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ABSTRACT: Background: Pituitary dysfunction caused by intracranial aneurysms is rare. We report a patient with the unique feature of hyperprolactinemia to a degree previously seen only with prolactin-secreting tumours. Method: Case report. Result: A 42-year-old woman had a galactorrhea, left-sided headache, reduced vision in the left eye and a left temporal hemianopsia. Serum prolactin was elevated (365 μg/L). Cranial computed tomography (CT) revealed a suprasellar mass, which carotid angiography showed to be a left internal carotid artery aneurysm. At craniotomy, this aneurysm and a smaller one of the ophthalmic artery were repaired, and the patient’s vision returned to normal. The prolactin level fell to normal. Follow-up CT showed no evidence of pituitary adenoma or hypothalamic lesion. Conclusions: Carotid aneurysm can cause reversible pituitary dysfunction. A prolactin level >300 μg/L is not a reliable cut-off for distinguishing prolactin-secreting adenomas from other causes of elevated prolactin. A co-existing prolactinoma was felt to be ruled out by both a normal CT scan and normal prolactin levels following aneurysm repair. Patients with marked hyperprolactinemia should be considered for angiography or MRI to rule out carotid aneurysm, since the consequences of pituitary exploration in this setting are potentially grave.

RÉSUMÉ: Hyperprolactinémie importante due à un anévrisme de la carotide. Introduction: Une dysfonction pituitaire causée par un anévrisme intracrânien est rare. Nous rapportons un cas inusité d’hyperprolactinémie à un niveau décrit antérieurement seulement dans les cas de tumeurs sécrétant de la prolactine. Méthode: Report d’un cas. Résultats: Une femme âgée de 42 ans s’est présentée avec une histoire de galactorrhée, d’hémicranie gauche et de baisse de la vision de l’œil gauche, et une hémianopsie temporaire gauche. La prolactine sèrique était élevée (365 μg/L). La tomodensitométrie cérébrale (CT) a montré une masse suprasellaire et l’angiographie carotidienne a révélé qu’il s’agissait d’un anévrisme prenant naissance sur la carotide interne gauche. À la craniotomie, cet anévrisme et un autre plus petit sur l’artère ophtalmique ont été resserrés et la patiente a recouvrée une vision normale par la suite. Le niveau de prolactine s’est abaissé à normale. Le suivi par CT n’a montré aucun signe d’adénome pituitaire ou de lésion hypothalamique. Conclusions: L’anévrisme de la carotide peut causer une dysfonction pituitaire reversible et qu’un niveau de prolactine >300 μg/L n’est pas un critère fiable pour distinguer l’adénome sécrétant de la prolactine des autres causes d’hyperprolactinémie. Nous avons déterminé que la possibilité d’un prolactinome coexistant était éliminée par le CT scan normal au cours du suivi et par le fait que le niveau de prolactine s’est normalisé suite à la chirurgie. Les cas d’hyperprolactinémie marquée on devraient donc penser à faire une angiographie ou une RMN pour éliminer un anévrisme carotidien parce que les conséquences d’une exploration pituitaire dans une telle situation peuvent être graves.


Carotid aneurysm is a rare cause of pituitary dysfunction.1-3 Because the clinical presentation may differ little from that of a pituitary tumour, it is crucial to diagnose the aneurysm prior to surgical exploration of the sella.

We report the case of a patient with pituitary dysfunction caused by a giant carotid aneurysm, in whom a unique feature was hyperprolactinemia to a degree previously accepted to be pathognomonic of a prolactin-secreting tumour.4

CASE REPORT

A 42-year-old woman was admitted to our institution because of galactorrhea of four months duration. Past medical history included five normal pregnancies and a hysterectomy for uterine fibroids. Two years prior to admission, she noted intermittent left hemicranial headaches which radiated to her left eye. One year later, she developed blurred vision in the left eye. Six months before admission, she noted decreased libido and a 25 pound weight loss followed by spontaneous bilateral galactorrhea. She took no medications, and denied polyuria, polydipsia, cold intolerance or changes in her appearance.
The general physical exam was unremarkable, except for bilateral expressible galactorrhea. Neurological exam was notable for diminished visual acuity (20/200) and a temporal upper quadrant hemianopsia in the left eye. Complete blood count and serum biochemistry were normal. Normal values were obtained for serum thyroxine, free thyroxine index, triiodothyronine, thyroid stimulating hormone, luteinizing hormone, follicle stimulating hormone and growth hormone. The 8 a.m. cortisol was low (160 nmol/L; normal 248-690); the 4 p.m. cortisol was normal (165 nmol/L; normal 83-414). Serum prolactin was markedly elevated (365 μg/L; normal < 25).

Dynamic pituitary function testing was performed via injection of thyrotropin releasing hormone (TRH) 200 μg i.v., CZI insulin 0.1 μg/kg i.v., and luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone 100 μg s.c. There was a blunted TSH response to TRH and LH response to LHRH. Prolactin, which was 363 μg/L at baseline, rose to a peak of 499 at 30 min after TRH. Hypoglycemia was not achieved, making the interpretation of the blunted cortisol and growth hormone response impossible.

Skull X-ray revealed enlargement of the sella turcica and chiasmatic groove (Figure 1). Non-contrast CT scanning of the cranium demonstrated a suprasellar mass eroding the posterior clinoid (Figure 2). The history of severe unilateral headaches beginning eighteen months prior to the onset of galactorrhea and the documentation of asymmetrical visual changes led to suspicion of a possible cause other than prolactinoma. Carotid angiography was performed and showed a 2 X 2 cm partially thrombosed aneurysm arising from the left internal carotid artery, above the anterior clinoid process (Figure 3). An MRI scan was not performed because of the perceived urgency of performing surgery before aneurysmal rupture.

At craniotomy a large aneurysm arising from the left paraclinoid internal carotid artery above the ophthalmic artery was found. The aneurysm ballooned upward and posteriorly, compressing the optic nerve, optic chiasm, and hypothalamus. There was also a smaller aneurysm at the origin of the ophthalmic artery which had not been appreciated on angiography. Both aneurysms were clipped without incident.

Post-operatively, the patient noted improved vision in the left eye (20/40). The post-operative angiogram showed two surgical clips at the level of the previous aneurysms and no evidence of residual aneurysm (Figure 4). Three months post-operatively the galactorrhea resolved, but the prolactin level remained elevated (77 μg/L). Eighteen months after the surgery, the prolactin level was still elevated (58 μg/L). The patient did not return for follow-up until four years after surgery, at which time the prolactin level was normal (19 μg/L), as were the TSH and prolactin responses to TRH infusion. A high resolution CT scan of the brain with coronal cuts of the sella done at this time showed no evidence of adenoma of the pituitary or lesions of the hypothalamus (Figure 5). An MRI scan was not performed because of the presence of surgical clips.
The highest prolactin previously reported in association with carotid aneurysm was in a 74-year-old woman with an intrasellar carotid aneurysm, hypopituitarism, and a serum prolactin of 182 μg/L. Tumours, as were 70% of cases with serum prolactin levels greater than 200 μg/L. In a series of 205 patients with various intracranial diseases, all cases with prolactin levels greater than 90 μg/L were associated with pituitary dysfunction. A study of 204 patients with space-occupying sellar lesions and isolated hyperprolactinemia showed that in all cases of non-prolactinoma patients with pituitary tumour. A study of 235 patients with galactorrhea of various causes showed that likelihood of pituitary tumour directly correlated with the prolactin level, such that all cases with prolactin concentrations above 300 μg/L were associated with pituitary tumours, as were 70% of cases with serum prolactin levels greater than 200 μg/L. In a series of 205 patients with various intracranial diseases, all cases with prolactin levels greater than 90 μg/L were associated with pituitary tumour. A study of 204 patients with space-occupying sellar lesions and isolated hyperprolactinemia showed that in all cases of non-prolactinoma sellar lesions, the prolactin level was less than 130 μg/L. The highest prolactin previously reported in association with carotid aneurysm was in a 74-year-old woman with an intrasellar carotid aneurysm, hypopituitarism, and a serum prolactin of 182 μg/L. Surgery was not performed, hence a post-operative prolactin level was unavailable. However, in a 59-year-old woman with panhypopituitarism and hyperprolactinemia caused by a giant intracranial aneurysm, the prolactin level returned to normal by the thirteenth post-operative day. This is in contrast with our case, where the prolactin level remained elevated until sometime between eighteen months and four years post-operatively. The mechanism by which carotid aneurysm produces hyperprolactinemia is likely via hypothalamic or pituitary stalk compression, resulting in interference with the delivery of prolactin-inhibiting factor to the pituitary. Two studies of patients with non-prolactin secreting sellar tumours with secondary hyperprolactinemia have, however, demonstrated a lack of correlation between suprasellar extension with compression of the pituitary stalk and degree of prolactin elevation. In our patient the extremely high level of prolactin suggests an additional stimulating effect by a putative hypothalamic prolactin-stimulating factor. It is not known whether the pulsatility of an aneurysm can stimulate the secretion of such a factor or stimulate the anterior pituitary itself. Studies in experimental animals have shown that irritative lesions of the anterior hypophysis could potentially cause release of prolactin-stimulating factor. The reason that the prolactin level in our patient normalized only years post-operatively is unclear, but implies reversible stimulation of prolactin-secreting cells.

In summary, our patient illustrates that carotid aneurysm is a cause of reversible pituitary dysfunction, and that a prolactin level greater than 300 μg/L should not be considered to be a safe cut-off in distinguishing pituitary tumour from other causes of elevated prolactin. Thus, even patients with this degree of hyperprolactinemia should be subjected to cerebral angiography or magnetic resonance imaging if there is any clinical suspicion of carotid aneurysm, since CT scanning is not reliable for this purpose and the surgical consequences of pituitary exploration in this setting are potentially grave.
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