The incidence of sudden unexpected death in epilepsy (SUDEP) is up to 1/200 patients-years in adults with refractory epilepsy. Among the potential mechanisms underlying SUDEP, cardiac dysrhythmia and central apnoea have been proposed. Various ictal arrhythmias have been described. The most frequent is tachycardia, in turn, ictal bradycardia (IB) is generally believed to be rare. The reported prevalence varies according to bradycardia definition. However, in a recent prospective study of 19 individuals with intractable seizures, up to 21% exhibited severe bradycardia or asystole requiring permanent pacemaker (PM). The incidence of bradycardia among all recorded seizures was approximately 2%, suggesting that individuals exhibit severe IB in only a small percentage of their seizures. In the present study, we intended to estimate the prevalence of IB in our population of intractable epilepsy, and determine the localization of the epileptic focus in the individuals with IB.

**Method**

We reviewed 383 consecutive investigations in our epilepsy monitoring unit (CHUM, Montreal, Canada) from January 2001 to September 2005. All individuals had prolonged video-EEG monitoring.
monitoring and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Most of them had subtraction ictal SPECT co-registered to MRI (SISCOM). The epileptic focus was considered clearly established if: 1) MRI disclosed a significant lesion, congruent with ictal EEG and/or SISCOM; or 2) MRI showed no lesion, but the seizure onset was clearly established based on ictal EEG and/or SISCOM. We excluded individuals with: 1) no history of intractable epilepsy; 2) no clear epileptic focus; 3) less than three seizures with interpretable electrocardiogram recordings; or 4) use of arrhythmogenic drug. Data acquisition was obtained with a video-EEG monitoring system (Harmonie, Stellate Systems, Canada), which included 32 (scalp) or 64 (intracranial) EEG channels, and one-channel electrocardiogram. Cardiac rhythm recorded 20-30 sec before each seizure onset was compared with cardiac rhythm recorded 10 sec after the onset of seizure. The definition of ictal bradycardia varies in the literature. We chose to define absolute IB as a cardiac rhythm less than 60beats per minute (bpm) with a fall of more than 30 bpm, severe IB if heart rate (HR) fell below 42 bpm and relative IB as less striking decreases of HR (exceeding 10 bpm) and HR below 80 bpm. In patients with IB, seizure onset, propagation and temporal relation between seizure and bradycardia were determined.

RESULTS

We analysed a total of 1277 seizures in 69 individuals (34 women, 35 men; mean 36-years-old). Localisation of epileptic focus was frontal in 32% of all cases (n=22; 9 left, 13 right), temporal in 52% (n=36; 22 left, 14 right), insular in 4% (n=3; 1 left, 2 right), parieto-occipital in 10% (n=7; 3 left, 4 right) and hypothalamic in one case (1.4%). Seventeen individuals (25%) had intracranial electrodes. The mean number of seizure per individual was 18.5 (3-88 seizures/patient, median of 11). The majority of individuals (76%) exhibited ictal tachycardia (data not shown), whereas five individuals (7.2%) presented absolute IB and three of them (4% of all individuals) severe IB (Table). In those five individuals, IB occurred in 24 of their 137 total seizures (5.7%). Among these cases, three individuals had a left epileptic focus, and two a right one. Interestingly, in four of the five individuals with absolute IB, we observed a high proportion of seizures associated with relative IB, while a minority of seizures was associated with more severe bradycardia. Four additional individuals (Table) experienced relative IB, but without absolute IB events. Overall, in our cohort, the prevalence of absolute IB in all seizure was 1.9%, and relative IB 8% and in all individuals, relative and absolute IB survened in 13% of all individuals.

Individual 2 was diagnosed with ictal asystole associated with atonic seizures (see video in on-line Journal). Severe atonic seizures disappeared after the installation of a PM, although nocturnal tonic clonic and complex partial seizures remained. Subsequent intracranial EEG monitoring revealed a left orbitofrontal epileptic focus. Interestingly, in three spontaneous secondary generalized seizures, bradycardia triggered the pacemaker after diffusion of rhythmic activity from the left orbitofrontal region to the right homologous region. In turn, cortical stimulation of the right rectus gyrus induced two clinical seizures associated with bradycardia, with the pacemaker triggering immediately after diffusion of rhythmic activity from the left orbitofrontal region to the right homologous region (Figure). Resection of the left gyrus rectus was performed in this individual who now only presents rare seizures after a follow-up of more than five years.

DISCUSSION

Although the definition of IB varies in different studies, previous retrospective studies reported a low incidence (1.4% to 7%)\(^5\). In contrast, a recent prospective study, monitoring individuals with an implantable loop electrocardiogram recorder

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individuals</th>
<th>Epileptic focus</th>
<th>Relative bradycardia decrease &gt;10 bpm + HR&lt;= 80</th>
<th>Absolute bradycardia decrease &gt;30 + HR 42-60</th>
<th>Severe bradycardia decrease &gt;30 + HR &lt;=42bpm or PM(*)</th>
<th>Asystole (&gt;=3 sec)</th>
<th>Total of seizures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Frontal Right</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4*</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Frontal Left</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4*</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Frontal Left</td>
<td>18 (9 with HR =42)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Temporal Left</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Parieto-occ Right</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Parietal right</td>
<td>1 (min 54)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Temporal left</td>
<td>3 (min 60)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Occipital left</td>
<td>1 (min 60)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Parietal right</td>
<td>1 (min 72)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(*\)Triggered Pacemaker, set at 50bpm
for a long period of time, found a much higher incidence of potentially life-threatening IB, including ictal asystole in up to 15.7% of these individuals. This apparent discrepancy is most likely explained by the methods of detection. Based on these results, the authors stressed the importance of prolonged recordings. This observation sheds important light on the potential detection of life-threatening ictal events. However, the use of an implantable loop electrocardiogram recorder is an expensive and invasive procedure. We believe that the identification of additional criteria for high-risk individuals would be very helpful in order to select which individuals may benefit from prolonged electrocardiogram monitoring.

Consistent with previous retrospective studies, we have found a low incidence (1.9%) of absolute IB. However, as previously reported, we found that the temporal relation between HR change and epileptic activity was usually similar for a given individual. In particular, with respect to IB, we observed that in the majority of individuals with absolute IB (n=4), relative IB was also detected in more than 50% of their seizures. Based on this observation, we hypothesize that epileptic individuals presenting some minor IB could be more at risk for life-threatening IB. We have also detected relative IB in four additional individuals, who did not exhibit absolute IB. Should the individuals with either relative or absolute IB exhibit higher risk of severe IB, the proportion of individuals at risk in our cohort would reach 13%, a proportion similar that the one reported by Rugg-Gunn.

Ictal cardiac dysrhythmia is believed to implicate diffusion of epileptic discharges to brain structures involved in central autonomic system control. Based on brain stimulation and electroconvulsive therapy, the left hemisphere would modulate the parasympathetic tone, whereas the right hemisphere modulates the sympathetic tone. There was no clear association with lateralization or localization of the epileptic focus in our cohort. Although this observation is limited by the small number of individuals in our cohort exhibiting IB, this observation is consistent with previous small series.

Among individuals with ictal asystole reported in the literature, five (including the individual reported in our study) had bilateral intracranial recordings. The localization of the epileptic focus was respectively temporal (left in two, not specified in one), left orbito-frontal, and right fronto-neotemporal. Interestingly, in four of these cases, the onset of asystole was associated with diffusion of the epileptic discharges in the contralateral homologous regions. Asystole may thus result from a particular propagation pattern implicating subcortical autonomic structures. Alternatively, propagation to both orbito-frontal, temporal, or insular cortices may be responsible for asystole. The disappearance of severe atonic seizures in individual 2 after the installation of PM suggests that
Ictal atonia may be explained in some seizures by asystole rather than by the epileptic activity itself, as reported by others.

We have found that 4% of individuals from our cohort exhibit severe ictal bradycardia. Although these events represent a small fraction of all their seizures, these individuals exhibit also relative ictal bradycardia, which may represent a risk factor for the development of life-threatening ictal bradycardia. We suggest that these potentially high-risk individuals may benefit from prolonged implantable electrocardiogram monitoring.
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