Electroencephalographically speaking, triphasic waves (TWs) and generalized spike waves (GSWs), as in non-convulsive generalized status epilepticus, are biologically distinct, but can closely resemble one another on the scalp-recorded EEG. As numerous authors have pointed out, TWs are characteristic of metabolic encephalopathies. However, they have also been described in sepsis, meningitis and cortical degenerative diseases. Many of these conditions can also cause seizures, so even within diagnostic categories the electrographic differentiation is important. This often causes consternation in the EEGer, even if he/she resorts to the clinical context to help differentiate TWs and GSWs. The study by Boulanger and colleagues goes farther than any other clinical neurophysiological study in providing practical guidelines to the clinical EEGer. There are helpful observations on the morphological characteristics of each entity: seizures are associated with presence of polyspikes, while triphasic waves differ from spike-waves in the prominence of the second (positive) component in TWs, a front-to back or back-to-front phase shift in TWs, disappearance in sleep and response to stimuli (an original and potentially very useful point). It should be remembered that triphasic waves do not occur in children, so the differentiation is not an issue in pediatric EEG.

There are some limitations to the study by Boulanger et al. It is retrospective and some relevant information may be lacking. It uses traditional differentiating EEG criteria that have never been subjected to pathophysiological investigations for confirmation. Such an approach is almost tautological. Nonetheless, their approach of having the records read blindly, then correlating with the clinical problem, makes careful note of various features of the electrographic behaviour (effects of drugs, sleep and stimulation) as well as the morphology and distribution, adds credibility and makes further useful observations. The inter-rater and clinical correlations are impressive, and the settling of differences by going with the original classification is so infrequent as to be negligible.

Although the neurophysiological mechanisms underlying spike-waves are generally accepted, TWs have never been adequately explained. They appear to be “waves” rather than nonstationarities the way spikes are; they can be produced by combining different frequencies with a sine wave generator (Blume WT, personal communication). Nunez has studied “traveling” and “standing” waves mathematically. The classical phase shift of TWs is likely due to a wave or waves traveling in an inhomogenous medium, probably with a number of interacting spatially separated “oscillators” that may be purely cortical or perhaps cortical-thalamic. It would be wonderful if a physiologist could confirm the above in an elegant experiment. We clinical neurophysiologists must await such a development with hopeful expectation. Not only will this be of intellectual interest, but it may provide even more differentiating guidelines or new technical approaches.
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