ABSTRACT: Low-intensity repetitive electrical stimulation such as dorsal column and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) reportedly decreases spasticity and improves voluntary motor control. However, the mechanisms mediating these effects are unclear. Recent findings suggest that spasticity may be characterized more appropriately by a decrease in the stretch reflex threshold than by an increase in gain. Our objectives were: (1) to examine possible changes in stretch reflex excitability following 45 min of TENS, (2) to map out the time course of possible post-stimulation effects via both latency and magnitude (amplitude or area) measurements, and (3) to determine the role of segmental versus non-segmental mechanisms involved in mediating these changes. The effects of 45 min of segmentally and heterosegmentally applied TENS on lower limb reflexes in ten spastic hemiparetic subjects were contrasted with those resulting from placebo stimulation. We found that both segmentally and heterosegmentally applied TENS caused an immediate increase in soleus H reflex latencies that was evident for up to 60 minutes post-stimulation in over 75% of the subjects. Similar increases for up to 60 and 40 minutes post-stimulation was noted for the stretch reflex latencies in 50% and 67% of the subjects respectively for segmental and heterosegmental stimulation. These results suggested that manipulation of segmental and heterosegmental afferents for 45 min may lead to a decrease of the otherwise augmented stretch reflex excitability accompanying hemiparetic spasticity.

RÉSUMÉ: Latence prolongée du reflexe myotatique chez les sujets hémiparétiques spastiques après stimulation nerveuse électrique transcutanée. Selon la littérature, une stimulation électrique répétitive de faible intensité, telle la stimulation nerveuse électrique de la colonne vertébrale et la stimulation transcutanée, diminue la spasticité et améliore le contrôle moteur volontaire. Cependant, les mécanismes sous-jacents sont peu connus. Des données récentes suggèrent que la spasticité serait mieux définie par un abaissement du seuil du réflexe myotatique que par une augmentation du gain. Les objectifs de notre étude étaient les suivants: 1) d’examiner s’il existe des changements dans l’excitabilité du réflexe myotatique après 45 minutes de TENS; 2) de documenter l’évolution dans le temps d’effets post-stimulation possibles par des mesures de latence et de grandeur (amplitude ou surface); 3) de préciser le rôle des mécanismes segmentaires versus non-segmentaires impliqués dans la médiation de ces changements. Les effets d’une application de TENS de 45 minutes de façon segmentaire et hétéro segmentaire sur les réflexes des membres inférieurs chez 10 sujets hémiparétiques ont été comparés à ceux résultant d’une stimulation placebo. Nous avons constaté que l’application segmentaire et hétéro segmentaire de TENS provoque une augmentation immédiate des latences du réflexe H solaire qui était évidente jusqu’à 60 minutes post-stimulation chez plus de 75% des sujets. Des augmentations de latence du réflexe myotatique d’une durée de 60 et 40 minutes post-stimulation ont été notées chez 50 et 67% des sujets respectivement pour la stimulation hétéro segmentaire. Ces résultats suggèrent que la manipulation des afférents segmentaires et hétéro segmentaires pendant 45 minutes peut induire une diminution de l’excitabilité du réflexe myotatique qui est augmentée dans la spasticité hémiparétique.

According to the traditional view, spasticity is due to hyperactivity of the stretch reflex arc manifested by a velocity-dependent increase in tonic and phasic stretch reflexes, sometimes accompanied by clonus.1 Hyperactivity in this arc could result from either abnormal segmental afferent input to proprioceptive reflex circuits in the spinal cord, or from abnormal processing of otherwise normal input from the periphery, or both. However, findings from a primate model of hemiplegia2 and from patients3-4 both suggest that afferent input to the spinal cord is essentially unaltered in spasticity. On the other hand, an increase in the average resting membrane potential of α motoneurons, caused by a net increase in descending excitation, could result in
an earlier activation of motoneurons. This could lead to a decrease in stretch reflex threshold and the enhanced reflex activity reported in spasticity.

Another principal sign of spasticity is irradiation of reflexes. Consequently, remote or heterosegmental afferents may play an important role as evidenced by the reductions in spasticity following techniques such as peripheral nerve blocks and repetitive electrical stimulation of agonist or antagonist muscles. Similarly, large diameter afferent conditioning via dorsal column stimulation (DCS) has been found to decrease spasticity, although the underlying mechanisms have not been elucidated.

While DCS requires surgical exposure of the spinal cord, an alternative technique, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS), is non-invasive. Low intensity, high frequency TENS is thought to predominantly activate large diameter afferents in the AaB range. It is noteworthy that in a clinical study of 49 multiple sclerosis patients, two weeks of continuous TENS or DCS applied heterosegmentally was found to produce a significant improvement in bladder function. This was associated with an improvement in the motor performance of knee flexors and extensors in the patients tested (n = 18 or 27).

More recently, we showed that 15 daily 60 min TENS treatments applied segmentally over a three-week period decreased clinical spasticity, improved reflex functions of spastic plantarflexors and improved voluntary control of paretic dorsiflexors in hemiparetic subjects. In other words, repetitive afferent conditioning via either DCS or TENS has been shown to decrease spasticity and improve reflex and voluntary motor functions.

While the underlying mechanisms remain unclear, an interesting observation is that very brief (several seconds of) DCS could have prolonged effects (up to minutes), which cannot be explained solely by simple synaptic mechanisms. For example, in decerebrate cats, Chapman et al. found that the suppression of static but not dynamic stretch reflexes outlasted the period of DCS (1-10 min) for 5 to 20 min. In three spastic patients, Siegfried et al. reported an elevation of H reflex thresholds for up to 3 min following only one 300 ms conditioning train applied to the dorsal column. Indeed, longer applications of TENS or DCS applied heterosegmentally was found to produce a significant improvement in bladder function. This was associated with an improvement in the motor performance of knee flexors and extensors in the patients tested (n = 18 or 27).

In an earlier study, we investigated the immediate effects of 30 min of heterosegmentally applied TENS on the reflex measures of the spastic soleus muscle in hemiparetic patients. TENS applied to the low back of these patients produced no significant change in the amplitude of H and stretch reflexes. However, the extent to which reflex amplitudes or gains reflect the severity of spasticity has lately been questioned. Investigating stretch reflex activity in the spastic elbow flexors of hemiparetic subjects during background contractions, Lee et al. and Powers et al. suggested that spasticity may be characterized more appropriately by a decrease in the stretch reflex threshold than by an increase in gain. In these studies, a reduced threshold was implied by the appearance of EMG activity after a smaller elbow joint deflection, while stretch reflex gain or stiffness in the majority of these patients was not found to be significantly different from the non-affected limb or from normal subjects, at least in voluntarily activated spastic muscle.

Since threshold changes may be reflected in appropriate latency changes, we re-examined the immediate effects of 45 min of TENS (versus 30 min in the previous study) on the latency and magnitude characteristics of both the predominantly monosynaptic and polysynaptic stretch reflexes in the spastic calf muscles of hemiparetic patients. Furthermore, the time course of possible post-stimulation effects was determined by repeating the measurements of stretch reflex excitability at 20 min intervals for up to 60 min after the cessation of TENS. Finally, to delineate the respective contribution of segmental versus non-segmental (e.g., propriospinal and descending) mechanisms, the effects of segmentally applied TENS on stretch reflex excitability were contrasted with heterosegmental and placebo stimulation. Some of these data have previously appeared in abstract form.

**METHODS AND MATERIALS**

**Subjects**

Ten patients with unilateral spastic hemiparesis (mean = 56.2 ± S.D. 13.5 years), of either cerebrovascular (9 subjects) or traumatic (1 subject) origin, participated voluntarily in the study. All the subjects had spasticity in the lower extremity, a minimum of 10° of passive ankle dorsiflexion, no history of a previous stroke or other neurological disorder, no pain in the lower extremity, no major sensory impairment, and were ambulatory. Eight of the subjects were taking anti-hypertensive medication. Only one subject (#10 in Table I) was on a regular schedule of the anti-spastic drug, dantrolene sodium, during the study. His testing sessions were scheduled at the same time each day to avoid any fluctuations in results due to the presence or absence of the medication. Subjects were advised as to the nature of their participation and gave their informed consent. Table 1 summarizes the demographic data for these hemiparetic subjects.

The assessment of spasticity was done by the same investigator with subjects comfortably seated. It was evaluated on the affected side but not the contralateral side which displayed essentially normal tone. There were altogether three clinical measurements: 1) *Achilles tendon jerks* were scored using the usual 5-point scale, were "0" denoted "no response" and "4" indicated "maximally hyperactive response". 2) *Resistance to full-range passive ankle dorsiflexion* at a moderate speed was evaluated with a modified 5-point Ashworth Scale. Since this measurement most closely represents "tone", it was doubly weighted so that a score of "8" corresponded to "maximally increased resistance". 3) *Clonus* was assessed with a 4-point scale, where "1" indicated "clonus not elicited" and "4" represented "sustained clonus". This evaluation was carried out to provide a composite (albeit subjective) index of spasticity. Based on our clinical experience, the computed "spasticity scores" ranging from 0 to 9, 10 to 12 and 13 to 16 corresponded to "mild", "moderate", and "severe" spasticity respectively (Table 1).

**Experimental and Stimulation Protocol**

Subjects participated in three testing sessions on three different days, spaced at least one week apart. In each session, they...
received either 45 min of 1) segmentally or 2) heterosegmentally applied TENS, or 3) placebo stimulation, as described below. The order of the testing sessions was randomized.

TENS and placebo stimulation were applied via a Selectra 7720 stimulator (Medtronic) for a period of 45 min through two rectangular rubber surface electrodes (3.8 cm x 5.1 cm) attached to the skin. Stimulation consisted of continuous high-frequency (99 Hz), square 0.125 ms electrical impulses delivered at low intensity (twice the sensory threshold) for TENS, and at essentially zero intensity (0.1 x threshold) for placebo stimulation. At the beginning of each testing session, sensory threshold was determined by gradually increasing the intensity of the stimulation to a level when the subject first reported a faint tingling sensation. The intensity was then decreased until no sensation was felt. The average of three such trials was used to determine the threshold intensity for each session. The sub-threshold intensity TENS was considered "placebo" stimulation, since subjects were instructed that they may or may not feel any sensation associated with the stimulation.

TENS was applied to two sites: 1) the common peroneal nerve (L4-S2) located just posterior to the head of the fibula, which supplies the muscles antagonistic to the spastic calf muscles; or 2) the volar aspect of the contralateral wrist over the median nerve (C6-T1). The two sites were chosen in order to determine the differential effects of segmental versus heterosegmental mechanisms in the possible modification of stretch reflex excitability in spastic hemiparesis. Placebo stimulation was applied only to the first site.

Pre- and post-stimulation test batteries consisted of the clinical evaluation as described above and three physiological reflex measures. The latter involved recording the: 1) maximal amplitude of the H reflex as a percentage of the maximal M response, termed here the H/M ratio,31,32 2) the amount of inhibition of the

Table 1. Clinical Features of and Reflex Measurements in Spastic Hemiparetic Subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Etiology</th>
<th>Time Since Injury (mo)</th>
<th>Spasticity Score</th>
<th>H Reflex Latency (ms)</th>
<th>H/M (%)</th>
<th>Hvib/Hctl (%)</th>
<th>SR Onset Latency (ms)</th>
<th>SR/M Area (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. F</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>L.CVA</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>62.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. F</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>R.CVA</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. M</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>L.CVA</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>83.8</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>46.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. M</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>L.CVA</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>27.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. M</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>R.CVA</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>48.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. M</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>R.CVA</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>81.9</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>41.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. M</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>L.CVA</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>46.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. F</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>R.CVA</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>63.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. M</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>L.CVA</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>64.4</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>44.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. M</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>R. Trau</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>88.2</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>59.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Mean    | 56.2| 26.3     | 10.4                   | 28.6            | 66.8                | 47.9    | 41.4         | 47.1                 |
| S.D.    | 13.5| 16.5     | 3.4                    | 1.6             | 29.5                | 22.7    | 13.7         | 13.1                 |

* The spasticity score is based on three clinical measures of spasticity:

  1) Achilles tendon reflex
  2) resistance to passive stretch
  3) clonus

Scores ranging from 0 - 9, 10 - 12 and 13 - 16 respectively were classified as mild, moderate and severe spasticity.

F/M = female/male
L./R. CVA = left/right cerebrovascular accident
Trau = Trauma

H reflex during vibration, expressed as a percentage of the control H reflex amplitude (Hvib/Hctl, see33 for review); and 3) the excitability of the soleus stretch reflex (SR) in terms of latency, duration and magnitude (SR/M area). To further assess the time course of the post-stimulation effects, measurements were repeated at three 20 min intervals after the cessation of TENS or placebo stimulation.

Reflex Testing Procedures

For reflex testing, subjects reclined in a semi-supine position with the knee fixated at 30° flexion and the ankle supported in the neutral position. This was done to ensure a constant initial length of the ankle muscles across all subjects, which had been shown to affect H reflex amplitude.34 The axis of rotation of the footplate, according to drawn anatomical landmarks,35 was aligned with that of the ankle joint. Ankle joint angles were monitored and recorded with a custom made electrogoniometer (Beckman 5311 potentiometer, RSK L.5) mounted on the same axis.

H reflex H reflexes were elicited by single 1.0 ms pulses, delivered at 0.1 Hz via a cathode (a 2 cm Medi-Trace FC-26 surface electrode) placed on the skin overlying the posterior tibial nerve in the popliteal fossa. The anode (a 20 cm2 tin plate) was positioned superior to the patella for selective stimulation of the nerve trunk.36 For each stimulus intensity investigated, 10 consecutive responses were collected and averaged on-line by a laboratory developed program written for the PDP11-23 plus computer. The intensity of the stimulation was gradually increased to record maximal H reflexes, termed here "H", followed by maximal M responses ("M" or Mmax). The intensity was then decreased to register control H reflexes (Hctl), which were set at approximately 30% of the Mmax across all subjects. This procedure ensured that similar motoneuronal pools were being activated by the
stimulation, and that both increases and decreases in the excitability of the H reflex could be observed under standardized conditions.37

**Vibration** We tested the effects of vibrating the Achilles tendon at 100 Hz, with a 2 mm peak-to-peak amplitude, on the H reflex amplitude. According to Desmedt and Godaux,31 these vibration parameters produce maximal inhibitory effects on the soleus H reflex. A vibrator (Ling Dynamics 101) was applied at a right angle to the skin overlying the inferior third of the Achilles tendon. Following a one-minute accommodation period, 10 H reflexes (Hvib) were elicited and collected on-line, while the vibration was continued. After the cessation of vibration, H reflexes were monitored until they returned to at least 90% of the control value, before continuing with the experiment.

**Stretch Reflexes** To assess stretch reflex activity evoked in the soleus, the ankle was rapidly dorsiflexed by a mechanical stretching device, at a velocity of some 360°/sec, through a ramp of 30°. Since the displacement parameters are likely critical in determining the latency of the stretch reflex, the reproducibility of the initial velocity (i.e., the slope of the displacement trace during the first 30 ms of stretch), maximal velocity and maximal displacement were assessed across subjects and no statistical differences were found (p > 0.10, p > 0.10, p > 0.05). Subjects were instructed not to voluntarily intervene during the ankle perturbation. The mechanical stretching device consisted of a footplate attached to an overhead bar by 50 lb springs, and a mechanical stop which arrested ankle dorsiflexing movement at a right angle to the skin overlying the inferior third of the tibia. A common reference electrode was positioned below the intersection of the medial and lateral heads of gastrocnemius and the Achilles tendon.30 For tibialis anterior EMG, the electrodes were placed longitudinally along the muscle bulk, 3 cm apart, 4 cm below the intersection of the medial and lateral heads of gastrocnemius and the Achilles tendon.30 For tibialis anterior EMG, the electrodes were oriented longitudinally along the muscle bulk, 3 cm apart, 7 cm below and 5 cm lateral to the antero-superior border of the tibia. A common reference electrode was positioned on the head of the fibula. Soleus and tibialis anterior EMG signals were amplified with a gain of 1,000 for H reflex and of 5,000 for stretch reflex trials, and filtered (10 to 500 Hz) by Disa 15C01 amplifiers. They were then monitored on a storage oscilloscope (Textronix R5115) before being digitized at 2,000 and 1,250 Hz respectively for H and stretch reflexes, and stored in a PDP11-23 microprocessor. Soleus EMG activity was recorded for 200 ms following the H reflex stimulation. EMG activity from the soleus and tibialis anterior muscles, as well as the ankle displacement, were recorded for up to 900 ms following the stretch perturbation.

**Data Analysis**

**H reflexes and M responses** Individual and mean peak-to-peak H reflex and M response amplitude values were calculated on-line. Maximal M response areas were computed off-line by rectifying and integrating the M wave within a window determined from the response onset to its offset (the point at which the trace exceeded, or returned to, 3 S.D. of the baseline). Since EMG values can vary according to skin preparation and electrode placement in the same subject on different days, a means of normalizing EMG amplitude and area values was sought. The maximal M response is presumed to represent the total motoneuronal pool activated by a maximal stimulus.32,38 EMG amplitude and/or area values were therefore expressed as ratios of the appropriate parameter of the maximal M response (i.e., H/M amplitude; SR/M area). The amplitude of the H reflex during vibration was calculated as a percentage of the control H reflex amplitude (Hvib/Hctl). These normalization procedures were done so that mean values could be compared across subjects (see Statistical Analysis).

**Stretch Reflexes** Individual stretch reflex trials were analyzed off-line for latency, response duration, and area. Trials were screened qualitatively prior to inclusion in the analysis, to eliminate those in which the subject intervened voluntarily or was unable to relax completely. EMG signals were rectified and background baseline activity removed. EMG latency was determined from the onset of displacement (i.e., when the displacement surpassed 2° or approximately 7% of total displacement), to the time when the EMG signal exceeded 3 S.D. of the baseline value. The duration of the stretch reflex was calculated from the EMG onset to the time when the EMG returned to 3 S.D. from the baseline. Finally, soleus and tibialis anterior EMG areas (when the latter were present) were calculated by a computer algorithm that determined the maximal integral of the EMG over the 150 ms following its onset. These were expressed as percentages of the maximal M response areas evoked in the same muscles.

**Statistical Analysis**

For some analyses, H reflex latencies, H/M and Hvib/Hctl ratios, as well as parameters of the stretch reflex were expressed as percentages of their corresponding pre-stimulation control values for each subject. After determining homogeneity of variances in the three groups, changes of these measurements in each 20 min post-stimulation time interval were compared between TENS and placebo treatments. Raw H and stretch reflex latency values in each post-stimulation time period were also compared to pre-test control values. Occasionally, data in the three post-stimulation time intervals were pooled. Repeated measures or completely randomized ANOVAs compared the effects of the segmental and heterosegmental TENS stimulation with placebo treatments on each reflex measure. A significance level of 0.05 was used for all two-tailed tests.

**RESULTS**

**Characteristics of Reflex Responses**

Consistent with previous reports (e.g., Ashby and Verrier7), H/M ratios were greater in our spastic hemiparetic subjects (n = 10; 66.8 ± S.D. 29.5%) than normal age-matched control subjects (n = 7; 42.2 ± 17% p < 0.05).39 Examples of H/M and...
Hvib/Hctl ratios for two subjects are illustrated in Figure 1. The subject shown in Figure 1 (A - C) was a 48-year-old female with left hemiparesis and mild spasticity. She had an H/M ratio of 65.9% and an Hvib/Hctl ratio of 40.0%. In contrast, the subject in Figure 1 (D - F) was a 57-year-old male with right hemiparesis and severe spasticity, who had an H/M ratio of 90.0% and an Hvib/Hctl ratio of 64.4%. H/M and Hvib/Hctl ratios for each subject relative to his spasticity score prior to TENS are shown in Table 1. Correlations between H and stretch reflex measurements and spasticity scores revealed only poor relationships. For example, weak correlations were found between the total spasticity score and H/M ratios \( (r = 0.27) \), Hvib/Hctl ratios \( (r = 0.43) \), H reflex latencies \( (r = 0.32) \), SR/M ratios \( (r = 0.55) \) and SR latencies \( (r = -0.41) \). As expected, there was a significant correlation between \( H \) and stretch reflex magnitudes \( (r = 0.76, p < 0.05) \), but \( H \) and stretch reflex latencies were not related \( (r = 0.13) \).

**Effects of TENS on H Reflexes**

Mean control H reflex latencies, recorded before the three stimulation procedures, did not differ from each other. These values, obtained prior to TENS stimulation to the leg, to the wrist, or to placebo stimulation, were 28.6 ± 1.6 ms, 28.8 ± 2.1 ms and 29.7 ± 2.3 ms respectively. Their reproducibility over different testing sessions was thus evident. In contrast to placebo stimulation which had no effect on the H reflex latencies (mean post-placebo latency was 29.5 ± 2.2 ms), TENS stimulation to the leg prolonged the latency by a mean of 1.7 ms \( (P1 = 30.7 ± 1.7 \text{ ms} ; P2 = 30.8 ± 2.4 \text{ ms} ; P3 = 30.6 ± 2.4 \text{ ms} ; \text{ overall mean} = 30.3 ± 2.3 \text{ ms}) \). TENS to the wrist prolonged the latency by a mean of 2.2 ms \( (P1 = 29.8 ± 3.4 \text{ ms} ; P2 = 30.5 ± 4.1 \text{ ms} ; P3 = 31.1 ± 4.7 \text{ ms} ; \text{ overall mean} = 31.0 ± 3.6 \text{ ms}) \).

Due to the large inter-subject variability of H reflex measures, the post-stimulation values were expressed as percentages of the subjects’ own control values, in order to compare the results of each treatment across subjects. Figure 2 shows the group mean (+ S.D.) changes in H reflex latencies, H/M and Hvib/Hctl ratios in the three 20 min post-stimulation time intervals \( (P1, P2, P3) \) after TENS application to the leg (filled bars), or to the wrist (hatched bars), and after placebo stimulation to the leg (open bars). Placebo stimulation had no effect on \( H \) reflex latencies in the hemiparetic subjects (mean change of the three post-stimulus intervals was 99.7 ± 8.3%). In contrast, Figure 2 (left panel) shows that segmental and heterosegmental TENS produced a significant increase in H reflex latencies which was evident in each of the 20 min time intervals following TENS. The mean post-stimulus change in latency, averaged over the three time periods, was 106.5 ± 8.7% \( (p < 0.02) \) following TENS to the leg, and 105.7 ± 6.2% \( (p = 0.02) \) following TENS to the wrist.

**Effects of TENS on Stretch Reflexes**

While TENS stimulation prolonged H reflex latencies in over 75% of the subjects (see Figure 5A), stretch reflex latencies were increased in only 50% to 67% of the subjects examined. Comparing post-stimulation to pre-stimulation values, stretch reflex latencies increased by a mean value of 6.4 ± 3.0 ms in 5 of the 10 subjects following TENS to the leg, and by 5.0 ± 2.7 ms in 4 of the 6 subjects following TENS to the wrist (Table 2). In contrast, placebo stimulation either had no effect or decreased these latencies. The mean value in the placebo group was 45.5 ± 11.7 ms before stimulation and 39.7 ± 10.2 ms, 37.6 ± 12.6 ms, and 42.6 ± 13.7 ms respectively in the three post-stimulation time periods.

An example of the effect of TENS on the soleus stretch reflex latency is shown in Figure 3A - D for one subject with moderate spasticity. The mean values of ten stretch reflexes (solid lines) are displayed on the same time scale along with the corresponding mean ankle displacement (dashed lines) before
Figure 2 — Histograms of the means and standard deviations of post-stimulation changes in H reflex latencies (left), H/M ratios (middle), and Hvib/Hctl ratios (right) for each of the three treatment procedures. Solid bars denote TENS treatment to the common peroneal nerve of the leg. Hatched bars represent TENS treatment to the contralateral wrist. Open bars indicate placebo stimulation to the leg. Post-stimulus changes at three 20 min intervals (P1, P2, P3) are expressed as percentages of the control values. Compared to placebo stimulation, the mean H reflex latencies over the three 20 min intervals were significantly prolonged after TENS to either site (p < 0.02; asterisks).

stimulation, 20, 40, and 60 minutes after 45 minutes of TENS stimulation to the skin overlying the common peroneal nerve. Note the consistency of the stretch perturbations across the trials. The prolongation of stretch reflex latencies is clearly seen in this example, as an increased distance from the vertical line placed at the onset of the control response in Figure 3A. To examine the group effects, individual post-treatment data were expressed as percentages of each subject’s own control values. Figure 4 shows the mean percentages of these latency changes in the three 20 min intervals after TENS or placebo stimulation for all of the subjects examined. The mean values pooled from all three post-stimulation intervals for stretch reflex latencies were 110.5 ± 4.5% for TENS applied to the contralateral wrist, and 109.8 ± 9.2% for TENS applied to the ipsilateral leg. These were significantly longer than the value obtained following placebo stimulation (90.8 ± 7.7%; p < 0.05). Interestingly, the increase in stretch reflex latencies was evident for at least 60 min post-TENS stimulation.

TENS had no consistent effects on clinical spasticity, stretch reflex durations or stretch-evoked clonus. However, one would expect a relationship between H and stretch reflex latency and their corresponding amplitude or area measures since, even without changes in reflex gain, a prolongation of reflex latency could result in a decrease in the magnitude of the response. TENS stimulation resulted in a decreased H reflex amplitude or stretch reflex area in a constant proportion of subjects (50%). The relationship between reflex latency and magnitude in each subject is shown in Figure 5 for those subjects receiving TENS to the leg (A, B: closed circles), TENS to the wrist (A, B: open circles) and placebo (C, D: squares). Figure 5 (A and B) demonstrates that the predominant effect of TENS stimulation was a prolongation of reflex latency (in approximately 76% of the H reflex responses in A; and in 78% of the stretch reflex responses in B) and that this was accompanied by a decrease in the reflex amplitude in only 53% and 48% of the responses respectively. In contrast, placebo stimulation rarely resulted in a prolonged stretch reflex latency together with a decreased amplitude (Figure 5C and D).

Figure 3 — Mean (n = 10) soleus stretch reflexes in one subject with moderate spasticity (A) before, (B) 20, (C) 40 and (D) 60 min after 45 min of TENS to the common peroneal nerve. Stretch reflex responses are shown on the same time scale as the ankle displacement (dashed lines). Vertical line indicates the control stretch reflex latency. The prolongation of onset latencies in each post-stimulus time interval is clearly seen as an increased distance from this line.

DISCUSSION

In our study, both H (Figure 2) and stretch reflex latencies (Figures 3 and 4) showed a significant increase, by 5.7% to 10.5% respectively, following 45 min of low-threshold afferent conditioning. Of particular interest was that the increase in latency measures was equally evident after both segmentally and heterosegmentally applied TENS, but not after placebo stimulation (Figures 2 and 4). Furthermore, the effect generally outlasted the period of stimulation for at least 60 min.
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Table 2. Effects of 45 min of TENS to the Common Peroneal Nerve (CPN Stimulation) or to the Median Nerve at the Contralateral Wrist (Wrist Stimulation) on Soleus Stretch Reflex Latencies (ms) 20, 40 and 60 min After the End of Stimulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CPN Stimulation</th>
<th>Wrist Stimulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Control 20 min</td>
<td>20 min 40 min 60 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>25.8 27.4 31.4 41.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>43.8 49.2 48.5 -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>38.9 50.0 52.1 39.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>25.6 30.8 30.3 26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>28.2 30.4 28.0 28.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 34.4 41.2 37.6 43.6
S.D.: 9.2 6.1 11.5 11.3

Mean: 33.5 39.4 40.6 35.4
S.D.: 9.3 11.9 11.3 8.2

*p < 0.05

A limited range of latency values has been reported in the largely monosynaptic H reflex and the mono- and polysynaptic stretch reflex. For instance, monosynaptic la EPSP latencies range from 0.4 to 2.0 ms. In another monosynaptic pathway, Feldman and Orlovsky recorded from interneurons mediating la reciprocal inhibition and showed that the latency of the la interneuronal spike evoked by stimulation of la afferents was modulated by the phase of locomotion in mesencephalic cats in the order of approximately 1 - 3 ms (see Figure 7 from ). In man, the maximal change in the central delay at the la-α motoneuronal synapse has been estimated as 0.4 ms after facilitatory and 0.9 ms after inhibitory conditioning of the soleus H-reflex. In addition, the rise time of the induced change in excitability of the motoneuronal pool following H reflex and stretch (tendon tap) stimulation, estimated by evoking post-stimulation time histograms to electrical stimulation, ranged from 4.1 to 5.1 ms for electrically and 7.7 to 14.9 ms for mechanically evoked reflexes. Thus, our values for the prolongation of H (means = 1.7 and 2.2 ms) and stretch (means = 5.0 and 6.4 ms) reflex latencies can be accounted for by changes in motoneuronal threshold excitability.

Despite its effect on reflex latencies, TENS did not have statistically significant effects on all reflex magnitude measures. However, examination of Figure 5 shows that there was a strong tendency towards decreased reflex magnitudes concurrently with reflex latencies and this effect was significant (p < 0.05) at least for the H reflex following TENS to the wrist (Figure 5A). It is possible that some of the variability in H reflex amplitudes may have been attributed to fluctuations in baseline EMG excitability, but this was unlikely since our reflexes were evoked at rest with practically no initial EMG activity (see Figure 1). The lack of a statistically significant decrease in stretch reflex areas can be explained by the polysynaptic nature of the response. Since stretch reflex areas were measured over a

Figure 4 — Histograms of the means and standard deviations of soleus stretch reflex onset latencies in each 20 min interval for each treatment procedure for all the subjects tested. Legends are the same as for Figure 2. Note that, in contrast to placebo stimulation, TENS applied to the leg or wrist significantly prolonged stretch reflex latencies (p < 0.05).
fixed 150 ms window following response onset which included both mono- and polysynaptic components, a small decrease in the monosynaptic segment of the reflex may not have been evident. Thus, our findings do not rule out the possibility of concomitant changes in the latency and amplitude of the monosynaptic component of the stretch reflex.

Although SR latencies following TENS were significantly prolonged in some subjects compared to control values (Table 2), the group effects were only statistically significant when compared to those following placebo stimulation. The latter can be explained by increased activity in polysynaptic reflex pathways with repetitive stretch perturbations over the long testing period (105 min), as demonstrated by the tendency of the latencies to decrease following placebo stimulation (90.8 ± 7.7% of control values, see Figure 4). Stretch sensitization of muscle spindles from finger extensor muscles in normal subjects has been demonstrated. However, the possibility of similar sensitization of muscle spindles in spastic ankle extensors following repetitive stretching remains to be investigated. In any case, the fact that an increase rather than decrease in latencies was evident after 45 min of TENS, as compared with placebo stimulation, suggested that it may have been the TENS stimulation itself that led to a decrease in motoneuronal excitability.

**Nature of Modulating Input and Possible Inhibitory Mechanisms**

Low intensity, high frequency TENS activates mainly large diameter (Aβ) sensory afferent fibres. Since the same TENS parameters were used in this study and the stimulation evoked neither pain nor a motor response, similar afferents may have been involved in mediating the observed ipsilateral segmental and contralateral heterosegmental effects.

The immediate effects of remote, heterosegmental transcutaneous electrical conditioning of low-threshold afferents on lower limb stretch reflexes in hemiparetic subjects have not been previously described. On the other hand, Walker did observe a prolonged suppression of ankle clonus in multiple sclerosis patients, following one hour of subcutaneous stimulation of the contralateral radial/median nerve with implanted electrodes. In intact rats, a gradual enhancement of inhibition in spinal lumbar interneurons has been reported following repeated electrical cutaneous conditioning of the ipsilateral hindlimb. In that study, the inhibition outlasted the stimulation from 0.5 sec to 7 min in intact but not spinal rats, suggesting that the development of inhibition depended on descending influences.

Although both segmental and heterosegmental stimulation affected lower limb H and stretch reflex latency values in a
similar direction (Figures 2 and 4), the temporal pattern of the latency shift in the three 20 min time periods after TENS to the leg did not parallel those following TENS to the wrist. Hence, different mechanisms may have been at play. It is not likely that the observed effects can be ascribed purely to segmental mechanisms, but segmental reciprocal mechanisms may have predominated following the ipsilateral stimulation.

It is also possible that, low-threshold afferent stimulation could have decreased stretch reflex excitability via activation of descending pathways acting on reciprocal inhibitory mechanisms and/or propriospinal inhibitory pathways. A net decrease of thresholds, but not stiffness or magnitude of stretch reflexes in ankle extensor muscles has previously been reported following stimulation in specific areas of the brainstem of decerebrate cats (Dieter’s nucleus, pyramidal tract, reticular formation). The nature of such influences probably could not be attributed to presynaptic inhibitory mechanisms via la afferent terminals, since both segmental and heterosynaptic afferent conditioning had no significant effect on the Hvib/Hctl ratios in our subjects (Figure 2). Vibratory inhibition of the H reflex is believed to be partially mediated by presynaptic inhibition of the la terminals involved in the presumed monosynaptic stretch reflex arc. This finding is consistent with similar observations of insignificant effects on Hvib/Hctl ratios immediately following heterosegmental TENS in another group of spastic hemiparetic subjects. However, presynaptic inhibitory mechanisms from non-la interneurons cannot be ruled out.

Finally, the post-stimulation effect paralleled the prolonged suppression of flexion reflex previously found by Chan and Tsang, a phenomenon that has been attributed to the release of opioids, e.g., β-endorphin.
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