Capillary interactions between dynamically forced particles adsorbed at a planar interface and on a bubble
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We investigate the dynamic interfacial deformation induced by micrometric particles exerting a periodic force on a planar interface or on a bubble, and the resulting lateral capillary interactions. Assuming that the deformation of the interface is small, neglecting the effect of viscosity and assuming point particles, we derive analytical formulas for the dynamic deformation of the interface. For the case of a planar interface the dynamic point force simply generates capillary waves, while for the case of a bubble it excites shape oscillations, with a dominant deformation mode that depends on the bubble radius for a given forcing frequency. We evaluate the lateral capillary force acting between two particles, by superimposing the deformations induced by two point forces. We find that the lateral capillary forces experienced by dynamically forced particles are non-monotonic and can be repulsive. The results are applicable to micrometric particles driven by different dynamic forcing mechanisms such as magnetic, electric or acoustic fields.
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1. Introduction

Micrometric particles can adsorb to fluid–fluid interfaces and impart stability to emulsions and foams (Binks 2002). The mechanism by which a colloidal particle adsorbs on an interface is a significant decrease in free energy, which can be of the order of $10^6 k_B T$, where $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant and $T$ the temperature, in the case of micrometric spheres (Binks & Horozov 2006). The stability and behaviour of the particle-laden interface strongly depend on the microstructure formed by the adsorbed particles (Fuller & Vermant 2012). This microstructure is determined by the interactions between the particles at the interface, which can differ from those in the bulk. Indeed, in addition to the colloidal forces that particles experience in the bulk, particles adsorbed on an interface experience lateral capillary forces due to important interface deformations generated by their presence (Oettel & Dietrich 2008).
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The self-assembly of colloidal particles due to capillary forces on planar and curved interfaces has been investigated in quasi-static configurations and in the limit of small deformations (Kralchevsky, Paunov & Nagayama 1995; Kralchevsky & Nagayama 2000; Saif 2002; Danov & Kralchevsky 2010; Guzowski, Tasinkevych & Dietrich 2011a,b). At equilibrium, buoyant (or heavy) particles trapped at an interface induce deformations that decay over distances of the order of the capillary length (Chan, Henry & White 1981). Such deformations give rise to long-range attractive forces between particles, which drive aggregation of the interfacial suspension (Vassileva et al. 2005; Vella, Metcalfe & Whittaker 2006; Bleibel et al. 2011; Lee, Cicuta & Vella 2017). For micrometric particles, the weight of the particle is too small to appreciably deform the interface, but interface deformations can arise due to pinning of the three-phase contact line on surface roughness (Stamou, Duschl & Johannsmann 2000; Sharifi-Mood, Liu & Stebe 2015; Zanini et al. 2017), anisotropic shape of the particles leading to an undulated contact line (Van Nierop, Stijnman & Hilgenfeldt 2005; Botto et al. 2012) or external forces (Vella 2015) and torques (Davies et al. 2014). In the limit of small deformations and in the absence of dynamic effects, lateral capillary interactions between adsorbed particles can be represented in terms of interactions between two-dimensional multipole moments (Danov et al. 2005; Danov & Kralchevsky 2010), in analogy with two-dimensional electrostatics (Domínguez, Oettel & Dietrich 2008). In such an analogy, a particle exerting a net force normal to the interface is represented by a capillary ‘monopole’, a particle exerting a torque in the plane of the interface is represented by a capillary ‘dipole’, while higher-order capillary multipoles describe an undulated three-phase contact line.

In practical situations, particle-laden interfaces are driven out of equilibrium by an external flow (Stancik et al. 2002, 2003; Lishchuk, Halliday & Care 2006; Lishchuk 2016; Vidal & Botto 2017), by dynamic external fields (Grzybowski, Stone & Whitesides 2000; Belkin et al. 2007; Snezhko & Aranson 2011; Wang et al. 2017) or by acoustic waves (Poulichet & Garbin 2015; Poulichet, Huerre & Garbin 2017). Recent work on the dynamics of particles adsorbed on an interface focused on the mobility coefficients of a single (Danov et al. 1995; Danov, Dimova & Pouligny 2000; Fischer, Dhar & Heinig 2006; Pozrikidis 2007; Boniello et al. 2016; Dörr et al. 2016; Koplik & Maldarelli 2017) or a pair of colloidal particles (Dani et al. 2015) that move tangentially to the interface without deforming it.

However, colloidal particles driven by an external force can significantly deform the interface. In such a dynamic situation, much less is known about the interfacial deformation induced by the forced particles, and the resulting lateral capillary interactions. Singh et al. (2009, 2011) showed that dynamic effects are significant during adsorption of micrometric particles onto a free surface, leading to large underdamped oscillations about the final equilibrium position. Such oscillations generate nonlinear flows that disperse tracer particles. Dörr & Hardt (2015) considered the problem of spherical colloidal particles moving on a free surface due to an external tangential force and found that they experience a torque in the plane of the interface, thus behaving as capillary dipoles. Dynamic capillary forces are responsible for the lateral drift of spheres floating on Faraday waves (Falkovich et al. 2005; Sanli, Lohse & van der Meer 2014). Ledesma-Alonso, Tordjeman & Legendre (2014) and Wdolowski & Napiórkowski (2015) studied the dynamic deformation of liquid films induced by van der Waals interactions with a single oscillating nanoparticle, as a model for a vibrating atomic force microscopy tip. They found that the dynamic motion of the nanoparticle can generate capillary waves on the surface of the film.
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Figure 1. (Colour online) Schematic picture of the problems considered in the present paper: (a) dynamic point force acting on a planar gas–liquid interface, (b) dynamic point force acting on a bubble. The deformation of the free surface (dashed line) and the size of the particle are exaggerated for clarity.

Protière et al. (2005), Protière, Boudaoud & Couder (2006) and Moláček & Bush (2013) found that the interactions between drops bouncing on an interface and the capillary waves generated during each impact are responsible for a range of drop dynamics such as inter-drop attraction, repulsion and orbiting. Dynamic capillary interactions could be relevant for the self-assembly of colloids on the interface bubbles and drops deformed by unsteady and dynamic stresses (Mulligan & Rothstein 2011; Poulichet & Garbin 2015; Prabhudesai et al. 2017).

Despite their relevance, the interface deformations due to dynamic forces at the colloidal scale, and the capillary interactions between dynamically driven particles, have not been investigated as extensively as those occurring in the quasi-static regime. In this paper, we evaluate the far-field deformation of a gas–liquid interface induced by a micrometric adsorbed particle that is dynamically forced in the direction normal to the unperturbed surface, in the limit of small deformations, point-like particle and negligible viscous effects. The case of a planar interface and of a bubble are considered. Finally, we evaluate the lateral capillary forces between two forced particles by linearly superimposing the two deformation fields. In contrast to the quasi-static case, we find that capillary interactions between identically forced particles can be repulsive and can prevent aggregation. The results have general applicability to different particle forcing mechanisms such as electric, magnetic or acoustic fields.

2. Model for dynamic interface deformation and capillary interactions

2.1. Problem formulation

We consider micrometric particles straddling the interface between a liquid phase of viscosity \( \eta \) and density \( \rho \), and a gas phase whose density and viscosity are negligible compared to the liquid phase. The surface tension between the liquid and the gas phase is given by \( \gamma \). We consider two geometries: a planar interface (figure 1a), and the interface of a bubble with equilibrium radius \( R_0 \) (figure 1b). We are interested in the interface deformation caused by particles driven by an external dynamic force \( F(t) = F_0 \cos(\omega t) \), with the angular frequency \( \omega \), and the resulting capillary interactions. We consider a particle as a dynamic point force acting on the gas–liquid interface. The external force is applied in a direction normal to the undisturbed the interface, that is, in the \( z \) direction in the case of a planar interface, and in the radial direction in the case of a bubble, as shown schematically in figure 1.
This problem involves multiple length scales: a characteristic particle size \( l_p \), a characteristic inter-particle distance \( d \), the thickness of the boundary layer \( \delta = \sqrt{\eta/(\rho \omega)} \), the wavelength \( \lambda = 2\pi \gamma^{1/3}/(\omega^{2/3} \rho^{1/3}) \) of capillary waves, the bubble radius \( R_0 \) and a characteristic deformation of the interface \( F_0/\gamma \). In the case of colloidal systems, typical particle separations and bubble radii are much smaller than the capillary length, \( \sqrt{\gamma/(\rho g)} \), hence we neglect the effects of the gravitational acceleration \( g \). The motion of macroscopic bodies on gas–liquid interfaces, for which gravity effects are important, has been studied by Raphaël & De Gennes (1996), Chepelianskii, Chevy & Raphaël (2008) and Le Merrer et al. (2011), while the case of negligible surface tension has been studied by Ursell (1953, 1954), Davis (1976, 1977) and Hulme (1982).

In this paper, we consider the case of micrometric particles whose separation distance is comparable to the capillary wavelength and to the bubble radius, \( d \approx R_0 \approx \lambda \), while their size \( l_p \), the thickness of the boundary layer \( \delta \) and the characteristic interface deformation \( F_0/\gamma \), are much smaller. The relevant length scale in our problem is then given by the wavelength, \( \lambda \). Thus, we base the non-dimensional numbers on this characteristic length.

As viscous dissipation only takes place within the boundary layer, the assumption that \( \delta \ll \lambda \) implies that inertial effects dominate over viscous dissipation and the Reynolds number is large \( Re = \rho \omega \lambda^2/\eta = \lambda^2/\delta^2 \gg 1 \). This assumption is satisfied for angular frequencies \( \omega \) up to \( 10^6 \) rad \( s^{-1} \), in the case of water–air interfaces. In the same conditions viscous forces are much smaller than surface tension ones, and the capillary number is negligible \( Ca = \eta \omega \lambda/\gamma = Re^{-1} \ll 1 \). Since viscous forces are negligible compared to inertial and surface tension ones, \( Re \gg 1 \) and \( Ca \ll 1 \), we neglect viscosity in the fluid phase. The assumption \( l_p \ll \lambda \) is satisfied in the case of micrometre-sized particles adsorbed on water–air interfaces, for \( \omega \) up to \( 10^6 \) rad \( s^{-1} \).

Finally, we remark that forces \( F_0 \) larger than \( 1 \) nN are rarely exceeded at the colloidal scale even with strong external fields (Ashkin 1980; Baresch, Thomas & Marchiano 2016). It follows that the characteristic deformation of the interface, \( F_0/\gamma \), is typically small compared to both the capillary wavelength \( \lambda \) and the micrometric particle size \( l_p \). In the small deformation limit, \( F_0/\gamma \lambda \ll 1 \) and \( F_0/\gamma l_p \ll 1 \), we can linearise the balance equations around the equilibrium state. Under this hypothesis, the surface deformations induced by multiple particles are obtained by superimposing the deformation independently caused by each particle.

In summary, our analysis is concerned with the far-field interface deformations induced by dynamically driven particles and the resulting far-field lateral capillary interactions. In the far-field limit the details of the shape of the particle and its contact angle have no effect. Likewise, the details of the flow field in the proximity of the particles have no effect over the length scale \( \lambda \). However, our analysis is not applicable at distances from the particles that are much smaller than the capillary wavelength, where our assumptions of an inviscid fluid and a point particle break down. Addressing the near-field deformation of the interface and the near-field capillary interactions requires a separate numerical investigation.

In §§ 2.2 and 2.3 we summarise the equations and the boundary conditions governing the hydrodynamic problem of a single time-dependent point force acting on a gas–liquid interface. In § 2.4, we make use of the assumption of small deformations to derive an expression for the lateral capillary force acting between two dynamically driven point forces.
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2.2. Equations for the velocity and pressure fields

Under the hypothesis of linearity, the convective term in the momentum balance can be neglected, hence the velocity \( v \) and pressure \( p \) disturbances induced by a single driven particle in the liquid phase are given by:

\[
\nabla \cdot v = 0, \quad \rho \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = -\nabla p.
\]

The effects of viscosity in (2.2) have been neglected, as discussed in § 2.1. In the inviscid limit the velocity field can be expressed as the gradient of a scalar potential function, \( v = \nabla \phi \), and the above equations can be rewritten in terms of the velocity potential \( \phi \):

\[
\nabla^2 \phi = 0, \quad p = -\rho \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}.
\]

2.3. Boundary conditions and effects of particle forcing

The equations reported in § 2.2 are valid for both geometries considered in this work. The difference between the case of a planar interface and the case of a bubble is represented by the boundary conditions, which are reported in detail in the next two subsections. Since we neglected the effects of viscosity, it is not necessary to consider a tangential stress balance on the interface as it is automatically satisfied in the inviscid limit. Within the linear approximation, the boundary conditions on the free surface only involve quantities and differential operators evaluated on the undeformed interface, that is to say, at \( z = 0 \) in the case of a planar interface and at \( r = R_0 \) in the case of a bubble.

2.3.1. Boundary conditions for a planar interface

In the case of a point force acting on a planar interface, we adopt a Cartesian reference frame with origin on the undeformed surface \( z = 0 \) (see figure 1a) and we define \( u(x, y, t) \) the deformation field of the interface. Due to the translational invariance of the problem, we consider the point force acting at the origin. The normal stress balance on the free surface is thus given by:

\[
\left. p \right|_{z=0} + \gamma \nabla^2_{\parallel} u + F_0 \cos(\omega t) \delta(x) \delta(y) = 0,
\]

where \( \nabla^2_{\parallel} \) is the Laplacian operator on the undeformed interface, \( \nabla^2_{\parallel} = (\partial^2/\partial x^2) + (\partial^2/\partial y^2) \). The pressure in the gas above the free surface is assumed to be zero. The effect of the forced particle is represented by a time-dependent point force of amplitude \( F_0 \cos(\omega t) \) in (2.5) (Havelock 1919; Morse & Witten 1993; Raphaël & De Gennes 1996; Guzowski et al. 2011a,b).

In the linear regime the kinematic boundary condition at the free surface is given by:

\[
\left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right|_{z=0}.
\]

Finally, at infinity the fluid is quiescent:

\[
\nabla \phi = 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} \to \infty.
\]
2.3.2. Boundary conditions for a bubble

We consider a point force acting on the north pole (see figure 1b) of a bubble of equilibrium radius $R_0$, and we define $u(\theta, t)$ to be the axisymmetric deformation field of the interface. The normal stress balance at the bubble surface reads (Landau & Lifshits 1999):

$$\left.-p\right|_{r=R_0} + p_{\text{gas}} - \gamma \left(\frac{2}{R_0} - \frac{2u}{R_0^2} - \nabla^2 u\right) + \frac{F_0}{R_0^2} \cos(\omega t) \frac{\delta(\theta)\delta(\varphi)\sin\theta}{\sin\theta} = 0,$$

where the Laplacian operator on the undeformed interface of a sphere takes the form $\nabla^2 \parallel = \left(\frac{1}{R_0^2 \sin\theta}\right)(\partial/\partial\theta)(\sin\theta (\partial/\partial\theta))$, and the effect of the forced particle is represented by the last term in (2.8).

Compared to the case of a planar interface, the normal stress balance for the spherical surface includes an additional term proportional to the pressure inside the bubble $p_{\text{gas}}$, for which a compression law has to be specified. We assume an isothermal compression law, however a polytropic exponent different from one does not change qualitatively our results. Hence, we consider that $p_{\text{gas}}$ is given by:

$$p_{\text{gas}} = p_{\text{gas},0} \frac{4\pi R_0^3}{3} V,$$

with $V$ the volume of the deformed bubble at a time $t$. The constant $p_{\text{gas},0}$ is the gas pressure within the bubble at equilibrium and it is given by the Laplace pressure for a spherical bubble $p_{\text{gas},0} = p_0 + \frac{2\gamma}{R_0}$, with $p_0$ the equilibrium pressure in the liquid.

The kinematic condition on the bubble surface is given by:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \left.\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r}\right|_{r=R_0}.$$  

Finally, far from the bubble the fluid is quiescent:

$$\nabla \phi = 0 \quad \text{as} \quad r \to \infty.$$  

In contrast to the case of a planar interface, the centre of mass of the bubble is displaced by the point force. It follows that not only the interface deformation, but also the bubble displacement is required to be small for the assumption of linearity to be valid. The condition of small interface deformation and bubble displacement implies that $(F_0 \lambda/\gamma R_0^2) \ll 1$. Since in § 2.1 we have already assumed small deformations of the interface, $F_0/\gamma \lambda \ll 1$, it follows that the capillary wavelength $\lambda$ has to be, at most, comparable to the bubble radius $R_0$, that is $\lambda^2/R_0^2 \approx (\gamma^{2/3})/(\rho^{2/3} \omega^{1/3} R_0^2)$ is at most $O(1)$. This condition suggests that it is not possible to fulfil the condition of small interface deformation and bubble displacements, as the forcing frequency goes to zero, because a steady point force would displace the centre of mass of the bubble indefinitely far from its equilibrium position.

2.4. Capillary interactions between dynamic point forces

When the interface deformation fields induced by multiple particles overlap, the particles experience a lateral capillary force (Kralchevsky & Nagayama 2000). In the case of deformations generated by multiple steady forces (e.g. heavy particles) an
attractive lateral capillary force arises, causing aggregation of the particles. In this section we extend our study to consider the far-field capillary interactions between two particles.

We seek an equation for the lateral capillary force $F_{C_\parallel}$ acting between two point-like particles driven by a dynamic force on a planar interface or a bubble, as reported schematically in figure 2. For simplicity, we consider that both particles exert the same total force $F_A(t) = F_B(t) = F_0 \cos(\omega t)$ in the direction normal to the undisturbed interface, which corresponds to the case of particles driven by the same external field. For the case of a planar interface we consider particle $A$ and particle $B$ separated by a distance $d$. The angular separation between the two point forces on a bubble is given by $\theta_B$. Without loss of generality we assume particle $A$ is positioned at the origin in the planar case, and at the north pole in the case of the bubble.

If only particle $A$ is driven by the external force, the deformation of the interface is axisymmetric and the capillary force on the particle has no lateral component. However, if particle $B$ produces a distortion of the interface at the position where particle $A$ is located, the axial symmetry is broken and particle $A$ experiences a lateral capillary force. By superimposing the distortion of the interface induced by the two particles, it can be shown that the capillary force on particle $A$ has a lateral component, $F_{C_\parallel}$, that is proportional to the gradient of the surface distortion generated by particle $B$, $\nabla \parallel u_B$ (Domínguez et al. 2008). In the case of a planar interface $F_{C_\parallel}$ is given by:

$$F_{C_\parallel} = -F_0 \cos(\omega t) \nabla \parallel u_B|_{x=0}. \quad (2.12)$$

In the case of a bubble it is given by:

$$F_{C_\parallel} = -F_0 \cos(\omega t) \nabla \parallel u_B|_{\theta=0}. \quad (2.13)$$

The lateral capillary force $F_{C_\parallel}$ between dynamically forced particles can be computed through (2.12)–(2.13), in which the only unknown is the gradient of the interface deformation induced by particle $B$, evaluated at the position of particle $A$. Interestingly, the lateral capillary force, given by (2.12), between particles adsorbed on a planar interface is the same as that acting between drops bouncing on a liquid bath, in the limit of short drop–interface contact times (Moláček & Bush 2013). Hence, some similarity between the two systems can be expected.
3. Dynamic deformation of a planar interface

We solve (2.3)–(2.4), for the case of a planar interface. Given the time periodic nature of the forcing it is natural to express the time dependence of all the fields as a Fourier series,

\[ \phi(x, y, z, t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_n(x, y, z) \exp(in \omega t), \]  
\[ p(x, y, z, t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} p_n(x, y, z) \exp(in \omega t), \]  
\[ u(x, y, t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} u_n(x, y) \exp(in \omega t), \]

with the unknowns being the modes \( \phi_n, p_n \) and \( u_n \). Due to the linearity of the problem, no mode coupling occurs. Furthermore, as the forcing is represented simply by a cosine, all the modes \( n \) other than \( 1 \) or \(-1\) are identically zero, and the modes \( 1 \) and \(-1\) of all the fields are complex conjugates \( \phi_1 = \bar{\phi}_{-1}, \ p_1 = \bar{p}_{-1} \) and \( u_1 = \bar{u}_{-1}. \) It is thus clear that the specification of the modes \( \phi_1, p_1 \) and \( u_1 \) completely determines the solution.

We further define the two-dimensional Fourier transform in the \( x-y \) plane of the fields \( \phi_1(x, y, z), u_1(x, y, z), p_1(x, y, z) \) and of the Dirac delta distribution \( \delta(x)\delta(y) \), as:

\[ \phi_1(x, y, z) = \int \hat{\phi}_1(k_x, k_y, z) \exp(ik \cdot x) \, dk, \]  
\[ p_1(x, y, z) = \int \hat{p}_1(k_x, k_y, z) \exp(ik \cdot x) \, dk, \]  
\[ u_1(x, y) = \int \hat{u}_1(k_x, k_y) \exp(ik \cdot x) \, dk, \]
\[ \delta(x)\delta(y) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int \exp(ik \cdot x) \, dk, \]

with \( k = (k_x, k_y) \) and \( x = (x, y) \). Substituting the Fourier series given by (3.1) and the Fourier transform given by (3.4) in (2.3) we obtain:

\[ \frac{\partial^2 \hat{\phi}_1}{\partial z^2} - k^2 \hat{\phi}_1 = 0, \]  

where \( k^2 = k_x \cdot k_y. \) The only solution compatible with the quiescent condition at \( z \to -\infty \) is:

\[ \hat{\phi}_1 = \hat{q}_1(k_x, k_y) \exp(kz). \]  

(3.9)

The function \( \hat{q}_1(k_x, k_y) \) is determined using the normal stress boundary condition. By substituting (2.4) into (2.5) and taking the time derivative we obtain:

\[ -\rho \left. \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial t^2} \right|_{z=0} + \gamma \nabla^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \omega F_0 \sin(\omega t) \delta(x)\delta(y) = 0. \]  

(3.10)
Substituting the kinematic condition equation (2.6), we obtain:

\[-\rho \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial r^2} \bigg|_{z=0} + \gamma \nabla^2 \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z=0} - \omega F_0 \sin(\omega t) \delta(x) \delta(y) = 0.\]  

(3.11)

By inserting the Fourier series given by (3.1) and the Fourier transforms given by (3.4)–(3.7) into (3.11), we obtain:

\[\rho \omega^2 \hat{\phi}_1 \bigg|_{z=0} - \gamma k^2 \frac{\partial \hat{\phi}_1}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z=0} + \frac{i \omega F_0}{8 \pi^2} = 0.\]  

(3.12)

Further replacing \(\hat{\phi}_1\) as obtained from (3.9):

\[\rho \omega^2 \hat{q}_1 - \gamma k^2 \hat{q}_1 + \frac{i \omega F_0}{8 \pi^2} = 0.\]  

(3.13)

The solution is trivially:

\[\hat{q}_1 = \frac{i \omega F_0}{8 \pi^2 (-\rho \omega^2 + \gamma k^3)}.\]  

(3.14)

The first Fourier mode of the interface deformation is obtained considering the kinematic condition:

\[\hat{u}_1 = -\frac{i k}{\omega} \hat{q}_1 = \frac{-k F_0}{8 \pi^2 (-\rho \omega^2 + \gamma k^3)}.\]  

(3.15)

To obtain the interfacial deformation field \(u_1\) in real space we evaluate the integral in (3.6) with \(\hat{u}_1\) given by (3.15). The details are reported in appendix A. The solution reads:

\[u_1(r) = \frac{-F_0}{24 \gamma} [H_0((-a)^{1/3} r) + H_0((-a)^{1/3} r) - H_0(a^{1/3} r) - Y_0((-a)^{1/3} r) - Y_0((-a)^{1/3} r) - Y_0((a^{1/3} r))] - Y_0((-a)^{1/3} r) - Y_0((a^{1/3} r))].\]  

(3.16)

with \(a = (\rho \omega^2 / \gamma)\) and the overbar denotes the complex conjugate. The dynamic deformation of the interface is finally given by:

\[u(r, t) = \frac{-F_0}{12 \gamma} \cos(\omega t) [H_0((-a)^{1/3} r) + H_0((-a)^{1/3} r) - H_0(a^{1/3} r) - Y_0((-a)^{1/3} r) - Y_0((-a)^{1/3} r) - Y_0((a^{1/3} r))] - Y_0((-a)^{1/3} r) - Y_0((a^{1/3} r))].\]  

(3.17)

The pressure field is given by:

\[p(r, z, t) = \frac{a^{2/3} F_0}{12} \cos(\omega t) [(-1)^{1/3} H_0((-a)^{1/3} r) + (-1)^{1/3} H_0((-a)^{1/3} r) - H_0(a^{1/3} r) - (-1)^{1/3} Y_0((-a)^{1/3} r) - (-1)^{1/3} Y_0((-a)^{1/3} r) - Y_0((-a)^{1/3} r)] \times \exp(a^{1/3} z).\]  

(3.18)
In (3.16)–(3.18) \( H_0 \) is the zeroth-order Struve function (Abramowitz & Stegun 1964), and \( Y_0 \) is the zeroth-order Bessel function of the second kind (Abramowitz & Stegun 1964). The argument \( r \) is the radial distance from the origin \( r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \).

The dynamic deformation field generated by the point force, given by (3.17), is a standing capillary wave whose wavenumber is given by \( a^{1/3} = (\rho \omega^2 / \gamma)^{1/3} \). As expected, the deformation of the interface has a characteristic wavenumber that fulfils the dispersion relation for capillary waves at the free surface of an inviscid fluid (Landau & Lifshits 1999). We remark that the solution equation (3.17) for a periodic point force can be used to evaluate the interface deformation caused by more complex dynamic distributions of pressure. Specifically, the interface deformation induced by dynamic pressure dipoles, quadrupoles or higher-order multipoles is easily obtained by taking gradients of (3.17).

It is useful to inspect the asymptotic behaviour of the interface deformation field given by (3.17) as \( \omega \to 0 \). In this limit, the leading-order correction to the interface deformation given by (3.17) results in a logarithmic dependence \( u(r) \propto \ln(r) \). This behaviour is explained by considering the normal stress balance in (2.5) in absence of bulk dynamic effects (i.e. \( p = 0 \)). In this limit, equation (2.5) reduces to a two-dimensional Laplace equation with a point force, whose solution is well known (Prosperetti 2011) to be \( u(r) = (F_0 / 2\pi \gamma) \ln(r) \). Such asymptotic behaviour of the free surface deformation is identical to that obtained for a heavy particle adsorbed on a planar interface, at distances much smaller than the capillary length (Chan et al. 1981; Domínguez et al. 2008). Hence, in the limit \( \omega \to 0 \) the dynamic solution given by (3.17) recovers the interface deformation induced by a static point force, as expected.

The dynamic deformation of the planar free surface \( u(r, 0) \) at time \( t = 0 \) is plotted in figure 3 together with the deformation induced by a static point force (e.g. a heavy particle). It is evident that the dynamic interface deformation is qualitatively different from the case of a static forcing. The interface shape is non-monotonic and is characterised by a wavenumber \( a^{-1/3} \). Nevertheless, as clearly observed in figure 3, close to the origin the dynamic deformation shows the same logarithmic dependence of the case of a static point force. This behaviour is explained by considering that in the proximity of the point force we have \( p \ll \gamma \nabla^2 u \). As a consequence, locally
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around \( r = 0 \), the interface deformation is governed by a Laplace equation with a point force, similarly to the static case. Note that a particle exerting the dynamic force on the interface has a finite size thus the deformation is finite everywhere. Indeed, as \( r \to 0 \) the assumptions of a point particle and an inviscid fluid are not valid; the divergence of the interface deformation is the signature of their breakdown. The markedly different interface deformations induced by dynamic and static point forces are expected to induce lateral capillary interactions between two particles that also differ significantly in the two cases. We compute the lateral capillary force in the next section.

3.1. Lateral capillary force

To evaluate the lateral capillary force through (2.12) we have to compute the gradient of the deformation field induced by particle \( B \), evaluated at the position of particle \( A \). Due to the translational invariance of the problem, the deformation field induced by particle \( B \) is the same as that generated by particle \( A \). Hence, the term \( \nabla |u_B| \) in (2.12) is simply given by (3.17) with \( r = d \). The lateral capillary force \( F_{C||} \) acting on particle \( A \) is given by:

\[
F_{C||} = -F_0 \cos(\omega t) \nabla |u(r, t)|_{r=d}.
\]  

(3.19)

Substituting the expression for the interface deformation, equation (3.17), we have:

\[
F_{C||} = \hat{d}_{AB} \frac{F_0^2 a^{1/3} \cos(\omega t)^2}{12\gamma} \left[ H_{-1}(a^{1/3}d) - Y_1(a^{1/3}d) + (-1)^{1/3}(-H_{-1}((-a)^{1/3}d)) \right. \\
\left. + (-1)^{1/3}(H_{-1}((-a)^{1/3}d) + Y_1((-a)^{1/3}d)) - Y_1((-a)^{1/3}d)) \right],
\]

(3.20)

with \( \hat{d}_{AB} \) the unit vector from particle \( A \) to particle \( B \) and tangent to the undeformed planar interface. When the term in square brackets of (3.20) is positive the force is attractive.

Interestingly, the lateral capillary force given by (3.20) is quadratic in the point force amplitude. It follows that its mean over a period is non-zero, even if the average force individually applied by the two particles over one period is zero. Equation (3.20) also shows that the lateral interaction force scales with the wavenumber of the interface deformation \( a^{1/3} \).

The component of the capillary interaction force along \( \hat{d}_{AB} \), given by (3.20), and averaged over one period, is reported in figure 4(a) as a function of the particle separation distance \( d \) (see figure 2a). For comparison, the interaction force between two static point forces given by \( F_0^2 / 2\pi \gamma d \) (Domínguez et al. 2008) is shown in figure 4(b). The lateral capillary force between identical static point forces is attractive at all particle separations \( d \). Conversely, in the dynamic case the interaction force is non-monotonic and for certain distances it becomes repulsive. Figure 4(a) shows that there exist multiple equilibrium positions for which the particles do not move laterally. These equilibrium positions correspond to the antinodes of the standing wave and can be either stable of unstable depending on the inter-particle distance. This suggests that two dynamically driven particles, would attract or repel each other until the closest stable equilibrium distance is reached. This behaviour is in contrast to the static case where the two particles approach until contact. In figure 4(a) it is seen that dynamic effects become important for inter-particle distances of the order of the inverse of the wavenumber, \( da^{1/3} \approx 1 \), and the average lateral force becomes repulsive.
already at \( da^{1/3} \approx 2 \). The results reported in figure 4 suggest that dynamically driving an interfacial suspension of colloidal particles with a suitable wavenumber \( a^{1/3} \) can prevent particle aggregation on planar surfaces. Interestingly, a behaviour similar to that predicted by our theory has been observed in the experiments of Protière et al. (2005) and Protière et al. (2006) for bouncing drops. The authors found that multiple identical drops bouncing on a planar interface aggregated, when the capillary waves generated during impacts were damped, while they moved towards a stable equilibrium distance when the waves were not damped. This behaviour is remarkably similar to that predicted by (3.20) and shown in figure 4.

4. Dynamic deformation of a bubble

In this section we provide the solution of (2.3)–(2.4), for a point force acting on the north pole of a bubble, i.e. with boundary conditions given by (2.8)–(2.11). Similarly to the case of a planar interface, all the fields, and the pressure inside the bubble are expressed as a Fourier series:

\[
\phi(r, \theta, t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_n(r, \theta) \exp(in\omega t),
\]

\[
p(r, \theta, t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} p_n(r, \theta) \exp(in\omega t),
\]

\[
u(\theta, t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} u_n(\theta) \exp(in\omega t),
\]

\[
p_{\text{gas}}(t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} p_{\text{gas},n} \exp(in\omega t),
\]

where the unknowns are the modes \( \phi_n, u_n, p_n \) and \( p_{\text{gas},n} \). As the governing equations (2.3)–(2.4) and the boundary conditions (2.8)–(2.11) are linear, no mode coupling occurs. In addition, the time dependence of the point force is simply a cosine,
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and therefore all the modes $n$ larger than 1 and smaller than $-1$ are identically zero. The zeroth mode for the gas pressure $p_{\text{gas},0}$ is given by the equilibrium Laplace pressure $p_{\text{gas},0} = p_0 + (2\gamma/R_0)$ with $p_0$ the equilibrium pressure in the liquid. Furthermore, the fields $\phi$, $u$, $p$ and the pressure inside the gas $p_{\text{gas}}$ are real variables, hence the modes $1$ and $-1$ must be complex conjugates: $\phi_1 = \overline{\phi}_{-1}$, $u_1 = \overline{u}_{-1}$, $p_1 = \overline{p}_{-1}$ and $p_{\text{gas}1} = \overline{p}_{\text{gas}1}$. The specification of the modes $\phi_1$, $u_1$, $p_1$ and $p_{\text{gas}1}$ completely determines the solution of the problem.

Laplace’s equation, equation (2.3), for the first mode of the velocity potential reads:

$$\nabla^2 \phi_1 = 0,$$

whose solution can be expressed as a sum of Legendre polynomials $P_l(\cos \theta)$:

$$\phi_1 = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} C_l \sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{4\pi}} r^{-(l+1)} P_l(\cos \theta),$$

with $C_l$ constants to be determined through the boundary conditions. The pressure in the bulk is found by substituting the Fourier series in (4.2) into (2.4):

$$p_1 = -i\omega \rho \phi_1.$$  

By substituting the Fourier series in (4.3) and (4.6) in the kinematic boundary condition equation (2.10) we obtain a relation between interface deformation, $u_1$, and the velocity potential evaluated on the undeformed sphere:

$$u_1 = -\frac{i}{\omega} \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial r} \bigg|_{r=R_0} = \frac{i}{\omega} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} C_l \sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{4\pi}} (l+1)R_0^{-(l+2)} P_l(\cos \theta).$$

Equation (4.8) represents a decomposition in Legendre polynomials of the deformation of the bubble interface, which can be used to evaluate the time-dependent volume of the bubble:

$$V = \frac{4\pi}{3} \left( R_0 + \frac{i}{\omega} \sqrt{\frac{1}{4\pi}} C_0 R_0^{-2} \exp(i\omega t) - \frac{i}{\omega} \sqrt{\frac{1}{4\pi}} C_0 R_0^{-2} \exp(-i\omega t) \right)^3. $$

The pressure inside the gas, $p_{\text{gas}}$, is obtained by substituting the equation for the volume, equation (4.9), into (2.9):

$$p_{\text{gas}} = p_{\text{gas},0} \frac{4\pi R_0^3}{3 V} = \frac{p_{\text{gas},0} R_0^3}{\left( R_0 + \frac{i}{\omega} \sqrt{\frac{1}{4\pi}} C_0 R_0^{-2} \exp(i\omega t) - \frac{i}{\omega} \sqrt{\frac{1}{4\pi}} C_0 R_0^{-2} \exp(-i\omega t) \right)^3}. $$

By employing the assumption of small interface deformations, we can linearise the expression above to obtain:

$$p_{\text{gas}} = p_{\text{gas},0} \left( 1 - 3 \frac{i}{\omega} \sqrt{\frac{1}{4\pi}} C_0 R_0^{-3} \exp(i\omega t) + 3 \frac{i}{\omega} \sqrt{\frac{1}{4\pi}} C_0 R_0^{-3} \exp(-i\omega t) \right).$$
From (4.11) it is immediately clear that the pressure in the gas phase $p_{\text{gas}}$ is the sum of three Fourier modes: a zeroth mode $p_{\text{gas},0}$, a mode $-1$ and a mode $1$. The latter is given by:

$$p_{\text{gas},1} = -3 \frac{i}{\omega} p_{\text{gas},0} C_0 R_0^{-3} \sqrt{\frac{1}{4\pi}} = -3 \frac{i}{\omega} p_{\text{gas},0} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} C_l R_0^{-3} \sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{4\pi}} P_l(\cos \theta) \delta_{l0}, \quad (4.12)$$

with $\delta_{l0}$ the Kronecker delta. It is clear from (4.12), (4.8), (4.7), (4.6), that the specification of the constants $C_l$ completely determines the solution to the problem. An equation for $C_l$ is obtained considering the normal stress balance. Substituting the Fourier series given by (4.1)–(4.4) in the normal stress balance equation (2.8) and considering the first Fourier mode, we obtain:

$$-p_1|_{r=R_0} + p_{\text{gas},1} + \gamma \left( \frac{2u_1}{K_0^2} + \nabla_u^2 u_1 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{F_0}{R_0^2} \frac{\delta(\theta)\delta(\varphi)}{\sin \theta} = 0. \quad (4.13)$$

Inserting (4.12), (4.8), (4.7), (4.6), in the normal stress balance (4.13), and using the fact that $\nabla_u^2 P_l(\cos \theta) = -l(l+1)P_l(\cos \theta)$, we obtain an equation for each constant $C_l$:

$$i\omega C_l \sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{4\pi}} R_0^{-(l+1)} P_l(\cos \theta) - 3 \frac{i}{\omega} C_l R_0^{-3} \sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{4\pi}} P_l(\cos \theta) \delta_{l0} P_{\text{gas},0}$$

$$+ \frac{i\gamma}{\omega} \sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{4\pi}} R_0^{-(l+4)} (l+1)(2-l(l+1)) P_l(\cos \theta) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{F_0}{R_0^2} \frac{\delta(\theta)\delta(\varphi)}{\sin \theta} = 0. \quad (4.14)$$

We substitute in (4.14) the expression of the Dirac delta in terms of a sum of Legendre polynomials $\delta(\theta)\delta(\varphi)/\sin \theta = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} ((2l+1)/4\pi) P_l(\cos \theta)$:

$$C_l \left( \omega^2 \rho - \frac{3}{K_0^2} \delta_{l0} p_{\text{gas},0} + \frac{\gamma}{R_0^3} (l+1)(2-l(l+1)) \right) = \frac{1}{2} i\omega F_0 R_0^{l-1} \sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{4\pi}}, \quad (4.15)$$

which gives as solution:

$$C_l = \frac{i\omega F_0 R_0^{l-1} \sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{4\pi}}}{2 \left( \omega^2 \rho - \frac{3}{K_0^2} \delta_{l0} p_{\text{gas},0} + \frac{\gamma}{R_0^3} (l+1)(2-l(l+1)) \right)}. \quad (4.16)$$

The pressure field is obtained by substituting the coefficients in (4.16) into (4.6) and the resulting expression into (4.7). The final result reads:

$$p(r, \theta, t) = \frac{F_0 R_0 \rho \omega^2}{4\pi \gamma} \cos(\omega t) \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} R_0^2 \omega^2 \rho - \frac{3R_0}{\gamma} p_{\text{gas},0} \delta_{l0} + (l+1)(2-l(l+1)) \left( \frac{r}{R_0} \right)^{l+1}.$$

By substituting the coefficients (4.16) into (4.8), and the resulting first modes $u_1$, and $u_{-1}$ into the Fourier series expansion given by (4.3), we obtain the solution for the dynamic interface deformation:

$$u(\theta, t) = -\frac{F_0}{4\pi \gamma} \cos(\omega t) \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} R_0^2 \omega^2 \rho - \frac{3R_0}{\gamma} \delta_{l0} p_{\text{gas},0} + (l+1)(2-l(l+1)) \quad (4.18)$$
It is interesting to examine the first two terms in (4.18). The term \( l = 0 \) represents radially symmetric oscillations of the bubble. From (4.18) it is clear that this term has a resonance frequency 
\[
\omega^2 = -(2\gamma/\rho R_0^3) + \frac{3p_{\text{gas},0}}{\rho R_0^2},
\]
which is the well-known resonance frequency of a bubble in an inviscid fluid (Plesset & Prosperetti 1977).

The term \( l = 1 \) represents periodic rigid body displacements of the bubble in the direction of the point force. From (4.18) we observe that the amplitude of this term is proportional to \( \gamma/\rho R_0^3 \omega^2 \), which has to be at most \( O(1) \) for our linear theory to be valid, as discussed in § 2.3.2. The terms \( l \geq 2 \) represent shape oscillations of the bubble interface. In (4.18) it is clear that the amplitude of each Legendre polynomial has a resonance frequency 
\[
\omega^2 = -\frac{\gamma}{R_0^3 \rho^2}(l+1)(2-l(l+1))
\]
(Lamb 1932). It follows that a dynamic point force acting at the north pole of a bubble induces shape oscillations of the interface mainly described by some dominating Legendre polynomial that is close to resonance. Note that for a fixed set of parameters, if the particle forcing frequency approaches the resonance frequency of a specific Legendre mode, the bubble deformation formally becomes infinite. In practice, close to resonance, viscous damping and possibly nonlinear effects that have been neglected in the present theory become important. This behaviour of the interface deformation is not observed in the case of a planar surface, because it is induced by the different topology of a sphere compared to a plane. For a planar surface, a change in the driving frequency only modifies the wavenumber of the deformation. In the limit \( \omega \to 0 \) and neglecting the terms \( l = 0 \) and \( l = 1 \), the deformation of the bubble due to a dynamic point force given by (4.18), reduces to that obtained by Morse & Witten (1993) and Guzowski et al. (2011a) in the case of a static point force acting on a stationary and incompressible droplet.

To highlight the dominating terms in the sum equation (4.18), we plot in figure 5(a) the absolute value of the coefficients of each Legendre polynomial

\[
|u'| = \left| \frac{-(2l+1)(l+1)}{4\pi \left( \frac{R_0^3 \omega^2 \rho}{\gamma} - \frac{3R_0}{\gamma} p_{\text{gas},0} \delta_{l0} + (l+1)(2-l(l+1)) \right)} \right|,
\]
(4.19)
for an air bubble of equilibrium radius \(R_0 = 50 \mu m\) immersed in water at atmospheric pressure, and for different frequencies of the point force. The parameters have been selected in the range of those employed in the experiments of Poulichet & Garbin (2015). For comparison, the values of the coefficients \(|u'_l|\), for a static point force acting on an incompressible and stationary droplet are also displayed in figure 5(a).

Note that, in the case of a static point force, the sum in (4.18) starts from \(l = 2\). Figure 5(a) shows that in the case of a static point force (\(\omega \to 0\)) the largest amplitude \(|u'_l|\) is obtained for \(l = 2\) and then it decreases monotonically as \(|u'_l| \propto l^{-1}\). In the case of a dynamic point force, a qualitatively different behaviour is observed. Figure 5(a) shows that the amplitude of each Legendre polynomial \(|u'_l|\) is non-monotonic, it has a maximum for some value of \(l\), and then decreases as \(|u'_l| \propto l^{-1}\). We remark that the Legendre polynomial with the largest amplitude is expected to dominate in the sum given by (4.18). Figure 5(a) also shows that as \(\omega\) increases the largest amplitude \(|u'_l|\) occurs at larger index \(l\). It follows that Legendre polynomials of larger order \(l\) dominate the interface deformation equation (4.18), as the frequency increases.

The interface deformation \(u(\theta, 0)\), shown in figure 5(b), is obtained by numerically evaluating the sum in (4.18), for the same parameters used in figure 5(a). Figure 5(b) clearly shows that the free interface deformation induced by a dynamic point force is significantly different from that obtained for a steady point force. As discussed above, the bubble interface undergoes shape oscillations whose amplitude is approximately given by the dominant Legendre polynomial \(P_l(\cos \theta)\). In the limit of \(\theta \to 0\) the behaviour of the interface deformation is similar, regardless of the frequency of the point force. Indeed, in a small region around the point force, the deformation is insensitive to the global curvature of the bubble. It follows that in this small area the bubble deformation is similar to that observed in the case a planar interface, in other words \(u(\theta) \propto -\ln(\theta)\) as \(\theta \to 0\). The divergence of the deformation is the signature of the breakdown of our assumptions in a small region around the north pole where the effects of a finite particle size and of viscosity are no longer negligible, thus our results are not valid as \(\theta \to 0\). In fact, even if our results predict that the interface deformation is formally unbounded at \(\theta = 0\), in practice the finite size of the driven particle keeps the deformation finite for all \(\theta\).

4.1. Lateral capillary force

The lateral capillary force given by (2.13) is obtained by evaluating the gradient of the deformation field induced by particle \(A\), evaluated at the position of particle \(B\). The deformation induced by particle \(B\) is identical to that induced by a particle placed at the north pole, due to the rotational invariance of the problem of a single dynamic point force. Hence, the deformation field \(u_B\) is also given by (4.18), and the lateral capillary force equation (2.13) is rewritten as:

\[
F_{C||} = -F_0 \cos(\omega t) \nabla ||u(\theta, t)|_{\theta=\theta_B}.
\]

Substituting \(u(\theta, t)\) as given by (4.18) into (4.20) we obtain:

\[
F_{C||} = \hat{d}_{AB} \frac{F_0^2}{4\pi \gamma R_0^3} \cos(\omega t) \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sin \theta_B^{-1}(2l+1)(l+1)^2(\cos \theta_B P_l(\cos \theta_B) - P_{l+1}(\cos \theta_B)) \frac{R_0^3 \omega^2 \rho}{\gamma} \delta_{B,0} p_{gas,0} + (l+1)(2l(l+1))
\]

with \(\hat{d}_{AB}\) the unit vector tangent to the undeformed sphere directed from particle \(A\) to particle \(B\). As a consequence, if the summation on the right-hand side of (4.21) is
positive, the capillary force is attractive. As expected, the lateral capillary force $F_{C_\parallel}$ is proportional to the product of the force induced by the each particle, thus displaying a non-zero mean component over a period, similarly to the case of a planar interface.

The component of the lateral capillary force acting between two dynamic point forces along $\hat{d}_{AB}$, averaged over one period, is reported in figure 6 as a function of the angular separation $\theta_B$ (see figure 2b). The same parameters used in figure 5 are considered. For comparison also the lateral capillary force experienced by two static point forces acting on an incompressible and stationary droplet is reported in the same plot (Guzowski et al. 2011a).

Figure 6 shows that the interaction force between two dynamically forced particles is significantly different from the static case. The static capillary force has two equilibrium points, an unstable one at $\theta_B \approx \pi/2$ and a stable one at $\theta_B = \pi$. Instead, the dynamic capillary force displays multiple stable and unstable equilibrium points. As a consequence, two static point forces either move to contact or attain a separation angle $\theta_B = \pi$, whereas two dynamic point forces move to the closest stable equilibrium separation $\theta_B$. In the dynamic case, figure 6 suggests that for small particle separations there exists a critical distance below which particles on the bubble surface aggregate, while particles whose separation is larger than such critical value experience a repulsive capillary force and move towards a stable equilibrium distance. Finally, in the limit of small angular separations $\theta_B \to 0$ the capillary force scales as the separation distance $(R_0\theta_B)^{-1}$ regardless of the dynamic or static nature of the forcing. Indeed, if the angular separation is small, the effects of the bubble curvature are negligible and the lateral capillary force behaves as in the case of a planar interface.

5. Conclusions

We have investigated the dynamic deformation of a gas–liquid interface induced by a micrometric particle adsorbed either on a planar surface or on a bubble, and driven by a periodic force in the direction normal to the surface. By modelling the effects of the particle as a point force we analytically calculated the far-field deformation of the
surface assuming negligible liquid viscosity, small interfacial deformation and small
displacement in the case of a bubble. The dynamic interface deformation field induced
by a single particle has been employed to evaluate the lateral capillary force between
two driven particles, assuming a linear superposition of the individual deformations.

A dynamic point force acting on a planar interface generates a capillary wave with
the wavenumber set by the dispersion relation for the surface waves of an inviscid
fluid. We found that the lateral capillary force resulting from the dynamic deformation
can be attractive or repulsive depending on the inter-particle separation distance thus
showing multiple equilibrium points, in contrast to the static case in which the
capillary interaction is always attractive. The solution obtained for a periodic point
force acting on a planar interface can be used to evaluate the interface deformation
generated by more complex dynamic distributions of pressure, e.g. dynamic pressure
dipoles, quadrupoles or higher-order multipoles. Non-monotonic capillary interactions
have been observed in the experiments of Protière et al. (2006) and Eddi et al. (2009)
on drops bouncing on a planar gas–liquid interface and interacting through capillary
waves. The authors observed that multiple drops attained a stable lateral equilibrium
distance, similarly to that predicted by our theory for periodic point forces.

A dynamic point force acting on a bubble induces deformations of the interface
described by a sum of Legendre polynomials with a dominating term that is selected
by the dispersion relation for the shape oscillations of a bubble in an inviscid liquid.
As a consequence, the lateral capillary force experienced by two particles adsorbed
on a bubble is found to qualitatively differ from the static case. Depending on the
forcing frequency, the bubble radius, the fluid density and the surface tension, multiple
stable and unstable equilibrium distances occur. At small inter-particle separations,
the lateral force can be repulsive, and therefore the critical separation distance
for particle aggregation on a bubble is significantly decreased by dynamic effects.
Dynamic capillary interactions between colloidal particles oscillating at an interface
have not yet been observed, likely because of the high surface coverage in typical
experiments, resulting in small inter-particle distances such that this theory is not
applicable (Poulichet & Garbin 2015; Prabhudesai et al. 2017). This work therefore
motivates future controlled experiments with sufficiently large inter-particle distances.

Our results suggest that the interaction between dynamically forced particles on a
planar interface or a bubble can lead to markedly different microstructures compared
to particles interacting statically. Dynamic effects can promote interfacial stability
by preventing aggregation of a monolayer of colloidal particles. We have shown
that micrometric particles, whose weight is negligible compared to surface forces,
can impart dynamic deformations of the interface when driven by time-dependent
external fields, such as magnetic or electric fields. The analytical results for the
dynamic interface deformation and lateral capillary forces developed in the present
paper have wide applicability to different time-dependent forcing mechanisms and
open new possibilities for self-assembly of colloids on fluid interfaces. Finally, the
linear analysis presented in this paper represents a first step in the investigation of
the nonlinear regime that is relevant when the driving force and the deformation of
the interface are large.
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Appendix A. First Fourier mode of planar interface deformation in real space

The solution for the interface dynamics in real space is obtained by evaluating the integral in (3.6) with \( \hat{u}_1 \) given by (3.15):

\[
\hat{u}_1(x, y) = \int \hat{u}_1(k_x, k_y) \exp(ik \cdot x) \, dk = \int \frac{-kF_0}{8\pi^2(-\rho\omega^2 + \gamma k^3)} \exp(ik \cdot x) \, dk. \tag{A 1}
\]

As the above integral contains only quantities depending on \( k = \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2} \), the argument is invariant under rotations around \( z \), thus we can choose \( k = k_x\hat{x} + k_y\hat{y} \). The dot product in the exponential can now be expressed as \( \hat{k} \cdot \hat{x} = kr \cos \theta \), with \( r \) the radial distance from the origin and \( \theta \) the angle between the two vectors \( \hat{k} \) and \( \hat{x} \). Rewriting the integral in cylindrical coordinates we have:

\[
u_1(r) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{-k^2F_0}{8\pi^2(-\rho\omega^2 + \gamma k^3)} \exp(ikr \cos \theta) \, d\theta \, dk. \tag{A 2}
\]

The angular integration can be carried out and it gives:

\[
u_1(r) = \frac{-F_0}{4\pi\gamma} \int_0^\infty \frac{k^2}{(\rho\omega^2 + \gamma k^3)} J_0(kr) \, dk, \tag{A 3}
\]

with \( J_0 \) the Bessel function of the first kind and zeroth order. The solution of the above integral proceeds through partial fraction decomposition to give:

\[
u_1(r) = \frac{-F_0}{12\pi\gamma} \int_0^\infty \left[ \frac{1}{(k - a^{1/3})} + \frac{1}{(k + (a)^{1/3})} + \frac{1}{(k - (-a)^{1/3})} \right] J_0(kr) \, dk, \tag{A 4}
\]

with \( a = (\rho\omega^2/\gamma) \). The above integrals are known, see formulas (2.12.3.6) and (2.12.3.12) in Prudnikov et al. (1988). Their evaluation gives:

\[
u_1(r) = \frac{-F_0}{24\gamma} \left[ H_0((-a)^{1/3}r) + H_0((-a)^{1/3}r) - H_0(a^{1/3}r) - Y_0((-a)^{1/3}r) - Y_0((-a)^{1/3}r) - Y_0((a^{1/3}r)) \right]. \tag{A 5}
\]
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