MR Angiography Compared to Conventional Selective Angiography in Acute Stroke


ABSTRACT: Background and purpose: Accuracy of intracranial magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) and reliability of interpretation are not well established compared to conventional selective catheter angiography. The purpose of this study was to determine the accuracy of MRA in evaluation of intracranial vessels in acute stroke and transient ischemic attack (TIA) patients. Methods: Twenty-nine patients (seven females, 22 males; median age 53) with acute stroke or TIA were enrolled into the study. All patients underwent both MRA using a 3 T clinical magnet and conventional angiography within 48 hours. Median time between MRA and angiography was 263 minutes. Conventional angiography preceded MRA in 15 cases. Fourteen patients received thrombolysis during MRA or angiography. National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale scores were obtained prior to the MR exam. One neuroradiologist rated all conventional angiograms, which were used as gold standard. Five observers, blinded to conventional angiography results and all clinical information except symptom side, rated the MR angiograms. Kappa statistics were used to assess reliability; contingency tables were used to assess accuracy of non-enhanced and enhanced MRA. Results: Two hundred and fifty-two intracranial vessels were assessed. Agreement between raters was good for both non-enhanced (κ=0.50) and gadolinium-enhanced (κ=0.46) images. There were a total of 26 vessels occluded by DSA. Overall, the non-enhanced MRA showed sensitivity of 84.2% (95% CI 60.4-96.6) and specificity of 84.6% (95% CI 78.6-89.4). The enhanced MRA showed sensitivity of 69.2% (95% CI 38.6-90.9) and specificity of 73.6% (95% CI 65.5-80.7). Conclusions: Magnetic resonance angiography is a good non-invasive screening tool for assessing intracranial vessel status in acute ischemic stroke. Angiography remains the gold standard for definitive assessment of the intracranial circulation.

RÉSUMÉ: Comparaison de l'angiographie par résonance magnétique à l'angiographie sélective conventionnelle pour l'évaluation de l'accident vasculaire cérébral aigu. Contexte et objectif: La précision de l'angiographie par résonance magnétique intracrânienne (ARM) et la fiabilité de son interprétation sont mal établies par rapport à l'angiographie sélective conventionnelle par cathéter. L'objectif de cette étude était de déterminer la précision de l'ARM pour l'évaluation des vaisseaux intracrâniens dans l'accident vasculaire cérébral (AVC) aigu et l'accident ischémique transitoire (AIT). Méthodes: Vingt-neuf patients, (sept femmes et 22 hommes - âge médian 53 ans) atteints d'AVC aigu ou d'AIT ont participé à l'étude. Tous les patients ont subi une ARM utilisant un aimant 3T et une angiographie conventionnelle en dedans de 48 heures de l'événement. Le temps médian entre l'ARM et l'angiographie était de 263 minutes. L'angiographie conventionnelle a précédé l'ARM chez 15 patients. Quatorze patients ont subi une thrombolyse pendant l'ARM ou l'angiographie. Le score au National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale a été déterminé avant de faire l'ARM. Un neuroradiologiste a lu tous les angiogrammes conventionnels qui ont été utilisés comme examen de référence. Cinq observateurs qui ignoraient les résultats de l'angiographie conventionnelle ainsi que l'information clinique sauf la latéralité des symptômes, ont lu les angiogrammes par résonance magnétique. La fiabilité a été évaluée au moyen de l'analyse statistique kappa; la précision de l'ARM non rehaussée et rehaussée a été évaluée au moyen de tables de contingence. Résultats: Deux cent cinquante-deux vaisseaux intracrâniens ont été évalués. La concordance entre les évaluateurs était bonne tant pour les images non rehaussées (k = 0,50) que pour les images rehaussées au gadolinium (k = 0,46). À l'angiographie numérique, 26 vaisseaux étaient occlus. En tout, l'ARM avait une sensibilité de 84,2% (IC 95% : 60,4 à 96,6) et une spécificité de 84,6% (IC 95% : 78,6 à 89,4). L'ARM rehaussée avait une sensibilité de 69,2% (IC 95% : 38,6 à 90,9) et une spécificité de 73,6% (IC 95% : 65,5 à 80,7). Conclusions: L'angiographie par résonance magnétique est un bon outil de dépistage non effractif pour évaluer l'état des vaisseaux intracrâniens dans l'AVC aigu. L'angiographie demeure l'examen de référence pour l'évaluation de la circulation intracrânienne.


Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) allows visualisation of both extra- and intra-cranial vessels in a fast and non-invasive manner and can play an important role in clinical decision-making. Assessment of the intra-cranial vessels1-5 has led to multiple improvements of the technique with a significant increase in the accuracy of intra-cranial MRA. Prior research has shown benefit of careful review of the 3D TOF source images from the Seaman Family MR Research Centre (AIT, SBC, AMD, JES, RF, AMB), Foothills Medical Centre, Calgary Health Region, Department of Clinical Neurosciences (AIT, SBC, AMD, MEH, WFM, RJS, JES, RF, AMB, MDH), Department of Community Health Sciences (MDH), Department of Medicine (MDH), and Department of Radiology (MEH, WFM, RJS, RF), University of Calgary, Calgary, AB Canada.
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along with maximum intensity projections (MIP) and collapsed MIPs. Source images are particularly helpful for the assessment of intra-cranial stenoses. While most previous studies have concentrated on the proximal intracranial vessels, a few studies have reported difficulty in evaluating peripheral vessel branches. Contrast-enhanced MRA improves conspicuity of vessels of small caliber and slow blood flow.

In the setting of acute ischemic stroke clinicians seek information about the status of intracranial vessels. Hyperacute neurovascular imaging is challenging for number of reasons: (i) information needs to be obtained quickly; (ii) patients suffering severe disabling stroke do not tolerate prolonged imaging time and; (iii) relatively short acquisition time limits achievable image quality.

The purpose of this study was to investigate the reliability and accuracy of intracranial MRA of both proximal and distal vessels compared to conventional angiography in the setting of acute ischemic stroke (AIS) and transient ischemic attack (TIA).

**METHODS**

**Patients**

We reviewed our research imaging database to identify patients who had undergone MR angiography. All patients with AIS or TIA, who for clinical reasons, underwent both T MRI and conventional angiography within 48 hours from one another were recruited. Written informed consent to the use of the imaging in research was provided by all patients or their surrogate before MR imaging and conventional angiography.

**MR Imaging Protocol**

Magnetic resonance studies were performed on a 3.0 Tesla MRI system (Signa; GE Medical Systems, Waukesha, WI) equipped with high speed gradients (40-mT/m peak strength, 184-µs rise time) using a standard quadrature head coil. The acute stroke protocol included standard anatomic imaging, diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI), three dimensional time-of-flight (3D TOF) MR angiography, and dynamic susceptibility contrast perfusion-weighted imaging (DSCPWI). Time-of-flight angiograms were collected before (non-enhanced) and after (enhanced) the perfusion imaging contrast injection (20 ml of MR contrast – Magnevist, Berlex, Wayne, NJ - at 5 ml/s).

The imaging parameters for the conventional non-enhanced two-slab 3D TOF sequence were 24/3.3/15° (TR/TE/flip angle), acquisition bandwidth of 12.5 kHz, and a 240 x 144 x 46-mm acquired volume with a 256 x 192 x 42 acquisition matrix, which was then reconstructed to a 512 x 512 x 80 matrix. Total acquisition time was 4 minutes 9 seconds. Using the non-enhanced MRA source images at the circle of Willis, maximum intensity projection (MIP) images were generated at multiple projection angles every 12.9° about the superior/inferior (S/I) axis. Axial collapsed MIP images of both non-enhanced and enhanced MRA volumes were also generated.

Twenty-four patients had both non-enhanced and enhanced MRA, four patients had only non-enhanced MRA, and one patient had only enhanced MRA. Four enhanced MRA studies were excluded because of incorrect slab position.

**Angiography protocol**

Conventional angiograms were performed in a standard manner starting with an arch aortogram followed by selective injections of the carotid and/or vertebral arteries. Biplane digital subtraction angiography was performed (LCA/LP Advantx Angiography unit, General Electric). Intracranial vessels were imaged with a minimum field of view of 15 cm in the anteroposterior plane and 11.4 cm in the lateral plane at a magnification factor of 2.6. A high resolution (1024 x 1024) matrix was used.

**MRA review**

Film copies of axial collapsed MIP images and source images for both non-enhanced and enhanced 3D TOF, as well as non-enhanced MIP images were prepared for review. Five readers – two neuroradiologists, one stroke neurologist, and two stroke fellows blind to conventional angiography results and all clinical information except symptom side scored all MRA films. Each observer was given both non-enhanced and enhanced films at the same time, although the non-enhanced were scored before the enhanced films. The following intra-cranial vessels and vessel segments: internal carotid artery (ICA); M1 middle cerebral artery (MCA); M2 MCA; M3 MCA; anterior cerebral artery (ACA); vertebral arteries; basilar artery and posterior cerebral artery (PCA) were scored as patent or occluded based on signal intensity. A vessel or vessel segment was considered occluded if there was absent flow on all of MRA source images, MIPs and collapsed MIPs, within each modality (with or without MR contrast).

**Angiography review**

One experienced neuroradiologist blinded to MRA findings reviewed all angiograms. He was given the information about symptom side, and also which procedure was performed first: MRA or conventional angiography. He was asked to score only that part of the conventional angiogram, which was closest in time to the MRA in order to minimize the likelihood of vascular changes that may have occurred in between. Identical to the MRA scoring method, the analogous vessel segments were rated as patent or occluded. This statement was considered a gold standard.

**Data analysis**

Kappa statistics were used to assess inter-observer reliability. Agreement was considered to be slight (κ=0.0-0.2), fair (κ=0.21-0.4), moderate (κ=0.41-0.60), substantial (κ=0.61-0.80), or
almost perfect (κ = 0.81-1.00). Contingency tables were used to assess the accuracy of non-enhanced and enhanced 3D TOF MRA compared to conventional angiography. Magnetic resonance summary scores used for this comparison were the median of 5 raters which is equivalent to a 3 of 5 consensus. We did not include the posterior circulation data in this analysis because of a paucity of occlusions in this territory.

**RESULTS**

Twenty-nine patients (7 females, 22 males), aged 18 to 83 years (median 53 years) with acute stroke (26 cases) or TIA (3 cases) were enrolled. National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) scores were obtained at patient presentation and prior to the MR exam by qualified examiners. Median NIHSS was 14 (range 0 to 25). Fourteen patients received thrombolysis during MRA or angiography. Angiography preceded MRA in 15 cases. Thirteen patients (45%) had their two studies within 3 hours one from another. Median time between non-enhanced and enhanced MRA was 22 min. Twenty-six occlusions were diagnosed during conventional angiography among 252 intra-cranial vessels assessed: 25 in the anterior circulation (ICA 8, M1-MCA 10, M2-MCA 4, M3-MCA 3) and one in posterior circulation (PCA 1).

Overall agreement among 5 raters was moderate for both non-enhanced (κ = 0.50 CI 95: 0.47-0.53) and gadolinium-enhanced (κ = 0.46 CI 95: 0.37-0.58) images. A gradient of agreement existed such that agreement for the proximal vessels was excellent, while for the distal vessels, agreement was poorer (Table 1). The overall accuracy of non-enhanced MRA was 84.5% (CI 95: 0.79-0.89) and for enhanced MRA 73.2% (CI 95: 0.65-0.80). The non-enhanced MRA showed sensitivity of 84.2% (CI 95: 60.4-96.6) and specificity of 84.6% (CI 95: 78.6-89.4). The enhanced MRA showed sensitivity of 69.2 (CI 95: 38.6-90.9) and specificity of 73.6 (CI 95: 65.5-80.7). This was not substantially changed by inclusion of dynamic cases where reperfusion occurred due to therapeutic intervention.

In five cases the vessel status was dynamic as re-perfusion was achieved between angiography and the MR imaging as the result of interventional therapy. Consequently, there was clear discrepancy in the scoring of the vessel status by MRA and conventional angiography. After excluding those cases the accuracy of MRA was very good including distal vessels (Table 2).

Although agreement among raters was not improved with contrast enhancement, we found a positive impact of contrast administration on vessel assessment. In the ICA, use of contrast non-significantly raised sensitivity to 100%, while in distal MCA (M2/M3 segments) a trend to improved specificity was observed (p=0.087) (Figure 1). Similarly, level of training was relevant. The overall specificity of the neuroradiologists’ assessments of the post-contrast images was 0.77 (CI 95: 0.58-0.90), while the analogous result of the neuroradiologists’ was 1.00 (CI 95: 0.88-1.00). Overall, neuroradiologists’ accuracy ranged from 77-81% for pre- or post-contrast MRI with or without the dynamic cases. This compares to 86-91% for neuroradiologists. Accuracy was always equivalent or non-significantly higher for the contrast-enhanced assessment.

**DISCUSSION**

Thrombolytic therapy remains the only proven therapeutic intervention with a potential to restore perfusion and reverse symptoms in patients suffering AIS. Imaging modalities (MR and CT) that are capable of rapidly identifying vessel occlusion causing symptoms of stroke, are indispensable in this scenario. While occlusion identification substantiates therapeutic efforts, any imaging protocol for AIS or TIA has specific restrictions and represents a trade-off between patient comfort, technical optimization and diagnostic accuracy.

The reliability of MRA has improved with technical innovation. Korogi et al noted in 1994 that each of the observers, participating in their study of unenhanced TOF MR angiography, interpreted several ICA occlusions or stenoses of greater than 50% as normal. Consequently the authors found MRA unreliable for the assessment of severe ICA stenoses. The addition of 3D TOF source images resulted in increased sensitivity to 100% for moderately and severely stenosed, as well as occluded vessels. Heiserman et al achieved good results 3D TOF source images were combined with projections and collapsed MIP images. Thus, the interpretation protocol must utilize all available images including MIP and source images to achieve the best diagnostic result.

Robinson et al described application of the multiple overlapping thin slab acquisition (MOTSAC) in the evaluation of carotid artery. Multiple overlapping thin slab acquisition combines the advantages of two- and three-dimensional TOF
techniques: noise reduction and thin section detail with improved blood flow signal. However, early MR angiograms obtained using a MOTSA technique and postprocessed by the MIP algorithm displayed signal intensity variation, called venetian blind artifact. This artifact can be overcome by optimizing image acquisition parameters (flip angle, TR, and the slab excitation fraction), as well as post-processing algorithm, which results in a reduction of slab boundary artifact. A similar technique was used in this study.

Improved MRA techniques have been reported using higher matrix, overlapping slabs, a variable flip angle excitation (tilted optimized nonsaturating excitation – TONE), and magnetization transfer suppression (MTS), but this technique comes at the expense of a 60 – 90 min examination time; such times are untenable in acute stroke. Even these significant technical improvements did not eliminate artifacts such as flow saturation, that result in relatively common false positive diagnoses of vessel stenoses, especially at bifurcations.

The advantages of a 3D TOF technique include signal-to-noise reduction and better delineation of small vessels. However, 3D-TOF is more sensitive to saturation resulting in poorer vessel definition. Contrast enhanced intracranial angiography has been explored and may be superior to non-enhanced studies. Loss of signal due to saturation of slowly flowing blood can be compensated by the T1 reduction achieved with paramagnetic extracellular contrast agents, such as gadopentetate dimeglumine. Other techniques suppressing soft tissue background such as magnetization transfer, optimization of imaging parameters, including TR, TE, and flip angle, have been explored and have been shown to improve imaging of the intracranial vessels.

Not all of the above techniques can be implemented at higher fields. In order to optimize our MRA protocol we have modified imaging parameters by using variable flip angle, increased TR and TE for post-contrast MRA (see Methods). For acquisition of non-enhanced 3D-TOF we have used 2 overlapping slabs, while for the enhanced MRA one inclined slab. Some techniques, like magnetization transfer are more difficult at higher fields in order to suppress the soft tissue background.

We have determined the performance of MRA in evaluation of the intracranial vasculature. Exact grading of the possible vessel stenosis was not of paramount importance in acute stroke; therefore, we focused on recognising occlusion. Although image resolution remains better with conventional angiography, the raters were able to discern smaller vessel branches using MRA with satisfactory accuracy.

Similar to the other groups we have achieved moderate-to-high sensitivity and specificity in the assessment of the ICA. Analogous results were achieved in evaluation of proximal MCA branches (M1 proximal and distal). Our group has previously explored the issue of contrast influence on evaluation of distal intracranial branches. A small proportion (3.3%) of assessed vessels were less visible after contrast administration. This predominantly occurred in the P1 and P2 segments, which were

Figure: 3D TOF images of a 33-year-old male patient with left M2-MCA occlusion showing the MRA source image, maximum intensity projection (MIP), pre-contrast collapsed MIP, post-contrast collapsed MIP.
not in scope of analysis of the present study, but was also observed in the anterior circulation. Explanation of this phenomenon remains unclear.

We have noted, that less experienced raters tended to overcall the occlusions, while experts (neuroradiologists) were more specific in their readings. Other authors\(^1\) have similarly raised the importance of training and experience in order to evaluate MRA accurately. Non-radiologists may not always be familiar with the technical limitations such as overestimation of the vessel stenosis using MIP algorithm. In our study it was important to pay attention to the boundaries of slab position: vessels not included in the slab appeared occluded on both source and postprocessed images.

There were limitations in our study. The major one was use of thrombolytic in 48% of cases, however, not using tPA for eligible patients would be unethical. In at least five cases, vessel status was clearly different between conventional angiography and MRA. Analysis has been conducted with and without these cases. It is possible that in a few cases vessel status changed even between pre- and post-contrast MRA, since the average time between the two was 20 min. An additional drawback of the study was the lack or exclusion of contrast enhanced MRA, since the average time between pre- and post-contrast MRA, the status of ischemic tissue. Such information is invaluable in comprehensive, non-invasive assessment of both the vessel and the status of ischemic tissue. Such information is invaluable in diagnosis and planning patient management.
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