Integrating the landscape epidemiology and genetics of RNA viruses: rabies in domestic dogs as a model
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SUMMARY

Landscape epidemiology and landscape genetics combine advances in molecular techniques, spatial analyses and epidemiological models to generate a more real-world understanding of infectious disease dynamics and provide powerful new tools for the study of RNA viruses. Using dog rabies as a model we have identified how key questions regarding viral spread and persistence can be addressed using a combination of these techniques. In contrast to wildlife rabies, investigations into the landscape epidemiology of domestic dog rabies requires more detailed assessment of the role of humans in disease spread, including the incorporation of anthropogenic landscape features, human movements and socio-cultural factors into spatial models. In particular, identifying and quantifying the influence of anthropogenic features on pathogen spread and measuring the permeability of dispersal barriers are important considerations for planning control strategies, and may differ according to cultural, social and geographical variation across countries or continents. Challenges for dog rabies research include the development of metapopulation models and transmission networks using genetic information to uncover potential source/sink dynamics and identify the main routes of viral dissemination. Information generated from a landscape genetics approach will facilitate spatially strategic control programmes that accommodate for heterogeneities in the landscape and therefore utilise resources in the most cost-effective way. This can include the efficient placement of vaccine barriers, surveillance points and adaptive management for large-scale control programmes.
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INTRODUCTION

Landscape epidemiology is the study of the causes and consequences of spatial variation in disease incidence or risk across heterogeneous landscapes (Ostfeld et al. 2005). Landscape structure affects the distribution, abundance and movements of host, vector and pathogen populations and therefore inherently influences localised interactions between infectious and susceptible individuals (Ostfeld et al. 2005; McCallum, 2008). Revealing the landscape factors underlying these interactions calls for an interdisciplinary approach that draws on a range of techniques across different spatial scales (Manel et al. 2003; Ostfeld et al. 2005). Molecular markers provide a basis for this by genetically tracking spatial and temporal dynamics in pathogen and host populations (Biek and Real, 2010). A landscape genetics approach to infectious disease therefore encompasses a range of analytical tools, including geographic information systems, remote sensing, population genetics, phylogeography and statistical and mathematical modelling techniques (Manel et al. 2003).

RNA viruses represent an ideal group for exploring landscape influences on evolutionary trajectories due to their characteristically high mutation rates and short generation times, which means that epidemiological and population genetic processes occur on a similar timescale (Drummond et al. 2003). The accumulation of mutations over time and space imprints on the structure of viral genomes in a population and can be visualised in data collected over months or years, providing a valuable resource for the elucidation of ecological and evolutionary dynamics. Despite this, the processes that govern phylogeographic patterns in viruses are still poorly understood (Holmes and Grenfell, 2009), pointing to the need for more detailed study into the effect of spatial heterogeneity on viral transmission.

Modern sequence analysis has the power to reveal the historical emergence of pathogen variants, distribution patterns, spillover events, interspecies transmission and changing selection pressures (Archie et al. 2009; Anderson et al. 2010). Advances in sequencing technologies have paved the way for a new generation of approaches to the study of disease dynamics, and next generation sequencing (NGS) techniques are being continually refined, improving
their resolution, throughput potential, affordability and accessibility (Holmes and Grenfell, 2009; Metzker, 2010). We envision a paradigm shift to whole genome sequencing as the standard technique for characterizing RNA viral evolution on small spatio-temporal scales, providing greater discrimination between genotypes and finer resolution in population structure (Holmes and Grenfell, 2009).

Given the progress in sequencing technology and parallel advances in spatial analytical tools, it is an exciting time to study the landscape epidemiology of RNA viruses from a population genetic perspective.

In this review, we focus on rabies virus (RABV), which presents an excellent model system to illustrate the challenges and prospects of such an approach. RABV is a single-stranded, negative-sense RNA virus belonging to the Lyssavirus genus (Family: Rhabdoviridae) (Dietzschold et al. 2005). It is globally distributed and has the ability to infect all mammals, but typically exists in endemic foci as a reservoir host-specific variant with occasional spillover to other species (Rupprecht et al. 2002). Domestic dogs, Canis familiaris, are the principal reservoir of RABV, responsible for 99% of the estimated 55,000 human deaths due to rabies that occur mainly in Asia and Africa every year (Knobel et al. 2005).

Rabies has proven a remarkably valuable system for exploring the effect of landscape processes on host/pathogen interactions. However, most studies have focused on wildlife rabies due to the higher quality of surveillance data and availability of resources in areas with major endemic wildlife foci, e.g. raccoon rabies in eastern North America or fox rabies in Western Europe (Bourhy et al. 1999; Smith et al. 2002; Holmes 2004; Biek et al. 2007; Wheeler and Waller 2008; Szanto et al. 2011). The wealth of research into wildlife rabies provides a basis for comparison with the domestic dog foci that exist in Africa, Asia and parts of Latin America, which, despite their much greater public health burden, have been less well studied.

Domestic dogs are inherently tied to human populations, and various aspects of human ecology, including distribution, habitation and movement patterns, or cultural practices, will directly influence rabies spread in dog populations. Settlements can be considered dog ‘habitat’, and dog densities have been predicted on the basis of human demographics and human geography (Butler, 2000; Knobel et al. 2008). A seemingly ubiquitous feature of countries with persistent dog rabies foci is the free-roaming nature of these populations, often referred to as ‘neighbourhood’ dogs. Dogs in Africa, Asia and parts of Latin America, where canine rabies is endemic, are rarely restricted by leashng or enclosures and their role as domestic animals varies e.g. watch dogs, trade, companion animals. The free movement of dogs would thus be expected to contribute to local rabies transmission, potentially resembling the known features of wildlife rabies and illustrating the complex interplay between anthropogenic and natural drivers of disease spread in this system. A key challenge is to uncover the extent to which natural constraints to rabies flux hold in a host-pathogen system with greater human-mediated dispersal; and what affect this has on phylogeographic signatures.

This review aims to synthesise our current understanding of rabies landscape epidemiology derived from genetic data across different spatio-temporal scales (see Table 1 for a list of relevant studies and associated analytical methods). Using the extensive work on wildlife rabies as a backdrop, we seek to identify commonalities as well as fundamental differences characterizing the dynamics of RABV in domestic dog populations. We will argue that the specific ‘landscape’ supporting the sustained transmission of the virus in dogs is determined by a complex mixture of physical and human geography and that a quantitative understanding of these landscapes will be essential for rabies control and eradication. In addition, control activities are themselves predicted to change molecular epidemiological trajectories, creating interesting opportunities for adaptive management in rabies. Throughout the review we highlight how novel tools and technologies are being used to tackle these problems and identify key areas in which such approaches have future potential.

Rabies Life Cycle and Phylogeography

Transmission of rabies virus occurs predominantly through the bite of an infected animal, which inoculates virus-laden saliva into the subcutaneous and muscle tissue of a susceptible host (Dietzschold et al. 2005). Once inoculated, the virus enters neurons and migrates to the central nervous system, before spreading to other organs, including the salivary glands where large amounts of infectious virions are shed into the saliva for further transmission (Dietzschold et al. 2005). The incubation period is highly variable with a mean of 22 days in naturally infected dogs (Hampson et al. 2009), but may extend to months or years due in part to localised replication (Hanlon et al. 2007). In contrast, the infectious period is very short, around 3 days, and very rarely exceeds 10 days. The short infectious period severely restricts the spatial scale over which an infectious individual can transmit the virus, while the longer and more variable incubation time may permit the active or passive movement of infected individuals over larger distances, which, as detailed below, helps to explain phylogeographic patterns.

On a global scale, dog RABV forms six major genetic clades, five of which are associated with particular geographic regions: Africa 2, Africa 3, Arctic-related, Asian, and the Indian subcontinent (Bourhy et al. 2008). Grouping of these clades largely
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Sources of spatial heterogeneity</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Analytical methods</th>
<th>Key points/Summary</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local (~ 5 yr period)</td>
<td>Individual host heterogeneity</td>
<td>Epi</td>
<td>Reconstruction of epidemic trees, outbreak simulations, construction of transmission networks based on a spatial infection kernel and generation intervals estimated from epidemiological data using ML</td>
<td>Contact tracing data used to generate robust estimates of epidemiological parameters</td>
<td>Hampson et al. 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local (~ 5 yr period)</td>
<td>Spatial configuration of populations</td>
<td>Epi</td>
<td>Patch-occupancy models</td>
<td>Uses dog bite incidence records to explore metapopulation dynamics</td>
<td>Beyer et al. 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional (20 + yrs)</td>
<td>Socio-economic drivers</td>
<td>Gen</td>
<td>Bayesian inference of phylogeny, molecular clocks and demography</td>
<td>Attributes phylogeographic patterns to economic growth and migration patterns of humans</td>
<td>Carnieli et al. 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional (10 + yrs)</td>
<td>Translocation, road networks, wildlife hosts</td>
<td>Gen-Epi</td>
<td>Phylogenetic inference</td>
<td>Patterns suggest importance of wildlife hosts in this system. Spread of rabies coincided with major highways, and indicated translocation events.</td>
<td>Coetzee and Nel, 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional (2 yrs)</td>
<td>Translocation</td>
<td>Gen</td>
<td>Antigenic characterization through monoclonal antibody profiling and molecular sequence comparison</td>
<td>Identified the regional source of a rabid dog case through forensic epidemiological tracing</td>
<td>David et al. 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional (10 yrs)</td>
<td>Reservoir hosts, cultural drivers</td>
<td>Gen</td>
<td>Bayesian phylogenetics; parsimonious construction of transmission networks</td>
<td>Used statistical parsimony to construct most likely transmission networks. Also discovered the potential influence of social drivers on rabies phylogeographic structure (pastoralist vs. non-pastoralist community structure).</td>
<td>Lembo et al. 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local and Regional (10 + yrs)</td>
<td>Reservoir hosts, transmission clusters in wildlife</td>
<td>Gen-Epi</td>
<td>Bayesian phylogenetics; generation of epidemic trees based on probabilities of links between possible progenitors and suspected cases weighted by spatio-temporal proximity, ML estimation of spatial infection kernel and generation interval distribution</td>
<td>Most likely reservoir hosts (domestic dogs) inferred. Found evidence for short-lived chains of transmission in wildlife, compared to self-sustaining transmission in domestic dogs</td>
<td>Lembo et al. 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional (1 yr)</td>
<td>Road networks, population density</td>
<td>Epi</td>
<td>Spatial analysis of reported rabies using GIS. Directional spread of rabies based on mean centre of cases and a standard deviation ellipse weighted by date of cases</td>
<td>Distribution of cases followed road network and towns with high human density and high numbers of free-roaming dogs</td>
<td>Tenzin et al. 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country (3 yrs)</td>
<td>Translocation, cross border incursions</td>
<td>Gen-Epi</td>
<td>Bayesian inference of phylogeny, molecular clock, demography and phylogeographic diffusion based on discrete spatial states</td>
<td>Frequent incursions across country boundary; first molecular evidence for a long distance translocation of a rabies sub-lineage in Africa</td>
<td>Hayman et al. 2011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1. (Cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources of spatial heterogeneity</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Analytical methods</th>
<th>Key points/Summary</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Geopolitical boundaries, translocation, road networks</td>
<td>Sub-continent (≥20+ yrs)</td>
<td>Geopolitical boundaries</td>
<td>Bayesian inference of phylogeny, molecular clock, demographic history</td>
<td>Talbis et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mountain ranges, large water bodies, deserts, oceans</td>
<td>Global (≥20+ yrs)</td>
<td>Mountain ranges, large water bodies, deserts, oceans</td>
<td>Strong population subdivision at the country-level, according to phylogeographic patterns based on discrete spatial states; comparison of different geographic predictors of viral diffusion, immigration of new lineages into an area, this structure would be expected to erode over time.</td>
<td>Talbis et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Elucidation of global patterns of dog rabies phylogeny, molecular clock, demographic history; and parsimony-based approach to determine the geographical structure of dog RABV phylogeny.</td>
<td>Bourhy et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between and within country translocation, road networks</td>
<td>Sub-continent (≥20+ yrs)</td>
<td>Sub-continent</td>
<td>Bayesian inference of phylogeny, molecular clock, demographic history; and parsimony-based approach to determine the geographical structure of dog RABV phylogeny.</td>
<td>Talbis et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strong population subdivision at the country-level, according to phylogeographic patterns.</td>
<td>Talbis et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Throughout history, humans moving animals has repeatedly led to the emergence and spread of rabies reflects major barriers such as oceans, large mountain ranges and deserts, or historical colonisation events. The Arctic-related viruses are relatively well distributed, reflecting the lack of barriers in the far north, and a sixth clade has a cosmolopolitan distribution, reflecting historical waves of human migrations and colonisations (Smith et al. 1992; Bourhy et al. 2008). Such efficient dissemination is likely to be a result of the virus’s relatively long and variable incubation period occasionally facilitating long-distance transport of infected dogs.

The strong phylogeographic structure exhibited by most dog rabies clades is mirrored in wildlife rabies, where the same pattern is evident even at relatively small spatial scales (Biek et al. 2007) and may be explained by a ‘surfing mutation’ model (Excoffier et al. 2008). According to this model, new lineages arising during initial colonisation are able to reach high frequencies driven by an epidemic wave, whereas subsequent lineages do not benefit from such conditions and fail to infiltrate the dominating lineage clusters. Initial invasion events can therefore markedly influence the phylogeographic structure of rabies and, at least in wildlife, this structure can remain intact for decades (Biek et al. 2007; Szanto et al. 2011). However, with ongoing movement of infected individuals and the immigration of new lineages into an area, this structure would be expected to erode over time. How environmental variation affects these processes of emergence and subsequent erosion of phylogeographic structure are questions central to defining the landscape epidemiology of RABV and we will return to them throughout this review.

**LANDSCAPE LEVEL EFFECTS ON RABIES DYNAMICS**

Since the transmission of rabies is entirely dependent on host movements initiating contact between infectious and susceptible individuals, the landscape that they occupy and disperse within heavily influences the ability of the virus to infect new hosts. Indeed, wildlife rabies epidemics tend to spread as irregular waves that differ in velocity according to heterogeneous in the landscape (Russell et al. 2006). These ‘heterogeneities’ include natural features such as mountain ranges, water bodies and deserts, but also anthropogenic features including roads and vaccine corridors. The influence of spatial heterogeneity on rabies spread can be broken down into three aspects, each of which are discussed in detail in the following sections: (1) host movements: natural versus human-mediated; (2) landscape attributes influencing rabies spread; and (3) population level effects.

**The role of human vs. natural dispersal**

Throughout history, humans moving animals has repeatedly led to the emergence and spread of rabies...
in susceptible populations, either through deliberate translocation (e.g. the relocation of animals, some of which may be incubating virus (Nettles et al. 1979)) or inadvertent movement (e.g. raccoons on garbage trucks (Wilson et al. 1997)).

Although human-mediated long-distance movement was found to be a significant feature of raccoon rabies spread (Smith et al. 2002), it was still rare compared to natural dispersal, and stochastic in its occurrence. In contrast, human-mediated dispersal of dog rabies appears more widespread and potentially predictable due to possible links with human activities and migration patterns. The European colonisation of Africa is thought to have led to the introduction and subsequent expansion of canine rabies across the continent (Bourhy et al. 2008; Talbi et al. 2009; Lemey et al. 2010); increasingly frequent records of re-introductions into countries where rabies has been eliminated have been recorded (Zanoni and Breitenmoser, 2003; Weiss et al. 2009; Gautret et al. 2011), and canine rabies has recently emerged on several previously rabies-free islands in
Indonesia via fishermen importing incubating dogs (Windiyaningsih et al. 2004; Susilawathi et al. 2012). Even on smaller scales, phylogeographic patterns frequently imply translocation events that increase the level of mixing between lineages and may obscure patterns of lineage clustering (David et al. 2004; Talbi et al. 2010; Hayman et al. 2011). Although often inferred, it is difficult to quantify the influence of human-mediated movements on the diffusion of RABV. Though translocations may be frequent, the likelihood of establishment and spread is much lower (Smith et al. 2005). But, unlike for wild animals, where translocation is risky and often unsuccessful, human-mediated displacement from a dog’s original home range confers fewer risks. Dog translocations are therefore likely to be more successful in initiating new disease foci, and with potentially higher consequences, introducing disease into previously disease-free areas. Given that long-distance movements instigated by humans are often in response to social drivers, the genetic structure of dog rabies is expected to reflect variation in cultures and socioeconomic factors. For example, rural workers in Thailand often relocate to urban areas where work is more readily available in the off-growing season, taking their dogs, and sometimes rabies, with them (Denduangboripant et al. 2005). Similarly, the resurgence of canine rabies in KwaZulu-Natal in the 1970s was linked to refugee movements from Mozambique (Swanepoel et al. 1993; Cleaveland, 1998) and phylogenetic patterns of RABV in parts of northern Tanzania can be tentatively explained by the movement of nomadic Maasai pastoralists with their dogs (Lembo et al. 2007). Counterproductively, dog-owners have been reported to move their dogs to avoid the threat of culling during attempts to control rabies, which may explain more rapid spread of rabies than would be predicted by dog movement alone. Importantly, many of these aspects of human geography are quantifiable, making it possible in principle to generate testable hypotheses about their role in determining rabies phylogeographic structure.

Talbi et al. (2010) used spatial simulations to show that the observed patterns of spread in North Africa could only be explained by the inclusion of long-distance translocation events as opposed to natural dog movements alone. The wave-like patterns that are a signature of wildlife rabies and determined by natural host movements are less evident in domestic dog rabies, and it is unknown to what extent natural dog movements vs. human-mediated movements determine the observed phylogeographic patterns. A recent study in a Kenyan rangeland found that healthy domestic dogs rarely moved more than 30 metres from their home bases, and the maximum distance recorded was 3-2 km (Woodroffe and Donnelly, 2011). In contrast, dispersal distances in wildlife hosts tend to be at least an order of magnitude higher (Cullingham et al. 2008). However, rabies infection leads to behavioural changes, which may alter movement patterns; in northern Tanzania, most contacts with rabid dogs occurred within a kilometre of an animal’s homestead (mean ~0.88 km), but a small proportion of rabid dogs ran over 15 km while infectious (Hampson et al. 2009). Dog movements also vary depending on the societal context and livelihoods of the communities to which they belong (Woodroffe and Donnelly, 2011). For their dispersal simulations, Talbi et al. (2010) used measures of natural dog movements based on a specific locality in Tanzania (Hampson et al. 2009) but it remains unclear whether such data are transferrable among different geographic localities. Research on domestic dog ecology and movement is lacking and detailed, location-specific data are required in order to reliably test hypotheses for alternative drivers of viral diffusion.

Landscape attributes influencing rabies spread

As a parasite transmitted through direct host-to-host contact, spatially-defined genetic discontinuities in RABV populations may indicate a barrier to host contact (Biek and Real, 2010). We consider a ‘dispersal barrier’ to be any spatial feature of the landscape that impedes the gene flow of a pathogen. Spatially explicit models incorporating landscape features can help uncover barriers to gene flow based on slower progression than expected over a homogeneous area. However, the interpretation of genetic discontinuities requires caution as phylogeographic patterns may arise as a result of historical colonisation events and irrespective of physical barriers (Real and Biek, 2007; Talbi et al. 2009).

Smith et al. (2002) demonstrated a seven-fold reduction in rates of raccoon rabies spread in North America due to the presence of rivers and forest cover. Similarly, the Vistula River separated distinct clusters of a red fox variant and raccoon dog/fox variant in Europe (Bourhy et al. 1999). Clearly, large water bodies impede host movement, but have differential success as barriers according to additional factors such as habitat suitability (Smith et al. 2005; Cullingham et al. 2009), physical geography (Rees et al. 2009), and width and flow rates (Bourhy et al. 1999). In an attempt to assess the differential permeability of barriers, Rees et al. (2008) used genetic simulation modelling to assess the effect of the Niagara River on rabies spread from New York State to Ontario. Comparing genetic population structure derived from field data, with simulated population expansion scenarios they ascertained a 50% barrier effect. In contrast, homogeneous landscapes that lack environmental barriers are particularly vulnerable to the rapid expansion of an introduced pathogen, as demonstrated by the increased speed with which raccoon rabies is predicted...
to cross central Ohio, which lacks major natural barriers, compared to neighbouring states (Russell et al. 2005). With the exception of major landscape features inferred as barriers to dog rabies on a global scale (Bourhy et al. 2008), we know relatively little about barrier effects at smaller scales. Determining whether natural barriers prevent the dissemination of dog RABV or if ties to human ecology negate their
effect is an important research question with significant implications for control.

Political borders are another potential form of barrier that may play a role in the containment of dog rabies, but have no evident impact on wildlife rabies dispersal. For example, distinct and almost monophyletic RABV groups associated with North African countries indicate restricted movement across geopolitical boundaries (Talbi et al. 2010). This well-defined population structure at a regional scale contrasts with a relatively fluid dissemination within countries. On the one hand, this suggests that country level vaccination programmes should have a good chance of eliminating dog rabies even in contiguous landscapes. However, these findings are not generalisable, with epidemiological analyses of RABV in Ghana highlighting frequent cross-border incursions (Hayman et al. 2011). In addition, time-series analyses indicate large-scale synchronous dynamics of rabies across multiple countries in eastern and southern Africa, possibly due to a combination of human or wildlife-mediated long-distance dispersal and a lack of sustained control programmes (Hampson et al. 2007). Understanding the circumstances whereby political boundaries act as dispersal barriers should provide guidance for whether control programmes require regional cooperation or can be sustained at a national level with appropriate border controls. As a first step, it would be useful to compare phylogeographic structure of dog RABV among different parts of the world and across hierarchical spatial scales. Objective measures for such a comparison can be obtained by quantifying the degree to which sequences cluster on a phylogeny according to their geographic location. Several statistics are available for this (Parker et al. 2008), of which the association index (AI) (Wang et al. 2001) has been found to be of particular utility. This measure of phylogeny-trait correlation may provide an initial descriptive analysis of consistency or variability in the hierarchical genetic structure of dog rabies between continents or countries that could determine the generalisation of results across different systems. For example, we might hypothesise that regions with large population densities and a high level of transport infrastructure, e.g. parts of Asia, show less distinctive phylogeographic patterns (a low AI value) compared to more sparsely populated and less developed landscapes like those in sub-Saharan Africa (high AI value).

Quantifying barrier effects, to predict the likelihood of incursions or to exploit them for control programmes, is an important area yet to be tackled for dog rabies. The impact of anthropogenic landscape features on spread, at least in a qualitative sense, has been noted in several countries. For example, Tenzin et al. (2010) mapped the spread of rabies in Bhutan showing a strong visual pattern alongside road networks and towns with high dog-to-human ratios; and phylogeographic patterns in north Mexico and Thailand match the distribution of major migration routes (de Mattos et al. 1999; Denduangboripant et al. 2005). It can be hypothesised that features important to wildlife rabies become less significant to dog rabies as anthropogenic features take over the landscape and mediate the effect of barriers, i.e. bridges, roads and transportation make it possible for dogs to circumvent natural barriers and support a relatively fluid dissemination of rabies across naturally heterogeneous landscapes. These hypotheses remain largely untested and thus present a fruitful area for landscape genetics investigations.

Uncovering those features that facilitate viral spread is an equally important aspect of assessing the landscape. As previously discussed, anthropogenic effects may facilitate the transmission of rabies across a larger scale than natural movements alone allow. The impact of human-mediated dog transport may by explored by phylogenetic analysis, with translocations implicated by the presence of a cluster-specific variant in a distant locality (see David et al. 2004) and Cohen et al. (2007), Table 1), essentially an assignment approach (Paetkau et al. 1995). While this method is not a definitive measure of a translocation, it can identify the most likely dispersal scenario. Talbi et al. (2010) used Bayesian phylogeographic diffusion models (Lemey et al. 2009) in an attempt to quantify the importance of various anthropogenic predictors on the observed spread of rabies cases in North Africa (see Table 1). Model fitting indicated that dispersal patterns among towns were best explained by road distance, consistent with the anticipated role of human movement. Interestingly, further refinements to calculating distance matrices, such as an accessibility index based on road type and travel time, received only limited model support and road distances were only a marginally better predictor than great-circle distances. This may indicate that RABV dispersal follows a rather homogeneous spatial diffusion process, without any particular effect of landscape heterogeneity. More likely however, it means that more useful geographic predictors of human-mediated dispersal have yet to be found. While this was not possible in the Talbi et al. (2010) study, their method can accommodate a wide range of geographical and environmental predictors and thus provides a promising general framework for examining landscape effects in future data sets.

Population level effects and metapopulation dynamics
Infectious disease dynamics are often described in terms of a metapopulation, with host populations divided into smaller, spatially structured subpopulations that exist with different inter- and intra-population dynamics (Grenfell and Harwood,
Heterogeneities in the spatial configuration of host populations are critical to understanding the persistence of endemic pathogens (Hagenaars et al. 2004) and incorporating this social/spatial structure is particularly important for developing mechanistic models of acute-acting infections like rabies (Cross et al. 2005). In the case of dog rabies, human settlements (village/town/city) can be considered habitat patches that vary in host density and connectivity (Fig. 1). For example, rural areas typically exist as an array of villages connected to larger towns and cities by major roads. Fragmentation and low connectivity, i.e. long distances between settlements, natural barriers and lack of transportation networks, likely restrict the ability of a dog to move across a landscape and hence limit rabies spread. Hypothetically, limited contact between dog populations and correspondingly strong spatial structure of rabies would be expected in the least developed regions where travel is most limited.

Local within-patch dynamics are potentially a key component contributing to the persistence and maintenance of disease at larger scales. Therefore, it is important to quantify the relative contribution of different patches to overall disease persistence in a system. This might involve characterizing patches based on dog density, turnover and growth rates, levels of ownership and vaccination, alongside measures of connectivity. For example, dog turnover rates can be extremely high e.g. the Machakos region in Kenya has a dog population estimated to grow by 9% per annum, making rabies control difficult in this area (Kitala et al. 2001). Areas such as these may possibly be identified as hotspots or source patches where rabies has a high chance of being maintained and spread from.

A potentially useful tool for quantifying how rabies dynamics differ among local patches is the basic reproductive number, $R_0$. Theory would suggest that for directly transmitted diseases such as rabies, $R_0$ should increase with host density. However, empirical evidence for density-dependent dynamics is equivocal, with no detectable differences in $R_0$ among dog populations with varying densities around the world (Hampson et al. 2009). While it is unclear why $R_0$ is so insensitive to differences in dog density, e.g. Mexico ($\sim 100$ dogs/km$^2$ (Eng et al. 1993)) versus rural Africa ($\sim 10$ dogs/km$^2$ (Lembo et al. 2008)), this finding is more consistent with a frequency-dependent mode of rabies transmission. For both density- and frequency-dependent disease dynamics stochastic extinctions are expected once density drops below a certain level, meaning that low-density patches may act as barriers to spread. Indeed, rabies has been observed to spread but appears less able to persist in low density dog populations, e.g. Ngorongoro in Tanzania (average of 4-2 dogs/km$^2$ (Lembo et al. 2008)), suggesting that neighbouring source populations are required for rabies maintenance. Cross et al. (2007) discuss the incorporation of heterogeneities in population structure by expanding on the utility of $R_0$ as a measure of disease transmission. $R_0$ assumes that the population is evenly mixed, but the hierarchical nature of disease invasion requires stochastic models that incorporate both within-patch transmission ($R_0$) and the factors contributing to persistence, i.e. between patch transmission – namely the recruitment of susceptibles, group size and the infectious period. These questions of potential metapopulation structure, patch variability and source-sink dynamics (Pulliam, 1988) represent a particularly pertinent and rich area for future studies of RABV which has yet to be explored using genetic approaches and are vital to inform efficient control strategies.

Host movement rates and connectivity between sub-populations are crucial predictors of disease invasion (Cross et al. 2005; Beyer et al. 2011). Epidemiological data available at a localised scale, e.g. the incidence of dog bites, can provide a simple spatio-temporal measure for metapopulation analysis, as shown by Beyer et al. (2011). Patch-occupancy models identified two metrics of connectivity, the distance between neighbouring villages and the size of villages receiving infection, as significant factors facilitating the transmission of disease. From a population genetics perspective, coalescent analysis of sequence data could provide the means to identify metapopulation dynamics that may not be discernable using epidemiological data alone. Such techniques have been successfully applied, for example, to understand the persistence of influenza A virus, with molecular clock-based estimates of divergence times of most recent common ancestors (MRCA) and demonstrating persistence due to dynamic migration patterns rather than source-sink dynamics (Russell et al. 2008; Bedford et al. 2010).

More generally, the fine-grained spatial genetic structure evident in wildlife rabies that is lacking for dog rabies may be due to differences in time frame. The best-studied wildlife rabies dynamics come from invasions that originated a few decades ago (Bourhy et al. 1999; Real et al. 2005a; Biek et al. 2007), compared to endemic foci in domestic dogs, thought to have persisted for centuries (Bourhy et al. 2008). Factors influencing persistent endemic cycles are likely to differ from those determining epidemic expansions, with viral population turnover, increased mixture of lineages and the greater role of human-mediated movement resulting in less clearly defined fine-grain viral structure. Again, metapopulation models that capture population connectivity at appropriate spatial and temporal scales may shed light on the genetic structure of endemic foci. Characterizing the landscape connectivity between rabies endemic areas (or individual rabies cases, given the resolution of spatial data) may be facilitated using techniques applied in conservation genetics that have
yet to be exploited for disease dynamics. For example, the program Fractionnator, (http://www.unil.ch/biomapper/fractionnator/fractionnator.html), provides a ‘strip statistic’ quantifying the effect of landscape features on the relatedness of all possible pairs of individuals sampled. This entails defining grid cells within a strip across the sampled landscape and assessing the abundance of landscape features within each strip. In addition, advances in the development of spatial analysis software such as python-based customised GIS tools (e.g. Etherington, 2001) that allow the visualisation and measurement of genetic relatedness and landscape connectivity based on least cost path analysis, R packages such as Maptools (Lewin-Koh et al. 2012) and alternative open-source software such as PASSaGE 2 (Rosenberg and Anderson, 2011) provide utilities for measuring connectivity between patches, quantifying patterns in spatial data and potentially identifying barriers to dispersal.

Elucidating how heterogeneity at the host level contributes to dynamics at the patch level is an important aspect of understanding the mechanisms underlying rabies persistence and spread. Differential within-patch dynamics resulting from individual heterogeneity including variation in biting frequency, host population size, the structure of a settlement, may confer properties that promote the effectiveness of a patch as a source of infection. Tracing transmission pathways may provide a further means of elucidating source populations that initiate chains of infection, particularly at very fine-scale resolution. Lembo et al. (2007) (Table 1) used parsimonious transmission networks to infer reservoir host dynamics and patterns of interspecific transmission, but this technique could also be used more generally to uncover dispersal patterns, e.g. if a particular patch repeatedly acts as a source of infection. The construction of transmission trees from epidemiological data on the timing and locality of rabies cases is another useful approach for identifying transmission pathways and can be supplemented by contact tracing data (Lembo et al. 2008). Contact tracing of rabies is aided by the memorable nature of rabies bites and therefore presents a unique situation where the collection of contact data is achievable. However, building transmission trees in this way becomes increasingly unreliable as larger numbers of missing links are inferred, and these models may also simplistically predict unlikely transmission events if knowledge of the landscape is not incorporated into algorithms e.g. predictions of transmission across major landscape barriers. Genetic data could be used to quantify mutation rates and build independent time-scaled transmission networks against which epidemiologically constructed transmission trees could be calibrated. Ultimately, transmission trees will be most effectively constructed with the combined use of genetic and epidemiological data, and appropriate datasets are increasingly becoming available for rabies, but further computational and statistical advances are required.

Host transmission is typically heterogeneous, often described by the 80/20 paradigm in which individuals differentially carry and transmit pathogens i.e. 80% of infections are carried by 20% of the population (Woolhouse et al. 1997). In the most extreme case this heterogeneity can exist in the form of superspreaders (Lloyd-Smith et al. 2005). There have been no in-depth studies of superspreading in domestic dogs carrying rabies and the contribution of superspreaders to rabies spread and persistence is controversial (e.g. Hampson et al. 2007 vs. Talbi et al. 2009), but large variations in biting frequency have been observed (Hampson et al. 2009). An important distinction must be made between superspreading in a restricted area, e.g. the same village, and a ‘spatial superspreader’ that has dispersed infection over large distances and multiple settlements (see Fig. 2 for visual example). Although the number of secondary cases caused by such individuals may be equivalent, spatial superspreaders are more important from an epidemiological perspective if they are responsible for connecting sub-populations. Tracing resulting infections to their source through genetic sequence data may identify hotspots where certain patch features promote greater dispersal ability i.e. spatial superspreading events (as suggested by Cross et al. 2007). Ideally, this would utilise retrospective genetic tracing of networks of infection, similar to that used for identifying source infections in foot-and-mouth disease (FMD) (Cottam et al. 2008). Statistically robust methods are yet to be conceived, but accounting for missing links in transmission chains is an important area for future development. As a major advantage compared to FMD, contact that may have lead to transmission is much easier to define for rabies. Contact tracing data, if available, could therefore complement genetic data to offer a more comprehensive view of how individual heterogeneity can impact on dynamics.

INTEGRATING LANDSCAPE EPIDEMIOLOGY INTO RABIES CONTROL

Landscape genetics has undoubtedly generated fundamental insights into the dynamics of rabies but has arguably yet to make major contributions to its control. The preceding discussion illustrated potential avenues for exploration and here we aim to more clearly define a landscape genetics research agenda that could directly benefit the planning or implementation of programmes that aim to control or eliminate rabies.

Considerable progress has been made in the development of blueprints and operational toolkits for rabies control (see “Blueprint for rabies
prevention and control,” August 2010, http://www.rabiesblueprint.com/, and Lembo et al. 2011). The rabies blueprint presents a major step in the global fight against rabies, providing guidelines and economically feasible strategies to aid policy makers and local communities seeking to embark on rabies intervention and control measures. Landscape genetics research constitutes an important resource within this multidisciplinary approach to help advise and sustain successful control initiatives.

Vaccination is widely deemed the most effective means of rabies control with demonstrated successes in reducing incidence and eliminating disease even in areas with limited resources (Cleaveland et al. 2003; Schneider et al. 2007; Lembo et al. 2010). Molecular genetics has demonstrated that domestic dogs are critical reservoirs for canine rabies, even in parts of Africa with abundant wildlife populations, and therefore indicate that controlling dog rabies through vaccination should eliminate infection from all other species (Lembo et al. 2007, 2008). By changing the susceptibility of populations, mass vaccinations change the landscape in which rabies circulates, and at sufficiently high levels of vaccination coverage, transmission can be interrupted. There are two mechanisms by which vaccination alters the landscape to control rabies. The first is that vaccination itself creates a barrier of susceptible individuals that block the dispersal of the pathogen across the landscape, and the second is via the reinforcement of existing barriers with vaccination. Using landscape genetics to explore the potential impacts of these aspects of landscape control is a logical next step.

Recurrent rabies epidemics occur across large areas where vaccination programmes are patchy and unsustained (Hampson et al. 2007), suggesting that a proactive long-term vaccination programme coordinated across political boundaries is required for success. Indeed, the effectiveness of such a programme has been proven by the intensive vaccinations coordinated by the Pan American Health Organization (PAHO) in Latin America over the past few decades: dog rabies has been eliminated in a large portion of the southern continent, and reported cases from other countries

Fig. 2. Dispersal of bites from superspreading dogs resulting in rabies transmission in an area of the Serengeti District in Tanzania. Roads and rivers are shown to highlight the potential influence of landscape features on the dispersal of rabies—tentative observations indicate that superspreader progeny appear to cluster alongside roads and movement may be restricted by the presence of rivers (but other landscape features not shown may also be responsible for influencing dispersal patterns). Two potential types of superspreader are also highlighted in the map: A) a spatial superspreader, which transmits over a large spatial area, potentially connecting sub-populations and may be important from an epidemiological perspective; and B) a superspreader with a limited dispersal range that infects a large number of progeny but remains within a small spatial radius. Inset map shows the location of the Serengeti District within Tanzania.
are highly localised due to restrictions on transmission pathways from vaccination barriers (Schneider et al. 2007). Crucially, cases of human rabies have dropped in these areas, reinforcing the importance of effective dog rabies control strategies. Strategically placing a vaccine barrier could maintain freedom from rabies resulting from successful interventions in otherwise landlocked areas.

Environmental or anthropogenic barriers to natural transmission of rabies offer the opportunity to strengthen and smarten vaccination initiatives. Vaccination can be viewed as a form of barrier that impedes rabies spread, and therefore many of the techniques used to draw insights on the permeability of barriers could equally be applied to vaccination programmes. Oral Rabies Vaccination (ORV) campaigns for wildlife, including bait distribution in proximity to a pre-existing natural barrier (the Appalachian Mountains), and utilising existing environmental features to reinforce control campaigns (Wandeler et al. 1988) have successfully contained wildlife rabies. Past experience has shown vulnerability to breaches associated with the differential permeability of barriers and emphasizes the need for ongoing and targeted surveillance to enable early detection and swift responses to incursions (Russell et al. 2005). Yet despite this, few studies have quantified the utility of barriers within a landscape, and there are no guidelines available for the design and implementation of effective cordons sanitaires for dog rabies.

In addition to barrier studies, modern application of spatial data is allowing us to make increasingly accurate measurements of epidemiological parameters that may affect disease dynamics. For example, Bharti et al. (2011) demonstrate the use of remote sensing to test for human predictors of disease. They used anthropogenic light from satellite imagery as a measure of seasonal fluctuations of human populations. The observed fluctuation in light intensity (as a measure of population density) correlated to measles distribution and spread in cities in Niger, and provided an accurate, near real-time representation of short-term population fluctuations that may drive pathogen transmission. This approach demonstrates the use of relatively simple proxies for quantifying migration patterns in poorly resourced regions, often the same regions carrying the highest disease burden from dog rabies.

Large-scale interventions are expensive, and adaptive management is often required alongside intervention. Refinements in resource management will ultimately rely on a combination of knowledge from genetics, landscape and host ecology as part of a reactive programme. Specific landscape elements affecting dog rabies spread are generally not known a priori, so exploration of phylogeographic patterns may identify genetically distinct viral or host populations in areas, which could be targeted for vaccination. However, experience from wildlife rabies suggests that caution is warranted when taking such an approach. Firstly, apparent boundaries between areas dominated by different genetic lineages may have emerged during the initial invasion process and thus do not necessarily reflect areas of low permeability for the virus (Real et al. 2005b; Biek and Real, 2010). Secondly, while the stability of these phylogeographic domains indicates a lack of mixing between them, this simply suggests that immigrating viruses find it difficult to invade areas with an already established focus, but does not signify the absence of viral immigration per se. Such areas with putatively self-contained endemic foci could therefore experience a high risk of rabies re-emergence following successful eradication, unless vaccination effort remains high. Whether the second consideration equally applies to dog rabies is currently not clear as pertinent empirical studies are lacking. As with many research problems in landscape genetics, these types of question may be very productively studied using simulation tools (Epperson et al. 2010). Simulations may indicate the most effective location for vaccine corridors/barriers e.g. vaccination on the far side of a natural barrier (Russell et al. 2006), whereas metapopulation models may elucidate areas with high connectivity that could be the source of persistence in endemic areas. Quantifying the degree of connectivity between sub-populations is of critical importance to understanding how rabies is maintained across landscapes, and is an integral part of designing effective control interventions and cordons sanitaires that limit and contain the virus.

Ongoing surveillance is crucial to the long-term success of rabies control, and considerable value can be added to surveillance initiatives through the incorporation of landscape genetics, with the potential to determine the source of incursions and reveal transmission pathways. Epidemiological surveillance may facilitate active case detection and identification of circulating strains, helping to identify areas missed by vaccination. Using a molecular genetics approach during the 2007 FMD outbreak in the UK, allowed swift and effective containment of the outbreak by directing interventions to the critical areas (Cottam et al. 2008). For countries with limited resources, surveillance in areas with ongoing control programmes and retrospective analysis may be useful for identifying remaining foci of infection to be targeted by vaccination, sources of incursions, or spillover events into or from wildlife. In addition, metapopulation models may be utilized to predict the direction of spread of rabies in naïve populations (Beyer et al. 2011), directing the location of sentinel points and control measures to ‘hotspots’ of infection (Haydon et al. 2006) and putative transmission networks based on sampled cases should indicate how well current levels of surveillance are capturing rabies incidence based on inferred missing links between sampled cases.
Rabies containment and control will require ongoing surveillance and sustained control efforts. In time, when rabies incidence is reduced to low levels (the period when control measures often lapse), genetics can provide a means of directing resources to where they are most needed, maintaining a cost-effective approach. Given the small genome of RABV (12Kb), and advances in NGS sequencing, future exploration of phylogeographic patterns utilizing whole genome sequencing is a realistic prospect. This promises to provide appropriately fine genetic resolution for samples collected on small spatio-temporal scales that might otherwise be uninformative. Application of novel techniques in landscape genetics to other RNA viruses such as FMD and Influenza highlights interesting possibilities for uncovering disease dynamics and aiding control directives (Cottam et al., 2008, Bedford et al. 2010). The technology and analytical power are available but have yet to be fully exploited for dog rabies, and thus offer exciting prospects on what can be achieved with their implementation in the future.

CONCLUSIONS

There is evidently a wide scope for the use of landscape genetics to explore and understand the dynamics of pathogen spread and persistence. Dogs are the principal reservoir of rabies, responsible for the majority of human rabies cases, yet we know little about the dynamics of the pathogen in this host. In order to reduce the many thousands of human rabies deaths that occur annually due to contact with rabid dogs, it is crucial that we uncover the mechanisms governing viral dispersal across the landscape so as to direct successful control interventions. The foundation of knowledge from studies in wildlife populations provides a starting point but aspects of host behaviour, the inherent influence of humans, and the long-term endemic nature of dog rabies foci requires a modified approach be taken for dog rabies. There lies great potential for advancing the effectiveness of control campaigns in areas burdened with disease; specifically landscape genetics has most to contribute to improving surveillance and modifying control strategies based on information gleaned from surveillance. This includes the design and placement of cordons sanitaires, the prioritization of effort towards persistent foci or targeting sources of outbreaks and conduits of transmission. Given the availability of powerful new genetic and spatial techniques, efforts now need to push towards real-world application of landscape genetics to rabies control and elimination.
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