

THE SPECTRAL THEOREM IN BANACH ALGEBRAS

by STEPHEN PLAFKER

(Received 9 March, 1970; revised 30 June, 1970)

Introduction. The concept of a hermitian element of a Banach algebra was first introduced by Vidav [21] who proved that, if a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} has "enough" hermitian elements, then \mathcal{A} can be renormed and given an involution to make it a stellar algebra. (Following Bourbaki [5] we shall use the expression "stellar algebra" in place of the term "C*-algebra".) This theorem was improved by Berkson [2], Glickfeld [10] and Palmer [17]. The improvements consist of removing hypotheses from Vidav's original theorem and in showing that Vidav's new norm is in fact the original norm of the algebra. Lumer [13] gave a spatial definition of a hermitian operator on a Banach space E and proved it to be equivalent to Vidav's definition when one considers the Banach algebra $\mathcal{L}(E)$ of continuous linear mappings of E into E .

In this paper the theory outlined above will be applied to define a normal element of a Banach algebra and to prove a spectral theorem for such elements. This theorem will then be exploited to prove analogues of well-known theorems for operators in Hilbert spaces.

We shall use the following standard notations. The symbol \mathbf{N} will denote the set $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, \mathbf{R} the set of real numbers, \mathbf{C} the set of complex numbers, \mathbf{T}^1 the unit circle in \mathbf{C} , and z the identity function of \mathbf{R}^2 onto \mathbf{R}^2 .

The Banach algebras considered here will be assumed to be complex and to have identity element 1 such that $\|1\| = 1$. For an element x of a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} , the spectrum of x , denoted by $\text{sp}(x)$, is the set of complex numbers λ such that $\lambda - x$ ($= \lambda 1 - x$) is not invertible in \mathcal{A} . The spectral radius of x is the number

$$\rho(x) = \sup \{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \text{sp}(x)\}.$$

Note that $\rho(x) \leq \|x\|$.

Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra, and let $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Since the mapping $t \rightarrow \|1 + tx\|$ is a convex function of \mathbf{R} into \mathbf{R} , one can define

$$\varphi(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\|1 + tx\| - 1}{t}.$$

An element $x \in \mathcal{A}$ is *hermitian* if $\varphi(ix) = \varphi(-ix) = 0$; x is *positive* if x is hermitian and has positive spectrum. Since, for $y \in \mathcal{A}$, $\varphi(y) + \varphi(-y) \geq 0$, x is hermitian if both $\varphi(ix)$ and $\varphi(-ix)$ are negative and positive if, in addition, $\varphi(-x) \leq 0$. If \mathcal{A} is a stellar algebra, x is hermitian (in the sense above) if and only if x is self-adjoint ($x = x^*$). The function Φ of \mathcal{A} into \mathbf{R} defined by the equation

$$\Phi(x) = \sup \{\varphi(\lambda x) : \lambda \in \mathbf{C}, |\lambda| \leq 1\}$$

is a norm on \mathcal{A} equivalent to the original norm. The above facts are proved in [4].

We shall list here the basic facts that we shall use throughout the paper.

PROPOSITION A. *The element $x \in \mathcal{A}$ is hermitian if and only if $\|e^{itx}\| = 1$ for every $t \in \mathbf{R}$.*

D

PROPOSITION B [21, Hilfsatz 2 (e)]. *If $x \in \mathcal{A}$ is hermitian, $\text{sp}(x) \subset \mathbf{R}$.*

PROPOSITION C [2, Lemma 3.1]. *Let H be the set of hermitian elements of \mathcal{A} . Then $H + iH$ is closed in \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. First note that, if $a, b \in H$, then $\varphi(a + ib) \leq \varphi(a) + \varphi(ib) = \varphi(a) = \varphi(a + ib - ib) \leq \varphi(a + ib) + \varphi(-ib) = \varphi(a + ib)$; hence $\varphi(a + ib) = \varphi(a)$. Consequently $\Phi(a) \leq \Phi(a + ib)$.

Now let (x_n) be a sequence in $H + iH$ converging to $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Write $x_n = a_n + ib_n$, $a_n, b_n \in H$. By the above discussion (a_n) is a Cauchy sequence (for Φ and therefore for the original norm). Thus the sequence (a_n) [resp. (b_n)] converges to $a \in \mathcal{A}$ [resp. $b \in \mathcal{A}$]. But H is closed [21, Hilfsatz 2(d)]; hence $x = (a + ib) \in H + iH$.

PROPOSITION D [21, II]. *If \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are Banach algebras, and u is a norm-decreasing linear mapping of \mathcal{B} into \mathcal{A} mapping 1 onto 1, then u carries hermitian [resp. positive] elements of \mathcal{B} onto hermitian [resp. positive] elements of \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. For any $y \in \mathcal{B}$, $\varphi(u(y)) \leq \varphi(y)$. Hence, for $x \in \mathcal{B}$ hermitian, $\varphi(iu(x)) \leq \varphi(ix) = 0$ and $\varphi(-iu(x)) \leq \varphi(-ix) = 0$; furthermore, for x positive, $\varphi(-u(x)) \leq \varphi(-x) \leq 0$.

PROPOSITION E [21, Hilfsatz 2(c)]. *If $a + ib = a' + ib'$ where a, b, a' , and b' are hermitian, then $a = a'$ and $b = b'$.*

PROPOSITION F [17, Theorem]. *If \mathcal{A} is (algebraically) spanned by its hermitian elements (i.e. $\mathcal{A} = H + iH$), the mapping $x \rightarrow x^*$ is an involution on \mathcal{A} under which \mathcal{A} becomes a stellar algebra. (If $x = a + ib$ (a, b hermitian) then $x^* = a - ib$.)*

PROPOSITION G [21]. *If $x \in \mathcal{A}$ is hermitian and quasi-nilpotent ($\text{sp}(x) = \{0\}$), then $x = 0$.*

1. The spectral theorem for normal elements of a Banach algebra. In this section we shall introduce the concept of a normal element of a Banach algebra and prove a spectral theorem for such elements. This theorem depends on the theory of $C^1(\mathbf{R}^2)$ -scalar elements (a concept due to Foias (see [6]) and Maeda [16]; see [20] for a complete exposition and for further references).

We shall denote by $C^1(\mathbf{R}^2)$ the Banach algebra of continuous, complex-valued functions defined on \mathbf{R}^2 having limits at ∞ and by $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{R}^2)$ the set of continuous functions with compact support. Note that $C^1(\mathbf{R}^2)$ is a stellar algebra, and that it can be identified with the direct sum of $C_0(\mathbf{R}^2)$ and \mathbf{C} where $C_0(\mathbf{R}^2)$ is the stellar algebra of continuous functions on \mathbf{R}^2 vanishing at ∞ .

DEFINITION. An element x of a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is $C^1(\mathbf{R}^2)$ -scalar if there exists a continuous representation u of $C^1(\mathbf{R}^2)$ into \mathcal{A} mapping 1 onto 1 and such that, for every $f \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{R}^2)$ taking the value 1 on $\text{sp}(x)$, $u(f) = 1$ and $u(xf) = x$.

REMARK. It follows from [6, Corollary 1.6, p. 98] that, for any $C^1(\mathbf{R}^2)$ -scalar element $x \in \mathcal{A}$, there is only one representation u as described in the definition above. It is called the $C^1(\mathbf{R}^2)$ -scalar representation for x . Furthermore, for any $f, g \in C^1(\mathbf{R}^2)$ which agree on $\text{sp}(x)$, $u(f) = u(g)$ [6, Theorem 1.6, p. 60].

If \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are Banach algebras, a linear mapping of \mathcal{B} into \mathcal{A} is *involutive* if it maps hermitian elements of \mathcal{B} into hermitian elements of \mathcal{A} .

PROPOSITION 1.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra, \mathcal{B} a stellar algebra, and u a continuous representation of \mathcal{B} into \mathcal{A} mapping 1 onto 1. Then u is involutive if and only if u has norm 1.*

Proof. If u has norm 1, use Proposition D to conclude that u is involutive. To prove the converse consider the closure, \mathcal{A}' , of the image of u . Since \mathcal{B} is (algebraically) spanned by its hermitian elements, and since u is involutive, \mathcal{A}' is spanned by its hermitian elements (use Proposition C). Thus, by Proposition F, \mathcal{A}' is a stellar algebra. A standard result from the theory of stellar algebras [5, Proposition 1, p. 66] now applies.

DEFINITION. Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra. An element $x \in \mathcal{A}$ is *normal* if there exist commuting elements $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

- (1) $a^m b^n$ is hermitian for every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (2) $x = a + ib$.

We shall call a the *real part* of x and b the *imaginary part* of x . (Note that, by Proposition E, a and b are unique.)

If E is a Banach space, an operator T on E is *normal* if T is normal as an element of the Banach algebra $\mathcal{L}(E)$.

LEMMA 1.1. *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, \mathcal{A} a Banach algebra, and $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Suppose that there exists a continuous representation v of $C(X)$ into \mathcal{A} which has 1 and x in its image (in particular $v(1) = 1$). Then there exists a $C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ -scalar representation u for x such that $\|u\| \leq \|v\|$.*

Proof. Define $u(f) = v(f \circ h)$, where $h \in C(X)$ is such that $x = v(h)$.

THEOREM 1.1 (The spectral theorem in Banach algebras). *An element $x \in \mathcal{A}$ is normal if and only if x is $C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ -scalar and the $C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ -scalar representation for x has norm 1.*

Proof. First suppose that x is normal. Let a be the real part of x , b the imaginary part of x , and let \mathcal{B} be the smallest closed subalgebra of \mathcal{A} containing the set $\{a, b, 1\}$. By Proposition F and the Gelfand Isomorphism Theorem [5, Théorème 1, p. 67] there exists an isometric isomorphism v of $C(\text{sp}(x))$ onto \mathcal{B} . One now uses Lemma 1.1 to obtain the desired conclusion.

To prove the converse suppose that u is the $C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ -scalar representation of x (so that $\|u\| = 1$). Let r and s be elements of $C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that

$$r(z) = \mathcal{R}(z) \quad \text{and} \quad s(z) = \mathcal{I}(z)$$

for every $z \in \text{sp}(x)$, and let

$$a = u(r) \quad \text{and} \quad b = u(s).$$

Clearly $x = a + ib$. For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a^m b^n = u(r^m s^n)$ is hermitian by Proposition D.

COROLLARY 1. *An element $x \in \mathcal{A}$ is normal if and only if x is $C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ -scalar and the $C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ -scalar representation for x is involutive.*

COROLLARY 2. *Let E be a Banach space. A necessary and sufficient condition for an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ to be $C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ -scalar is that there exist a norm on E , equivalent to the original norm, under which T is normal.*

Proof. The sufficiency follows easily from previous results. To prove necessity suppose that T is $C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ -scalar and let U be the $C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ -scalar representation for T . By Theorem 1.1 we need only exhibit an equivalent norm on E such that, when E is endowed with the new norm, $\|U\| = 1$. Such a norm is given by

$$x \rightarrow \sup \{ \|U(f)x\| : \|f\| \leq 1 \}.$$

REMARK. Corollary 2 above is valid in the context of Hilbert spaces [15, 22]. However, the proof given above can not be used in this case since the new norm need not be a Hilbert space norm.

COROLLARY 3. *If $x \in \mathcal{A}$ is normal, then $\|x\| = \rho(x)$.*

Proof. Let u be the $C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ -scalar representation for x , and choose $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ of norm $\rho(x)$ and such that $f(z) = z$ for $z \in \text{sp}(x)$. Then $\|x\| = \|u(f)\| \leq \|f\| = \rho(x)$.

COROLLARY 4 [2, Theorem 2.1]. *If p_1, \dots, p_n are non-zero disjoint projections (hermitian idempotents) in a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are complex numbers, then*

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i p_i \right\| = \sup \{ |\lambda_i| : 1 \leq i \leq n \}.$$

In particular, if p is a non-trivial projection, $\|p\| = \|1-p\| = 1$.

Proof. For $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ define $u(f) \in \mathcal{A}$ by

$$u(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(\lambda_i) p_i + f(0) \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \right).$$

Then u is an involutive $C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ -scalar representation for $x = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i p_i$. By Proposition 1.1 and Theorem 1.1, x is normal. By Corollary 3,

$$\|x\| = \rho(x) = \sup \{ |\lambda_i| : 1 \leq i \leq n \}.$$

REMARK. A necessary and sufficient condition for an idempotent $p \in \mathcal{A}$ to be a projection is that $\|p + \lambda(1-p)\| = 1$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}^1$. To prove this fact simply use the equality

$$\|e^{itp}\| = \|e^{it}(p + e^{-it}(1-p))\| = \|p + e^{-it}(1-p)\|$$

together with Proposition A. This equivalence was first noted by Palmer [18].

EXAMPLE. If $E = \mathbf{C}^2$ with the norm $(x, y) \rightarrow |x| + |y|$ and P is the idempotent in $\mathcal{L}(E)$ defined by

$$P(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(x + y, x + y),$$

$\|P\| = \|I - P\| = 1$. However

$$\|(P + i(I - P))(1, 0)\| = \sqrt{2}.$$

Hence P is not hermitian.

E. Berkson has shown [3] that the above example is valid when \mathbf{C}^2 is endowed with the “ p -norm” for any p such that $1 \leq p \leq \infty, p \neq 2$.

For the next two results we shall need two definitions. An element x of a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is *power hermitian* if x^n is hermitian for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$. The element x is *unitary* if x is normal and invertible and if both x and x^{-1} have norm 1. If \mathcal{A} is a stellar algebra, then every hermitian element is power hermitian. However, there is an example of a hermitian operator on a Banach space which is not power hermitian [14].

PROPOSITION 1.2 [4]. *If a and b are commuting hermitian elements of a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} , and if $x = a + ib$ has real spectrum, then x is hermitian (i.e. $b = 0$). Consequently, the element $x \in \mathcal{A}$ is power hermitian if and only if x is normal and has real spectrum.*

Proof. The hypotheses of the proposition imply that $\rho(e^{-ia})\rho(e^b) = \rho(e^{-ix}) = 1$; hence, by [5, Cor. to Prop. 5, p. 26] and the fact that $\rho(e^{ia}) = \rho(e^{-ia}) = 1, \rho(e^b) = 1$. Similarly, $\rho(e^{-b}) = 1$. By the Spectral Mapping Theorem, b is quasi-nilpotent and therefore 0 by Proposition G.

PROPOSITION 1.3 (see [19]). *A normal element x of a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is unitary if and only if $\text{sp}(x) \subset \mathbf{T}^1$.*

Proof. The fact that a unitary element has a spectrum contained in \mathbf{T}^1 follows from the Spectral Mapping Theorem. To prove the converse, let u be the $C^1(\mathbf{R}^2)$ -scalar representation for x , and let $f \in C^1(\mathbf{R}^2)$ be the identity on \mathbf{T}^1 and have norm 1. From the fact that $ff = 1$ on $\text{sp}(x), x^{-1} = u(f)$; the result follows.

The next proposition, which we shall state here without proof, is analogous to Theorem 1 of [19].

PROPOSITION 1.4. *If x is a normal, invertible element of a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} , then there exist a positive, power hermitian element $y \in \mathcal{A}$ and a unitary element $z \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $x = yz$. Furthermore, if a is the real part of z and b is the imaginary part of z , then y, a , and b commute and $y^k a^m b^n$ is hermitian for every $k, m, n \in \mathbf{N}$.*

If $x \in \mathcal{A}$ is $B^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2)$ -scalar, the assumption of invertibility can be omitted from the hypotheses of Proposition 1.4. (For the definition of $B^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2)$ see § 2.)

2. Scalar operators. In this section we shall examine the preceding results in the context of the scalar operators of Dunford [7, 8]. We shall begin by reviewing some notation and known theorems, most of which are taken from [11].

We shall let $B^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2)$ denote the set of bounded Borel-measurable functions from \mathbf{R}^2 into \mathbf{C} . With the usual addition, multiplication, involution and norm, $B^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2)$ is a stellar algebra,

and $C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is a stellar subalgebra of $B^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$. For any subset A of \mathbb{R}^2 , φ_A will denote the characteristic function of A ; A is a Borel set if and only if $\varphi_A \in B^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$. If A is a Borel subset of \mathbb{R}^2 and if U is a function whose domain is $B^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we shall use the symbol U_A in place of $U(\varphi_A)$. Note that, if U is a representation of $B^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ into a ring \mathcal{A} , U_A is idempotent.

Let E be a Banach space. A continuous representation U of $B^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ into $\mathcal{L}(E)$ is *standard* if, for any bounded sequence (f_n) in $B^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ converging pointwise to 0, the sequence $(U(f_n))$ converges strongly to 0 in $\mathcal{L}(E)$. An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ is *scalar* if there exists a standard representation U of $B^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ into $\mathcal{L}(E)$ mapping 1 onto I and such that, for every bounded Borel subset A of \mathbb{R}^2 , $U(z\varphi_A) = U_A T$. (These operators were called scalar-type by Dunford [8].)

If $T \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ is scalar, there is only one standard representation that has the properties listed above. It is called the *spectral representation* for T . Furthermore, $U(zf) = U(f)T$ for every $f \in B^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with compact support.

The following theorem, which is proved in [12], summarizes the relationship between scalar and $C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ -scalar operators in weakly complete Banach spaces. A proof, based on the theory of spectral measures as developed in [11], can be given.

THEOREM 2.1. *On a weakly complete Banach space E , an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ is scalar if and only if it is $C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ -scalar. Furthermore, if U is the spectral representation for T , then the restriction of U to $C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is the $C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ -scalar representation for T .*

COROLLARY 1. *If $T \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ is scalar and if U is the spectral representation for T , then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) T is normal.
- (2) U has norm 1.
- (3) $U(f)$ is hermitian for every real $f \in B^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$.
- (4) U_A is hermitian for every Borel subset of \mathbb{R}^2 .

COROLLARY 2 [1, Theorem 4.2; 9]. *Let E be a weakly complete Banach space. An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ is scalar if and only if there is a norm on E , equivalent to the original norm, under which T is normal.*

The following corollary follows easily from Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 1.

COROLLARY 3 (The spectral theorem in Hilbert spaces). *If T is a normal operator on a Hilbert space H , then T is scalar. Furthermore, if U is the spectral representation for T , then U_A is hermitian for every Borel subset A of \mathbb{R}^2 .*

EXAMPLE. Let $E = C(K)$ where K is an infinite compact subset of \mathbb{R}^2 . For every $g \in C(\mathbb{R}^2)$ define $U(g) \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ by $U(g)x = gx$ ($x \in E$), and let $T = U(z)$. Then the restriction of U to $C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is a $C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ -scalar representation for T . (As a matter of fact U has a norm 1 and therefore T is normal.)

On the other hand, let (t_n) be a discrete convergent sequence in K . Let (Q_n) be a sequence of open subsets of \mathbb{R}^2 such that the sequence of closures is disjoint and such that $t_n \in Q_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let f_n be a unit vector in E with support contained in Q_n . Then (f_n) is a bounded sequence in $B^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ converging pointwise to 0, but the sequence $(U(f_n)1)$ does not converge in E . Consequently, T is not scalar.

REFERENCES

1. E. Berkson, A characterization of scalar type operators on reflexive Banach spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* **13** (1963), 365–373.
2. E. Berkson, Some characterizations of C^* -algebras, *Illinois J. Math.* **10** (1966), 1–8.
3. E. Berkson, Hermitian projections and orthogonality in Banach spaces, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **24** (1972), 101–118.
4. H. Bohnenblust and S. Karlin, Geometrical properties of the unit sphere of Banach algebras, *Ann. of Math.* **62** (1955), 217–229.
5. N. Bourbaki, *Theories spectrales*, Chapitres 1 et 2 (Paris, 1967).
6. I. Colojoara and C. Foias, *Theory of generalized spectral operators* (New York, 1968).
7. N. Dunford, Spectral operators, *Pacific J. Math.* **4** (1954), 321–354.
8. N. Dunford, A survey of the theory of spectral operators, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **64** (1958), 217–274.
9. S. Foguel, The relations between a spectral operator and its scalar part, *Pacific J. Math.* **8** (1958), 51–65.
10. B. Glickfeld, A metric characterization of $C(X)$ and its generalization to C^* -algebras, *Illinois J. Math.* **10** (1966), 547–556.
11. C. Ionescu Tulcea, *Notes on spectral theory*, Technical report, 1964.
12. S. Kantorovitz, Classification of operators by means of their operational calculus, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **115** (1965), 194–224.
13. G. Lumer, Semi-inner-product spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **100** (1961), 29–43.
14. G. Lumer, Spectral operators, hermitian operators and bounded groups, *Acta. Sci. Math.* **XXV** (1964), 75–85.
15. G. Mackey, *Commutative Banach algebras* (Rio de Janeiro, 1959).
16. F.-Y. Maeda, Generalized spectral operators on locally convex spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* **13** (1963), 177–192.
17. T. Palmer, Characterization of C^* -algebras, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **74** (1968), 538–540.
18. T. Palmer, Unbounded normal operators on Banach spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **133** (1968), 385–414.
19. T. Panchapagesan, Unitary operators in Banach spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* **22** (1967), 465–475.
20. S. Plafker, Spectral representations for a general class of operators on a locally convex space, *Illinois J. Math.* **13** (1969), 573–582.
21. I. Vidav, Eine metrische Kennzeichnung der selbstadjungierten Operatoren, *Math. Zeit.* **66** (1956), 121–128.
22. J. Wermer, Commuting spectral measures on Hilbert space, *Pacific J. Math.* **4** (1954), 355–361.

TULANE UNIVERSITY
NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA 70118