Iron nutrition in the UK: getting the balance right
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Fe homeostasis is considered in the context of the UK diet, using information on Fe intake and status from the National Diet and Nutrition Surveys. The importance of assessing Fe availability rather than total Fe intake is discussed. Dietary and host-related factors that determine Fe bioavailability (Fe utilised for Hb production) are reviewed using information from single-meal studies. When adaptive responses are taken into consideration, foods associated with higher Fe status include meat (haem-Fe and the ‘meat factor’) and fruits and fruit juice (vitamin C). Foods that may have a negative impact include dairy products (Ca), high-fibre foods (phytate) and tea and coffee (polyphenols), but the effects are more apparent in groups with marginal Fe deficiency, such as women of childbearing age. Analysis of dietary intake data on a meal-by-meal basis is needed to predict the influence of changing dietary patterns on Fe nutrition in the UK. Current information suggests that in the UK Fe deficiency is a greater problem than Fe overload.

Fe intake and status: Bioavailability of Fe: Fe-deficiency risk: Dietary patterns

Fe plays an essential role in many biochemical reactions, and its ability to accept or donate electrons is central to the redox reactions of oxidative phosphorylation in the respiratory chain. Fe provides a specific binding site for O2 in the haem moiety of Hb in erythrocytes and myoglobin in muscle. There is also a mobilisable Fe store of varying size in ferritin, mainly present in the liver. Free Fe can catalyse the formation of free radicals, which may cause damage to proteins and DNA; therefore, the body has evolved complex systems to ensure free Fe cannot exist in vivo. It is transported between different compartments in the body by transferrin, and is supplied to cells by three mechanisms: (1) continuous recycling of Fe from catabolised erythrocytes; (2) newly-absorbed dietary Fe; (3) release of Fe from ferritin stores in the liver (Bothwell et al. 1979). Dietary Fe is absorbed in the mucosal cells of the duodenum and jejunum (for review, see Miret et al. 2003). Haem- and non-haem-Fe are taken up by two independent pathways, but once inside the cell all Fe enters a common pool (Fig. 1). Uptake of Fe into cells is regulated by the synthesis of transferrin receptors on the cell surface that control the rate of flow of Fe to different tissues according to need.

Iron deficiency and excess

Fe balance is maintained through changes in the efficiency of absorption whereby absorption is up regulated to redress Fe deficit. When the functional Fe compartment is replenished and mobilisable Fe has accumulated in ferritin stores (represented by a serum ferritin concentration >60–70 μg/l), the quantity of haem- and non-haem-Fe absorbed falls to a level just sufficient to cover basal losses in order to avoid excessive deposition of Fe (Hallberg et al. 1997). Nutritional Fe deficiency arises when the supply of Fe is insufficient to cover physiological requirements, whereas Fe overload is either the result of chronic exposure to high levels of Fe, as found in some dietary supplements, or occurs in individuals who lack the normal homeostatic mechanisms that regulate Fe absorption. Health implications of Fe overload and the role of diet and genotype have been reviewed by Heath & Fairweather-Tait (2003). Hallberg & Hulthen (2002) argue that the strong relationships observed between Fe requirements, bioavailability of dietary Fe and quantity of stored Fe demonstrate the presence of very effective control mechanisms that prevent the development of Fe overload in healthy individuals, even if the diet is fortified with Fe.
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or the meat intake is high. The genetic basis and treatment of Fe-storage diseases, the clinical penetrance of haemochromatosis and the diagnosis and treatment of Fe deficiency have been reviewed by Beutler et al. (2003).

**Measurement of iron status**

A range of biochemical and haematological indices are used for the detection of Fe deficiency and the assessment of Fe status. The extent of Fe deficiency or overload is directly proportional to the size of the Fe compartments in the body. When demand outstrips supply, stores of Fe in ferritin will be mobilised and the body will become progressively Fe depleted (Fig. 2). Once the storage Fe compartment is exhausted Fe-deficient erythropoiesis will take place (stage I). Recycling of the functional Fe compartment (Hb, myoglobin, cytochromes, transport Fe, etc.) supplies Fe to the erythrocyte precursors of the bone marrow (stage II) and with a continuing negative Fe balance, the deficiency will progress to Fe-deficiency anaemia, characterised by the appearance of microcytic hypochromic erythrocytes (stage III).

In population studies, including the UK National Diet and Nutrition Surveys (NDNS), the most-widely-used indices of Fe status are Hb concentration, transferrin saturation (TS) and serum ferritin (SF). Cut-off values for Fe deficiency or Fe overload in different groups are given in Table 1. Detection of early Fe-deficiency anaemia, when the Hb concentration is only just beginning to fall, is not easy because the cut-off point differs between individuals; normal Hb distributions vary with gender (Wintrobe, 1981), age (Yip et al. 1984), pregnancy (Yip, 2000), altitude (Tufts et al. 1985), smoking (Van Tiel et al. 2002), season (Lee et al. 1987) and ethnic origin (Pan & Habicht, 1991).

**Fig. 1.** Schematic diagram to illustrate how iron is absorbed in the mucosal cells of the small intestine. Dcytb, duodenal cytochrome b; DMT1, divalent metal transporter; IReg1, iron-regulated protein 1 (also known as ferroportin 1); Hp, hephaestin; Hep, hepcidin; Tf, transferrin; TfR1, transferrin receptor 1; HFE, haemochromatosis protein; β2-M, β2-microglobulin.

**Fig. 2.** Stages in the development of iron deficiency. IDE, iron-deficient erythropoiesis; IDA, iron-deficient anaemia; SF, serum ferritin; TfR, transferrin receptor. (Adapted from Suominen et al. 1998.)
Fe overload

Fe deficiency without anaemia is generally determined from SF concentration. Phlebotomy studies indicate that 1 μg SF/l corresponds to about 8 mg storage Fe (Walters et al. 1973), which makes SF the most informative laboratory measure of body Fe. However, because apoferritin is an acute-phase reactant protein, irrespective of changes in Fe stores, SF concentration will increase in response to infection and inflammatory processes and can remain elevated for several weeks post infection (Hulthen et al. 1998). It is common practice to measure plasma C-reactive protein or, in the case of NDNS (Finch et al. 1998), α1-antichymotrypsin (Calvin et al. 1988) as markers of infection or inflammation. SF measurements should be calibrated against a reference standard (National Institute for Biological Standards and Control, Potters Bar, Herts.) (World Health Organization/UNICEF/United Nations University, 2001) and at least three independent measurements are required to allow for day-to-day variation (Borel et al. 1992). TS (serum Fe/total Fe-binding capacity) measurements are subject to diurnal variation (Guillygomarc'h et al. 2003), but are considered useful as a first screening step for the inherited Fe-overload disorder, hereditary haemochromatosis.

The detection of Fe-deficient erythropoiesis is a key measure, as it identifies marginal Fe deficiency and is characterised by elevated levels of Zn protoporphyrin. The final reaction in haem biosynthesis is the chelation of Fe with protoporphyrin, but when Fe is not available Zn is used as an alternative metal substrate for ferrochelatase. The measurement and diagnostic use of Zn protoporphyrin has been reviewed in detail by Labbe et al. (1999). Serum transferrin receptors also increase in the early stages of Fe deficiency and are not affected by infection or inflammation, and do not vary with age, gender or pregnancy (Beutler et al. 2003). However, the diagnostic usefulness of the serum transferrin receptors is limited because of the divergent values obtained from different assays and the lack of an international standard. The serum transferrin receptors:log SF index has been proposed for identifying subclinical Fe deficiency (Punnonen et al. 1997). More recently, Cook et al. (2003) used National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey III data to calculate body Fe based on serum transferrin receptors:SF; the use of this ratio was validated by means of an Fe-supplementation trial in Jamaica and an Fe-fortification study in Vietnam. Quantitative estimates of body Fe are useful for epidemiological studies examining Fe nutrition and for assessing the efficacy of intervention trials to improve Fe status. However, as discussed earlier, methods that rely on SF may be confounded by infection or inflammation. The limitations of each index in terms of specificity and sensitivity have led to the adoption of multiple indices for the detection of Fe deficiency in populations, e.g. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, although this approach underestimates Fe deficiency. A useful combination would be Hb, serum transferrin receptors and SF to reflect functional impairment, tissue depletion and storage Fe respectively.

Iron status of subgroups in the UK population

Data from NDNS provide information on the Fe status of the UK population, including the elderly (age ≥65 years; Finch et al. 1998), the young (age 4–18 years; Gregory et al. 2000) and adults (Ruston et al. 2004). Fe deficiency is more common in women than men (Fig. 3), particularly in the 15–18 years age-range. In adults Fe-deficiency anaemia is observed in 3% of men and 8% of women, and low Fe stores in 2% of men and 11% of women. In the survey of the elderly the large number of men and women with a low Hb concentration is not consistent with the SF concentrations observed in Fe deficiency and is more likely to represent low Fe stores.

Table 1. Cut-off values for iron deficiency or overload in groups of the UK population studied in National Diet and Nutrition Surveys (NDNS)

(Values used to evaluate NDNS data (Fig. 3) are shown in parentheses; other values are referenced)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Children</th>
<th>Men (≥15 years)</th>
<th>Women (≥15 years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fe deficiency</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb (g/l)</td>
<td>110–120†</td>
<td>130‡</td>
<td>120§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(15; adult survey)</td>
<td>(15; adult survey)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS (%)</td>
<td>10† (15)</td>
<td>15§</td>
<td>15§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF (μg/l)</td>
<td>12† (15)</td>
<td>15‡</td>
<td>15†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(13; adult survey)</td>
<td>(13; adult survey)</td>
<td>15, elderly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fe overload</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb (g/l)</td>
<td>&gt;180</td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF (μg/l)</td>
<td>&gt;200‡</td>
<td>&gt;150‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS (%)</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TS, transferrin saturation; SF, serum ferritin.

Fig. 3. Iron deficiency in the UK: percentage of individuals participating in the National Diet and Nutrition Surveys who fall below the suggested cut-off value (see Table 1). (■), Low Hb; (●), low serum ferritin. M, male; F, female; FL, free-living; I, institutionalised.
data, which probably means that the cut-off values used to define deficiency are inappropriate.

It is not possible to quantify Fe overload from the SF and TS data, but according to the upper 2.5 percentile values for SF and percentage TS for adults and the elderly (Table 2) Fe stores are higher in men than in women. The upper 2.5 percentile is above the normal range for SF in both men and women, but the TS values are very close to the upper limit, with the exception of 50–64-year-old women. The lack of consistency between the two measures raises questions about the appropriateness of the cut-off values. Further analysis of the data and more definitive tests of body Fe content are required to assess the extent of Fe overload.

Iron intake

According to data from the National Food Survey (http://statistics.defra.gov.uk/esg/publications/nfs/default.asp) Fe intake in the UK has fallen steadily from a mean of approximately 14 mg/d in 1965 to 10 mg/d in the 1990s, at which level it has remained relatively constant. Fe intake mirrors energy intake, indicating that the Fe density of the UK diet has not changed but the sources of Fe have changed. Pryne et al. (1999) compared the food and nutrient intake of a national sample of 4-year-old children in 1950 with that in the 1990s. In 1950 bread and red meat were the most important sources of Fe, whereas in 1992–3, bread consumption had fallen dramatically and much of the Fe was provided by breakfast cereals and other cereal-based products such as biscuits; also, the contribution made by meat and eggs had fallen (Fig. 4). There are no marked differences in total Fe intake in the 1986–7 and 2000–1 NDNS, which endorses the findings of the National Food Survey. However, total Fe intake is a poor predictor of Fe status, as exemplified by results from a recent study on women of childbearing age in the UK, in which Fe intakes were analysed from a 7 d duplicate diet (Harvey LJ, Armah C, Dainty J, Foxall R, Lewis J, Langford N and Fairweather-Tait SJ, unpublished results). Fig. 5 illustrates the lack of relationship between mean daily Fe intake and Fe stores assessed from SF concentration.

The reason is that Fe absorption depends on both the availability for absorption and the absorptive capacity of the intestinal cells.

Iron bioavailability

The proportion of an ingested nutrient that is utilised for normal body function is a measure of its bioavailability. In normal individuals approximately 80% of absorbed Fe is used for Hb synthesis (Finch et al. 1970), thus Fe absorption can be used to assess bioavailability. Fe-deficiency anaemia increases Fe utilisation (Beshara et al. 2003), therefore it is important to characterise Fe status when assessing Fe bioavailability. There are a number of dietary and physiological factors that are known to influence Fe absorption (Table 3).

The primary role of host-related variables is the maintenance of Fe homeostasis by ensuring an appropriate response to increased or decreased requirements for Fe. Thus, absorption is up regulated with Fe depletion (Baynes et al. 1987), until the body has accumulated sufficient

Table 2. Upper 2.5 percentiles for serum ferritin and transferrin saturation in the National Diet and Nutrition Survey of adults in 2000–1 and of the elderly (free-living) in 1994–5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age-group (years)</th>
<th>Serum ferritin (µg/l)</th>
<th>Transferrin saturation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–34</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>50–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35–49</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>50–9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–64</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>51–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65–74</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>50–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75–84</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>55–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 85</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>52–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–64</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>52–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65–74</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>48–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75–84</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>43–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 85</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>43–7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Day-to-day variability in the efficiency of Fe absorption produced by pancreatic enzymes and bile are believed to act (Fairweather-Tait, 1998), and amino acids, peptides and mucoproteins promote stomach emptying affect Fe solubilisation (Bezwoda et al., 1978), and increased erythropoietic activity (Skikne & Cook, 1992) resulting from blood loss or tissue hypoxia (Skikne & Baynes, 1994). Conversely, absorption is down regulated when stores are high or following a large dose of Fe, sometimes referred to as the ‘mucosal block’ (O’Neil-Cutting & Crosby, 1987). Pregnancy is associated with a progressively higher efficiency of Fe absorption (Barrett et al., 1994), and factorial estimates indicate that absorption increases during periods of rapid growth in children (Taylor et al., 1988).

Regulation of Fe absorption is affected by mutations in the haemochromatosis protein gene; C282Y homozygotes are at risk of developing hereditary haemochromatosis, although clinical penetrance is low (Waalen et al., 2002). The C282Y mutation is relatively common in Caucasian populations, particularly in those of Celtic origin, with a reported frequency as high as 17% in Northern Ireland (Murphy et al., 1998). C282Y heterozygous men and women have slightly elevated TS, but SF concentration does not appear to be raised (Burt et al., 1998; Jackson et al., 2002). Relatives of patients with haemochromatosis who carry the C282Y mutation and wild-type controls have been reported to absorb similar quantities of Fe from a hamburger meal, suggesting that heterozygotes have similar regulatory mechanisms (Lynch et al., 1989), but when given 20 mg Fe (as ferrous sulphate) plus 100 mg vitamin C, absorption is markedly higher. However, a recent study has shown no difference between male heterozygotes and wild-type controls in the absorption of Fe from Fe-fortified cereals or from a diet high in available Fe (Roe MA, Heath A-L, Oyston SL, Macron C, Hoogewer J, Foxall R, Dainty J, Majaste-Newman G, Willis G and Fairweather-Tait SJ, unpublished results).

Digestion plays a key role in determining how much Fe is released from the food matrix and subsequently made available for absorption. Gastric acid and the rate of stomach emptying affect Fe solubilisation (Bezwoda et al., 1978), and amino acids, peptides and mucoproteins produced by pancreatic enzymes and bile are believed to act as absorption-promoting ligands (Jacobs & Miles, 1970). Day-to-day variability in the efficiency of Fe absorption (Kuhn et al., 1968) has not been widely reported, as it is technically difficult to measure. Variation presumably occurs because of fluctuations in physiological factors that determine Fe availability, and/or short-term mechanisms that maintain Fe homeostasis emanating from the mucosal cells of the small intestine (Frazer et al., 2003) as opposed to the systemic longer-term control associated with body Fe status (Leong & Lonnerdal, 2004). Multiple-dosing protocols are generally adopted to compensate for meal-to-meal variations in absorption (Fox et al., 1998) or to enable absorption from a whole diet to be measured (Minihane & Fairweather-Tait, 1998).

Dietary components affect Fe availability by means of chemical reactions in the stomach and lumen of the small intestine. For example, ascorbic acid reduces Fe$^{3+}$ to the more soluble Fe$^{2+}$, which is the form required for transport into mucosal cells (Fig. 1). It also binds Fe, thus preventing it forming a complex with phytate or tannin that renders the Fe unavailable to divalent metal transporter 1. The relative binding affinity for Fe of different dietary constituents and divalent metal transporter 1 will determine whether the Fe is transported into the cell or remains in the gut lumen. Recent research has shown that duodenal cytochrome b is responsible for reducing Fe$^{3+}$ to Fe$^{2+}$ (McKie et al., 2001); the main role of ascorbic acid is therefore to promote Fe solubility. Binding constants of dietary components and gastrointestinal conditions will determine how much Fe is released from chyme and the compounds to which it is bound. Any free Fe released from food by gastric acid will precipitate as insoluble oxide or hydroxide when the pH rises above 4–5 in the duodenum or stomach of individuals with achlorhydria.

The absorption of Fe from meat is higher than that from plant foods (Bjorn-Rasmussen et al., 1974) because haem-Fe is absorbed more efficiently than non-haem-Fe (Hallberg et al., 1997) and non-milk animal proteins enhance non-haem-Fe absorption (Cook & Monsen, 1976; Glahn et al., 1996). The ‘meat factor’ has yet to be identified, but candidates include protein, cysteine-containing peptides or oligo-saccharides (Layrisse et al., 1984; Swain et al., 2002; Hult et al., 2004). However, the observation that meat solubilises non-haem-Fe independent of proteolytic digestion (Carpenter & Mahoney, 1989) suggests that peptides are not the active component.

Ascorbic acid increases Fe absorption from meals; the increase in Fe absorption is directly proportional to the amount of ascorbic acid added over a range of 25–1000 mg (Cook & Monsen, 1977). The reducing and chelating properties of ascorbic acid are one reason why fruits and fruit juices (Hallberg & Rossander, 1984; Ballot et al., 1987) promote Fe absorption from meals. Other organic acids such as lactic acid (Gillooly et al., 1983) enhance Fe absorption, but citric acid has been reported to have a limited (Ballot et al., 1987) or even an inhibitory effect (Hallberg & Rossander, 1984). Ascorbic acid supplements (500 mg) given three times daily with meals for 5–5 weeks improve the Fe status of Fe-depleted premenopausal women (Hunt et al., 1990).

Polyphenols inhibit Fe absorption by binding with Fe in the gut lumen. The active principal is the galloyl group found in tannin and gallic acids, but not present in chlorogenic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Factors that affect iron absorption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dietary</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhancing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meat, poultry and fish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhibitory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phytate (IP$_3$) and inositol phosphates IP$_6$, IP$_3$, IP$_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyphenols and other flavonoids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tea and coffee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eggs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca and dairy products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other transition metals (Zn, Cu)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High body stores</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous high intake of iron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid gastric emptying</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IP$_6$, IP$_3$, IP$_5$, inositol hexa-, tri- and pentaphosphates respectively.
acid (Brune et al. 1989). Fe absorption is reduced by foods containing these compounds, including tea (Hurrell et al. 1999), coffee (Disler et al. 1975; Morck et al. 1983) and nuts (Macfarlane et al. 1988). Phytate (myo-inositol hexaphosphate) also has a powerful inhibitory effect on Fe absorption (Brune et al. 1992). This effect is counteracted by ascorbic acid but not greatly affected by the consumption of meat (Hallberg et al. 1989). When foods are processed by soaking, malting or fermenting the effect of phytate is reduced (Hurrell et al. 2003), although extensive degradation is necessary before Fe bioavailability is improved (Hurrell et al. 2002). The inhibitory effect of phytate can only be predicted by measuring individual inositol phosphates, as inositol hexaphosphate to inositol tetraphosphate, but not inositol triphosphate to inositol monophosphate, have Fe-binding activity (Sandberg et al. 1999). The inhibitory effect of soybean (Derman et al. 1987) and other legumes (Lynch et al. 1984) is almost certainly a result of their phytate content.

Ca (threshold 50 mg) has been shown to reduce non-haem Fe absorption in a dose-dependent manner (Hallberg & Hulthen, 2000). Since it reduces haem-Fe absorption (Hallberg et al. 1993), and haem-Fe is transported independently into the mucosal cell, it is likely that Ca affects basolateral transport of Fe.

Predictive algorithms have also been developed to calculate Fe bioavailability from different diets, taking into account the major dietary factors that modulate Fe absorption (Hallberg & Hulthen, 2000; Reddy et al. 2000).

**Interactions and adaptive responses**

Single-meal studies have highlighted the very real and often dramatic effects that certain dietary constituents have on Fe absorption. However, findings from longer-term intervention, cross-sectional and prospective studies do not always support findings from single-meal studies. The reason for this inconsistency is that adaptive responses in absorption take place to maintain Fe homeostasis. Thus, when exposed to a meal of low Fe bioavailability, the efficiency of absorption will be subsequently up regulated to compensate for the reduced supply of Fe, and absorption of Fe from the next meal will be enhanced. This adaptation results in an apparent exaggeration of the effect of inhibitors and enhancers in single-meal studies, as illustrated by Cook et al. (1991). However, single-meal studies do not, in fact, influence the effect of dietary factors *per se*, although prolonged fasting is probably unusual given today’s dietary patterns, and may result in higher Fe absorption values as a result of the absence of chyme and reduced exposure to Fe in the mucosal absorptive cells. Single-meal protocols cannot measure compensatory adaptation (a function of both the diet and the host) but multiple-dosing protocols more closely mirror the situation in free-living individuals, with a blunted response to dietary enhancers and inhibitors (Tidehag et al. 1996).

**Dietary patterns and iron nutrition**

Epidemiological studies investigating the effects of diet on Fe status have produced inconsistent findings. As already discussed, there may be difficulties and/or inaccuracies in the determination of Fe status. The next challenge is the measurement of habitual Fe intake, because Fe status is a function of Fe supplied (and lost) over the past months or years. Total Fe intake is of limited value; indeed, an estimate of available Fe is required, and this measure can only be calculated from meal-based data, such as the validated questionnaire developed by Heath et al. (2000) to measure intake of Fe and key enhancers and inhibitors of absorption in meals (Matthys et al. 2004). Such an approach makes it possible to relate diet to measures of Fe status. The NDNS report Fe intakes on a daily basis, determined from a specially-adapted and regularly-updated nutrient databank based on McCance & Widdowson’s *The Composition of Foods* (Holland et al. 1991). Available Fe cannot be estimated from the NDNS reports, although the most recent survey of adults has collected meal-based data that could be analysed appropriately. However, more data on the phytate, polyphenol and haem-Fe contents of foods are required, and the calculated values will only be an approximation because of variance from food composition data, e.g. losses of ascorbic acid on storage and cooking, hydrolysis of phytate, etc. Another problem exists with Fe-fortified foods that can generate large errors in calculated Fe intake because of overages in products.

Despite all the problems associated with estimating available Fe intake, a number of observations on Fe status and dietary patterns can be deduced from cross-sectional epidemiological studies that have been conducted in other countries. The US Framingham Heart Study of 634 healthy free-living elderly subjects (Fleming et al. 1998) has reported that haem-Fe, dietary vitamin C, alcohol and Fe supplements are all positively associated with SF, whereas...
coffee intake has a negative association. In 1108 French subjects (aged 6 months–97 years) SF has been found to be positively correlated with haem- and non-haem-Fe intakes, and negatively correlated with Ca and P intakes (Preziosi et al. 1994). A larger French study (6648 women aged 35–60 years, and 3283 men aged 45–60 years) has reported that SF is positively correlated with meat, fish and total Fe intake, and negatively correlated with dairy products, Ca and fibre intake (Galan et al. 1998).

Further analysis of the NDNS of the elderly has found that Fe status is positively associated with meat, poultry and fish, but negatively associated with dairy foods, Ca and tea (Doyle et al. 1999). In contrast, a systematic review of sixteen studies on tea and Fe status, with adjustment for confounding factors, has concluded that tea consumption does not influence Fe status in Fe-replete Western populations, but there may be a negative association in populations with marginal Fe status (Temme & Van Hoydonck, 2002). The impact of Ca on Fe status is both important and controversial. Dairy foods are a key food group for bone health, as well as providing protein for lacto-ovo-vegetarians, thus public health messages to reduce milk and dairy food intake in order to reduce the risk of Fe deficiency (Hallberg et al. 1992) may have a detrimental effect on other health outcomes. A cross-sectional study in six European countries has demonstrated a weak but consistent inverse association between Ca intake and SF (van de Vijver et al. 1999), but the relationship was not found to be dependent on simultaneous ingestion of Ca and Fe, nor was a dose–response effect observed. Most intervention studies have shown no effect of Ca on Fe nutrition. Reddy & Cook (1997) have found that Ca intake has no effect on non-haem-Fe absorption from a varied diet over 5 d, and other dietary interventions with Ca do not generally confirm the results from single-meal studies (Sokoll & Dawson-Hughes, 1992; Minihane & Fairweather-Tait, 1998).

Trends in dietary patterns in the UK from the National Food Survey include a fall in the intakes of red meat and tea and an increase in fruit juice consumption (Heath & Fairweather-Tait, 2002). Studies in vegetarians confirm that meat is a useful source of available Fe, as vegetarians generally have a lower SF concentration (Worthington-Roberts et al. 1988; Alexander et al. 1994; Ball & Bartlett, 1999), but Fe stores in many women of childbearing age, whether they are vegetarian or not (Donovan & Gibson, 1995), appear to be low. In the NDNS of adults (Gregory et al. 1990) 14% of all women had a SF concentration of <13 µg/l, the highest percentage (21) being found in the 35–49 years age-group. Intakes of foods that may impact on Fe nutrition are compared for the 1986–7 and 2000–1 NDNS in Fig. 6. The fall in wholemeal and other breads is offset by the inhibitory effect of wine phenolics. There has been a slight increase in Ca intake and a more marked increase in vitamin C intake, but the latter only increases Fe absorption when consumed with main Fe-containing meals.

In order to evaluate the effect of diet on Fe status, further analysis of NDNS data is required, on a meal-by-meal basis, focused on the groups at risk of Fe deficiency (which might include infants and children, women of childbearing age, pregnant and lactating women and ethnic groups) or overload (men aged ≥40 years). Dietary effects can be ascertained from measures of Fe status, including Hb, SF (excluding high values resulting from infection or inflammation) and TS. In order to examine the relationship between diet and Fe status (perhaps concentrating on the upper and lower quintiles of Fe status), the nutrient databank requires expanding to incorporate information on the phytate, polyphenol, and haem-Fe contents of the UK diet. Dietary recommendations should be developed for vulnerable groups, taking into consideration the falling energy requirement, and thus the need to increase Fe density and availability in the diet. A simple self-screening method for high menstrual blood loss is required to identify women at risk of Fe-deficiency anaemia, both for use in the NDNS and for preventative medicine in primary care. Until UK dietary patterns change substantially, the main public health concern lies with Fe deficiency rather than Fe excess.
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