v, \pi_v \rangle \) for \( s \in S_\psi \).

We can now state Arthur’s global classification of the discrete automorphic spectrum in the particular case of \( G = \text{GSp}_4 \). Denote by \( L^2_{\text{disc}}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F), \chi) \) the discrete spectrum of the \( L^2 \) space of (essentially) square-integrable functions on \( G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F) \) with central character \( \chi \).

**Theorem 2.2** [Art, Art04]. We have a decomposition

\[
L^2_{\text{disc}}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F), \chi) = \bigoplus_{\psi \in \Psi_{2,F}(G, \chi)} \bigoplus_{\pi \in \Pi_\psi} \pi_{\epsilon_\psi}
\]

where \( \epsilon_\psi \) is a certain sign character of \( S_\psi \) defined in terms of symplectic root numbers (which is trivial if \( \psi \) is a generic parameter).

In particular, if \( \psi \in \Psi_{2,F}(G, \chi) \) is a simple generic parameter, then both \( S_\psi \) and \( \epsilon_\psi \) are trivial, and so all the elements in the global packet \( \Pi_\psi \) occur in \( L^2_{\text{disc}}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F), \chi) \). In the case of \( G = \text{GSp}_4 \), we refer to [Art04] for a list of the possible types of parameters \( \psi \). The only case where \( \epsilon_\psi \) is non-trivial is the Saito–Kurokawa type.

For our purpose we will be mainly interested in the cuspidal subspace \( L^2_{\text{cusp}}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F), \chi) \). If \( \psi \in \Psi_{2,F}(G, \chi) \) is a simple generic parameter, and if \( \pi \in \Pi_\psi \), then \( \pi \) occurs in \( L^2_{\text{disc}}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F), \chi) \), then it is expected that \( \pi \) actually occurs in the cuspidal spectrum \( L^2_{\text{cusp}}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F), \chi) \). This statement is not recorded in [Art, Art04]. However for our applications, we have the following result of Wallach.

**Theorem 2.3** [Wal84, Theorem 4.3]. Suppose that \( \pi \) occurs in \( L^2_{\text{disc}}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F), \chi) \), and up to twist \( \pi \) tempered at all the Archimedean places of \( F \). Then \( \pi \) is cuspidal.

We now draw a corollary from Arthur’s classification Theorem 2.2 which will be needed in §4, on base change of automorphic representations on \( \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_F) \). Such a result has already been noted by Shahidi [Sha97] and Soudry [Sou05].
Proposition 2.4. Let \( \psi \in \Psi_{2,F}(G, \chi) \) be a simple generic parameter corresponding to a \( \chi \)-self dual cuspidal automorphic representation \( \mu \) on \( GL_4(\mathbb{A}_F) \). Suppose that \( F'/F \) is a cyclic extension. Assume that the Arthur–Clozel base change \([AC89]\) \( \mu' \) of \( \mu \) to \( GL_4(\mathbb{A}_{F'}) \) is cuspidal. Then \( \mu' \) defines a simple generic parameter \( \psi' \in \Psi_{2,F'}(G, \chi') \) (here \( \chi' = \chi \circ N_{F'/F} \)).

Proof. The base change lift \( \mu' \) of \( \mu \) exists by the main result of Arthur–Clozel \([AC89]\), which under assumption is a cuspidal automorphic representation on \( GL_4(\mathbb{A}_{F'}) \). That \( \mu' \) is self dual with respect to \( \chi' \) follows easily from the \( \chi \)-self duality of \( \mu \) (for example using strong multiplicity one and the relation between the Satake parameters of \( \mu \) and \( \mu' \) at the unramified places). All we need to check is that \( \mu' \) is of symplectic type with respect to \( \chi' \), i.e. that the twisted exterior square \( L \)-function \( L(s, \mu', \Lambda^2 \otimes (\chi')^{-1}) \) has a pole at \( s = 1 \). However we have the factorization: denoting \( C_{F'/F} := \mathbb{A}^\times_{F'}/F^\times N_{F'/F} \mathbb{A}^\times_F \), we have

\[
L(s, \mu', \Lambda^2 \otimes (\chi')^{-1}) = \prod_{\eta \in \hat{C}_{F'/F}} L(s, \mu, \Lambda^2 \otimes (\chi^{-1} \cdot \eta)).
\]

The term with \( \eta \) being trivial contributes a pole at \( s = 1 \), while for the other terms, the main result of \([Sha97]\) asserts that, since \( \mu \) is cuspidal, the twisted exterior square \( L \)-function \( L(s, \mu, \Lambda^2 \otimes (\chi^{-1}) \eta) \) is non-zero at \( s = 1 \). This concludes the result. \( \square \)

Remark 2.5. By \([AC89]\) the condition for \( \mu' \) to be cuspidal is that

\[
\mu \otimes (\eta \circ \text{det}) \not\asymp \mu \tag{2.3}
\]

for any non-trivial character \( \eta \) of \( C_{F'/F} \).

3. Galois representations attached to cusp forms on \( GSp_4(\mathbb{A}_F) \) of cohomological type

For the rest of the paper, \( F \) will denote a totally real number field. In this section we state the result on Galois representations attached to cuspidal automorphic representations on \( GSp_4(\mathbb{A}_F) \) of cohomological type that is needed for the constructions in §4.

3.1 Archimedean \( L \)-parameters

We begin by defining some Archimedean \( L \)-parameters. Recall that \( L_C = W_C = \mathbb{C}^\times \), and \( L_R = W_R = \mathbb{C}^\times \cup \mathbb{C}^\times j \), with \( j^2 = -1 \), and \( jzj^{-1} = z\overline{z} \) for \( z \in \mathbb{C}^\times \).

We first begin with the case of \( GL_2(\mathbb{R}) \) and \( GL_2(\mathbb{C}) \). For integers \( w, n \) with \( n \geq 0 \) and \( n \equiv w + 1 \) mod 2, define

\[
\phi_{w,n} : W_R \to GL_2(\mathbb{C})
\]

\[
z \mapsto |z|^{-w} \cdot \left( \frac{(z/\overline{z})^{n/2}}{(z/\overline{z})^{n/2}} \right) \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}^\times \tag{3.1}
\]

\[
j \mapsto \left( (-1)^n + 1 \right).
\]

When \( n \geq 1 \) the \( L \)-parameter \( \phi_{w,n} \) corresponds to the (essentially) discrete series representation of \( GL_2(\mathbb{R}) \) with central character \( a \mapsto a^{-w} \), and are cohomological representations. The case \( n = 0 \) corresponds to the limit of discrete series representation (up to twist).
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For $GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ we define the parameters $\phi_{w,n} : W_\mathbb{C} \to GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ (again with $n \equiv w + 1 \mod 2$) by the same formula

$$\phi_{w,n} : z \mapsto |z|^{-w} \cdot \left( \frac{z}{\overline{z}} \right)^{n/2} \cdot \left( \frac{z}{\overline{z}} \right)^{-n/2}.$$ 

When $n \geq 1$, the parameter $\phi_{w,n}$ corresponds to an irreducible admissible representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ which is cohomological (there are no discrete series representations for $GL_2(\mathbb{C})$).

Now we come back to $GSp_4(\mathbb{R})$. Let $w, m_1, m_2$ be integers such that $m_1 > m_2 \geq 0$ and $m_1 + m_2 \equiv w + 1 \mod 2$. We denote by $\phi_{(w;m_1,m_2)}$ the $L$-parameter given by

$$\phi_{(w;m_1,m_2)} : z \mapsto |z|^{-w} \cdot \left( \frac{z}{\overline{z}} \right)^{(m_1-m_2)/2} \cdot \left( \frac{z}{\overline{z}} \right)^{-(m_1-m_2)/2}$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ and

$$\phi_{(w;m_1,m_2)}(j) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & +1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

(3.2)

The image of $\phi_{(w;m_1,m_2)}$ lies in $\tilde{G} = GSp_4(\mathbb{C})$, with similitude character given by the character $z \mapsto |z|^{-2w}$, and $j \mapsto (-1)^w$. It thus defines an element of $\Phi_\mathbb{R}(G)$. The Archimedean $L$-packet of $GSp_4(\mathbb{R})$ corresponding to $\phi_{(w;m_1,m_2)}$ has two elements

$$\{ \pi^W_{(w;m_1,m_2)}, \pi^H_{(w;m_1,m_2)} \}$$ 

(3.4)

whose central character is given by $a \mapsto a^{-w}$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}^\times$.

The representation $\pi^W_{(w;m_1,m_2)}$ is the generic representation in the packet (with $W$ standing for Whittaker), while $\pi^H_{(w;m_1,m_2)}$ is the non-generic one. When $m_2 \geq 1$, both $\pi^W_{(w;m_1,m_2)}, \pi^H_{(w;m_1,m_2)}$ belong, up to twist, to the discrete series of $GSp_4(\mathbb{R})$ and are cohomological representations, with $\pi^W_{(w;m_1,m_2)}$ the generic discrete series and $\pi^H_{(w;m_1,m_2)}$ in the holomorphic discrete series (hence the superscript $H$). When $m_2 = 0$ the representations $\pi^W_{(w;m_1,0)}, \pi^H_{(w;m_1,0)}$ belong to the generic limit of discrete series, and holomorphic limit of discrete series respectively (again up to twist). These constitute up to twist the non-degenerate limit of discrete series of $GSp_4(\mathbb{R})$.

The representations $\pi^W_{(wm_1,m_2)}, \pi^H_{(wm_1,m_2)}$ (including both discrete series and non-degenerate limit of discrete series) are essentially tempered, and tempered when $w = 0$.

In the holomorphic case it is common to introduce the Blattner parameter

$$k_1 = m_1 + 1, \quad k_2 = m_2 + 2,$$ 

(3.5)

thus $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq 2$ and the cohomological condition corresponds to $k_2 \geq 3$. The parameter $(k_1, k_2)$ corresponds to the minimal $K$-type of the representation $\pi^H_{(wm_1,m_2)}$ (here $K = U(2)$ is the maximal compact subgroup of $GSp_4(\mathbb{R})$), given by the representation

$$Sym^{k_1-k_2} \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes (\text{det})^\otimes k_2.$$
3.2 Results on Galois representations

For the rest of this section II will denote a cuspidal automorphic representation of $\text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_F)$ with central character $\chi$. We make the following hypotheses on II: there is an integer $w \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that for all Archimedean places $v$ of $F$, the component of $\chi$ at $v$ is given by $\chi_v : a \mapsto a^{-w}$. Assume that for any such $v$, the component $\Pi_v$ is a (essentially) discrete series belonging to the $L$-packet defined by the parameter $\phi_{(w;m_{1,v},m_{2,v})}$ with $m_{1,v} > m_{2,v} \geq 1$ and $w + 1 \equiv m_{1,v} + m_{2,v}$ mod 2.

In this subsection we state the result on Galois representations associated to $\Pi$. The key case is when $\Pi$ belongs to a global simple generic parameter, so we begin with this case.

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose that $\Pi$ belongs to a simple generic parameter. Then for each prime $p$, there exists a continuous semi-simple Galois representation

$$R_p : G_F \to \text{GL}_4(\mathbb{Q}_p)$$

which is unramified outside the primes of $F$ dividing $p$ and where $\Pi$ is not spherical, and satisfy the local-global compatibility condition: for any prime $v$ of $F$, we have

$$t_p \, \text{WD}(R_p|_{G_{F_v}})^{F-ss} \cong k_* \text{rec}_v(\Pi_v \otimes |c|^3/2).$$

Furthermore at each place $v$ of $F$ dividing $p$, the representation $R_p$ is deRham. If we identify the embeddings $F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ with the Archimedean places of $F$ via $t_p : \mathbb{Q}_p \cong \mathbb{C}$, then the Hodge–Tate weights at the embedding corresponding to $v|\infty$ are given by

$$\{\delta_v, \delta_v + m_{2,v}, \delta_v - m_{1,v}, \delta_v + m_{1,v} + m_{2,v}\}$$

where $\delta_v = \frac{1}{2}(w + 3 - m_{1,v} + m_{2,v})$. If $\Pi_v$ is spherical at $v|p$ then $R_p$ is crystalline at $v$. Furthermore, denoting by $P^{\text{cris}}_{\Pi_v}(X)$ the inverse characteristic polynomial for the crystalline Frobenius on the crystalline representation $R_p|_{G_{F_v}}$, and by $Q_{\Pi_v}$ the inverse characteristic polynomial of the geometric Frobenius on the unramified Weil–Deligne representation $k_* \text{rec}_v(\Pi_v \otimes |c|^3/2)$, we have the equality

$$P^{\text{cris}}_{\Pi_v}(X) = Q_{\Pi_v}(X).$$

In the above we recall that if $\phi$ is an $L$-parameter of $G(F_v)$, then we denote by $k_* \phi$ the $L$-parameter of $\text{GL}_4(F_v)$ obtained by composing $\phi$ with the inclusion $\hat{G} \hookrightarrow \text{GL}_4(\mathbb{C})$.

**Remark 3.2.** Here we are identifying an $L$-parameter $\phi : L_{F_v} = W_{F_v} \times \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \to \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with the corresponding Frobenius semi-simple Weil–Deligne representation in the usual way: corresponding to $\phi$ is the Weil–Deligne representation $(r,N)$, where $r$, the semi-simple part of the Weil–Deligne representation, is given by the semi-simple part $\phi^{ss}$ of $\phi$ as in equation (2.1), i.e.

$$r(g) = \phi^{ss}(g) = \phi \left( g, \begin{pmatrix} |g|^1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & |g|^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

for $g \in W_{F_v}$, and the monodromy operator $N$ is given by

$$N = \log \left( \phi \left( 1, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \right).$$

**Proof.** This theorem is essentially proved in [Sor10], except that when [Sor10] was written, the results of Arthur [Art] and that of [BGGT12, BGGT, Car12b, Car12a, CH13] were not yet available, so extraneous hypotheses on $\pi$ were made in [Sor10]. So we just indicate a few main points.
(1) In [Sor10] II is assumed to be globally generic in order to apply the results of Jacquet–
Piateski–Shapiro–Shalika and Asgari–Shahidi [AS06] in order to lift II to an automorphic
representation II' on GL₄(𝔸ₚ) (assumed to be cuspidal). In our case, in the context of Arthur’s
global classification theorem, this assumption is not needed, and by definition the lifting II' is
given by the global parameter classifying II.

(2) In [Sor10] the local Langlands correspondence for GSp₄ as constructed by Gan and
Takeda [GT11a, GT11b] was used for the local-global compatibility statement, and where the
following fact is used [GT11b]: when II is globally generic the lifting II → II' (with II' being
cuspidal) is compatible with the local Langlands correspondence for GSp₄ constructed by Gan–
Takeda, and the local Langlands correspondence for GL₄, at all places. Again in our case in
the formalism of Arthur’s classification this is tautological, provided we use the local Langlands
 correspondence for GSp₄ as constructed by Arthur in the context of the local classification
theorem (of course in any case by the main result of Chan and Gan [CG2] these two give the
same local Langlands correspondence for GSp₄).

(3) The Galois representation Rₚ associated to II is by definition the Galois representation
associated to II'. The arguments of [Sor10] construct the latter if we use the result of [CH13]
in the argument of [Sor10]. The part of the local-global compatibility statement concerning the
monodromy operator in [CH13] is completed in the works [BGGT12, BGGT, Car12b, Car12a],
while the assertion on the equality of the crystalline Frobenius polynomial and the Hecke
polynomial when II is spherical at v|p is proved in [Che].

Remark 3.3. (1) As in [Sor10] a Baire category argument shows that the image of G_F lies in
GL₂(L) for some finite extension L of ℚₚ.

(2) For the applications in § 4 we will only use the local-global compatibility statement up
to semi-simplification at primes of F not dividing p.

(3) The main theorem of Bellaiche and Chenevier [BC11] gives the result that the image of
ρₚ lies in GSp₄(ℚₚ). For our purpose we do not need this more precise result.

(4) The irreducibility of Rₚ is now known by Calegari and Gee [CG1].

For completeness we state the result for Galois representations when the parameter classifying
π is not of simple generic type. This essentially reduces to the Galois representation associated
to cuspidal automorphic representations on GL₂(𝔸ₚ) of cohomological type, i.e. to Galois
representations associated to Hilbert modular forms.

We first consider the case where the parameter classifying II is still generic but not of simple
type (i.e. endoscopic type). In this case the parameter ψ = ψ ⊕ χ classifying II has the form
ψ = μ₁ ⊕ μ₂, where μ₁, μ₂ are distinct cuspidal automorphic representations of GL₂(𝔸ₚ) with central
character χ. In [Art04] it is called a parameter of Yoshida type. We can arrange μ₁, μ₂ so that
at any Archimedean place v of F, the L-parameter of μ₁ at v is given by ϕ(w;m₁,v,m₂,v), while
that of μ₂ is given by ϕ(w;m₁,v,m₂,v) (both are discrete series parameters since m₁,v > m₂,v ≥ 1).

It follows that both μ₁ and μ₂ are of cohomological type, and hence we can associate Galois
representations

ρ_{μᵢ, 있게} : G_F → GL₂(ℚₚ)

for i = 1, 2, such that for any prime v of F, we have the local-global compatibility statement

tp WD(ρ_{μᵢ, 있게}|G_{℘v})^{F-ss} ≅ L_v((μᵢ)_v ⊗ |det_v|^{-1/2}).

The Galois representations ρ_{μᵢ, 있게} are deRham at all places of v of F above p, and crystalline at
v if μᵢ is spherical at v (in this case of Yoshida type packet we have II_v being spherical if and
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only if both $\mu_1$ and $\mu_2$ are spherical at $v$). The Hodge–Tate weights of $\mu_1$ at an embedding of $F$ into $\overline{Q}_p$ that corresponds to an Archimedean place $v$ of $F$ (under $\iota_p : \overline{Q}_p \cong \mathbb{C}$) is given by

$$\{\gamma_v, \gamma_v + m_{1,v} + m_{2,v}\}$$

(3.8)

where $\gamma_v = \frac{1}{2}(w + 1 - (m_{1,v} + m_{2,v}))$. For $\mu_2$ the Hodge–Tate weights are given by

$$\{\gamma'_v, \gamma'_v + m_{1,v} - m_{2,v}\}$$

(3.9)

with $\gamma'_v = \frac{1}{2}(w + 1 - (m_{1,v} - m_{2,v}))$. It follows that if we define the Galois representation associated to $\Pi$ to be

$$R_p := \rho_{\mu_1,p}(-1) \oplus \rho_{\mu_2,p}(-1)$$

(3.10)

then all the assertions in Theorem 3.1 hold for $R_p$ in this case also (that the Hodge–Tate weights of $R_p$ are also given by (3.6) follows easily from (3.8) and (3.9)).

Finally we treat the case where the parameter $\psi = \psi \oplus \chi$ is not generic. In our case II is cuspidal. So if $\Pi$ belongs to the packet whose parameter is not generic, then $\Pi$ is a CAP representation, which were classified by Soudry [Sou90]. In our case where we assume that $\Pi$ is of cohomological type, the only possibility that can occur is the case where the parameter classifying $\Pi$ is of Saito–Kurokawa type, where $\psi$ is of the form

$$\psi = \mu \boxplus \lambda \boxtimes v(2),$$

where $\mu$ is a cuspidal automorphic representation of $GL_2(A_F)$ with central character $\chi$, and $\lambda$ an idele class character of $A_F^\times$ satisfying $\chi = \lambda^2$, and $v(2)$ is the algebraic representation of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ of dimension 2, cf. [Art04, p. 79]. Note that for any finite place $v$ of $F$, the local $L$-parameter $\phi_{\psi_v}$ of $G(F_v)$ associated to $\psi_v$ is given by (as in equation (2.2))

$$\phi_{\psi_v}(\sigma) = L_v(\mu_v)(\sigma) \oplus \chi_v(\sigma)|\sigma|^{1/2} \oplus \lambda_v(\sigma)|\sigma|^{-1/2}$$

(3.11)

for $\sigma \in L_{F_v}$.

In our case where $\Pi$ is cohomological the Archimedean $L$-parameter has to be in specific form: more precisely we must have

$$m_{1,v} - m_{2,v} = 1.$$ 

(3.12)

In particular since we have the condition $w + 1 \equiv m_{1,v} + m_{2,v}$ mod 2 we see that $w$ has to be even, and hence the idele class character $\lambda$ is an algebraic idele class character, and $\mu$ is of cohomological type, namely that for each Archimedean place $v$ of $F$, the $L$-parameter of $\mu_v$ is given by $\phi(w; m_{1,v} + m_{2,v}) = \phi(w; 2m_{1,v} - 1)$. We define the Galois representation $R_p$ associated to $\Pi$ to be

$$R_p := \rho_{\mu,p}(-1) \oplus \chi_p^{\text{Gal}}(-1) \oplus \lambda_p^{\text{Gal}}(-2),$$

(3.13)

where $\chi_p^{\text{Gal}}$ is the $p$-adic Galois character of $G_F$ associated to the algebraic idele class character $\chi$.

In the case where the parameter is not generic, then in general one does not have the full local-global compatibility statement, but only local-global compatibility up to semi-simplification: for any finite prime $v$ of $F$ not dividing $p$, we have

$$t_p \text{WD}(R_p|_{G_{F_v}})^{ss} \cong k_v \text{rec}_v(\Pi_v \otimes |c|^{3/2})^{ss}.$$ 

(3.14)

In order to establish (3.14) we first recall from §2.2 that when we have a local $A$-parameter $\psi_v$, then in general the local $A$-packet corresponding to $\psi_v$ will contain representations that belong to different $L$-packets. However, we still have the following proposition.
Proposition 3.4. Suppose $\psi$ is a global formal parameter of Saito–Kurokawa type. For each finite prime $v$, let $\psi_v$ be the local $A$-parameter of $\text{GSp}_4(F_v)$ given by the localization of $\psi$ at $v$. Denote as before by $\Pi_{\psi_v}$ the local $A$-packet classified by $\psi_v$. Then the semi-simple part of the $L$-parameters of the representations in the $A$-packet $\Pi_{\psi_v}$ have the same semi-simple part as that of $\phi_{\psi_v}$.

Proof. See Appendix A. \hfill \square

Hence by (3.11) and Proposition 3.4, we have

$$k_s \text{rec}_v(\Pi_v \otimes |c|_v^{-3/2})^s \cong \mathcal{L}_v(\mu_v \otimes |\text{det}|_v^{-3/2})^s \oplus \mathcal{L}_v(\lambda_v \cdot |\text{det}|_v^{-1}) \oplus \mathcal{L}_v(\lambda_v \cdot |\text{det}|_v^{-2}).$$ (3.15)

From this we see that (3.14) follows from the local-global compatibility statement for $\rho_{\mu,p}$ (as in (3.7)).

If $\Pi$ is spherical at $v$, then both $\mu$ and $\lambda$ are spherical at $v$, so $R_p$ is clearly crystalline at $v$ in this case. Finally one sees again (using (3.12)) that the Hodge–Tate weights are given by (3.6) (noting that the Hodge–Tate weight of $\lambda$ at the embedding of $F$ into $\overline{Q}_p$ corresponding to any Archimedean place $v$ of $F$ is given by $w/2$, while the Hodge–Tate weights of $\rho_{\mu,p}$ are given by the same formula as in (3.8)).

We summarize the discussion of this section as the following theorem.

Theorem 3.5. Suppose that $\Pi$ is a cuspidal automorphic representation on $\text{GSp}_4(A_F)$ satisfying the hypotheses in the beginning of § 3.2. Then for each prime $p$, there exists a continuous semi-simple Galois representation

$$R_p : G_F \to \text{GL}_4(\overline{Q}_p)$$

which is unramified outside the primes of $F$ dividing $p$ and where $\Pi$ is not spherical, and satisfy the local-global compatibility condition, up to semi-simplification: for any prime $v$ of $F$, we have

$$t_p \text{WD}(R_p|_{G_{F_v}})^{ss} \cong k_s \text{rec}_v(\Pi_v \otimes |c|_v^{-3/2})^{ss}.$$

If in addition $\Pi$ is classified by a global generic parameter (i.e. $\Pi$ is not a CAP representation), then we have the full local-global compatibility

$$t_p \text{WD}(R_p|_{G_{F_v}})^{F-ss} \cong k_s \text{rec}_v(\Pi_v \otimes |c|_v^{-3/2}).$$

Furthermore at each place $v$ of $F$ dividing $p$, the representation $R_p$ is deRham. If we identify the embeddings $F \hookrightarrow \overline{Q}_p$ with the Archimedean places of $F$ via $t_p : \overline{Q}_p \cong C$, then the Hodge–Tate weights at the embedding corresponding to $v|_{\infty}$ are given by

$$\{\delta_v, \delta_v + m_{2,v}, \delta_v + m_{1,v}, \delta_v + m_{1,v} + m_{2,v}\}$$ (3.16)

where $\delta_v = \frac{1}{2}(w+3-m_{1,v}-m_{2,v})$. If $\Pi_v$ is spherical at $v|p$ then $R_p$ is crystalline at $v$. Furthermore, denoting by $P_{\Pi,v}^{\text{cris}}(X)$ the inverse characteristic polynomial for the crystalline Frobenius on the crystalline representation $R_p|_{\text{G}_{F_v}}$, and by $Q_{\Pi,v}$ the inverse characteristic polynomial of the geometric Frobenius on the unramified Weil–Deligne representation $k_s \text{rec}_v(\Pi_v \otimes |c|_v^{-3/2})$, we have the equality

$$P_{\Pi,v}^{\text{cris}}(X) = Q_{\Pi,v}(X).$$
4. The case of holomorphic limit of discrete series

As in the previous section II is a cuspidal automorphic representation on $\text{GSp}_4(A_F)$, with central character $\chi$. As before we assume that there is an integer $w$ such that for any Archimedean place $v$ of $F$, the local component of $\chi$ is given by $\chi_v : a \mapsto a^{-w}$. In this section we assume that the local components $\Pi_v$ of $\Pi$ at all $v|\infty$ are given by either holomorphic discrete series or the holomorphic limit of discrete series, belonging to the $L$-parameter $\phi_{(w,m_1,v,m_2,v)}$, where $m_1,v > m_2,v > 0$ and $w+1 \equiv m_1,v + m_2,v \mod 2$ for all $v|\infty$. In particular it can be represented (up to twist) as a holomorphic Siegel–Hilbert modular form with Blattner parameter $(k_1,v,k_2,v)$ where $k_1,v = m_1,v + 1$, $k_2,v = m_2,v + 2$, for any $v|\infty$ (see equation (3.5)). For the applications in §5 we are mainly interested in the case where $\Pi_v$ belongs to the holomorphic limit of discrete series for all $v|\infty$. The goal of this section is to construct the four-dimensional $p$-adic Galois representation associated to $\Pi$, using ideas similar to [CH13], and to prove a local-global compatibility statement up to semi-simplification (at primes away from $p$), using the technique of $p$-adic deformation and the results on Galois representation associated to forms of cohomological type from §3.

Remark 4.1. A usual Cebotarev density and Brauer–Nesbitt argument shows that the semi-simple $p$-adic Galois representation associated to $\Pi$ depends only on the global packet to which $\Pi$ belongs. In particular the results of this section apply to $\Pi$ whose Archimedean components belong to a non-degenerate limit of discrete series (i.e. generic or holomorphic), as long as the packet containing $\Pi$ contains a representation that is a holomorphic limit of discrete series or holomorphic discrete series at all $v|\infty$. This will be the case for example when $\Pi$ is classified by a simple generic parameter (which is the case in §5).

First we make the following hypothesis.

**Hypothesis 4.2.** The representation $\Pi$ has Iwahori-fixed vectors at all primes of $F$ above $p$ with respect to the standard Iwahori subgroup $J_v \subset G(O_{F_v}) = \text{GSp}_4(O_{F_v})$.

Here the Iwahori subgroup $J_v$ is defined with respect to the standard Borel subgroup of $\text{GSp}_4$. If $\Pi$ satisfies Hypothesis 4.2 then in particular $\Pi$ satisfies the finite slope condition [MT12] at all primes of $F$ above $p$.

We need the following result from [MT12], which is a generalization of the result of Kisin and Lai [KL05] and Jorza [Jor10] to the Siegel–Hilbert case. To state the result we need some notation. For each finite $v$ denote by $\mathcal{H}^\text{sph}_v$ the spherical Hecke algebra of $G(F_v) = \text{GSp}_4(F_v)$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$, defined with respect to the hyperspecial maximal compact subgroups $K_v = \text{GSp}_4(O_{F_v})$. Choose a local uniformizer $\varpi_v$ of $O_{F_v}$ at each finite $v$. We also denote by $S_p$ the set of primes of $F$ above $p$, and

$$\mathcal{H}^p := \bigotimes'_{v \notin S_p} \mathcal{H}_v$$

with $\mathcal{H}_v$ the full Hecke algebra of $\text{GSp}_4(F_v)$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$.

Denote by $S$ a finite set of primes of $F$ outside of which $\Pi$ is spherical. Put $\mathcal{H}^{\text{sph},S,p} = \bigotimes'_{v \notin S \cup S_p} \mathcal{H}^\text{sph}_v$. We have the natural inclusion $\mathcal{H}^{\text{sph},S,p} \subset \mathcal{H}^p$ (elements of the former embed into the latter whose components at $v \in S \setminus S_p$ are given by the identity element of $\mathcal{H}^\text{sph}_v$). Also as in [Tay91] (cf. [Tay91, p. 316]) we have the following elements $T_v, S_v, R_v \in \mathcal{H}^\text{sph}_v$ for each
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finite $v$:

\[
T_v = K_v \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \varpi_v & \varpi_v \end{pmatrix} K_v
\]

\[
S_v = K_v \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_v & \varpi_v \\ \varpi_v & \varpi_v \end{pmatrix} K_v
\]

\[
R_v = K_v \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \varpi_v \\ \varpi_v & \varpi_v^2 \end{pmatrix} K_v + (1 - Nv^2)S_v.
\]

We now state the result on $p$-adic deformation. We note that the method of proof as well as the validity of the conclusion relies crucially on the assumption that $\Pi$ is of holomorphic type instead of being of generic type at the Archimedean places.

**Theorem 4.3 [MT12].** Under Hypothesis 4.2 on $\Pi$, there is a one-dimensional rigid analytic affinoid disk $D \subset A^1_L$ defined over some finite extension $L$ of $Q_p$ centered at the origin $0 \in D$, a ring homomorphism

\[
\beta : \mathcal{H}^{\text{sph},S,p} \to \mathcal{O}(D)
\]

and a Zariski dense subset $Z \subset D(L)$ accumulating at $0 \in D$, with the points $t \in D(L)$ corresponding to integers; identifying $Z$ as a subset of the integers one has $0 \in Z$ and $Z \subset \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, there exists a positive integer $h_0$, satisfying the following conditions.

1. We have $\beta(\mathcal{H}^{\text{sph},S,p}) \subset \mathcal{O}(D)^{\leq 1}$, where $\mathcal{O}(D)^{\leq 1} \subset \mathcal{O}(D)$ is the subring of elements with norm bounded by one.

2. For each $t \in Z$, there is a cuspidal automorphic representation $\Pi(t)$ on $GSp_4(A_F)$, with $\Pi(0) = \Pi$, such that $\Pi(t)$ is spherical outside $S \cup S_p$ and whose Archimedean components $\Pi(t)_v$ at an Archimedean place $v$ of $F$ is given by $\pi_H^{\text{w}}(u(t);m_{1,v}(t),m_{2,v}(t))$. Here

\[
w(t) = w + 2(p - 1) \cdot h_0 \cdot t, \quad m_{1,v}(t) = m_{1,v} + (p - 1) \cdot h_0 \cdot t, \quad m_{2,v}(t) = m_{2,v} + (p - 1) \cdot h_0 \cdot t,
\]

the central character of $\Pi(t)$ is given by a character $\chi(t)$, such that for any Archimedean place $v$ of $F$, we have $\chi(t)_v : a \to a^{-w(t)}$. Thus it is a ‘parallel weight’ deformation.

3. Define the polynomial $Q_v(X) \in \mathcal{H}^{\text{sph}}[X]$ as

\[
Q_v(X) = 1 - T_vX + (NvR_v + 2Nv^3S_v)X^2 - Nv^3T_vS_vX^3 + Nv^6S^2vX^4.
\]

Then for each $t \in Z$ (in particular including $t = 0$ where $\Pi(0) = \Pi$), and each $v \notin S \cup S_p$ we have, denoting by $ev_t : \mathcal{O}(D) \to L$ the evaluation map at $t$,

\[
ev_{t,v}^{-1}Q_v[X] = c_v^{-3/2}(Nv^{-(s+3/2)})^{-1}
\]

where $Q_{v,t}[X]$ is the inverse characteristic polynomial of the unramified Weil–Deligne representation $k_s \text{ rec}_v(\Pi(t)_v \otimes [c]^{-3/2})$ evaluated at the geometric Frobenius element $\text{Frob}_v$ at $v$ (in other words $Q_{v,t}(Nv^{-(s+3/2)})^{-1}$ is the standard local $L$-factor for $\Pi(t)$ with respect to the standard representation of $\hat{G} = GSp_4(C)$ at the spherical place $v$).
We note that in the context of Theorem 4.3, the points \( t \in \mathbb{Z} \) correspond in addition to the system of Hecke eigenvalues a choice of refinement data for \( \Pi(t) \) at primes \( v \) of \( F \) dividing \( p \). Since this extra data plays no role in the construction of the Galois representation associated to \( \Pi \) below, it is suppressed in the statement of the theorem above.

We now apply Theorem 4.3 to the construction of the Galois representation associated to \( \Pi \) when Hypothesis 4.2 is satisfied. We refer to [Tay91, §1] or [BC09, ch. 1] for the notion and basic property of pseudo-character (a brief discussion follows after Theorem 4.4 below).

**Theorem 4.4.** There is a continuous pseudo-character

\[
T : G_{F,S,p} \to \mathcal{O}(D) \leq 1
\]

of dimension 4, with \( G_{F,S,p} \) being the Galois group of the maximal extension of \( F \) unramified outside \( S \cup S_p \), such that for any prime \( v \not\in S \cup S_p \), we have

\[
T(\text{Frob}_v) = \beta(T_v)
\]

(4.6)

(The dimension of a pseudo-character is simply the value of the pseudo-character at the identity element).

**Proof.** The proof is a standard application of the theory of pseudo-characters, the results of §3, together with Theorem 4.3. See for example [BC09, Corollary 7.5.4].

We can also do slightly better. For this we need some notation (cf. [BC09, §§1.2.1–1.2.2]). In general if \( T : G \to A \) is a function on a group \( G \) (topological or otherwise) with values in some \( \mathbb{Q} \)-algebra \( A \), which is central (i.e. \( T(xy) = T(yx) \) for all \( x, y \in G \)), define, for each integer \( k \geq 0 \), the function

\[
S_k(T) : G^k \to A
\]

\[
S_k(T)(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \epsilon(\sigma)T^\sigma(x)
\]

(with the convention \( S_0(T) \equiv 1 \)). Here \( S_k \) is the symmetric group in \( k \) letters, and \( \epsilon(\sigma) \) for \( \sigma \in S_k \) is the sign of this permutation. The function \( T^\sigma : G^k \to A \) is defined as follows. Let \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in G^k \). If \( \sigma \) is a cycle, say \( \sigma = (j_1, \ldots, j_m) \), then set \( T^\sigma(x) = T(x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_m}) \) (well defined by the central property). In general if \( \sigma = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_r \) is the cycle decomposition of \( \sigma \) (including the cycles with one element) then we set \( T(x) = \prod_{i=1}^r T^{\sigma_i}(x) \) (in particular \( S_1(T) = T \)).

Then the identities defining \( T \) to be a pseudo-character of dimension \( m \) are:

1. \( T(xy) = T(yx) \) for all \( x, y \in G \);
2. \( T(e) = m \), where \( e \) is the identity element of \( G \);
3. \( S_{m+1}(T)(x) \equiv 0 \) for all \( x \in G^{m+1} \).

We have the following (cf. [BC09, §§1.2.1–1.2.2]): if \( \rho : G \to \text{GL}_m(A) \) is a representation, then

\[
\frac{1}{k!}S_k(T)(g, \ldots, g) = \text{tr}(\Lambda^k \rho)(g) \quad \text{for all} \ g \in G.
\]

Recall the elements \( T_v, S_v, R_v \in \mathcal{H}_v^{\text{sph}} \) as in equation (4.1).
4.5. With $T$ the pseudo-character as in Theorem 4.4, for any finite prime $v \notin S \cup S_p$ we have the identities

$$\beta(T_v) = S_1(T)(\text{Frob}_v),$$
$$\beta(NvR_v + 2Nv^3S_v) = \frac{1}{2}S_2(T)(\text{Frob}_v, \text{Frob}_v),$$
$$\beta(Nv^3T_vS_v) = \frac{1}{3!}S_3(T)(\text{Frob}_v, \text{Frob}_v, \text{Frob}_v),$$
$$\beta(Nv^6S_v^2) = \frac{1}{4!}S_4(T)(\text{Frob}_v, \text{Frob}_v, \text{Frob}_v, \text{Frob}_v).$$

Proof. This is proved by the same kind of argument used to prove Theorem 4.4, by using equations (4.4) and (4.7).

4.6. As before Hypothesis 4.2 is in force. There is a continuous semi-simple $p$-adic Galois representation

$$R_p : G_{F,S,p} \to \text{GL}_4(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$$

such that for any prime $v \notin S \cup S_p$, we have

$$P_v(X) = \xi_p^{-1}Q_v(X)$$

where $Q_v(X)$ is as in Theorem 4.3(3) with $t = 0$, i.e. the inverse characteristic polynomial of the unramified Weil–Deligne representation $k_v \text{rec}_v(\Pi_v \otimes |c|^{-3/2})$ evaluated at $\text{Frob}_v$, and $P_v(X)$ is the inverse characteristic polynomial of $R_p(\text{Frob}_v)$.

Proof. Denote by $T_0 := ev_0 \cdot T$ the $L$-valued pseudo-character of $G_{F,S,p}$ of dimension 4 obtained by composing $T$ with $ev_0$. By Taylor’s theorem [Tay91, Theorem 1] there exists a unique continuous semi-simple representation

$$R_p : G_{F,S,p} \to \text{GL}_4(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$$

such that $T_0 = \text{tr} R_p$.

Hence by equation (4.9) for any $g \in G_{F,S,p}$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{4} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} S_k(T_0)(g, \ldots, g)X^k = \sum_{k=0}^{4} (-1)^k \text{tr}(\Lambda^k R_p)(g)X^k = \text{det}(I_4 - R_p(g)X).$$

(4.10)

In particular this holds for $g = \text{Frob}_v$. We thus obtain the result by using Proposition 4.5 and equation (4.4) (with $t = 0$).

As usual Cebotarev density and the theorem of Brauer–Nesbitt implies that equation (4.9) characterizes the continuous semi-simple $p$-adic Galois representation $R_p$ associated to $\Pi$.

We now prove a local-global compatibility statement, up to semi-simplification and at primes away from $p$, for the Galois representation $R_p$ associated to $\Pi$, which can be regarded as generalization of (4.9) to primes where $\Pi$ is not spherical. The argument is a generalization of Chevèvre [Che] to the setting of $\text{GSp}_4$, which entails replacing the spherical Hecke algebra by the centre of a Bernstein component. Again Hypothesis 4.2 is in force.

Thus let $v$ be any finite prime of $F$ not dividing $p$. Let $\mathcal{B}_v$ be the Bernstein component of $G(F_v) = \text{GSp}_4(F_v)$ to which the irreducible admissible representation $\Pi_v$ belongs. The Bernstein component is indexed by $(M, \sigma)$, where $M$ is a Levi subgroup of $G$, and $\sigma$ is a supersingular representation of $M(F_v)$ (which we take as a base point of $\mathcal{B}_v$). We can choose a finite extension $E$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ such that the Bernstein component $\mathcal{B}_v$ is defined over $E$. 
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Denote by \( \mathfrak{z}_v = E[\mathcal{B}_v] \) the affine coordinate ring of \( \mathcal{B}_v \) over \( E \), the Bernstein centre of \( \mathcal{B}_v \). We can identify \( \mathfrak{z}_v \) as a commutative subalgebra of \( \mathcal{H}_v \otimes Q E \). For any irreducible \( \pi \) belonging to \( \mathcal{B}_v \), we denote by \( z(\pi) \) the character of \( \mathfrak{z}_v \) given by the action of \( \mathfrak{z}_v \) on \( \pi \) (more precisely on \( e^{\mathcal{B}_v} \pi \) where \( e^{\mathcal{B}_v} \in \mathcal{H}_v \otimes Q E \) is the idempotent corresponding to \( \mathcal{B}_v \)).

We need the following refinement of Theorem 4.3.

**Proposition 4.7** [MT12]. In the setting of Theorem 4.3, suppose that \( \Pi_v \) belongs to the Bernstein component \( \mathcal{B}_v \). Then we can take \( D_v \) and \( Z \subset D(L) \) and \( L/Q_\mathfrak{p} \) such that \( E \hookrightarrow L \), and such that for any \( t \in Z \), we can take \( \Pi(t) \) such that \( \Pi(t)_v \) belongs to the Bernstein component \( \mathcal{B}_v \). Furthermore, the ring homomorphism (4.2) (when restricted to \( \mathcal{H}^{\operatorname{sp}, \operatorname{S}^L\{v\}, \mathfrak{p}} \)) extends to a ring homomorphism

\[
\beta : \mathcal{H}^{\operatorname{sp}, \operatorname{S}^L\{v\}, \mathfrak{p}} \otimes \mathfrak{z} \mathfrak{z}_v \to \mathcal{O}(D)
\]

such that for any \( t \in Z \), the map

\[
ev_{t, \mathfrak{p}}(\beta|_{\mathfrak{z}_v}) : \mathfrak{z}_v \to L
\]

is the character \( z(\Pi(t)) \) giving the action of \( \mathfrak{z}_v \) on \( \Pi(t) \).

We first prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.8.** There exists a pseudo-character (of dimension 4)

\[
T^{\mathcal{B}_v} : W_{F_v} \to \mathfrak{z}_v = E[\mathcal{B}_v]
\]

such that for any irreducible \( \pi \) belonging to \( \mathcal{B}_v \), we have

\[
ev_{z(\pi), \mathfrak{p}} T^{\mathcal{B}_v} = \text{tr}(k_* \operatorname{rec}_v(\pi)^{ss}), \tag{4.11}
\]

where \( ev_{z(\pi)} \) is the evaluation map on \( \mathfrak{z}_v = E[\mathcal{B}_v] \) at \( z(\pi) \).

**Proof.** We need to use the description by Gan and Takeda [GT11a, GT11b] of the local Langlands correspondence \( \operatorname{rec}_v^{\Gamma \mathcal{T}} \) for \( \operatorname{GSp}_4(F_v) \), which by the result of Chan and Gan [CG2] is the same as the local Langlands correspondence \( \operatorname{rec}_v \) for \( \operatorname{GSp}_4(F_v) \) constructed by Arthur as in §2.2. For clarity we will use \( \operatorname{rec}_v^{\Gamma \mathcal{T}} \) to emphasize the dependence on [GT11a, GT11b] in this proof.

First consider the simple case where \( M = G \), thus \( \sigma \) is a supercuspidal representation of \( G(F_v) \). Then we have \( \mathcal{B}_v = \mathcal{G}_m \) (defined over \( E \)), identified as the group of unramified characters of \( F_v^\times \), hence of \( G(F_v) \) via the similitude character \( c \). Any \( \pi \) belonging to \( \mathcal{B}_v \) is of the form \( \sigma \otimes \xi \circ c \) (for an unramified character \( \xi \) of \( F_v^\times \)). Hence we can define for any \( g \in W_{F_v} \)

\[
T^{\mathcal{B}_v}(g)(\xi) := \text{tr}(k_* \operatorname{rec}_v^{\Gamma \mathcal{T}}(\sigma)^{ss}(g) \cdot \xi(art_v^{-1}(g)))
= \text{tr}(k_* \operatorname{rec}_v^{\Gamma \mathcal{T}}(\sigma \otimes \xi \circ c)(g)) \tag{4.12}
\]

(up to replacing \( \sigma \) by an unramified twist and enlarging \( E \) we can assume without loss of generality that \( k_* \operatorname{rec}_v(\sigma) \) is defined over \( E \)). Then \( T^{\mathcal{B}_v}(g) \in E[\mathcal{B}_v] \) for any \( g \in W_{F_v} \), and \( T^{\mathcal{B}_v} \) satisfies equation (4.13).

We next consider the case where \( M = M_Q \) is the Levi component of the Klingen parabolic subgroup \( Q \) of \( G \). In this case we have \( M_Q \cong \operatorname{GL}_{1/F} \times \operatorname{GL}_{2/F}, \) where

\[
(a, A) \in \operatorname{GL}_{1/F} \times \operatorname{GL}_{2/F} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & A \\ & a^{-1} \det A \end{pmatrix} \in M_Q.
\]

Take \( Y = \mathcal{G}_m \times \mathcal{G}_m \), identified as the group of unramified characters of \( M(F_v) \) via the identity on the factor \( \operatorname{GL}_{1}(F_v) \) and the determinant on the factor \( \operatorname{GL}_{2}(F_v) \). We can write the supercuspidal
representation $\sigma$ on $M_Q(F_v)$ as $\chi \boxtimes \tau$, where $\tau$ is a supercuspidal representation on $GL_2(F_v)$ and $\chi$ is a character of $F_v^\times$. The Weyl group $\Delta \subset Y \rtimes W_{M_Q}$ of this Bernstein component (here $W_{M_Q}$ is the Weyl group of $M_Q$ relative to $G$, which is of order two) can be defined as follows: we have the following action of $W_{M_Q}$ on $Y$, where the non-trivial element $w_Q$ of $W_{M_Q}$ acts via

$$w_Q \cdot (\xi_1, \xi_2) = (\xi_1^{−1}, \xi_1\xi_2) \quad \text{for } (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in Y$$

and hence we have the following action of $Y \rtimes W_{M_Q}$ on $Y$ by extending the multiplication action of $Y$ on itself, i.e.,

$$((\nu_1, \nu_2), w_Q) \cdot (\xi_1, \xi_2) := (\nu_1\xi_1^{−1}, \nu_2\xi_1\xi_2).$$

Then $\Delta$ is the subgroup of elements $((\nu_1, \nu_2), t)$ of $Y \rtimes W_{M_Q}$ such that

$$\tau \otimes \nu_1 \cong \tau, \quad \nu_2 = 1$$

when $t = e$, and

$$\tau \otimes \chi \cong \tau \otimes v_2, \quad \chi^2\nu_1 \equiv 1$$

when $t = w_Q$. Put $\tilde{B}_v = \Delta \setminus Y$. Then from the theory of Bernstein components we have a surjective map $B_v \to \tilde{B}_v$ with finite fibres. The Bernstein centre $E[B_v]$ is then given by the affine coordinate ring $E[\tilde{B}_v] = E[Y]^{\Delta}$ of $\tilde{B}_v$.

Now from Table 1 of [GT11a, §14] (the classification in this case follows from the work of Sally and Tadic [ST93]), we see that if $\pi$ belongs to this Bernstein component then there are three possibilities (in the notation of [ST93]), here below $(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in Y$:

1. $\pi = J_Q(\chi\xi_1, \tau \otimes \xi_2)$ with $\chi\xi_1 \neq 1$;
2. $\pi$ is an irreducible subrepresentation of $I_Q(1, \tau \otimes \xi_2)$ (i.e. $\chi\xi_1$ is trivial; in this case $I_Q(1, \tau \otimes \xi_2)$ is semi-simple);
3. $\pi = \text{St}(\chi_0', \tau_0')$, where $\tau_0' = \tau \otimes \xi_2|_{v_0}^{1/2}$, while $\chi_0' := \chi\xi_1 \cdot | \cdot |_v^{-1}$ is non-trivial and satisfies $\tau_0' \otimes \chi_0' \cong \tau_0'$ and $(\chi_0')^2 = 1$ (see [GT11a, Lemma 5.1]).

One sees from [GT11a, Lemma 5.1], together with the recipe for $L$-parameter in [GT11a, §13], that in all the above cases, the semi-simple part of the $L$-parameter $k_* \text{rec}_v^{GT}(\pi)$ has the same semi-simple part as

$$L_v(\tau \otimes \chi_1\xi_2) \oplus L_v(\tau \otimes \xi_2). \quad (4.13)$$

In fact in cases (1) and (2), the $L$-parameter is given by (4.13), while in case (3), the $L$-parameter is given by $L_v(\tau_0') \boxtimes v(2)$, where $v(2)$ is the two-dimensional algebraic representation for $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ (here this is the $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ of $L_{F_v} = W_{F_v} \times SL_2(\mathbb{C})$). One sees immediately using (2.1) and the condition in case (3) that it has the same semi-simple part as the parameter (4.13).

Hence in this case we can define $T^{B_v}(g)$ for any $g \in W_{F_v}$ by the rule: for any $(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in Y$,

$$T^{B_v}(g)(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \text{tr} L_v(\tau \otimes \chi)(g) \cdot \xi_1\xi_2(\text{art}_v^{-1}(g)) + \text{tr} L_v(\tau)(g) \cdot \xi_2(\text{art}_v^{-1}(g))$$

(again by replacing $\tau, \chi$ by unramified twists and enlarging $E$ we may assume that $L_v(\tau)$ and $L_v(\tau \otimes \chi)$ are defined over $E$) which is easily seen to be (as a function on $Y$) in $E[Y]^{\Delta} = E[\tilde{B}_v]$. It is a pseudo-character because for any $z \in B_v$, we have $ev_{z,*}T^{B_v}$ is the trace of a representation.

Next we consider the case where $M = M_P$ is the Levi component of the Siegel parabolic subgroup. One has $M_P \cong GL_2/F \times GL_1/F$, where

$$(A, a) \in GL_2/F \times GL_1/F \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} A & a \\ a \cdot (A)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in M_P.$$
C. P. Mok

Again take $Y = \mathbf{G}_m \times \mathbf{G}_m$, identified as the group of unramified characters of $M_P(F_v)$ via the determinant on the factor $\text{GL}_2(F_v)$ and the identity on the factor $\text{GL}_1(F_v)$. We can write the supercuspidal representation $\sigma$ on $M_P(F_v)$ as $\tau \boxtimes \chi$, where $\tau$ is a supercuspidal representation on $\text{GL}_2(F_v)$ and $\chi$ is a character of $F_v^\times$. The Weyl group $\Delta \subset Y \rtimes W_{M_P}$ of this Bernstein component (here $W_{M_P}$ is the Weyl group of $M_P$ relative to $G$ which is again of order two) can be defined similarly to the Klingen case: define the action of $W_{M_P}$ on $Y$ where the non-trivial element $w_P$ of $W_{M_P}$ acts via

$$w_P \cdot (\xi_1, \xi_2) = (\xi_1^{-1}, \xi_1^2 \xi_2) \quad \text{for} \quad (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in Y$$

and as before extend this to an action of $Y \rtimes W_{M_P}$ on $Y$.

Then $\Delta$ is the subgroup of elements $((\nu_1, \nu_2), t)$ of $Y \rtimes W_{M_P}$ such that

$$\tau \otimes \nu_1 \cong \tau, \quad \nu_2 = 1$$

when $t = e$, and

$$\nu_2 = \omega_\tau, \quad \tau \otimes \omega_\tau \nu_1 \cong \tau$$

(which implies in particular that $(\omega_\tau \nu_1)^2 = 1$) when $t = w_P$. We have a surjective map from $B_v$ to $B_v^\circ := \Delta \setminus Y$ with finite fibres, and as in the previous case $E[B_v] = E[B_v^\circ] = E[Y]^\Delta$.

From Table 1 of [GT11a, §4.14] (again, this follows from the work of [ST93]), we see that if $\pi$ belongs to this Bernstein component then there are two possibilities (in the notation of [ST93]), here again $((\xi_1, \xi_2)) \in Y$:

1. $\pi = J_P(\tau \otimes \xi_1, \xi_2)$;
2. $\pi = \text{St}(\tau_0', \chi_0')$, where $\tau_0' = \tau \otimes \xi_1 | \det v^{-1}/2$, while $\chi_0' := \chi \xi_2 \cdot | v^{-1}/2$, such that $\tau_0'$ has trivial central character (see [GT11a, Lemma 5.2]).

In case (1) the $L$-parameter $k_v \text{rec}_v^{\text{CT}}(\pi)$ is given by [GT11a, Lemma 5.2] by

$$(\omega_\tau \chi \xi_1^2 \xi_2) \circ \text{art}_v^{-1} \oplus L_v(\tau \otimes \chi \xi_1 \xi_2) \oplus (\chi \xi_2) \circ \text{art}_v^{-1}$$

(4.14)
while in case (2) the $L$-parameter is given by

$L_v(\tau_0' \otimes \chi_0') \oplus \chi_0' \otimes v(2)$

which again has the same semi-simple part as (4.14).

Hence in this case we can define $T_{B_v}(g)$ for any $g \in W_{F_v}$ by the rule: for any $(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in Y$,

$$T_{B_v}(g)(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \omega_\tau \chi(\text{art}_v^{-1}(g)) \cdot \xi_1^2 \xi_2 \chi(\text{art}_v^{-1}(g)) + \text{tr} L_v(\tau \otimes \chi)(g) \cdot \xi_1 \xi_2$$

(4.15)

which is in $E[Y]^\Delta = E[B_v]$ as is easily seen from the rule giving the action of $\Delta$. Again this defines a pseudo-character.

Finally we consider the case where $M$ is the maximal torus $T$ of $G$ (i.e. the parabolic in this case is the Borel subgroup $B$ of $G$). We have $T \cong \text{GL}_{1/F} \times \text{GL}_{1/F} \times \text{GL}_{1/F}$, where

$$(a, b; t) \in \text{GL}_{1/F} \times \text{GL}_{1/F} \times \text{GL}_{1/F}$$

$$\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ c & b & a \\ d & e & f \end{pmatrix} \in T.$$  

The supercuspidal representation $\sigma$ on $M(F_v) = T(F_v)$ is thus given by a character $\chi_1 \boxtimes \chi_2 \boxtimes \chi$, where $\chi_1, \chi_2$ and $\chi$ are characters on $\text{GL}_{1/F}$. 
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Galois representations attached to forms on \( GL_2 \)

In this case we have \( Y = G_m \times G_m \times G_m \), and we can similarly define the Weyl group \( \Delta \subset Y \times W \) of this Bernstein component (here \( W = W_T \) is the usual Weyl group of \( G \)).

From Table 1 of [GT11a, §14] we see that if \( \pi \) belongs to this Bernstein component, then there are 5 possibilities (here below \((\xi_1,\xi_2;\xi) \in Y\)):

1. \( \pi = J_B(\chi_1\xi_1,\chi_2\xi_2;\chi\xi) \);
2. \( \pi = J_Q(\bar{\chi},\tau) \), where \( \bar{\chi} \neq 1 \), and \( \tau \) is a twisted Steinberg representation of \( GL_2(F_v) \) of the form \( \tau = \text{St}_{GL_2} \otimes \chi^0 \) (here \( \bar{\chi} \) and \( \chi^0 \) are characters of \( GL_1(F_v) \), satisfying: \( \chi_1\xi_1 = \bar{\chi} \), \( \chi_2\xi_2 = |\cdot|^{-1}_v \cdot \chi^0 \cdot |\cdot|^{1/2}_v \));
3. \( \pi \) is an irreducible subrepresentation of the semi-simple induced representation \( I_Q(1,\tau) \) with \( \tau \) a twisted Steinberg representation \( \tau = \text{St}_{GL_2} \otimes \chi^0 \), such that \( \chi_1\xi_1 = 1 \), \( \chi_2\xi_2 = |\cdot|^{-1}_v \cdot \chi^0 \cdot |\cdot|^{1/2}_v \);
4. \( \pi = J_F(\tau,\chi \xi) \) with \( \tau \) a twisted Steinberg representation of \( GL_2(F_v) \) given by \( \tau = \text{St}_{GL_2} \otimes \chi^0 \) (here \( \chi^0 \) is a character of \( GL_1(F_v) \) such that \( \chi_1\xi_1 = |\cdot|^{-1}_v \cdot \chi^0 \cdot |\cdot|^{1/2}_v \), and \( \chi_2\xi_2 = \chi^0 \cdot |\cdot|^{1/2}_v \));
5. \( \pi = \text{St}_{GSp_4} \otimes \chi \), a twisted Steinberg representation of \( GSp_4(F_v) \) (cf. [GT11a, Lemma 5.1]).

In each of the above cases we see that \( \pi \) belongs to the Bernstein component \((T,\chi_1\otimes \chi_2\otimes \chi)\) using induction by stages. Furthermore from [GT11a, Lemma 5.1] we check directly that in each of these cases, the semi-simple part of the \( L \)-parameter is given by

\[
((1 \oplus \chi_1\xi_1 \oplus \chi_2\xi_2 \oplus \chi_1\chi_2\xi_1\xi_2) \cdot \chi \xi) \circ \text{art}_v^{-1}.
\]

(In case (5) above we have \( \chi_1\xi_1 = |\cdot|^{-2}_v \), \( \chi_2\xi_2 = |\cdot|^{-1}_v \cdot \xi = |\cdot|^{-3/2}_v \)).

Hence we can take, for \( g \in W_{F_v} \), and \((\xi_1,\xi_2;\xi) \in Y\),

\[
T_{B_v}(g)(\xi_1,\xi_2;\xi) = ((1 + \chi_1\xi_1 + \chi_2\xi_2 + \chi_1\chi_2\xi_1\xi_2) \cdot \chi \xi) \circ \text{art}_v^{-1}. \tag{4.16}
\]

We have \( T_{B_v}(g) \in E[Y] \), and we needs to show that it lies in \( E[B_v] \), i.e. that (4.16) is invariant under the action of \( \Delta \). Since this involves no new ideas, we leave the verification to the reader.

Remark 4.9. The proof of Theorem 4.8, together with Propositions 3.4 and 4.10, is the only place where we are using the explicit description of \( L \)-parameters for \( GSp_4 \). It would be of interest to have proof of these results without case by case enumeration.

For later use we also draw one corollary from the work of Gan–Takeda.

Proposition 4.10. Suppose that \( \phi \) is an \( L \)-parameter for \( GSp_4(F_v) \) whose semi-simple part is unramified. Then there is a representation in the \( L \)-packet classified by \( \phi \) that has Iwahori-fixed vectors.

Proof. This again follows from the description of the \( L \)-parameters for \( GSp_4 \) given in Table 1 of [GT11a, §14], using the fact that \( \pi \) has Iwahori-fixed vectors if and only if it is a subquotient of the parabolic induction from the Borel subgroup \( B \) with unramified induction data. Furthermore, we see from [GT11a, §14] that in fact if the semi-simple part of \( \phi \) is unramified, then all the representations in the packet of \( \phi \) have Iwahori-fixed vectors, except in the case where \( \phi \) has the form

\[
\phi = \lambda_1 \cdot v(2) \oplus \lambda_2 \cdot v(2)
\]

where \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \) are distinct unramified characters of \( GL_1(F_v) \) such that \( \lambda_1^2 = \lambda_2^2 \), in which case the \( L \)-packet corresponding to \( \phi \) contains a supercuspidal non-generic representation, while the generic representation in the packet is given by (notation as in the proof of Theorem 4.8) \( J_Q(\lambda_1\lambda_2^{-1}, \text{St}_{GL_2}) \otimes \lambda_2 \), which has Iwahori-fixed vectors. \( \square \)
With Proposition 4.7 and Theorem 4.8, we can now prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.11.** Let $R_p$ be the Galois representation associated to $\Pi$ as in Theorem 4.6. Assume Hypothesis 4.2. Then for any finite prime $v$ of $F$ not dividing $p$, we have local-global compatibility up to semi-simplification

$$
\iota_p \text{WD}(R_p|_{G_{F_v}})^{ss} \cong k_\ast \text{rec}_v(\Pi_v \otimes |v|^{-3/2})^{ss}.
$$

(4.17)

Furthermore, if we identify the set of embeddings of $F$ into $\overline{Q}_p$ with the set of Archimedean places of $F$ via $\iota_p : \overline{Q}_p \cong \mathbb{C}$, then the Hodge–Tate–Sen weights of $R_p$ at the embedding corresponding to $v|\infty$ is given by the same formula as (3.6), i.e.

$$\{\delta_v, \delta_v + m_{2,v}, \delta_v + m_{1,v}, \delta_v + m_{1,v} + m_{2,v}\}
$$

with

$$\delta_v = \frac{1}{2}(w + 3 - m_{1,v} - m_{2,v}).$$

**Proof.** We follow the arguments of [Che]. As above denote by $B_v$ the Bernstein component of $\text{GSp}_4(F_v)$ to which $\Pi_v$ belongs, and assume that $B_v$ is defined over some finite extension $E$ of $Q$. And we are in the setting where Theorem 4.3 and Proposition 4.7 apply. In particular the finite extension $L/Q_p$ is chosen large enough so that $E \hookrightarrow L$. Denote by

$$\tilde{T}^{B_v} : W_{F_v} \to \mathcal{O}(D)$$

the pseudo-character defined by the rule: for any $g \in W_{F_v}$,

$$\tilde{T}^{B_v}(g) := \beta(T^{B_v}(g)) \cdot |g|^{-3/2},$$

where $T^{B_v} : W_{F_v} \to \mathfrak{g}_v$ is the pseudo-character as in Theorem 4.8. Then by construction, for any $t \in Z \subset D(L)$, we have

$$ev_{t \ast} \tilde{T}^{B_v} = \iota_p^{-1} \text{tr}(k_\ast \text{rec}_v(\Pi(t)_v \otimes |v|^{-3/2})^{ss}).$$

On the other hand recall the pseudo-character $T : G_{F,S,p} \to \mathcal{O}(D)$ of Theorem 4.4. We continue to denote by $T$ the pseudo-character obtained by pre-composing $T$ with the map $G_F \to G_{F,S,p}$. By [BC09, Lemma 7.8.11], there exists a reduced quasi-compact separated rigid analytic space $\mathcal{Y}$ and a morphism $f : \mathcal{Y} \to D$ satisfying the following properties.

1. The morphism $f$ is proper and surjective.
2. There exists an admissible open $U \subset D$ that is Zariski dense and such that $f^{-1}(U) \to U$ is finite etale.
3. There exists a locally free $\mathcal{O}_Y$-module $M$ of rank four, with a continuous linear action of $G_F$, whose trace is given by the pull-back of $T$ by $f$. For any $y \in \mathcal{Y}$, we denote by $M_y$ the evaluation of $M$ at $y$ (a four-dimensional representation of $G_F$ over the residue field $L(y)$ of $\mathcal{O}_Y$ at $y$).
4. For any $y \in f^{-1}(U)$, the representation $M_y$ is semi-simple.

In particular $Z' := f^{-1}(U \cap (Z \setminus \{0\}))$ is Zariski dense in $\mathcal{Y}$. We also see by conditions (3) and (4) for any $z \in Z'$ with $t = f(z)$, we have $M_z \cong R_{t,p}$ as a representation of $G_F$ (recall that $R_{t,p}$ is the Galois representation associated to $\Pi(t)$), and if $y_0 \in \mathcal{Y}$ with $f(y) = 0 \in Z \subset D$, then $M_{y_0}^{ss} \cong R_p$.

Now by [BC09, Lemma 7.8.14], the action of $G_{F_v} \hookrightarrow G_F$ on $M$ admits a Weil–Deligne representation $\text{WD}(M|_{G_{F_v}})$. By functoriality of the construction of Weil–Deligne representation we have $\text{WD}(M|_{G_{F_v}})_y \cong \text{WD}(M_y|_{G_{F_v}})$ for any $y \in \mathcal{Y}$.
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Now denote by $T^{WD_v}: W_{F_v} \to \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Y})$ the $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Y})$-valued pseudo-character given by $T^{WD_v} = \text{tr} WD(M|_{G_{F_v}})^{ss}$. We claim that

$$T^{WD_v} = (f^\#)_v T_{SS_v}$$

where $f^\# : \mathcal{O}(D) \to \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Y})$ is the map induced by $f$. Indeed, by Zariski density it suffices to prove this equality evaluated at any point $z \in Z'$. But if $z \in Z'$ with $t = f(z) \in Z \backslash \{0\}$, then

$$ev_{z,v} T^{WD_v} = \text{tr}(WD(M_{z}|_{G_{F_v}})^{ss}) = \text{tr}(WD(R_{t,p}|_{G_{F_v}})^{ss}).$$

Since $\Pi(t)$ is cohomological, we have by Theorem 3.5 that

$$\text{tr}(WD(R_{t,p}|_{G_{F_v}})^{ss}) = \tau_p^{-1} \text{tr}(\text{rec}_v(\Pi(t), v \otimes |c|_v^{-3/2})^{ss})$$

which is equal to $ev_{t,v} T_{SS_v}$ by the above, which is exactly what we want to prove.

In particular choose $y_0 \in \mathcal{Y}$ such that $f(y) = 0 \in Z \subset D$. Then evaluating the equality $T^{WD_v} = (f^\#)_v T_{SS_v}$ at $y_0$ we obtain

$$\text{tr}(WD(R_{p}|_{G_{F_v}})^{ss}) = \text{tr}(WD(M_{y_0}|_{G_{F_v}})^{ss})$$

$$= \text{tr}(WD(M_{y_0}|_{G_{F_v}})^{ss}) = ev_{y_0,v} T^{WD_v} = ev_{y_0,v} (f^\#)_v T_{SS_v} = ev_{0,v} T_{SS_v}.$$

We hence conclude (4.17) by Brauer–Nesbitt.

We now prove the assertion on Hodge–Tate–Sen weights. We identify the set of embeddings of $F$ into $\overline{Q}_p$ with the Archimedean places of $F$ via $\iota_p : \overline{Q}_p \cong C$. Let $v$ be an Archimedean place of $F$, and denote by $p$ the prime of $F$ above $p$ that is associated to the embedding to $F$ into $\overline{Q}_p$ corresponding to $v$. We assume without loss of generality that $L$ is chosen large enough so that $L$ contains all the Galois conjugates of $F_p$ over $Q_p$.

For each $y \in \mathcal{Y}$, denote by $P_{\text{sen}}(X,y) \in L(y)[X]$ the Sen polynomial at $v$ (in the indeterminate $X$) of the representation $M_y|_{G_{F_v}}$ restricted to $G_{F_v}$, whose roots are the Hodge–Tate–Sen weights of $M_y|_{G_{F_v}}$ at the embedding $F \hookrightarrow \overline{Q}_p$ corresponding to $v$. By Sen’s theory [Sen93], there exists a polynomial

$$P_v(X) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Y})[X]$$

such that for any $y \in \mathcal{Y}$, we have $ev_{y,v} P_v(X) = P_{\text{sen}}(X,y)$.

On the other hand, consider the polynomial $\tilde{P}_v(X) \in \mathcal{O}(D)[X]$ defined by

$$\tilde{P}_v(X) := \prod_{i=1}^4 (X - \kappa_{i,v}(t))$$

where $\kappa_{i,v}(t) \in \mathcal{O}(D)$ are given by

$$\{\kappa_{1,v}, \kappa_{2,v}, \kappa_{3,v}, \kappa_{4,v}\} = \{\delta_v(t), \delta_v(t) + m_{2,v}(t), \delta_v(t) + m_{1,v}(t), \delta_v(t) + m_{1,v}(t) + m_{2,v}(t)\},$$

$$\delta_v(t) = \frac{1}{2}(w(t) + 3 - m_{1,v}(t) - m_{2,v}(t))$$

with $w(t), m_{1,v}(t), m_{2,v}(t)$ as in the statement of Theorem 4.3.

A similar argument as above shows that (using the assertion on Hodge–Tate weights in Theorem 3.5 about the representations $R_{t,p}$ for $t \in Z \backslash \{0\}$),

$$P_v(X) = (f^\#)_v \tilde{P}_v(X).$$

Hence we obtain the result again by evaluating at $y = y_0$. 

We now remove Hypothesis 4.2 in Theorems 4.6 and 4.11, using the argument of [CH13]. We need the following result from [CH13] which we also use several times implicitly in § 5.
Proposition 4.12 [CH13, Lemma 3.2.1]. Let $S$ be a finite set of primes of $F$, and $w \notin S$ another finite prime, and $M/F$ be any finite extension. Let $L_v/F_v$ be a finite Galois extension of $F_v$ for every $v \in S$. Then there exists infinitely many totally real solvable Galois extensions $F'/F$ in which $w$ splits completely, with $F'$ linearly disjoint from $M$ over $F$, and such that for every prime $v'$ of $F'$ above a prime $v \in S$, the extension $F'_{v'}/F_v$ is isomorphic to $L_v/F_v$. Furthermore, there is a constant $\mu(\{L_v\}_{v \in S})$ independent of $w$, such that the degree $[F' : F]$ can be assumed to be less than $\mu(\{L_v\}_{v \in S})$.

Proposition 4.13. Let $\Pi$ be a cuspidal automorphic representation of $\text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_F)$ that belongs to a global packet corresponding to a simple generic parameter $\mu$. Assume that $\Pi$ is essentially tempered at all the Archimedean places of $F$. Let $S$ be a finite set of primes of $F$, and $w \notin S$ be another finite prime. Let $M/F$ be any finite extension of $F$. Then there is a constant $\mu(\Pi)$ independent of $w$, and a totally real solvable Galois extension $F'/F$ with $[F' : F] \leq \mu(\Pi)$ in which $w$ splits completely, such that the Arthur–Clozel base change $\mu'$ of $\mu$ to $\text{GL}_4(\mathbb{A}_{F'})$ defines a global simple generic parameter of $\text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_{F'})$, and such that there exists a cuspidal automorphic representation $\Pi_{F'}$ in the packet defined by $\mu'$, with the property that $\Pi_{F'}$ has Iwahori-fixed vectors at all primes of $F'$ above $p$. If $\Pi$ belongs to the holomorphic limit of discrete series (or holomorphic discrete series) at the Archimedean places of $F$ (up to twists), then we may also assume the case for $\Pi_{F'}$.

Proof. For each prime $v \in S$, choose a finite extension $L_v/F_v$ such that, if $\phi_v$ is the $L$-parameter classifying $\Pi_v$, then the restriction of the semi-simple part $\phi_v^{ss}$ to $W_{L_v}$ is unramified. We choose the constant $\mu(\Pi) = \mu(\{L_v\}_{v \in S})$ as in Proposition 4.12 above, which is independent of $w$. Then by this proposition, we can choose one (in fact infinitely many) finite solvable totally real Galois extension $F'/F$ in which $w$ splits completely, such that for any prime $v'$ of $F'$ above $v \in S$, the extension $F'_{v'}/F_v$ is isomorphic to $L_v/F_v$. By Proposition 2.4 (and Remark 2.5), we can choose an $F'$ such that the Arthur–Clozel base change $\mu'$ of $\mu$ to $\text{GL}_4(\mathbb{A}_{F'})$ (defined by successive application of cyclic base change) is cuspidal and defines a global simple generic parameter of $\text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_{F'})$. For any representation $\Pi_{F'}$ in this packet defined by $\mu'$, we have for any prime $v'$ of $F'$ above $v \in S$

$$k_v \text{rec}_{v'}((\Pi_{F'})_{v'}) = \mathcal{L}_{v'}(\mu'_{v'}) = \mathcal{L}_v(\mu_v)|W_{F'_{v'}} = \phi_v|W_{F'_{v'}} = \phi_v|W_{L_v}. \quad (4.18)$$

In the above we make use of the fact that Arthur–Clozel base change is compatible with local Langlands correspondence (for $\text{GL}_4$). By construction it follows that the semi-simple part of $\text{rec}_{v'}((\Pi_{F'})_{v'})$ is unramified, and hence by Proposition 4.10 the local $L$-packet containing $(\Pi_{F'})_{v'}$ contains a representation that has Iwahori-fixed vectors. Since the parameter $\mu'$ is simple generic, it follows that all the representations in the global packet defined by $\mu'$ occur in the discrete spectrum of $\text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_{F'})$.

Now by assumption the Archimedean components of $\Pi$ are essentially tempered. This implies that the Archimedean components of $\mu$, and hence those of $\mu'$, are essentially tempered. In turn this implies that all the representations of $\text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_{F'})$ in the global packet defined by $\mu'$, have essentially tempered Archimedean components, thus by Theorem 2.3 they are cuspidal. In particular we can choose a representation that occurs in the cuspidal spectrum and has Iwahori fixed vectors at all primes of $F'$ dividing $p$. The remark about limit of holomorphic discrete series (or discrete series) follows from similar reasoning.
Galois representations attached to forms on $\text{GL}_2$

It follows from [Sor10, Lemma 2] that the collection $\mathcal{I}$ of extensions $F'$ of $F$ as in Proposition 4.13 is $S$-general and has uniformly bounded heights (cf. [Sor10, pp. 649 and 654] for these notions). We now take $S = S_p$ to be a set of primes of $F$ above $p$. This is enough to achieve our goal.

**Theorem 4.14.** Let $\Pi$ be a cuspidal automorphic representation on $\text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_F)$ satisfying the conditions in the beginning of §4, and belonging to a global packet defined by a simple generic parameter. For each prime $p$, there exists an unique continuous semi-simple $p$-adic Galois representation

$$ R_p : G_F \to \text{GL}_4(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) $$

which is unramified outside the set of primes of $F$ dividing $p$ and where $\Pi$ is not spherical, and such that for any finite prime $v$ of $F$, we have

$$ t_p \text{WD}(R_p|_{G_{F_v}})^{ss} \cong k_v \text{rec}_v((\Pi_v \otimes |c|_v^{-3/2})^{ss}). $$

(4.19)

Furthermore the Hodge–Tate–Sen weights of $R_p$ are given as in Theorem 4.11.

**Proof.** For each extension $K \in \mathcal{I}$ of $F$ above we choose a cuspidal automorphic representation $\Pi_K$ on $\text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_K)$ as in the statement of Proposition 4.13. By Theorem 4.11 applied to $\Pi_K$ (which applies because by construction $\Pi_K$ has Iwahori fixed vectors at all primes of $K$ above $p$), we have a continuous $p$-adic Galois representation

$$ R_{K,p} : G_K \to \text{GL}_4(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) $$

(4.20)

such that for any prime $v'$ of $K$ not dividing $p$, we have

$$ t_p \text{WD}(R_{K,p}|_{G_{K,v'}})^{ss} \cong k_v \text{rec}_{v'}((\Pi_v|_{v'} \otimes |c|_{v'}^{-3/2})^{ss}). $$

(4.21)

By the patching lemma of [Sor10, Theorem 7], since the collection $\mathcal{I}$ of extensions $K$ of $F$ is $S$-general and has uniformly bounded heights, there exists a unique continuous semi-simple $p$-adic Galois representation $R_p : G_F \to \text{GL}_4(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ satisfying

$$ R_p|_{G_K} \cong R_{K,p} $$

(4.22)

for all extensions $K \in \mathcal{I}$, provided the following conditions are satisfied:

1. $R_{K,p}^\theta \cong R_{K,p}$ for all $K \in \mathcal{I}$ and all $\theta \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$;
2. $R_{K_1,p}|_{G_{K_1}} \cong R_{K_2,p}|_{G_{K_2}}$ for all $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{I}$, and $K' := K_1 \cdot K_2$.

Both are standard arguments. As in the proof of Proposition 4.13 denote by $\mu_K$ the global simple generic parameter classifying $\Pi_K$, which is the base change of the global simple generic parameter $\mu$ classifying $\Pi$. Now we have

$$ t_p \text{WD}(R_{K,p}|_{G_K})^{ss} \cong k_v \text{rec}_w((\Pi^\theta_K)_w \otimes |c|_w^{-3/2})^{ss} $$

$$ = \mathcal{L}_w((\mu_K)_w \otimes |\det|_w^{-3/2})^{ss}. $$

In particular take any finite prime $w$ of $K$ not dividing $p$ such that $\Pi_K$ is spherical. Then $R_{K,p}$ is unramified at $w$. By considering Satake parameters, we see that for any $\theta \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$, we have the isomorphism of unramified Weil–Deligne representation

$$ t_p \text{WD}(R_{K,p}^\theta|_{G_K})^{F-ss} \cong \mathcal{L}_w((\mu_K^\theta)_w \otimes |\det|_w^{-3/2}). $$

But since $\mu_K$ comes from base change from $\text{GL}_4(\mathbb{A}_F)$, we have $\mu_K^\theta = \mu_K$ [AC89]. Hence for such $w$ we have

$$ \text{WD}(R_{K,p}^\theta|_{G_K})^{F-ss} \cong \text{WD}(R_{K,p}|_{G_K})^{F-ss} $$

and we obtain condition (1) by Cebotarev density and the Brauer–Nesbitt theorem.
For condition (2), let \( w \) be any finite prime of \( K' = K_1 \cdot K_2 \) not dividing \( p \), and let \( w_i \) be the prime of \( K_i \) below \( w \) for \( i = 1, 2 \), with \( v \) being the common prime of \( F \) below \( w_1, w_2 \). Then by the compatibility of base change with the local Langlands correspondence for \( GL_4 \) we have

\[
\tau_p \ WD(R_{K_1,p}|G_{K'_w})^{ss} = \tau_p \ WD(R_{K_1,p}|G_{K_{w_i}})^{ss} |_{W_{K'_w}} \\
\cong L_{w_i}(\mu_{K_{w_i}} \otimes |\det|_{w_i}^{-3/2})^{ss} |_{W_{K'_w}} \\
\cong L_v(\mu_v \otimes |\det|_{v}^{-3/2})^{ss} |_{W_{K'_w}} \\
\cong L_v(\mu_v \otimes |\det|_{v}^{-3/2})^{ss} |_{W_{K'_w}}
\]

which does not depend on \( i \). Hence again for such \( w \) we have

\[
WD(R_{K_1,p}|G_{K'_w})^{ss} \cong WD(R_{K_2,p}|G_{K'_w})^{ss}
\]

so we again conclude by Cebotarev density and Brauer–Nesbitt.

To deduce (4.19), choose \( K \in I \) as above. Then since \( v \) does not divide \( p \), we have by construction \( v \) splits in \( K \). Let \( v' \) be a prime of \( K \) above \( v \). Then since \( R_{K,p} \cong R_p|G_K \), we have by (4.21)

\[
\tau_p \ WD(R_p|G_{K_{v'}})^{ss} \cong \tau_p \ WD(R_{K,p}|G_{K_{v'}})^{ss} \\
\cong k_v \ rec_v((\Pi_K)_{v'} \otimes |c|_{v'}^{-3/2})^{ss} = L_{v'}(\mu_{K_{v'}} \otimes \det|_{v'}^{-3/2})^{ss} \\
\cong L_v(\mu_v \otimes \det|_{v}^{-3/2})^{ss} = k_v \ rec_v((\Pi_v) \otimes |c|_{v}^{-3/2})^{ss}.
\]

Finally for the assertion on Hodge–Tate–Sen weights, again choose any \( K \in I \) as above. Then \( R_p|G_K \cong R_{p,K} \), and by Theorem 4.11, \( R_{p,K} \) has Hodge–Tate–Sen weights given as in the statement of Theorem 4.11. By ‘invariance of Hodge–Tate–Sen weights under finite extension’ [Fon04, Remarque, p. 31], we conclude the same for \( R_p \). \( \square \)

**Remark 4.15.** For our applications in §5, we will only need the case where \( \Pi \) is classified by a global simple generic parameter. The argument of §3 shows that if the parameter classifying \( \Pi \) is not simple generic, then it reduces to the properties of Galois representations associated to Hilbert modular forms.

To end this section we state the following result, that can be proved exactly as in [Jor10], using the generalization by Nakamura [Nak11] and Tan [Tan11] on Kisin’s results on analytic continuation of crystalline periods and combining with base change arguments.

**Proposition 4.16.** Let \( \Pi \) be as before satisfying the conditions in the beginning of §4. Assume that \( \Pi \) is classified by a simple generic parameter. Suppose that \( \Pi \) is spherical at a prime \( v|p \). Denote by \( Q_{\Pi,v}(X) \) the inverse characteristic polynomial of the geometric Frobenius on the unramified Weil–Deligne representation \( k_v \ rec_v((\Pi_v) \otimes |c|_{v}^{-3/2}) \). Assume that the (inverse) roots of \( Q_{\Pi,v}(X) \) are all distinct. Then \( R_p \) is crystalline at \( v \).

5. Main theorems

We now return to the setting of the introduction, where we denote by \( \pi \) a cuspidal automorphic representation on \( GL_2(\mathbb{A}_E) \), with \( E \) a CM extension of the totally real field \( F \). Denote by \( \omega \) the central character of \( \pi \). We make the following hypotheses on \( \pi \): there is an integer \( \mu_0 \) such that for any Archimedean place \( w \) of \( E \), the local component at \( w \) of \( \omega \) is given by \( z \mapsto |z|^\mu_0 = (z \overline{z})^{-\mu_0} \), and for such a place \( w \), the local component \( \pi_w \) is an irreducible admissible representation.
of $GL_2(C)$ corresponding to the Archimedean $L$-parameter $\phi_{\mu_0, n_0}$ of $L_{E_w}$, with $n_w \geq 1$, and such that $n_w \equiv \mu_0 + 1 \mod 2$ for all $w|\infty$. We also denote this value as $n_v$ where $v$ is the place of $F$ below $w$.

In this section we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 of the introduction. Thus for the rest of the paper we assume that the central character $\omega$ satisfies the condition (Char) as in the introduction.

First we notice that there are two cases for which Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 follow readily:

1. $\pi \otimes \delta \cong \pi$ for a non-trivial quadratic idele class character $\delta$ of $A_E^\times$;
2. $(\pi \otimes \beta)^{\tau} \cong \pi \otimes \beta$ for an algebraic idele class character $\beta$ of $A_E^\times$.

If case (1) occurs then $\pi = AI^F_E(\chi \otimes |\det|^{1/2})$ where $L$ is the quadratic extension of $E$ cut out by $\delta$, and $\chi$ an algebraic idele class character of $A_L^\times$ with $\chi \neq \chi^\tau$, and $AI^E_L$ is the automorphic induction from $GL_1(A_L)$ to $GL_2(A_E)$. In this case we have $\rho_p := \text{Ind}^E_L \chi^\text{Gal}_p$ where $\chi^\text{Gal}_p : GL_p \to \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ is the $p$-adic Galois character corresponding to $\chi$. The assertions in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are then the standard consequences of the dictionary between algebraic idele class character and compatible systems of $p$-adic Galois characters, together with the fact that automorphic induction is compatible with local Langlands correspondence of $GL_2$.

In case (2), the twist $\pi \otimes \beta$ would then arise from (Arthur–Clozel) base change of a cuspidal automorphic representation on $GL_2(A_F)$ of cohomological type, and in this case both theorems reduce to known assertions about Galois representations associated to Hilbert modular forms (in any case this is a special case of the result of [CH13]), together with the compatibility of base change with local Langlands correspondence.

Henceforth we assume until the end of §5.2 that $\pi$ is in neither case (1) nor case (2).

5.1 Lifting to $GSp_4(A_F)$

Under the assumption (Char) on the central character $\omega$ there are exactly two algebraic idele class characters $\tilde{\omega}_1, \tilde{\omega}_2$ such that $\omega = \tilde{\omega}_i \circ N_{E/F}$. We have $\tilde{\omega}_1/\tilde{\omega}_2$ being equal to the quadratic idele class character $\epsilon$ of $A_F^\times$ corresponding to the quadratic extension $E/F$. Hence there will be exactly one of the two $\tilde{\omega}_i$, that we will denote as $\tilde{\omega}$, for which $\tilde{\omega}_i(-1) = (-1)^{\mu_0}$ for all Archimedean places $v$ of $F$; equivalently for each Archimedean $v$ the local component $\tilde{\omega}_v$ is given by $a \mapsto a^{-\mu_0}$.

We denote by $\Pi'$ the representation

$$\Pi' := AI^F_E(\pi \otimes |\det|_{A_E})$$

(5.1)

where $AI^F_E$ is the automorphic induction from $GL_2(A_E)$ to $GL_4(A_F)$ in the sense of Arthur and Clozel [AC89]. We are assuming that $\pi$ (and hence $\pi \otimes |\det|_{A_E}$) does not arise as base change from $GL_2(A_F)$, so $\Pi'$ is cuspidal automorphic by [AC89].

To ease notation put $\pi' := \pi \otimes |\det|_{A_E}, \omega' = \omega \cdot |\frac{2}{\varphi}|_{A_E}$, $\tilde{\omega}' = \tilde{\omega} \cdot |\frac{2}{\varphi}|_{A_E}$. Then since $\pi'$ is on $GL_2(A_E)$, we have

$$(\pi')^* \otimes \omega' \cong \pi'$$

(5.2)

which implies readily that

$$(\Pi')^* \otimes \tilde{\omega}' \cong \Pi'$$

(5.3)

(for example using strong multiplicity one and the relation between the Satake parameters of $\pi'$ and $AI^F_E(\pi')$). Thus $\Pi'$ defines a simple generic parameter $\Psi_2(4)$ which is self dual with respect to $\tilde{\omega}'$. It is in fact of symplectic type, i.e. $\Pi'$ defines a simple generic parameter of $\Psi_2(G, \tilde{\omega}')$, which is demonstrated as follows (the author is grateful to Wee Teck Gan for pointing the identity (5.4) below).
Proposition 5.1. We have that $\Pi'$ is of symplectic type with respect to $\tilde{\omega}'$, i.e. the twisted exterior square $L$-function $L(s, \Pi', A^2 \otimes (\tilde{\omega}')^{-1})$ has a pole at $s = 1$.

Proof. We have the following factorization formula of $L$-functions

$$L(s, \Pi', A^2 \otimes (\tilde{\omega}')^{-1}) = \zeta_F(s) \cdot L(s, \epsilon) \cdot L(s, \pi', \text{Asai}_{E/F} \otimes (\tilde{\omega}')^{-1}).$$ (5.4)

Here $\zeta_F(s)$ is the usual Dedekind zeta function of $F$ which contributes a pole at $s = 1$, while $\epsilon$ is as before the quadratic idele class character of $A_E^\times$ corresponding to $E/F$, and $L(s, \epsilon)$ is the usual Hecke $L$-function of $\epsilon$, which does not vanish at $s = 1$. The term $L(s, \pi', \text{Asai}_{E/F} \otimes (\tilde{\omega}')^{-1})$ is the twisted Asai $L$-function of $\pi'$ (to be precise there are two Asai $L$-functions, the (+) and the (−) Asai $L$-functions and here we are using the (−) one). The non-vanishing of $L(s, \pi', \text{Asai}_{E/F} \otimes (\tilde{\omega}')^{-1})$ at $s = 1$ follows from Shahidi’s theorem [Sha81]. See [Rob01, p. 302] for the discussion.

Identity (5.4) can immediately be verified directly by computation with Satake parameters. Hence we conclude the result.

Thus in particular by Arthur’s global classification theorem, $\Pi'$ and the similitude character $\tilde{\omega}'$ define a (global) simple generic parameter in $\Psi_2(G, \tilde{\omega}')$, which hence corresponds to a global packet of cuspidal automorphic representations of $\text{GSp}_4(A_F)$. For any representation $\Pi$ in this packet, the central character of $\Pi$ is given by $\tilde{\omega}'$, whose local component at any Archimedean $v$ of $F$ is given by $\tilde{\omega}'_v: a \mapsto a^{-\mu_0 + 2}$.

Proposition 5.2. For any Archimedean place $v$ of $F$, the local component $\Pi_v$ belongs to the Archimedean $L$-packet defined by the $L$-parameter $\phi_{(\mu_0 - 2, n_w, 0)}$ (notation as in §3.1). Thus it is a limit of discrete series.

Proof. For each Archimedean place $w$ of $E$, the local component $\pi'_w = (\pi \otimes \det|_{A_E})_w$ has $L$-parameter given by $\phi_{(\mu_0 - 2, n_w)}$. The $L$-parameter of $\Pi'_w = (A E^\times(\pi'))_v$, for a place $v$ of $\tilde{F}$ below $w$, is thus given by the induction of $\phi_{(\mu_0 - 2, n_w)}$ from $W_{E_v}$ to $W_{F_v}$, i.e. given by

$$z \mapsto |z|^{-\mu_0 + 2} \cdot \left( \begin{array}{c} (z/\bar{z})^{n_w/2} \\ (z/\bar{z})^{-n_w/2} \end{array} \right) \cdot \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ (z/\bar{z})^{n_w/2} \end{array} \right)$$

for $z \in W_{E_v} = \mathbb{C}^\times$, and

$$j \mapsto \left( \begin{array}{c} +1 \\ (-1)^{n_w} \end{array} \right) \cdot \left( \begin{array}{c} +1 \\ (-1)^{n_w} \end{array} \right).$$

This is thus the $L$-parameter of the irreducible admissible $\Pi_v$ of $\text{GSp}_4(F_v)$. Recall that $n_w \equiv \mu_0 + 1 \mod 2$, and we set $n_w = n_v$. Hence it is easy to see that this is equivalent to the parameter $\phi_{(\mu_0 - 2, n_v, 0)}$.

Remark 5.3. As mentioned in the introduction the above lifting result can also be obtained using the method of theta correspondence, with the non-vanishing of the theta lifting being completed by Takeda [Tak11]. Under some local conditions on $\pi$ the result is also obtained by Chan [Cha10].
In particular we can pick a representation \( \Pi \) in this packet whose Archimedean component at all Archimedean places of \( F \) is given by holomorphic limit of discrete series. This is the representation to which we will apply the results of §4.

5.2 Quadratic twists

Thus once again fix a prime \( p \). The hypotheses for Theorem 4.14 are all satisfied for \( \Pi \). Thus we see that attached to \( \Pi \) is a continuous semi-simple four-dimensional Galois representation

\[
R_p : G_F \to \text{GL}_4(Q_p)
\]

unramified outside the places of \( F \) dividing \( p \) and the places where \( \Pi \) is not spherical, and such that for any place \( v \) of \( F \) not dividing \( p \), we have

\[
\iota_p \text{WD}(R_p|_{G_{F_v}})^{ss} \cong k_v \text{rec}_v(\Pi_v \otimes |c|^{-3/2})^{ss}.
\] (5.5)

Recall that \( \Pi \) is classified by the simple generic parameter \( \Pi' \) of \( \text{GL}_4(A_F) \). So (tautologically) we have

\[
k_v \text{rec}_v(\Pi_v \otimes |c|^{-3/2}) \cong L_v(\Pi'_v \otimes |\det|^{-3/2}).
\]

Hence

\[
\iota_p \text{WD}(R_p|_{G_{F_v}})^{ss} \cong L_v(\Pi'_v \otimes |\det|^{-3/2})^{ss} \cong L_v((\text{AI}_E^F \pi)_v \otimes |\det|^{-1/2})^{ss}.
\] (5.6)

Now since \( \Pi' = \text{AI}_E^F(\pi') = \text{AI}_E^F(\pi \otimes |\det|_{A_E}) \), if we take \( S_\pi \) to be the set of finite primes \( w \) of \( E \) where \( \pi_v \), \( \pi'_v \) or \( E_w/F_v \) ramifies (here \( v \) is the place of \( F \) below \( w \)), and \( S_{\pi,F} \) the set of places of \( F \) lying below \( S_\pi \), then \( \Pi \) is spherical outside \( S_{\pi,F} \), and hence \( R_p \) is unramified outside the set of places dividing \( p \) and \( S_{\pi,F} \). Note that by (5.6), together with Cebotarev density and the Brauer–Nesbitt theorem, the Galois representation \( R_p \) depends only on \( \pi \) and not on the choice of the cuspidal automorphic representation \( \Pi \) belonging to the global packet defined by the parameter \( \Pi' \).

Now since \( \Pi' = \text{AI}_E^F(\pi') \) it satisfies

\[
\Pi' \cong \Pi' \otimes (\epsilon \circ \det),
\] (5.7)

where as before \( \epsilon \) is the quadratic idele class character of \( A_F^\times \) corresponding to \( E/F \). Equation (5.6) thus implies

\[
\text{WD}((R_p \otimes \epsilon)|_{G_{F_v}})^{ss} \cong \text{WD}(R_p|_{G_{F_v}})^{ss}
\] (5.8)

(here we abuse notation and we regard \( \epsilon \) as a Galois character of \( G_F \) by class field theory). By Cebotarev density and the Brauer–Nesbitt theorem, we thus have

\[
R_p \otimes \epsilon \cong R_p.
\] (5.9)

**Proposition 5.4.** There exists a continuous semi-simple two-dimensional representation

\[
\rho_p : G_E \to \text{GL}_2(Q_p)
\] (5.10)

such that

\[
R_p = \text{Ind}_E^F \rho_p.
\] (5.11)

Hence

\[
R_p|_{G_E} = \rho_p \oplus \rho_p^\tau.
\] (5.12)

In particular \( \rho_p \) is unramified at \( w \) if \( w \) does not divide \( p \) and \( w \notin S_\pi \).
Proof. The proof is exactly the same as in [BH07, Lemma 4.1], so we just recall the gist of the argument. Suppose first that $R_p$ is irreducible. Now by (5.9), we have $\text{Hom}_{G_E}(\epsilon, \text{Ind}_{G_E} R_p) \neq 0$. Since $\epsilon$ is non-trivial but the restriction of $\epsilon$ to $G_E$ is trivial, we see that $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Hom}_{G_E}(1, R_p|_{G_E}) \geq 2$. By Schur’s lemma, we see that $R_p|_{G_E}$ is reducible. Let $\rho_p$ be a subrepresentation of $R_p|_{G_E}$ of minimal dimension. Then by Frobenius reciprocity $\text{Ind}_{G_E} \rho_p$ is a subrepresentation of $R_p$, hence by the irreducibility of $R_p$ we must have $R_p = \text{Ind}_{G_E} \rho_p$ and hence $\rho_p$ is of dimension 2.

The cases where $R_p$ is reducible can be treated exactly as in [BH07, Lemma 4.1].

Remark 5.5. In fact $\rho_p$ is always irreducible (see Proposition 5.9 below), and under our assumption that $\pi$ does not arise as base change from $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$, we will see that we have $\rho_p \not\cong \overline{\rho}_p^\tau$ (Proposition 5.10 below), so in fact $R_p = \text{Ind}_{G_E} \rho_p$ is irreducible.

Remark 5.6. Note that (5.11) does not specify $\rho_p$ uniquely as we can replace $\rho_p$ by $\overline{\rho}_p^\tau$. For the moment we choose either one for $\rho_p$. We will pin down this choice more precisely later.

Proposition 5.7. For any finite place $w$ of $E$ not dividing $p$, we have

$$t_p \text{WD}(\rho_p|_{G_{E_w}})^{ss} \oplus t_p \text{WD}(\rho_p^\tau|_{G_{E_w}})^{ss} \cong \mathcal{L}_w(\pi_w \otimes |\text{det}|_{w}^{-1/2})^{ss} \oplus \mathcal{L}_w((\pi^\tau)_w \otimes |\text{det}|_{w}^{-1/2})^{ss}. \tag{5.13}$$

Proof. This is a direct computation. Let $v$ be the prime of $F$ below $w$. Then

$$t_p \text{WD}(R_p|_{G_{E_w}})^{ss}|_{E_w} = t_p \text{WD}(R_p|_{G_{E_v}})^{ss} |_{E_v} = t_p \text{WD}(\rho_p|_{G_{E_w}})^{ss} \oplus t_p \text{WD}(\rho_p^\tau|_{G_{E_w}})^{ss}. \tag{5.14}$$

On the other hand by (5.6) and the compatibility of the local Langlands correspondence with base change, we see that the left-hand side of (5.14) is isomorphic to

$$\mathcal{L}_v((\text{AI}_E^E \pi)_v \otimes |\text{det}|_{v}^{-1/2})^{ss}|_{G_{E_w}} = \mathcal{L}_w((\text{BC}_E^E(\text{AI}_E^E \pi))_w \otimes |\text{det}|_{w}^{-1/2})^{ss}$$

$$= \mathcal{L}_w((\pi \boxtimes \pi^\tau)_w \otimes |\text{det}|_{w}^{-1/2})^{ss}$$

$$= \mathcal{L}_w(\pi_w \otimes |\text{det}|_{w}^{-1/2})^{ss} \oplus \mathcal{L}_w((\pi^\tau)_w \otimes |\text{det}|_{w}^{-1/2})^{ss}. \tag{5.15}$$

(In the above $\pi \boxtimes \pi^\tau$ is the isobaric sum of $\pi$ and $\pi^\tau$ as an automorphic representation of $\text{GL}_4(\mathbb{A}_F)$).

In order to separate the contributions $\rho_p$ and $\overline{\rho}_p^\tau$ in (5.13), we follow [BH07] by considering twisting of $\pi$ by quadratic characters. Denote by $\mathcal{M}$ the set of quadratic idele class characters of $\mathbb{A}_E^\times$ (we allow $\eta$ to be trivial). Note that for any $\eta \in \mathcal{M}$, the cuspidal automorphic representation $\pi \otimes (\eta \circ \text{det})$ satisfies the same hypotheses as those for $\pi$ in the beginning of § 5. Hence we may apply the same constructions above to $\pi \otimes (\eta \circ \text{det})$: denote by $\rho_p^\eta$ and $R_p^\eta = \text{Ind}_{G_E} \rho_p^\eta$ the corresponding two-dimensional Galois representation of $G_E$ and four-dimensional representation of $G_F$ respectively, given by the construction above with $\pi$ being replaced by $\pi \otimes (\eta \circ \text{det})$ (here $\rho_p^\eta$ is not to be confused with $\rho_p^\tau$ which is the conjugate of $\rho_p$ by $\tau$).

Proposition 5.7 applied to $\pi \otimes (\eta \circ \text{det})$ gives the following result (to ease notation we have written $\pi \otimes \eta$ for $\pi \otimes (\eta \circ \text{det})$).

Proposition 5.8. For any finite place $w$ of $E$ not dividing $p$, we have

$$t_p \text{WD}(\rho_p^\eta|_{G_{E_w}})^{ss} \oplus t_p \text{WD}((\rho_p^\eta)^\tau|_{G_{E_w}})^{ss} \cong \mathcal{L}_w(\pi_w \otimes \eta_w \cdot |w|^{-1/2})^{ss} \oplus \mathcal{L}_w(\pi_w^\tau \otimes \eta_w^\tau \cdot |w|^{-1/2})^{ss}. \tag{5.16}$$
Our goal is to show that we can choose $\rho$ and the $\rho^\eta$ compatibly so that in fact $\rho^\eta = \rho \otimes \eta$ for all $\eta \in \mathcal{M}$ (here we have abused notation and regard $\eta$ as a Galois character via class field theory). To do this we need some preparation, following the lines of arguments in [BH07].

First we need the following key result.

**Proposition 5.9.** The representation $\rho^\eta_p|_{G_L}$ is irreducible for any quadratic extension $L$ of $E$ (in particular $\rho^\eta_p$ is irreducible).

**Proof.** This can be proved exactly as in [BH07, Lemma 5.1] using the argument in [Tay94, §3]. This is where we need to use the assumption that we are not in case 1 discussed in the beginning of §5. □

Now for any $\eta \in \mathcal{M}$ put $\delta_\eta := \eta \cdot \eta^\tau$. Denote by $L_{\delta_\eta}$ the quadratic extension of $E$ cut out by $\delta$. Twisting equation (5.16) via $\eta_w^{-1} = \eta_w$, and denoting $\hat{\rho}_p^\eta := \rho_p^\eta \otimes \eta^{-1}$, we obtain, for any finite place of $E$ not dividing $p$

$$
\iota_p \text{WD}(\hat{\rho}_p^\eta|_{G_{E_w}})^{ss} \oplus \iota_p \text{WD}(\hat{\rho}_p^\eta), \tau \eta|_{G_{E_w}})^{ss} \cong \mathcal{L}_w(\pi_w \otimes \cdot |w|^{-1/2})^{ss} \oplus \mathcal{L}_w((\pi_w^\tau \otimes (\delta_\eta)_w \cdot |w|^{-1/2})^{ss}. \quad (5.17)
$$

Now by Proposition 5.9 the restriction of $\hat{\rho}_p^\eta$ to $G_{L_{\delta_\eta}}$ is irreducible, hence semi-simple (in any case being the restriction of a semi-simple representation of $G_F$ to an open normal subgroup is semi-simple). Since by definition the restriction of $\delta_\eta$ to $G_{L_{\delta_\eta}}$ is trivial, we see that by using the restriction of equations (5.13) and (5.17) to the various decompositions groups of $L_\eta$ at primes not dividing $p$, together with Cebotarev density and the Brauer–Nesbitt theorem, we have

$$
\hat{\rho}_p^\eta|_{G_{L_{\delta_\eta}}} \oplus (\hat{\rho}_p^\eta)^\tau|_{G_{L_{\delta_\eta}}} \cong \rho_p|_{G_{L_{\delta_\eta}}} \oplus \rho_p^\eta|_{G_{L_{\delta_\eta}}}. \quad (5.18)
$$

By Proposition 5.9 again each summand of (5.18) is irreducible. Hence we either have $\hat{\rho}_p^\eta|_{G_{L_{\delta_\eta}}} \cong \rho_p|_{G_{L_{\delta_\eta}}}$ or $\hat{\rho}_p^\eta|_{G_{L_{\delta_\eta}}} \cong (\rho_p^\eta)^\tau|_{G_{L_{\delta_\eta}}}$. If the latter case occurs, we replace $\rho_p^\eta$ by $(\rho_p^\eta)^\tau$ (which is legitimate; note that $\eta|_{G_{L_{\delta_\eta}}} = \eta^\tau|_{G_{L_{\delta_\eta}}}$). With this choice, we then have for all $\eta \in \mathcal{M}$

$$
\hat{\rho}_p^\eta|_{G_{L_{\delta_\eta}}} \cong \rho_p|_{G_{L_{\delta_\eta}}}. \quad (5.19)
$$

Again by irreducibility of $\rho_p$ and $\rho_p^\eta$, equation (5.19) implies that

$$
\hat{\rho}_p^\eta \cong \rho_p \otimes \psi_\eta \quad (5.20)
$$

for a quadratic character $\psi_\eta$ of $\text{Gal}(L_{\delta_\eta}/E)$, and hence $\psi_\eta$ is either trivial or equal to $\delta_\eta$. In other words, $\rho_p^\eta \cong \rho_p \otimes \eta$ or $\rho_p^\eta \cong \rho_p \otimes \eta^\tau$.

**Proposition 5.10.** Let $\eta \in \mathcal{M}$, and $\delta$ be a quadratic character of $G_E$. Then we have:

1. $\rho_p^\eta \otimes \delta \not\cong \rho_p^\eta$ for $\delta$ non-trivial;
2. $\rho_p^\eta \otimes \delta \not\cong (\rho_p^\eta)^\tau$ in all cases.

**Proof.** This can be proved exactly as in [BH07, Lemma 5.2]. However we would like to give some details to clarify some part of the argument in [BH07, Lemma 5.2].

For part (1), if $\rho_p^\eta \otimes \delta \cong \rho_p^\eta$ for some non-trivial quadratic $\delta$, then $\text{Hom}_{G_E}(\delta, \text{End} \rho_p^\eta) \neq 0$. Denoting by $L$ the quadratic extension of $E$ cut out by $\delta$, one then has $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_2} \text{Hom}_{G_L}(1, \text{End}(\rho_p^\eta|_{G_L})) \geq 2$. Schur’s lemma implies that $\rho_p|_{G_L}$ is reducible, contradicting Proposition 5.9.

For part (2), first consider the case where $\delta$ is non-trivial. Thus assume that $\rho_p^\eta \otimes \delta \cong (\rho_p^\eta)^\tau$. Applying $\tau$ to this isomorphism we obtain $(\rho_p^\eta)^\tau \otimes \delta^\tau \cong \rho_p^\eta$, and hence we have $\rho_p^\eta \otimes \delta^{-1} \delta^\tau \cong \rho_p^\eta$. 
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By part (1) just proved above, this then implies $\delta^r = \delta$. So twisting equation (5.16) by $\delta_w = \delta_w^r$ we obtain
\[
\tau_p WD((\rho^\eta_p \otimes \delta)|_{G_{E_w}})^{ss} \oplus \tau_p WD((\rho^\eta_p \otimes \delta^r)|_{G_{E_w}})^{ss} \\
\cong \mathcal{L}_w((\pi_w \otimes (\eta \cdot \delta))_w \cdot |\cdot|^{-1/2})^{ss} \oplus \mathcal{L}_w((\pi^r_w \otimes (\eta^r \cdot \delta^r))_w \cdot |\cdot|^{-1/2})^{ss}.
\]

But by assumption $\rho^\eta_p \otimes \delta \cong (\rho^\eta_p)^r$ so we obtain
\[
\tau_p WD(\rho_p^\eta|_{G_{E_w}})^{ss} \oplus \tau_p WD((\rho_p^\eta)^r)|_{G_{E_w}})^{ss} \\
\cong \mathcal{L}_w((\pi_w \otimes (\eta \cdot \delta))_w \cdot |\cdot|^{-1/2})^{ss} \oplus \mathcal{L}_w((\pi^r_w \otimes (\eta^r \cdot \delta^r))_w \cdot |\cdot|^{-1/2})^{ss}.
\]

Combining with equation (5.16) we thus obtain
\[
\mathcal{L}_w(((\pi \otimes \eta) \boxplus (\pi \otimes \eta)^r)_w \cdot |\cdot|^{-1/2})^{ss} \cong \mathcal{L}_w(((\pi \otimes \eta \cdot \delta) \boxplus (\pi \otimes \eta \cdot \delta)^r)_w \cdot |\cdot|^{-1/2})^{ss}.
\]

Hence by strong multiplicity one for isobaric automorphic representations (theorem of Jacquet and Shalika [JS81]) we have
\[
(\pi \otimes \eta) \boxplus (\pi \otimes \eta)^r = (\pi \otimes \eta \cdot \delta) \boxplus (\pi \otimes \eta \cdot \delta)^r.
\]

So we have either $\pi \otimes \eta = \pi \otimes \eta \cdot \delta$, i.e. $\pi \otimes \delta = \pi$, or $\pi \otimes \eta = (\pi \otimes \eta \cdot \delta)^r$. The former case is already excluded as the case (1) at the beginning of §5, because $\delta$ is non-trivial. So we have $\pi \otimes \eta = (\pi \otimes \eta \cdot \delta)^r$.

Now recall that $\delta$ satisfies $\delta^r = \delta$. Hence $\delta$ (as a quadratic idele class character of $A^x_E$) can be written as $\delta = \tilde{\delta} \circ N_{E/F}$ for some quadratic idele class character $\tilde{\delta}$ of $A^x_F$. In particular $\delta|A^x_F$ is trivial. The argument on [Hid06, p. 529] shows that we can write $\delta = \nu/\nu^r$ for some finite order idele class character $\nu$ of $A^x_E$. Thus we have
\[
\pi \otimes \eta \cdot \nu = (\pi \otimes \eta \cdot \nu)^r.
\]

Thus $\pi \otimes \eta \cdot \nu$ arises as base change from $GL_2(A_F)$. But this is the case (2) that we excluded in the beginning of §5.

Finally we treat the case where $\delta$ is trivial, i.e. we assume that $\rho_p^\eta \cong (\rho_p^\eta)^r$. Recall that for any $\eta' \in \mathcal{M}$ (including this particular $\eta$ that we are considering) we have either $\rho_p^\eta \cong \rho_p \otimes \eta'$ or $\rho_p^\eta \cong \rho_p \otimes (\eta')^r$. It follows that for any $\eta' \in \mathcal{M}$ there are at most four possibilities: $\rho_p^{\eta'} \cong \rho_p^{\eta} \otimes \eta'$, $\rho_p^{\eta'} \cong \rho_p^{\eta} \otimes \delta \eta'$, $\rho_p^{\eta'} \cong \rho_p^{\eta} \otimes \delta(\eta')^r$ or $\rho_p^{\eta'} \cong \rho_p^{\eta} \otimes (\eta')^r$. A computation shows that assuming $\rho_p^\eta \cong (\rho_p^\eta)^r$ we would have (in all possible cases)
\[
(\rho_p^{\eta'})^r \cong \rho_p^{\eta'} \otimes \delta_{\eta'}
\]

for all $\eta' \in \mathcal{M}$. In particular if we choose $\eta'$ so that $\delta_{\eta'}$ is non-trivial, then we obtain a contradiction to the case just proved above.

\begin{proposition}
We have either $\rho_p^\eta \cong \rho_p \otimes \eta$ for all $\eta \in \mathcal{M}$, or $\rho_p^\eta \cong \rho_p \otimes \eta^r$ for all $\eta \in \mathcal{M}$.
\end{proposition}

\begin{proof}
We already know that for each $\eta \in \mathcal{M}$, we have either $\rho_p^\eta \cong \rho_p \otimes \eta$ or $\rho_p^\eta \cong \rho_p \otimes \eta^r$. Suppose that we have $\rho_p^\eta \cong \rho_p \otimes \eta$ for some $\eta_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\eta_0 \neq \eta_0^r$, i.e. $\delta_{\eta_0}$ not trivial. Equivalently in (5.20) we have $\psi_{\eta_0} = \delta_{\eta_0}$ non-trivial. We are going to show that $\rho_p^\eta \cong \eta \otimes \eta^r$ for all $\eta \in \mathcal{M}$.

Denote by $L_{\eta_0}$ the quadratic extension of $E$ cut out by $\delta_{\eta} \cdot \delta_{\eta_0}$. Thus $\delta_{\eta}|G_{L_{\eta_0}} = \delta_{\eta_0}|G_{L_{\eta_0}}$. By considering the restriction of (5.17) for both $\eta$ and $\eta_0$ to the various decomposition groups of $G_{L_{\eta_0}}$ at primes not dividing $p$, we see that (again by Cebotarev density and Brauer–Nesbitt)
\[
\tilde{\rho}_p^\eta|_{G_{L_{\eta_0}}} \oplus ((\tilde{\rho}_p^\eta)^r \otimes \delta_{\eta}|_{G_{L_{\eta_0}}} \cong \tilde{\rho}_p^{\eta_0}|_{G_{L_{\eta_0}}} \oplus ((\tilde{\rho}_p^{\eta_0})^r \otimes \delta_{\eta_0}|_{G_{L_{\eta_0}}},
\]
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This implies that we have either $\rho_p^0|_{G_{L,q_0}} \cong \tilde{\rho}_p^0|_{G_{L,q_0}}$, or we have $\tilde{\rho}_p^0|_{G_{L,q_0}} \cong (\tilde{\rho}_p^0)^\tau \otimes \delta_{q_0}|_{G_{L,q_0}}$. If the latter case were to occur, we would have $\tilde{\rho}_p^0 \otimes \psi \cong (\tilde{\rho}_p^0)^\tau \otimes \delta_{q_0}$ for some quadratic character $\psi$, which would in turn imply by (5.20) that $\rho_p \otimes \psi^\prime \cong \rho_p^\tau$ for some quadratic character $\psi^\prime$, contradicting part (2) of Proposition 5.10. Thus we have $\tilde{\rho}_p^0|_{G_{L,q_0}} \cong \tilde{\rho}_p^0|_{G_{L,q_0}}$, hence

$$\tilde{\rho}_p^0 \cong \tilde{\rho}_p^0 \otimes \psi_{q,0}$$

for some quadratic character $\psi_{q,0}$ of $\text{Gal}(L_{q,0}/E)$. Thus $\psi_{q,0}$ is either trivial or equal to $\delta_q \cdot \delta_{q_0}$.

We claim that

$$\psi_{q,0} = \psi_0 \cdot \psi_{q,0}$$

(5.22)

(\text{where } \psi_0, \psi_{q,0} \text{ are as in (5.20)). Indeed combining (5.21) and (5.20) (for both } \eta \text{ and } \eta_0 \text{) we have}

$$\rho_p \cong \rho_p \otimes (\psi_{q,0} \psi_0^{-1} \psi_{q,0})$$

whence the claim by part (1) of Proposition 5.10.

Recall that we are assuming that $\psi_{q,0} = \delta_{q_0}$ is non-trivial. By (5.22) we see that $\psi_{q,0}$ and $\psi_0$ cannot both be trivial, i.e. we have $\psi_{q,0} = \delta_q \cdot \delta_{q_0}$ or $\psi_0 = \delta_q$. By (5.22) again these two are equivalent, so we have $\psi_0 = \delta_q$ in all cases, i.e. $\rho_p^0 \cong \rho_p \otimes \eta^\tau$ as required.

Thus, if the latter case of Proposition 5.11 occurs, then we just replace $\rho_p$ by $\rho_p^\tau$, and $\rho_p^0$ by $(\rho_p^0)^\tau$ for all $\eta \in \mathcal{M}$. With these choices we then have $\rho_p^0 \cong \rho_p \otimes \eta$ for all $\eta \in \mathcal{M}$.

**Corollary 5.12.** For any $\eta \in \mathcal{M}$, and any finite place $w$ of $E$ not dividing $p$, we have

$$t_p \text{WD}(\rho_p \otimes \eta)_{G_{E_w}}^{ss} \oplus t_p \text{WD}((\rho_p \otimes \eta)^\tau)_{G_{E_w}}^{ss} = \mathcal{L}_w(\pi_w \otimes \eta_w \cdot |w|^{-1/2})^{ss} \oplus \mathcal{L}_w(\pi_w \otimes \eta_w^\tau \cdot |w|^{-1/2})^{ss}.$$  

(5.23)

**Proof.** Use Proposition 5.8.  

\[ \square \]

### 5.3 Proof of main theorems

With Corollary 5.12 in our hand we can now prove the main Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 from the introduction.

First we set up some notation. For any finite prime $w$ of $E$ not dividing $p$, and element $\sigma \in W_{E_w}$, denote by $P_{\pi,w}(X, \sigma)$ the inverse characteristic polynomial (in the variable $X$) of the semi-simple part of the Weil–Deligne representation $t_p \text{WD}(\rho_p)_{G_{E_w}}^{F-ss}$ evaluated at the element $\sigma$. Similarly denote by $Q_{\pi,w}(X, \sigma)$ the inverse characteristic polynomial of the semi-simple part of the Weil–Deligne representation $\mathcal{L}_w(\pi_w \otimes |w|^{-1/2})$ evaluated at $\sigma$. The same notation of course applies, with $\pi$ replaced by $\pi^\tau$ or $\pi \otimes \eta$.

**Proposition 5.13.** Let $w$ be a place of $E$ not dividing $p$, and splits over $F$. Then for any element $\sigma \in W_{E_w}$ of odd valuation, we have the identity

$$P_{\pi,w}(X, \sigma) = Q_{\pi,w}(X, \sigma).$$

(5.24)

**Proof.** The argument is similar to [BH07, §5]. Choose an $\eta \in \mathcal{M}$ which is unramified at $w$ and $w^\tau$, such that $\eta^\prime(w) = 1$ and $\eta^\prime(w^\tau) = -1$ (here $\eta^\prime(w)$ is the value of $\eta$ at a uniformizer of $E_w$ and similarly for $\eta^\prime(w^\tau)$). Denote by $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ and $\{\alpha', \beta'\}$ the inverse roots of $P_{\pi,w}(X, \sigma)$ and $P_{\pi^\tau,w}(X, \sigma)$ respectively. Similarly denote by $\{\gamma, \delta\}$ and $\{\gamma', \delta'\}$ the inverse roots of $Q_{\pi,w}(X, \sigma)$ and $Q_{\pi^\tau,w}(X, \sigma)$ respectively.
C. P. Mok

Equation (5.23) applied to the case where \( \eta \) is trivial gives the following identity of multi-sets (i.e. sets with multiplicities)

\[
\{ \alpha, \beta, \alpha', \beta' \} = \{ \gamma, \delta, \gamma', \delta' \}
\]  
(5.25)

and hence

\[
\alpha + \beta + \alpha' + \beta' = \gamma + \delta + \gamma' + \delta'.
\]  
(5.26)

Similarly (5.23) applied to the case where \( \eta = \eta' \) gives (this is the place where we need to assume that \( \sigma \) has odd valuation)

\[
\{ \alpha, \beta, -\alpha', -\beta' \} = \{ \gamma, \delta, -\gamma', -\delta' \}
\]  
(5.27)

and hence

\[
\alpha + \beta - \alpha' - \beta' = \gamma + \delta - \gamma' - \delta'.
\]  
(5.28)

Once can then deduce (5.24) from (5.25)–(5.28) as in [BH07, §5].

As before let \( S_p \) be the set of finite places \( w \) of \( E \) such that \( \pi_w \), \( (\tau^\tau)_w \) or \( E_w/F \), ramifies (\( \theta \) being the place of \( F \) below \( w \), and put \( S_{\pi,p} \) to be the union of \( S \) and the set of places dividing \( p \). Then \( \rho_p \) is unramified outside \( S_{\pi,p} \). In particular we obtain, for \( w \not\in S_{\pi,p} \), with \( w \) splits over \( F \), the identity

\[
P_{\pi,w}(X, \text{Frob}_w) = Q_{\pi,w}(X, \text{Frob}_w).
\]  
(5.29)

Here \( \text{Frob}_w \) denotes a lift of the geometric Frobenius element of \( W_{E_w} \).

When \( w \) is inert over \( F \), then in general we have no relation between \( \pi_w \) and \( (\tau^\tau)_w \). However, when \( \pi \) is spherical at \( w \) then we do have \( \pi_w \cong (\tau^\tau)_w \) as is immediately seen from the classification of spherical representation. Similarly if \( \rho_p \) is unramified at \( w \) then we have \( \rho_p|_{G_{E_w}} \cong \rho_p|_{G_{E_w}} \). Hence equation (5.23) (with \( \eta \) being trivial) implies that for \( w \) inert over \( F \), \( w \in S_p \), identity (5.29) also holds. Thus to conclude we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 5.14.** For \( w \not\in S_{\pi,p} \) we have

\[
P_{\pi,w}(X, \text{Frob}_w) = Q_{\pi,w}(X, \text{Frob}_w).
\]  
(5.30)

As usual (5.30) characterizes the Galois representation \( \rho_p \) by Cebotarev density and Brauer–Nesbitt. This completes the construction of the Galois representation \( \rho_p \) associated to \( \pi \).

To complete the cases of Proposition 5.13 where \( \sigma \) is of even valuation or when \( w \) does not split over \( F \), we use base change arguments. For any solvable CM extension \( E' \) of \( E \), let \( \pi' = \text{BC}_{\pi}^{E'}(\pi) \) be the Arthur–Clozel base change of \( \pi \) to \( E' \). Assume that \( \pi' \) is cuspidal. Then \( \pi' \) satisfies all the hypotheses at the beginning of §5 (of course if \( \pi' \) were in case (1) or case (2) discussed at the beginning of §5, then Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are known for \( \pi' \) anyway). Denote by \( \rho_{\pi,p} \) and \( \rho_{\pi',p} \) the Galois representations associated to \( \pi \) and \( \pi' \) respectively. Using (5.30) applied to \( \pi \) and \( \pi' \), a standard argument, using Cebotarev density and the relation between the Satake parameters of \( \pi \) and \( \pi' \), shows that

\[
\rho_{\pi',p} \cong \rho_{\pi,p}|_{G_{E'}}.
\]  
(5.31)

**Proposition 5.15.** For any finite prime \( w \) of \( E \) not dividing \( p \) and splits over \( F \), and \( \sigma \in W_{E_w} \), we have

\[
P_{\pi,w}(X, \sigma) = Q_{\pi,w}(X, \sigma).
\]  
(5.32)
Galois representations attached to forms on GL$_2$

Proof. First consider the case where $\sigma$ has non-zero valuation, i.e. $\sigma \notin I_{E_w}$. Write the valuation $m$ of $\sigma$ in the form $m = 2^u u$, where $u$ is odd.

Now choose a solvable totally real extension $F'$ of $F$ such that for the solvable CM extension $E' = E \cdot F'$ of $E$ we have:

1. $\pi' = \text{BC}_{E'}^E(\pi)$ is cuspidal;
2. there is a place $w'$ of $E'$ above $w$, such that the extension $E_{w'}/E_w$ is the unramified extension of $E_w$ of degree $2^u$.

With this choice we see that $\sigma \in W_{E_w}$ and has odd valuation with respect to $W_{E_{w'}}$. Note also that $w'$ splits over $F'$ because $w$ splits over $F$. Hence by (5.24) applied to $\pi'$ and $w'$, we obtain

$$P_{\pi',w'}(X,\sigma) = Q_{\pi',w'}(X,\sigma).$$

(5.33)

Now by (5.31) we have $P_{\pi',w'}(X,\sigma) = P_{\pi,w}(X,\sigma)$, and similarly by the compatibility of base change with local Langlands correspondence we have $Q_{\pi',w'}(X,\sigma) = Q_{\pi,w}(X,\sigma)$. Hence we obtain (5.32) from (5.33).

Thus (5.32) holds when $\sigma$ has non-zero valuation. In particular, denoting by $r_{\pi,w}^{\text{Gal}}$ and $r_{\pi,w}^{\text{Aut}}$ the semi-simple part of the Weil–Deligne representations of $\rho_p|_G_{E_w}$,$F_{w}^{ss}$ and $\mathcal{L}_w((\pi_w \otimes |_{w}^{-1/2})$ respectively, we have

$$\text{tr} r_{\pi,w}^{\text{Gal}}(\sigma) = \text{tr} r_{\pi,w}^{\text{Aut}}(\sigma)$$

(5.34)

for all $\sigma \in W_{E_w}$ with non-zero valuation. An argument of Saito (argument in part 1 of Lemma 1 of [Sai97]) then shows that this implies that (5.34) holds for all $\sigma \in W_{E_w}$. Thus by Brauer–Nesbitt we have $r_{\pi,w}^{\text{Gal}} \cong r_{\pi,w}^{\text{Aut}}$. In particular (5.32) holds for all $\sigma$. □

PROPOSITION 5.16. For any finite place $w$ of $E$ not dividing $p$, and any $\sigma \in W_{E_w}$ we have

$$P_{\pi,w}(X,\sigma) = Q_{\pi,w}(X,\sigma).$$

(5.35)

Proof. Let $v$ be the prime of $F$ below $w$. Choose a solvable totally real extension $F'$ of $F$, such that the solvable CM extension $E' = E \cdot F'$ satisfies:

1. for any place $u$ of $F'$ above the place $v$ of $F$ we have $E_u = F'_u$;
2. $\pi' = \text{BC}_{E'}^E(\pi)$ is cuspidal.

By condition (1) we see that we can choose a place $w'$ of $E'$ above the prime $w$ of $E$ such that $E_{w'} = E_w$ and such that $w'$ splits over $F'$. In particular $\sigma \in W_{E_{w'}}$. Hence Proposition 5.15 applied to $\pi'$ and $w'$ gives

$$P_{\pi',w'}(X,\sigma) = Q_{\pi',w'}(X,\sigma).$$

As in the proof of Proposition 5.15 we have $P_{\pi',w'}(X,\sigma) = P_{\pi,w}(X,\sigma)$ and $Q_{\pi',w'}(X,\sigma) = Q_{\pi,w}(X,\sigma)$, hence we conclude the result. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. With the proof of Proposition 5.16 we see that the statement of local-global compatibility up to semi-simplification at primes of $E$ not dividing $p$ follows from (5.35) together with Brauer–Nesbitt. The assertion on the full local-global compatibility, in the case when $\pi_w$ is not of the form $\text{St}_{E_w} \otimes \chi$, where $\text{St}_{E_w}$ is the Steinberg representation of $GL_2(E_w)$ and $\chi$ a character of $E_w^\times$, follows from the statement already proved. Indeed, from the classification of irreducible admissible representations of $GL_2(E_w)$ we see that the monodromy operator of $\mathcal{L}_w((\pi_w \otimes |_{w}^{-1/2})$ has to be trivial and that $\mathcal{L}_w((\pi_w \otimes |_{w}^{-1/2})^{ss}$ is a twist of the semi-simple part of the special Weil–Deligne representation of $W_{E_w}$. Since we know that $\tau_p WD(\rho_p|_{G_{E_w}})^{ss} \cong \mathcal{L}_w((\pi_w \otimes |_{w}^{-1/2})^{ss}$, it follows that the monodromy operator of $\tau_p WD(\rho|_{G_{E_w}})^{F_{w}^{ss}}$ has to
be trivial also (by the classification of two-dimensional Frobenius semi-simple Weil–Deligne representations).

We now prove Theorem 1.2, which we state more precisely as Theorems 5.17 and 5.18 below. Recall that the central character \( \omega \) of \( \pi \) has local component \( \omega_w : a \to |a|_{C}^{-\mu_0} = (a\overline{a})^{-\mu_0} \) for \( a \in E_{\infty}^w \) for all Archimedean places \( w \), and that the Archimedean \( L \)-parameter of \( \pi_w \) is given by \( \phi_{\mu_0,n_w} \) where \( n_w \geq 1 \) and \( \mu_0 + 1 \equiv n_w \mod 2 \).

Identify the embeddings of \( E \) into \( C \) and the embeddings of \( E \) into \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) via \( \iota_p : \mathbb{Q}_p \cong C \). Under this identification a conjugate pair of embeddings of \( E \) into \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) (under \( \tau \)) can be identified with an Archimedean place of \( E \).

**Theorem 5.17.** The Galois representation \( \rho_p \) associated to \( \pi \) is Hodge–Tate at primes of \( E \) above \( p \). The Hodge–Tate weights of \( \rho_p \) at the conjugate pair of embeddings of \( E \) into \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) corresponding to the Archimedean place \( w \) of \( E \) is given by

\[
\{\delta_w, \delta_w + n_w\} \quad (5.36)
\]

where \( \delta_w := \frac{1}{2}(1 + \mu_0 - n_w) \).

**Proof.** Recall that as in Proposition 5.4 we have

\[ R_p = \text{Ind}_{E}^{F} \rho_p \]

where \( R_p \) is the \( p \)-adic Galois representation associated to the cuspidal automorphic representation \( \Pi \) of \( \text{GSp}_4(A_F) \), that belongs to the global packet classified by the simple generic parameter \( \Pi' = \text{Ind}_{E}^{F}(\pi \otimes |\text{det}|_{A_E}) \) together with the similitude character \( \varpi' \) as in the discussion in the beginning of §5.1 (\( \varpi' \) is thus the central character of \( \Pi \)). Recall that we have chosen \( \varpi' \) so that for any Archimedean prime \( v \) of \( F \) we have \( \varpi'_v \) given by \( a \to a^{-\mu_0 + 2} \), and that the local component \( \Pi_v \) at \( v \) is classified by the Archimedean \( L \)-parameter \( \phi_{(\mu_0-2,n_0,0)} \) (Proposition 5.2; recall that we have denoted \( n_v = n_w \) for the place \( v \) of \( F \) below \( w \)).

By Theorem 4.14, the Hodge–Tate–Sen weights of \( R_p \) at the embedding of \( F \) into \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) that corresponds to the Archimedean place \( v \) of \( F \) is given by

\[
\{\delta_v, \delta_v, \delta_v + n_v, \delta_v + n_v\} \quad (5.37)
\]

where

\[
\delta_v = \frac{1}{2}((\mu_0 - 2) + 3 - n_v) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \mu_0 - n_w).
\]

Now since \( R_p|_{G_E} = \rho_p \oplus \rho_p^* \), it follows that the Hodge–Tate–Sen weights of \( \rho_p \oplus \rho_p^* \) at the conjugate pair of embeddings of \( E \) into \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) corresponding to the Archimedean place \( w \) of \( E \) is also given by (5.37).

In any case (5.37) is a multi-set of the form \( \{a, a, b, b\} \) with \( a, b \) being unequal integers. Now we note that if \( \{x, y\} \) (respectively \( \{x', y'\} \)) are the Hodge–Tate–Sen weights of \( \rho_p \) (respectively \( \rho_p^* \)) at the pair of embeddings corresponding to \( w \), then \( x + y \) (respectively \( x' + y' \)) is the Hodge–Tate–Sen weight of \( \text{det} \rho_p \) (respectively \( \text{det} \rho_p^* \)) at the same pair of embeddings. But we have \( \text{det} \rho_p = \text{det} \rho_p^* \) since \( \omega = \omega^* \). Hence we have \( x + y = x' + y' \). It follows that the Hodge–Tate–Sen weights of \( \rho_p \) at this pair of embeddings must be \( \{a, b\} \), i.e. given by (5.36). Finally since the Hodge–Tate–Sen weights are distinct integers, it follows that the Sen operator is semi-simple and so \( \rho_p \) is Hodge–Tate.

\[ \square \]
Theorem 5.18. Suppose that $w$ is a place of $E$ above $p$, inert over $F$, and such that $\pi_w$ is spherical, with distinct Satake parameters $\alpha_w \neq \beta_w$. Then $\rho_p$ is crystalline at $w$.

Suppose that $w$ is a prime above $p$ that splits over $F$, with conjugate prime $w^\sigma$, such that $\pi_w$ and $\pi_{w^\sigma}$ are spherical, with Satake parameters $\alpha_w, \beta_w$ for $\pi_w$, and $\alpha_{w^\sigma}, \beta_{w^\sigma}$ for $\pi_{w^\sigma}$ respectively. Suppose that the elements $\{\alpha_w, \beta_w, \alpha_{w^\sigma}, \beta_{w^\sigma}\}$ are all distinct. Then $\rho_p$ is crystalline at $w$ (and also at $w^\sigma$).

This follows from Proposition 4.16, and is the same as the proof of [Jor10, Theorem 5.3.1].

Remark 5.19. Even though we do not know that the compatible system $\{\rho_p\}_p$ is pure (in the sense of Galois representations), Theorem 5.17 suggests that the motivic weight of the compatible system $\{\rho_p\}_p$ should be $1 + \mu_0$. In the case considered in [BH07, Tay94], we have $\mu_0 = k - 2$, corresponding to modular forms of weight $k$ over $E$ (with $E$ being imaginary quadratic in [BH07, Tay94]).
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Appendix A. Proof of Proposition 3.4

In this appendix we prove Proposition 3.4. Recall the statement.

Proposition 3.4. Suppose $\psi$ is a global formal parameter of Saito–Kurokawa type. For each finite prime $v$, let $\psi_v$ be the local $A$-parameter of $GSp_4(F_v)$ given by the localization of $\psi$ at $v$. Denote as before by $\Pi_{\psi_v}$ the local $A$-packet classified by $\psi_v$. Then the semi-simple part of the $L$-parameters of the representations in the $A$-packet $\Pi_{\psi_v}$ have the same semi-simple part as that of $\phi_{\psi_v}$.

Thus let $\psi = \mu \boxtimes_v \nu(2)$ be a global formal parameter of Saito–Kurokawa type, where $\mu$ is a cuspidal automorphic representation of $GL_2(A_F)$, and $\lambda$ is an idele class character of $A_F^\times$, satisfying $\omega_\mu = \lambda^2$ (with $\omega_\mu$ being the central character of $\mu$). In this case the local and global $A$-packets corresponding to $\psi$ are constructed by Schmidt [Sch05], using the method of theta correspondence (strictly speaking in [Sch05] the results are stated only for the group $PGSp_4$, but the arguments in [Sch05] apply verbatim to the case of $GSp_4$). See also [Gan08, Propositions 5.5 and 5.6]. To state the results we first set up some notation: denote by $GSO(2,2)$ and $GSO(4,0)$ the identity component groups of $GO(2,2)$ and $GO(4,0)$ respectively (notation as in [GT11a, GT11b]). For any (finite) prime $v$, we have

$$GSO(2,2)(F_v) \cong (GL_2(F_v) \times GL_2(F_v))/\{(z,z^{-1}) : z \in F_v^\times\},$$

$$GSO(4,0)(F_v) \cong (D_v^\times \times D_v^\times)/\{(z,z^{-1}) : z \in F_v^\times\}.$$

where $D_v$ is the quaternion algebra over $F_v$. We denote by $\theta$, respectively $\theta^+$, the local theta lifting from $GSO(2,2)(F_v)$, respectively from $GSO(4,0)(F_v)$, to $GSp_4(F_v)$. Then according to [Sch05], the local $A$-packets of $GSp_4(F_v)$ associated to the local $A$-parameter $\psi_v$ are given as follows:
if $\mu_v$ is not a discrete series representation of $GL_2(F_v)$, then the $A$-packet corresponding to $\psi_v$ is a singleton $\{ \theta(\mu_v \boxtimes \lambda_v) \}$; here to ease notation we have written $\lambda_v$ instead of $\lambda_v \circ \det$ for the corresponding one-dimensional representation of $GL_2(F_v)$. On the other hand, if $\mu_v$ is a discrete series representation of $GL_2(F_v)$, denote by $\mu_v^{IL}$ the irreducible admissible representation of $D_v^\times$ that corresponds to $GL_2(F_v)$ under the Jacquet–Langlands correspondence. Then in this case the $A$-packet corresponding to $\psi_v$ is given by $\{ \theta(\mu_v \boxtimes \lambda_v), \theta^+(\mu_v^{IL} \boxtimes \lambda_v) \}$ (here again for $\theta^+(\mu_v^{IL} \boxtimes \lambda_v)$ we ease notation by writing $\lambda_v$ for $\lambda_v \circ \text{Nrd}_{D_v/F_v}$, where $\text{Nrd}_{D_v/F_v}$ is the reduced norm from $D_v$ to $F_v$).

Using the results of [GT11a] we can compute the $L$-parameters of the representations in these $A$-packets to verify Proposition 3.4. There is nothing to prove when $\mu_v$ is not a discrete series representation, since the corresponding $A$-packet is a singleton, and is equal to the singleton $L$-packet corresponding to $\phi_{\psi_v}$. In any case the $L$-parameter can be computed from [GT11a] as follows. In the notation of [GT11a, §14], since $\mu_v$ is not a discrete series representation, we have $\mu_v = J(\pi(\chi_v', \chi_v))$ where $\chi_v, \chi_v'$ are characters of $F_v^\times$, while we have $\lambda_v \circ \det = J(\pi(\lambda_v | v^{1/2}, \lambda_v | v^{-1/2})))$. Hence by Table 2, row $f$ of [GT11a, §14], the representation $\theta(\mu_v \boxtimes \lambda_v)$ is given by $J_B(\lambda_v | v^{1/2} \chi_v^{-1}, \lambda_v | v^{-1/2} \chi_v^{-1}, \chi_v)$. By Table 1, row $e$ for N.D.S. of [GT11a, §14] (N.D.S. for non discrete series) the representation $J_B(\lambda_v | v^{1/2} \chi_v^{-1}, \lambda_v | v^{-1/2} \chi_v^{-1}, \chi_v)$ has $L$-parameter given by

$$(\chi_v \cdot (1 \oplus \lambda_v | v^{1/2} \chi_v^{-1} \oplus \lambda_v | v^{-1/2} \chi_v^{-1} \oplus \chi_v^{-2})) \circ \text{art}^{-1}_v$$

which is the $L$-parameter $\phi_{\psi_v}$ (to see the last equality use the fact that the condition $\omega_{\mu_v} = \lambda_v^2$ in this case is $\chi_v \chi_v' = \lambda_v^2$).

Next suppose that $\mu_v$ is either supercuspidal or a twisted Steinberg representation $\text{St}_{GL_2} \otimes \chi_v$. Then by Table 2, row $e$ of [GT11a, §14] the representation $\theta(\mu_v \boxtimes \lambda_v)$ is equal to $J_P(\mu_v \boxtimes \lambda_v^{-1} v^{1/2}, \lambda_v | v^{-1/2})$. Denoting by $\tau_v$ the representation $\mu_v \boxtimes \lambda_v^{-1} v^{1/2}$, Table 1 of [GT11a, §14] shows that the $L$-parameter of the representation $J_P(\tau_v, \lambda_v | v^{-1/2})$ is given by

$$(\omega_{\tau_v} \lambda_v | v^{1/2}) \circ \text{art}^{-1}_v \oplus \mathcal{L}_v(\tau_v \boxtimes \lambda_v | v^{-1/2}) \oplus (\lambda_v | v^{-1/2}) \circ \text{art}^{-1}_v$$

$$(\lambda_v | v^{1/2}) \circ \text{art}^{-1}_v \oplus \mathcal{L}_v(\mu_v \boxtimes (\lambda_v | v^{-1/2}) \circ \text{art}^{-1}_v$$

(A1)

(here we are using $\omega_{\mu_v} = \lambda_v^2$). Next we consider the representation $\theta^+(\mu_v^{IL} \boxtimes \lambda_v)$. We first make the assumption that $\mu_v$ is not of the form $\text{St}_{GL_2} \otimes \lambda_v$. Then by Table 3, row $b$ of [GT11a, §14] the representation $\theta^+(\mu_v^{IL} \boxtimes \lambda_v)$ is a non-generic supercuspidal representation, and by Table 1, row $c$ for S.C. of [GT11a, §14] the $L$-parameter of $\theta^+(\mu_v^{IL} \boxtimes \lambda_v)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_v(\mu_v) \oplus \mathcal{L}_v(\text{St}_{GL_2} \otimes \lambda_v).$$

(A2)

It is clear that (A1) and (A2) have the same semi-simple part. Furthermore, if $\mu_v$ is supercuspidal, one sees from Table 1, row $b$ of D.S. the $L$-packet corresponding to the $L$-parameter (A2) contains the other representation $\text{St}(\mu_v \boxtimes \lambda_v^{-1}, \lambda_v)$. If $\mu_v = \text{St}_{GL_2} \otimes \chi_v$ with $\chi_v \neq \lambda_v$, then from Table 1, row $a$ for N.D.S. of [GT11a, §14] the $L$-packet corresponding to the $L$-parameter (A2) contains the other representation $J_Q(\chi_v \lambda_v^{-1}, \text{St}_{GL_2} \otimes \lambda_v)$.

It remains to treat the case where $\mu_v = \text{St}_{GL_2} \otimes \lambda_v$. Then by Table 3, row $a$ of [GT11a, §14] the representation $\theta^+(\mu_v^{IL} \boxtimes \lambda_v) = \theta^+(\lambda_v \boxtimes \lambda_v)$ is (in the notation of [GT11a, §14]) given by $\pi_{\text{sg}}(\mu_v) = \pi_{\text{sg}}(\text{St}_{GL_2} \otimes \lambda_v)$ (non-generic representation), hence by Table 1, row $c$ for N.D.S. of [GT11a, §14] has $L$-parameter given by

$$\mathcal{L}_v(\text{St}_{GL_2} \otimes \lambda_v) \oplus \mathcal{L}_v(\text{St}_{GL_2} \otimes \lambda_v)$$

(A3)
i.e. the same as (A2) with $\mu_v = \text{St}_{GL_2} \otimes \lambda_v$, and hence has the same semi-simple part as (A1). We also see from Table 1, row $b$ for N.D.S. of [GT11a, §14] that the $L$-packet corresponding to the $L$-parameter (A3) contains the other representation $\pi_{\text{gen}}(\text{St}_{GL_2} \otimes \lambda_v)$ which is generic.
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