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Abstract: Eight anticonvulsant drugs – including clonazepam, diazepam and phenobarbital – were tested for their effects on GABA-stimulated chloride uptake in rat cerebral cortical microsacs (unfiltered synaptoneurosomes). “Mid” and “high” therapeutic concentrations were screened, and, if significant enhancement was found, full concentration-response tests were done. In the initial screens, enhancement of GABA-stimulated uptake was found only with phenobarbital, clonazepam and diazepam. In subsequent concentration-response tests, the effects of phenobarbital were found to occur throughout the range of normal, anticonvulsant concentrations, whereas the effects of clonazepam and diazepam were observed only above the concentrations normally used for the chronic control of seizures or anxiety. These data suggest that phenobarbital’s anticonvulsant effects are mediated via the GABA_A receptor complex, but that the low-dose effects of the benzodiazepines may be mediated via some other mechanism.

Résumé: Effets des anticonvulsivants sur la capture de chlorure stimulée par la GABA. Nous avons étudié huit anticonvulsivants – dont le clonazépam, le diazépam et le phényobarbital – pour évaluer leurs effets sur la capture de chlorure stimulée par le GABA dans les microsacs corticaux du cerveau de rat (synaptoneurosomes non filtrés). Les concentrations thérapeutiques “moyennes” et “élevées” ont été identifiées et, lorsqu’un rehaussement significatif était présent, des épreuves de réponse à dose maximum étaient effectuées. Aux premières épreuves, le rehaussement de la capture stimulée par le GABA était observé seulement avec le phényobarbital, le clonazépam et le diazépam. Lors d’épreuves à doses croissantes, nous avons observé que les effets du phényobarbital survenaient sur toute la plage de concentrations anticonvulsivantes normales, alors que les effets du clonazépam et du diazépam ont été observés seulement au-dessus des concentrations utilisées normalement dans le contrôle chronique des crises d’épilepsie ou de l’anxiété. Ces observations suggèrent que la médiation des effets anticonvulsivants du phényobarbital se fait via le complexe du récepteur GABA_A, mais que la médiation des effets de faibles doses de benzodiazépines peut se faire via un autre mécanisme.

Gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) is the major inhibitory transmitter of the mammalian brain.\(^1\)\(^2\) GABA’s actions are mediated by a ligand-gated ion channel, which is modulated by three major binding domains: the GABA-A receptor site, the “central” benzodiazepine receptor site, and the picrotoxin/barbiturate binding site.\(^2\)\(^3\) Working in concert, these sites modify cerebral excitability by altering the flux of chloride in CNS neurons.\(^2\)\(^3\)

Over the years, it has been postulated that a number of the anticonvulsant drugs may suppress seizures by enhancing activity in the GABAergic systems of the brain. Evidence is strongest for the barbiturates\(^4\)\(^6\) and the benzodiazepines,\(^4\)\(^7\)\(^8\) but GABAergic interactions have also been suggested for phenytoin\(^9\)\(^11\) carbamazepine\(^12\) and valproate.\(^13\)\(^16\)

Recent electrophysiological studies do not support the GABAergic hypotheses for phenytoin or carbamazepine, and valproate’s interactions with GABA (if any) appear to be slow and indirect.\(^17\)\(^18\) The barbiturates and benzodiazepines, however, clearly do enhance GABA-mediated inhibition, and this is generally believed to constitute their mechanism of action.\(^17\)\(^18\)

Even as applied to the benzodiazepines, however, the GABA hypothesis presents certain problems. The first problem is that the benzodiazepines and barbiturates – which are believed to share a common mechanism – have different effects on seizures.

At low doses, the benzodiazepines (e.g., clonazepam) are clinically effective against absence attacks, whereas the barbiturates (e.g., phenobarbital) are not.\(^19\) Conversely, at low doses, the barbiturates strongly antagonize convulsive seizures, whereas the benzodiazepines do not.\(^19\) Similar differences are observed in animal experiments. The benzodiazepines are far more potent against pentylentetrazol threshold (MET) seizures, which model absence attacks, than against maximal electroshock (MES) seizures, which model tonic-clonic attacks.\(^20\) The barbiturates do not show this difference in potency.\(^20\) These differences in anticonvulsant effect are hard to explain if the barbiturates and the benzodiazepines share a common mechanism of action.

A second problem with the GABA hypothesis of benzodiazepine actions is that the low-dose effects of the benzodiazepines do not resemble those of the known GABA agonists. The benzodiazepines – as noted above – are highly effective...
against absence attacks, whereas GABA-A receptor agonists, like progabide, are not. In animal models, the GABA agonists actually exacerbate absence-type seizures, whereas the benzodiazepines suppress them. It is hard to see why these differences should occur if the benzodiazepines act by enhancing GABA-A mediated chloride flux. It is important to note, however, that these problems only exist at low doses of benzodiazepines. At high doses, the benzodiazepines resemble the GABA-A agonists, showing activity against convulsive seizures in humans and MES seizures in animals.

The work of Carlen et al. offers a possible solution to the problems related to the GABA hypothesis of benzodiazepine actions. Carlen et al. found that at low concentrations - those clinically used to control anxiety and absence seizures - the benzodiazepines primarily act on calcium-activated potassium flux. Enhancement of GABAergic inhibition was also observed, but this occurred only at higher concentrations. These findings might explain why benzodiazepines act differently than GABA agonists at low doses, but similarly to GABA agonists at high doses. Unfortunately, not all of the electrophysiological reports agree with the data of Carlen et al. Macdonald and McLean have reported benzodiazepine enhancement of GABAergic inhibition with - or even below - the therapeutic concentration range for benzodiazepines.

Recently, a biochemical assay has been developed which directly measures GABA-stimulated chloride uptake. This assay allows a direct test of the effects of anticonvulsants on GABA-stimulated uptake and, in particular, of the effects of the barbiturates and benzodiazepines. Indirect effects - such as those postulated for valproate - cannot be detected, but all direct and immediate effects should be observed. When microsacs (unfiltered synaptoneurosomes) or synaptoneurosomes are used, both pre- and postsynaptic effects can be measured.

In the present study, the chloride uptake assay was used to investigate the effects of anticonvulsant drugs on GABA-A stimulated chloride uptake. In initial experiments, eight standard drugs - carbamazepine, clonazepam, diazepam, ethosuximide, phenobarbital, phenytoin, primidone, and valproate - were screened at "mid" and "high" concentrations used in initial screening. Two concentrations were used for screening, because the exact therapeutic range of some of the drugs is unclear (see below). In subsequent experiments, full concentration-response tests were done for clonazepam, diazepam and phenobarbital, the three drugs which produced significant enhancement. A recent publication has suggested that diazepam significantly enhances chloride flux at concentrations within the therapeutic range. Our own data, presented below, support a different conclusion. Table I indicates "free" therapeutic (anticonvulsant) concentrations for the eight anticonvulsants used, plus the "mid" and "high" concentrations used in initial screening.

Chronic anticonvulsant blood levels for diazepam have not been clearly established, since the drug is primarily used for the intravenous treatment of status epilepticus. In our initial screens, therefore, one diazepam concentration in the anxiolytic range was tested, plus a second, higher concentration, similar to the concentrations reported after acute intravenous injections for status.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Materials

36Cl (8.86 mCi/gm Cl) was purchased from ICN (Irvine, CA). Picrotoxin (PTX), GABA and phenobarbital were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO). The remaining anticonvulsant drugs were obtained in pure substance form from their manufacturers. All other reagents were of the highest available grade.

Drug solutions were prepared fresh on the day of use. Stock solutions of phenytoin, valproate and ethosuximide were mixed in assay buffer. Stock solutions of phenytoin were mixed in assay buffer plus 0.05N NaOH. Stock solutions of diazepam, clonazepam, carbamazepine and primidone were prepared using dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO). Aliquots of stock solution were subsequently diluted in assay buffer to obtain the appropriate final assay concentrations. Trace NaOH in the final assay tubes did not measurably affect pH. DMSO concentrations in the final assay tubes were less than 0.01%.

#### Preparation of Microsacs

The microsac preparation is similar to the synaptoneurosome preparation, except that one filtration step is omitted. In the present experiment, microsacs were prepared using procedures previously described by Mihic et al. Briefly, male Sprague Dawley rats (200-220 g) were obtained from a breeder (Charles River, Canada) and housed in a vivarium (22°C, lights on from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. daily). On the day of assay, animals were sacrificed by decapitation and the brains were quickly removed and placed in ice-cold homogenization buffer (in mM: HEPES, 10; NaCl, 145; KCl, 5; MgCl2, 1; CaCl2, 1; and glucose, 10, adjusted to pH 7.4 - 7.5 with Tris base). Cerebral cortices were isolated and gently hand-homogenized on ice (8 ml of buffer, 10 passes in a Potter-Elvehjem teflon-glass homogenizer). The homogenate was diluted to 30 ml with buffer and then centrifuged at 1,000 x g for 15 minutes in a Sorvall RC-2B centrifuge (4°C). The supernatant was carefully decanted and the pellet resuspended in 8 ml of buffer (manual homogenization - 10 passes on ice), diluted to 30 ml, and centrifuged at 1,000 x g for 15 minutes. The final pellet was resuspended in 9.5 ml of buffer (manual homogenization - 10 passes on ice), placed on ice, and used immediately in the uptake assays. Four separate preparations (= 4 separate brains) were used in all experiments, except the concentration-response diazepam experiment, which involved 6 separate preparations (6 separate brains).

### Table I. Anticonvulsant Drugs: therapeutic ranges (free drug) and concentrations used for screening.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drugs</th>
<th>Therapeutic Range</th>
<th>Concentrations Used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phenytoin</td>
<td>4.0 - 8.0 µM</td>
<td>6, 8 µM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbamazepine</td>
<td>4.2 - 12.6 µM</td>
<td>5, 13 µM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenobarbital</td>
<td>25.0 - 100.0 µM</td>
<td>60, 100 µM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primidone</td>
<td>18.4 - 44.0 µM</td>
<td>30, 44 µM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethosuximide</td>
<td>300.0 - 700.0 µM</td>
<td>500, 700 µM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valproate</td>
<td>17.5 - 210.0 µM</td>
<td>115, 210 µM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clonazepam</td>
<td>3.2 - 12.8 nMb</td>
<td>10, 30 nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diazepam</td>
<td>10.0 - 14.0 nMc</td>
<td>10, 40 nM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Free anticonvulsant concentrations are based on Macdonald and McLean.
- *b* Gilman et al. give a broader range of 2.2 - 31 nM.
- *c* Ansiolytic concentrations are based on Gilman et al. The free concentration achieved after intravenous injection is at least twice as high. For screening, one concentration in the anxiolytic range and one in the intravenous range were used.
Manual Assay of Chloride Influx

The method of Mihic et al.20,21 was used for chloride uptake assays. Briefly, 200 μl aliquots of the ice-cold microsac preparation were placed in individual test tubes. Following a 4-min preincubation at 34°C, chloride uptake was initiated by the addition of 100 μl of buffer (also at 34°C) containing 36Cl− (0.4 μCi) ± drugs (e.g., GABA, diazepam, phenobarbital, etc.) while the tube was being vortexed. The reaction was quenched after 3 sec with 5 ml of buffer containing 100 μM picrotoxin. The contents of the test tube were then immediately filtered by suction through a pre-wetted Schleicher & Schuell (S&S) #34 filter supported on a Hoeffer manifold (Hoeffer Scientific, San Francisco, CA). The filter was washed three more times with 5 ml of the PTX buffer, once with the manifold tower in place, and twice after its removal. Filters were placed in minivials and equilibrated for 24 hours in 4 ml of Cytoscint (ICN) scintillation counting fluid. The vials were then counted for 20 min each in a Beckman LS-5801 scintillation counter. Protein concentration in microsac preparations was determined by the method of Lowry et al.22 Chloride uptake was expressed as nmoles chloride per mg of protein. The amount of 36Cl− bound to filters in the absence of tissue (40 cpm) was subtracted from all tissue sample values. In studies of GABA-stimulated uptake, a GABA concentration of 5 μM GABA was used. This concentration has been found to increase basal uptake by about 50%.30

Statistics

Data were analyzed using one-way analyses of variance (ANOVA), followed by Fisher’s post-hoc tests for multiple comparisons.

RESULTS

Table 2 presents the results of initial experiments in which “mid” and “high” therapeutic concentrations of eight anticonvulsants were screened for their effects on GABA-stimulated chloride uptake. Basal uptake (uptake in the absence of GABA) ranged from 16-18 nmole chloride/mg protein. Basal uptake was not changed by high therapeutic concentrations of any drug. GABA-stimulated uptake (uptake in the presence of 5 μM GABA) was approximately 50% higher than basal uptake. GABA-stimulated uptake was significantly enhanced by three drugs: phenobarbital, clonazepam and diazepam.

Figure 1 presents the data obtained in a subsequent concentration-response study of phenobarbital. Phenobarbital enhanced GABA-stimulated chloride uptake over a wide range of doses. Significant enhancement was first observed at a concentration of 20 μM. At concentrations above 80 μM, basal uptake began to be enhanced as well. This effect first became significant at 320 μM. At 100 μM, the highest phenobarbital concentration employed clinically, total enhancement was approximately 50%. Higher concentrations of phenobarbital produced further enhancement, up to a maximum of about 333% at 640 μM, the highest concentration tested.

Figure 2 presents data obtained in concentration-response studies of clonazepam and diazepam. Neither clonazepam nor diazepam produced any change in basal uptake. Significant clonazepam enhancement of GABA-stimulated chloride uptake was first observed at 30 nM. A maximum enhancement of 84% was observed at 1700 nM, the highest concentration tested. Fifty percent enhancement was achieved between 100-200 nM. Significant diazepam enhancement was first observed at 40 nM. A maximal enhancement of 87% was observed at 1280 nM (1.28 μM), the highest concentration tested. Fifty percent enhancement was reached between 100-200 nM.

DISCUSSION

It has been suggested that a number of the anticonvulsant drugs – and in particular the barbiturates and benzodiazepines – act by enhancing activity in the GABAergic systems of the brain. The present study was designed to determine whether these drugs would enhance GABA-stimulated chloride uptake in a microsac preparation, and also whether the enhancement occurred at the concentrations normally used to control seizures.

In initial screens with “mid” and “high” concentrations, only phenobarbital, clonazepam and diazepam produced a significant enhancement of GABA-stimulated chloride uptake. In agreement with recent electrophysiological studies,17,18 these data suggest that only the barbiturates and benzodiazepines have a direct and immediate effect on GABA-mediated chloride flux. While it is possible that other drugs (e.g., valproate) may produce indirect effects on the GABA system, they do not directly enhance activity at the level of either the pre- or post-synaptic GABA receptor complex.

Subsequent concentration-response studies were designed to determine whether the concentrations of phenobarbital, clonazepam and diazepam which produce enhancement correspond to the concentrations which produce anticonvulsant effects. It was found that phenobarbital enhanced GABA-stimulated uptake over the whole range of concentrations used clinically to stop seizures (25-100 μM). Above 80 μM, an enhancement of basal – as well as GABA stimulated – uptake was observed, and, at 100 μM – the highest concentration used clinically – a sizable total enhancement of about 50% was observed. These data confirm past studies which have shown that phenobarbital enhances chloride uptake at high concentrations,33,35 and extend them by showing that enhancement occurs

---

Table 2. Effects of anticonvulsant drugs on specific chloride uptake.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>5μM GABA</th>
<th>5μM GABA +drug (mid dose)</th>
<th>5μM GABA +drug (high dose)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phenytoin</td>
<td>7.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>7.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>7.8 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbamazepine</td>
<td>7.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>7.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>7.8 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenobarbital</td>
<td>7.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>13.5 ± 0.9a</td>
<td>15.8 ± 1.2b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primidone</td>
<td>7.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>7.8 ± 0.7</td>
<td>8.6 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethosuximide</td>
<td>8.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>9.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>9.3 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valproate</td>
<td>8.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>8.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>8.8 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clonazepam</td>
<td>9.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>9.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>11.1 ± 0.3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diazepam</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>6.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>7.5 ± 0.2b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Values are nmol/mg protein ± S.E.M. from 4 separate experiments (4 separate brains). Specific uptake was obtained by subtracting basal from total uptake. Basal uptake ranged from 16 - 18 nmol/mg protein. "Mid" and "high" concentrations used for each drug are indicated in Table 1.

b p < 0.02, as compared to 5 μM GABA alone.
throughout the full range of concentrations used clinically to control seizures. They are consistent with electrophysiological studies indicating that phenobarbital enhances GABA-mediated inhibition at concentrations between 25 and 100 μM,\(^{17}\) and provide further support for the hypothesis that phenobarbital produces its anticonvulsant effects, at least in part, by enhancing GABAergic inhibition.\(^ {17}\) The enhancement of basal uptake - which begins around 80 μM and becomes increasingly significant at higher concentrations - may relate to the high-dose anesthetic actions of the barbiturates.\(^ {36}\)

In contrast, the enhancing effects of clonazepam and diazepam occurred at concentrations above those used for chronic therapy. Clonazepam produced little enhancement of chloride flux between 2 and 15 nM, the free concentrations commonly achieved after chronic oral anticonvulsant administration.\(^ {17}\) At 30 nM, considered supra-therapeutic for seizure control by some authorities\(^ {17}\) and high therapeutic by others,\(^ {37}\) enhancement was only about 20%. Fifty percent enhancement did not occur until a concentration of 100-200 nM, well above the concentrations achieved during chronic anticonvulsant therapy. Diazepam, likewise, produced little or no effect at 10-14 nM, the free concentrations achieved during anxiolytic therapy.\(^ {37}\) Significant effects were observed only at concentrations above 20 nM - the concentrations achieved after rapid intravenous injection for status epilepticus.\(^ {37}\) Fifty percent enhancement occurred at concentrations of 100-200 nM, roughly 10 times higher than those used to control anxiety. These findings are consistent with past studies showing that clonazepam and diazepam enhance chloride flux at high concentrations.\(^ {38-40}\)

They indicate, however, that there is no good correspondence between enhancement of GABA-stimulated chloride uptake as measured in microsacs and the benzodiazepine concentrations achieved in chronic anticonvulsant or anxiolytic therapy.

Other workers have sometimes reported that benzodiazepines enhance GABAergic function at clinically relevant concentrations. It has been suggested, for instance, that diazepam - at concentrations between 1-10 nM - enhances GABA-mediated chloride flux in cultured neurons derived from rodent spinal cord\(^ {41}\) and cortex.\(^ {42}\) These reports are hard to evaluate, since the effect seems to occur below the therapeutic range. Other workers have reported that benzodiazepines enhance GABA binding at therapeutic (anxiolytic) concentrations.\(^ {43}\) The order of potencies for this effect, however, does not correlate well with the clinical potencies of the benzodiazepines.\(^ {43}\) A recent report suggesting that diazepam enhances chloride uptake with an EC\(_{50}\) of 12 nM\(^ {43}\) is harder to explain. In our own hands, benzodiazepine enhancement does not occur at these concentrations.

Why should there be a mismatch between the benzodiazepine concentrations required to produce clinical effects and the concentrations required to produce \textit{in vitro} effects on chloride uptake? Benzodiazepine actions are rapid and direct, and ought, therefore, to be observable in the present assay. In the clinical situation, diazepam’s actions are enhanced by its active metabolite, nordiazepam,\(^ {44}\) but this is not true of clonazepam, which lacks an active metabolite.\(^ {45}\) It has been suggested that binding to only a very small proportion of benzodiazepine
receptors may be required to produce a functional effect, but this is irrelevant in the present assay, where the functional effect was measured directly.

One possible explanation for the mismatch between clinical concentrations and in vitro effects is that the uptake assay is sub-sensitive to the actions of the benzodiazepines. Barbiturates in vitro potentiate GABA-stimulated chloride uptake at therapeutic concentrations, as does halothane (Mihic et al., unpublished data). It may be, however, that the uptake assay lacks some component necessary to duplicate the in vivo response to benzodiazepines. The internal environment of microsacs may not adequately model that found in neurons in vivo. For example, phosphorylation/dephosphorylation processes known to affect GABA receptor function may not be functioning normally in microsacs.

Alternatively, as Carlen et al.2,23 have suggested, it may be that low-dose benzodiazepine effects are not mediated via the GABA system—that GABAergic effects come into play only at concentrations above those achieved in chronic benzodiazepine therapy. Although this hypothesis conflicts with much current theory, it would explain several paradoxes related to the low-dose actions of the benzodiazepines: 1) that low-dose benzodiazepines and barbiturates have a different spectrum of anticonvulsant effects, even though they are believed to have the same mechanism of action; 2) that low-dose benzodiazepine actions do not resemble the actions of the known GABA agonists; and 3) that benzodiazepines have different clinical actions at low and high doses. Further study of the mechanism of action of the benzodiazepines is clearly in order.
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