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problems in the congenital variety which would have been unaffected by a simple tenotomy. What was done for the deformity, pes calcaneo-valgus? Little was fluent in French, and later German. Was the "Dissertatio inaguralis medica: symbolae ad talipedem varum cognoscendum", presented for a Berlin MD in 1837, in Latin throughout and, if so, was it composed by Little?

It is regrettable that Dr Scheichkorn's energy and enthusiasm, which twice carried him across the Atlantic, were unable to encompass rigorous revision of the manuscript: errors of typography, grammar, and even of fact abound. If these could be rectified, a second edition would be more worthy of its great subject and a greater credit to its author. Nevertheless, he does not fall below a β+

J. W. Dickson


It is typical of the foresight of the Queen’s Nursing Institute that Monica Baly was made its Centenary Fellow, and produced this book for its centenary celebrations. Dr Baly is a respected nurse historian, and her earlier study of the life and work of Florence Nightingale adds interest to this book: some of its most interesting sections are extracts from correspondence between Florence Nightingale and others, and it shows her influence on the development of district nursing. However, the book’s most important revelation is probably that of the results a committed voluntary organization can achieve, in this instance with the continuous support of the Rathbone family. From its initial developments in 1887, the Institute has continued to initiate such ideas as the introduction of a research officer, in the 1960s, and the funding of the post of Professor of Community Nursing in 1987.

The Institute, which has always acted as a pressure group, influenced district nursing services throughout the world. It now complements the work of the statutory services. In highlighting changes in health needs, medicine, society, and economics, Dr Baly shows how the Institute has developed to meet the challenges these changes posed.

This is a book that will be treasured by Queen’s Nurses who are proud of their tradition, but will also be of interest to a much wider audience of nurses, physicians, voluntary organizations, and indeed all those interested in the development of nursing care outside institutions.

Barbara M. Robottom
English National Board for Nursing Midwifery and Health Visiting


In this attempt to place science in the “cultural context of the nineteenth century”, R. Lieberich’s (of St Thomas’s Hospital) Discourse at the Royal Institution in 1872 provides an obvious instance of cultural science, and scientific culture. Lieberich tried to “explain” the work of J. M. W. Turner, among other painters, in terms of a supposed eye disorder; he showed how, when a reproduction of a Turner canvas was viewed through a suitable lens, faults were “corrected” to natural exactitude. This Discourse is also a good example of David Knight’s thesis: that if science is an organized body of public knowledge which can offer satisfactory explanations and simple predictions which can, in turn, be tested, then science’s embrace was at its widest in the nineteenth century.

Each of the twelve chapters centres on a theme, not a decade, although their ordering is roughly chronological. This format does not oblige the reader to pay attention to any one branch of science for long. Medicine does not figure particularly often, but Knight uses its history to effect. He credits surgery as the first discipline to make the jump from craft to publicly-taught science, in late eighteenth-century France; and uses such alternative therapies as homeopathy to make the important point that it is not a rationale (which homeopathy has) which defines a science, but theory, the ability to explain and predict.
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The chapter ‘Discourse in Pictures’ is almost worth the price of admission alone. When science is defined (as it was in England during the 1810s and 20s) as public knowledge as opposed to rules of thumb imparted during craft mysteries, then printers have scope for tyranny. The refinement of techniques of wood engraving and lithography, the problems of printing atomic structures: the importance of these and other exigencies of publication is impressed upon the reader with good humour and a real visual sensibility. Picture layout reflected, and, in turn, reinforced not only particular ways of organizing species and other data, but their identification as discrete pieces of information.

Knight believes that the sciences of England, Scotland, France and the German states had distinct national styles at the beginning of the Age of Science, and that these differences were ironed out during the course of the Age (although perhaps not entirely: it was the contention of Justus Liebig, of Giessen, that British science was chronically handicapped by premature expectations of practical applications). The result was cosmopolitanism, with the polyglot’s concomitant loss of natural, poetic speech: “easy links through metaphor to literature and back again”, the elective affinities, were lost. Religion was another local habit which ceased to be central to the practice of science.

The author wears his learning lightly. An interest in linguistic theory, apparent throughout the book, is not inappropriate to such subjects as Lavoisier, who hoped “for a language which would express the essence of things rather than being conventional noises”. Intellectually, this book is chic, the more so for the elegance and understatement of its prose.

Christine Stevenson
Wellcome Institute