Complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS) is characterized by pain in association with sensory and vasomotor changes in the affected area. The reported incidence of CRPS ranges from 5.5 to 26.2 per 100,000 person years.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^2\) The disorder commonly affects women (60-81% of cases) between the ages of 35-45 years.\(^3\)\(^-\)\(^4\)

There are two clinical sub-types of CRPS: CRPS I, formerly called reflex sympathetic dystrophy, does not have evidence of nerve lesions, whereas CRPS II, formerly called causalgia, has obvious nerve damage.\(^5\) The International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP)\(^5\) diagnostic criteria for CRPS I are: 1) the presence of an initiating noxious event or a cause of immobilization; 2) continuing pain, alldynia or hyperalgesia; and, 3) evidence at some time of edema, vasomotor changes or abnormal sudomotor activity in the area of pain; and, 4) the absence of another cause for the pain or dysfunction. The IASP\(^6\) criteria for CRPS II are: 1) continuing pain, allodynia or hyperalgesia after a nerve injury, not necessarily limited to the distribution of the injured nerve; 2) evidence at some time of edema, vasomotor changes or abnormal sudomotor activity in the area of pain; and, 3) absence of another cause for the pain or dysfunction.

ABSTRACT: Background: The etiology of complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS) is unknown. Different environmental and genetic factors have been postulated to contribute to CRPS. Methods: We reviewed the clinical data from a cohort of 69 patients with CRPS. Four families were identified with two or more members affected with CRPS yielding a total of nine patients. Six more patients reported the presence of pain symptoms in their family members, however; this could not be clinically confirmed. Results: The case histories of the nine individuals with ‘familial’ CRPS suggested a younger age at onset and more frequent history of migraine versus the non-familial patients. A pattern of inheritance could not be ascertained. Conclusion: This data supports the hypothesis that CRPS can be familial and hence may have a genetic basis in some families. Larger studies will be needed to ascertain clearer patterns of inheritance and to determine whether the clinical features of ‘familial’ CRPS are the same as the sporadic form.

RÉSUMÉ: Cas familiaux du syndrome douloureux régional complexe. Contexte : L’étiologie du syndrome douloureux régional complexe (SDRC) est inconnue. Certains facteurs environnementaux et génétiques pourraient être en cause. MÉthodes : Nous avons révisé les données cliniques d’une cohorte de 69 patients atteints du SDRC. Nous avons identifié quatre familles dont deux membres ou plus étaient atteints de SDRC, soit 9 patients en tout. Six autres patients ont rapporté la présence de douleurs chez des membres de leur famille, sans toutefois que nous puissions le confirmer en clinique. RÉsultats : L’anamnèse faite chez les 9 individus atteints du SDRC « familial » a mis en évidence un début de la maladie plus précoce et une histoire de migraine plus fréquente chez ces individus que chez les patients dont les apparentés ne sont pas atteints. Aucun mode d’hérédité n’a pu être identifié. Conclusion : Ces données sont en faveur de l’hypothèse selon laquelle le SDRC peut être familial et donc qu’il pourrait exister un fondement génétique dans certaines familles. Des études comportant un plus grand nombre de sujets devront être entreprises pour en établir le mode d’hérédité et déterminer si les manifestations cliniques du SDRC « familial » sont les mêmes que celles de la forme sporadique.

The etiology of CRPS remains unclear, but most cases occur after noxious injury (83-98%).\(^1\) The incidence of chronic headaches in CRPS patients is almost twice that of healthy controls (52.2% versus 26.1%).\(^6\) However, it is not clear whether a history of chronic headaches is a risk factor for CRPS or is coincidental. A history of smoking has also been proposed as a risk factor for CRPS, but the evidence is inconclusive.\(^7\)

It has also been suggested that genetic factors may contribute to CRPS. Human leukocyte antigen (HLA) typing has been associated with CRPS.\(^8\)-\(^10\) A two fold increase in the incidence of HLA-A3 and HLA-B7 has been noted in patients with CRPS compared to disease-free controls.\(^8\) An increased incidence of HLA-DQ1 has also been described in CRPS.\(^9\) In another study, HLA-DR13 had a much higher frequency than HLA-DQ1.\(^10\)

A positive family history has also been suggested as a risk factor for CRPS\(^11\)-\(^17\), but familial occurrence has not been extensively studied. In a Dutch study, 31 CRPS families with two or more affected family members were identified from a nationwide CRPS database.\(^17\) It was observed that ‘familial’ patients had a younger age of onset and greater severity of symptoms than ‘sporadic’ patients. To the best of our knowledge, this is the only larger study of the familial occurrence of CRPS. Clearly, more studies are needed to ascertain the pattern of inheritance and phenotypic expression in patients with familial CRPS. We examined our cohort of 69 patients to ascertain the familial occurrence of CRPS.

**Case Series**

We reviewed the clinical charts of 69 patients with CRPS seen at the Interventional Neurology Clinic of The Methodist Hospital, Houston between 2000 and 2007. The data review led to the identification of four families with two or more members affected with CRPS. These patients were contacted via telephone to gather more information about their immediate family members. We also inquired about the presence of CRPS symptoms or other chronic pain conditions in the family. This information was used to draw pedigree charts for the four families (Figure). The number of affected members per family, phenotypic expression and inheritance were assessed for all four families. In addition to these confirmed ‘familial’ cases, six additional patients in the cohort had indicated a family history of CRPS-like symptoms. However, none of the family members were personally examined by the authors and so they were not included here. A brief description of the confirmed familial cases follows.

**Family 1**

Three siblings; African-American; Non-consanguineous

**Case I:** A 32-year-old female developed swelling, hyperpathia and allodynia on her left hand two weeks after carpal tunnel surgery (Figure; Table 1). The symptoms were present beyond the distribution of the median nerve and hence the possibility of CRPS was considered. She was referred to a pain management physician who performed stellate ganglion blockade with transient relief of the pain. Three further stellate blocks were performed with short-term relief. She was then referred to our clinic for confirmation of diagnosis and treatment. On local examination, the patient was found to have shiny skin, coldness in the affected area, and allodynia in the whole left hand. The rest of her examination was unremarkable except for emotional irritability. Her past medical history was positive for migraine. The patient was prescribed topical ketamine (5%) admixed with lidocaine (20%) in a pluronic lecithin organogel based topical compound. She reported marked relief with this treatment over a follow-up interval of three years.

**Case II:** The brother of the first case is a 33-year-old gentleman who presented to our clinic with “excruciating” pain, tenderness and edema in both hands. The condition developed a
transferred to the proximal forearm, arm and left chest wall. The stellate blockade and opted for pain medications. He was positive bilaterally. As the patient’s physique was very muscular, examination, the patient had massive swelling of her left hand, which had started after she twisted it at work. Her past medical history was significant for migraine, asthma, endometriosis and depression with a suicide attempt at the age of 15. The patient’s physical examination revealed rubor, allodynia, hyperpathia, hyperhydrosis and superficial fissuring of the fingertips. Nerve conduction studies were normal. The patient met most of the criteria of CRPS in both hands but did not have any predisposing/initiating factors. She was treated with steroids, opiates and instructed mobilization. The patient refused further follow-up.

Case II: The 45-year-old mother of Case I presented with symptoms similar to her daughter. Her past medical history was positive for idiopathic small fiber neuropathy. With the exception of absent sural nerve conductions, all remaining nerve conductions were normal. On examination, she was found to have rubor over both palms and fingers, with fissuring of the fingertips, hyperpathia, allodynia and excessive sweating. The patient was treated with stellate block, which resulted in brief relief. She was then prescribed opiates and gabapentin, which provided a sustained reduction of pain. She has since had an uneventful follow-up over three years.

Other family members: No other family members had symptoms of CRPS. There was a positive family history of depression.

Family III

Two sisters; Caucasian; Non-consanguineous

Case I: This 40-year-old female initially presented to our clinic for treatment of chronic migraine and fibromyalgia, for which she was treated with triptophan and diazepam (Figure; Table 1). The patient later developed Raynaud’s phenomenon and tingling in the fifth digit of the left hand. An extensive work-up revealed thoracic outlet syndrome, which was treated with resection of the first rib. The patient then developed symptoms of CRPS, including hyperpathia, allodynia, edema and a color change in her left hand. Her hand also became cold and clammy. The patient received a series of three stellate blocks with complete recovery. A one year follow-up was uneventful.

Case II: This 43-year-old sister of Case I had a past medical history of renal transplantation secondary to membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis and scoliosis associated with chronic back pain. She was referred to our clinic for treatment of back pain, which was relieved completely with fentanyl patch and hydrocodeine. She then underwent a surgery in which an infected arterio-venous fistula was repaired in her left forearm. Subsequently, the patient presented with swelling, altered color and temperature, allodynia and hyperpathia in the left upper extremity. She received a single stellate block with permanent relief. Mild to moderate back pain persisted for which she continued to use analgesics over a one year follow-up.

Table 1: Overview of familial CRPS cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Age of onset</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Causative Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>LUE</td>
<td>Surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>BUE</td>
<td>Surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>LUE</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>BUE</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>BUE</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>LUE</td>
<td>Surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>LUE</td>
<td>Surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>RLE</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>RLE</td>
<td>Surgery</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Several weeks after bilateral carpal tunnel release surgery. An electromyography/nerve conduction velocity performed after the surgery had demonstrated a normalization of the nerve conduction velocities. On examination, the patient had allodynia and hyperpathia in the median nerve distribution of both hands. Pain improved with dorsiflexion of both hands. Tinel’s sign was positive bilaterally. As the patient’s physique was very muscular, the possibility of persistent carpal tunnel compression was raised. However, two hand surgeons suggested that this was not the case. A repeat electromyography/nerve conduction velocity study also showed normal bilateral median nerve conductions. A diagnosis of CRPS was then considered. The patient refused stellate blockade and opted for pain medications. He was prescribed a tramadol, ketoprofen and lidocaine compounded cream and a medrol dosepak. The patient returned to work after few weeks of treatment; however the symptoms continued to persist over a three year follow-up.

Other family members: None of the other family members had symptoms suggestive of CRPS. However, multiple family members suffered from migraine.

Family II

Mother and daughter; Caucasian; Non-consanguineous

Case I: This 20-year-old female presented with severe pain, redness and excessive sweating in both hands (Figure; Table 1). Her past medical history was significant for migraine, asthma, endometriosis and depression with a suicide attempt at the age of 15. The patient’s physical examination revealed rubor, allodynia, hyperpathia, hyperhydrosis and superficial fissuring of the fingertips. Nerve conduction studies were normal. The patient met most of the criteria of CRPS in both hands but did not have any predisposing/initiating factors. She was treated with steroids, opiates and instructed mobilization. The patient refused further follow-up.

Case II: This 45-year-old mother of Case I presented with symptoms similar to her daughter. Her past medical history was positive for idiopathic small fiber neuropathy. With the exception of absent sural nerve conductions, all remaining nerve conductions were normal. On examination, she was found to have rubor over both palms and fingers, with fissuring of the fingertips, hyperpathia, allodynia and excessive sweating. The patient was treated with stellate block, which resulted in brief relief. She was then prescribed opiates and gabapentin, which provided a sustained reduction of pain. She has since had an uneventful follow-up over three years.

Other family members: No other family members had symptoms of CRPS. There was a positive family history of depression.
**Table 2: Studies suggesting a familial occurrence for CRPS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Study type</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Key Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albert &amp; Ott</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>Algodystrophy described in three brothers with identical HLA-type. First familial presentation of the disease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erdmann &amp; Wynn-Jones</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>RSD described in two siblings. Both the individuals eventually had to undergo limb amputation for pain relief.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailis &amp; Wade</td>
<td>Pilot study</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Caucasian women with RSD carrying HLA-DR2 were found to be refractory to treatment. The study suggested a genetic predisposition to severe form of the disease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veldman et al.</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Study of a large cohort, in which five patients reported that one or more of their blood relatives suffered from symptoms of RSD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruscas et al.</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Recurrent RSD described in two Spanish siblings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huhne et al.</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Study on co-segregation of ACE gene genotype with CRPS phenotype. Study was performed on 12 CRPS patients belonging to 6 families.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Rooij et al.</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>94 individuals belonging to 31 CRPS families were described. Familial patients had a younger age at symptom onset and had involvement of multiple extremities. A specific pattern of inheritance was not observed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higashimoto et al.</td>
<td>Retrospective Review</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Eight individuals belonging to seven families identified. Pedigree analysis suggested mitochondrial inheritance.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RSD= Reflex sympathetic dystrophy; ACE= Angiotensin converting enzyme
likely due to a recruitment bias, rather than the result of true ‘anticipation’ similar to triple repeat expansion disorders e.g., Huntington’s disease.\textsuperscript{18}

Another possible inheritance pattern is X-linked dominant inheritance. This is supported by the absence of male-male transmission.

Higashimoto et al (earlier 2008) described mitochondrial inheritance in six CRPS families. The studied probands met the Nijmegen criteria of mitochondrial disease and had other manifestations of dysautonomia as well.\textsuperscript{17} Although the pattern of female transmission observed in our families seems consistent with this mode of inheritance, we do not have direct evidence suggestive of mitochondrial inheritance or disease in our small population.

An autosomal recessive inheritance also needs to be considered in pedigrees 1 and 3. However, parents of affected individuals did not share the same ethnic background and the families denied parental consanguinity.

A final possibility is that the clinical characteristics of CRPS are attributable to interactions between two or more genes (oligogenic or complex inheritance). In this scenario, the patterns of Mendelian inheritance will not be followed and there will be a high intra-familial and inter-familial variability in the phenotype characteristics of the patients. A recent study by Rooij et al (2009) estimates a significantly increased occurrence risk in the siblings of CRPS patients under the age of 50.\textsuperscript{10} The findings are somewhat similar to those of a study on cleft palate, an established multifactorial inheritance disorder. A study performed of 6,776 individuals with cleft palate and their 54,000 family members revealed an association between the severity of the anatomical defect and the recurrence risk in the relatives. The recurrence risk was higher in the siblings of a proband with bilateral defect as compared to unilateral defect.\textsuperscript{20} Observation of distinct familial cases in complex diseases is common. It could be explained by chance where the family members already have most of the genetic variants required for the disease presentation and the affected status is determined by a final mutation at a single locus (hence the Mendelian pattern). Alternatively, it could be due to genetic heterogeneity where Mendelian variants of complex diseases exist e.g. maturity onset diabetes of the young.

We examined other studies that also reported on the familial occurrence of CRPS\textsuperscript{11-17}, but they also have been unable to ascertain a distinct inheritance pattern. The affected families in each study are small with just a few affected members. Thus, even combining data across studies does not yet yield a conclusive inheritance pattern.

We reviewed the other studies examining familial cases of CRPS to ascertain whether the clinical features of our patients were comparable to those reported by de Rooij et al (2009). Their familial patients had an average age of onset of 33.9 years.\textsuperscript{15} The average age of onset in our case series is 33.7 years. In both studies, about 80% of the affected patients were female. The incidence of familial CRPS in the de Rooij cohort was 16%\textsuperscript{16} while it was 6% in our smaller cohort. They reported a more frequent involvement of multiple extremities in familial patients as compared to sporadic patients.\textsuperscript{16} Although we did not have a control-group in our study for comparison, the occurrence of bilateral involvement (40%) seems higher than the non-familial CRPS populations. Both studies employed small clinical samples, and larger population-based studies are needed to determine the true familial incidence of familial CRPS. Nonetheless, our series lends further support to the observation that CRPS may have a familial occurrence.

A small sample size for our familial group precluded a statistical comparison between the familial and sporadic cases of CRPS. Nonetheless, it seems that patients with familial CRPS may have an early onset of symptoms. Another interesting observation in our series was a frequent history of migraine among the CRPS patients and their family members. Peterlin et al (2009) showed that patients with CRPS were 3.6 times more likely to have migraine and twice as likely to have chronic daily headaches versus the general population.\textsuperscript{21} The presence of migraine in unaffected family members of CRPS also raises the possibility that two pain conditions may be etiologically linked. It will be important to pursue these observations as they may help explicate the etiology of CRPS and migraine.

**Conclusions**

Identification of a familial variant of CRPS may help our understanding of the etiologic underpinnings of this disorder. Additionally, the presence of a familial variant suggests that family members of CRPS patients may be tried on preventative treatment such as vitamin C and early mobilization after noxious injury or surgery to prevent the occurrence of the disease.\textsuperscript{22-24} Further studies are needed to determine if DNA profiling or other genetic tools will help us determine the vulnerability to develop CRPS. The identification of familial CRPS may also yield genetic markers that will be helpful for diagnosis and treatment of CRPS.
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