to be solved in the discussion. Authors will present also changing opinion in surgery in the only hearing ear with possibility to manage unexpected deafness by cochlear implantation. Special case reports will be the subject of discussion after short communication presented by the panel members.

doi:10.1017/S0022215116002036
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Subtotal Petrosectomy for cochlear implantation in cases of Chronic Otitis Media

Presenting Author: **Miguel Arístegui**

Miguel Arístegui
Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón Madrid Spain

**Learning Objectives:** We will show the safety of subtotal petrosectomy applied to cochlear implantation in cases chronic otitis media, to prevent future infections that might compromise the implant.

Expanding indications for cochlear implantation require adaptation of surgical techniques in special cases.

The presence of chronic otitis media (relapsing acute otitis media, chronic supplicative otitis media or cholesteatoma) require special protection in cases of cochlear implantation.

Subtotal petrosectomy offers the best protection option against future infection in these cases.

Cul di sac closure of the external auditory canal, sealing of the Eustachian tube orifice and elimination of middle ear mucosa provides a secure scenario to avoid infections and risk cochlear implant explantation in the future.

Out of 41 cases in which we have used this technique we have 17 cases that were applied to chronic otitis media of the above mentioned categories. We will report on rationale, technique and complications.

Follow up is made with MRI techniques adapted to the type of implant.

doi:10.1017/S0022215116002048
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Trends in genetic diagnostics of hereditary hearing loss

Presenting Author: **Ronald Pennings**

Ronald Pennings¹, Celia Zazo Seco², Micke Wesdorp², Ilse Feenstra², Hannie Kremer², Lies Hoefsloot², Margit Schraders², Helger G. Yntema³

¹Radboudumc, ²Radboud university medical center

**Introduction:** Over the past decades, many deafness genes have been identified to cause hereditary hearing impairment (HI). It therefore, has become possible to screen for these genes in the out-patient clinic. The importance of genetic screening of HI is that patients can be counseled about the cause and prognosis of their hearing loss and effects of rehabilitation.

Hearing impairment is genetically heterogeneous and testing of several single HI-related genes is laborious and expensive. This study evaluates the diagnostic utility of whole exome sequencing (WES) targeting a panel of HI-related genes.

**Methods:** Two hundred index patients, mostly of Dutch origin, with presumed hereditary HI underwent WES followed by targeted analysis of an HI gene panel of approximately 100 genes. 206 additional patients underwent single gene testing guided by phenotype analyses.

**Results:** We found causative variants underlying the HI in 67 of 200 patients (33.5%). Eight of these patients have a large homozygous deletion involving a known HI gene, which could only be identified by copy number variation detection. Variants of uncertain significance were found in 11 patients (5.5%). In the remaining 122 cases no potentially causative variants were detected (61%). The diagnostic yield of single gene testing in the 206 additional patients was 7.6%.

**Conclusion:** The diagnostic yield for HI using WES targeting a HI gene panel is higher (33.5%) than targeted sequencing of single genes (7.6%). In our patient cohort, causative variants in GJB2, USH2A, MYO15A, STRC, and in MYO6 were the leading causes for autosomal recessive and dominant HI, respectively. Segregation analysis of variants of uncertain significance will further increase the diagnostic yield of WES. A practical workflow for genetic testing of hereditary HI for screening in the out-patient clinic will be presented.

doi:10.1017/S002221511600205X
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N645 session : A review of automated audiometry devices and portable smartphone or tablet-based hearing testing systems in otology

Presenting Author: **Allan Ho**

Allan Ho
University of Alberta

**Learning Objectives:** Recent advances in portable and automated hearing testing systems has enabled testing to occur outside the traditional sound treated booths. This has far reaching implications for otologists and the patients they treat. It expands the utility of these devices in the community and in the developing world where diagnostic audiology services are scarce. We aim to review automated hearing testing systems which do not require testing in traditional sound treated booths. We will discuss the evidence supporting portable automated hearing testing systems which are available on the web and those that are independent applications for smartphones or tablet computers.

Recent advances in portable and automated hearing testing systems has enabled testing to occur outside the traditional sound treated booths. This has far reaching implications for...
otologists and the patients they treat. It expands the utility of these devices in the community and in the developing world where diagnostic audiology services are scarce. We aim to review automated hearing testing systems which do not require testing in traditional sound treated booths. We will discuss the evidence supporting portable automated hearing testing systems which are available on the web and those that are independent applications for smartphones or tablet computers.

Since 2004 we have been using glass ionomer cement during ossiculoplasty. In the beginning we were using cement only for incus defects between incus and stapes but later on cements are used in many other situations as well.

Recently we compared ossiculoplasty results in different situations: 1-incus to stapes 2-malleus to stapes 3-incudoplasty + stapedotomy 4-malleus to incus

In this presentation short video clips of each situation will be provided together with audiological outcome.
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High Frequency Ultrasound and Optical Coherence Imaging in the Ear: The Future of Otologic Imaging?

Presenting Author: Manohar Bance

Manohar Bance¹, Rob Adamson¹, Jeremy Brown¹, Tom Landry¹, Dan MacDougall¹, Josh Farrell²
¹Dalhousie University, ²Dalhousie University

Learning Objectives: 1. To review limitations in current imaging 2. To review the principles of high frequency ultrasound 3. To review the principles of optical coherence imaging 4. To illustrate uses of these technologies in otology.

Current imaging tools for the ear are limited in their resolution, and also have significant downsides, such as radiation, or interaction with metallic objects.

We have been developing new technologies based on very high frequency ultrasound (>40Mhz), and optical coherence tomography (OCT). These technologies have been developed in-house in our labs. We will present the present capacity of these devices, including in-vitro temporal bone measurements of anatomy, simulated pathology, and vibration measurements, as well as tracking cochlear implants during insertion. We also have in-vivo measurements using the OCT device. We will present possible uses, both in the middle ear, and in the cochlea and inner ear.
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ID: 646.2

Ten cases of cholesteatoma with labyrinthine destruction, skull base involvement and intracranial extension: management and long-term follow-up

Presenting Author: Douglas Backous

Christina Cobb, Douglas Backous
Swedish Neuroscience Institute

Learning Objectives:

Objectives: We analyze the clinical presentation, imaging findings, and surgical treatment of 10 patients with acquired cholesteatoma with labyrinthine destruction, skull base erosion, or intracranial extension.

Study Design: A retrospective case series at a tertiary referral center.

Methods: From 1997 to 2015, 6 males and 4 females, age 12 to 73 (mean, 42.3) years were treated for acquired cholesteatoma with skull base or labyrinthine invasion. Two patients had no prior surgery while 8 had an average of two prior procedures. All 10 complained of hearing loss, 3 had otorrhea, 3 had acute facial palsy, 3 had otalgia and 2 presented with progressive imbalance. Follow-up ranged from 8 to 216 (mean, 76.6) months. Audiometric, CT and MRI findings are compared to intraoperative outcomes.

Results: At surgical exploration, 2 patients had cholesteatoma with destruction of the cochlea, 4 had skull base invasion and 4 had intracranial involvement. Five patients required temporal bone obliteration, 2 had radical cavities with exteriorization of the petrous apex, and 3 required modified radical cavities. One patient with VII palsy recovered to HB grade III. One patient with labyrinthine destruction maintained residual hearing post op. No patients had additional complications from their definitive surgical procedures.

Conclusions: Acquired cholesteatoma with labyrinthine destruction, skull base extension, and intracranial involvement can have surprisingly subtle presentations. Balancing disease exteriorization with preserving labyrinthine function requires prudent radiological workup and surgical planning. Disease eradication is often not possible. Long-term clinical follow-up with periodic imaging and aggressive debridement is often necessary for disease control.