On the Renaissance of Stereotactic EEG and Its Interpretation
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Stereotactic electroencephalography (SEEG) officially celebrated its 60th birthday this past year, but it might be better said to be experiencing a renaissance. Originating with Bancaud and Talairach at Hôpital Sainte Anne in Paris, France, SEEG has since been in continuous use at a number of centres throughout the world, particularly in Europe. In Canada, chronic depth electrode recordings have been performed since the 1970s at McGill University and Université de Montréal, and in 1985 Olivier et al. reported on the first 70 epilepsy patients investigated with SEEG at the Montreal Neurological Institute. Despite this long history, SEEG was confined for many decades to a minority of epilepsy centres, in large part because of the expertise needed and the requirement for a two-stage surgical procedure (stereotactic angiography and electrode implantation). However, advances in multimodal imaging that have simplified and refined the procedure (and removed the requirement for stereotactic angiography) have fostered a rebirth of SEEG in North America and around the world.

In this issue of the Journal, Joswig et al. describe a near-complete shift from subdural recordings to SEEG over the past 4 years at the London Health Sciences Centre (LHSC). The authors describe the LHSC practice change as driven by shorter mean operative times, better patient tolerability and a lower risk profile. Notwithstanding, they acknowledge a lack of high-quality evidence indicating superiority of any one technique for intracranial EEG (IEEG) monitoring, and affirm that selection of IEEG modality must currently be viewed as a state of equipoise. It is important to keep in mind that the long history of epilepsy surgery excellence at LHSC, before the shift to SEEG, comprises 30 years of fruitful IEEG monitoring using subdural electrodes. A future analysis of seizure outcomes comparing pre- and post-SEEG eras at LHSC will be extremely valuable to see the long-term impact of the transition in techniques on outcomes in patients receiving epilepsy surgery. Ideally, of course, other future studies will be designed to compare IEEG modalities, including randomized clinical trials.

Given the current absence of class I/II evidence, an expert consensus-based recommendation was recently published on the diagnostic utility of IEEG, including the strengths, limitations and risks of the various IEEG modalities, concluding that at present “neither the position of insisting on one particular IEEG modality in all cases nor rejecting its added value altogether in any scenario lends itself to scientific scrutiny.”

Subdural grid electrodes provide data from a two-dimensional cortical surface map well-suited for localizing neocortical epilepsies on the temporal, frontoparietal and occipital convexities. Large areas of cortex can be covered, however, grid electrodes have limited precision for the definition of EEG patterns arising from deep generators, such as the amygdala, hippocampus, planum temporalis, insula and mesial frontal areas. Subdural strip electrodes are flexible and can be safely passed in the subdural space without direct visualization, allowing them to be placed at either the edges of a craniotomy or separately through a small burr hole, although it is sometimes impossible to reach certain areas because of bridging veins or adhesions, and in “blind” insertions, topographic impression is common. In addition to seizure onset localization, grid arrays offer the option to perform cortical functional mapping to define regions of eloquence. A significant difference between subdural grid and SEEG techniques is better patient tolerance of depth electrode implantations, with minimal headache and less frequent complications such as bleeding, raised intracranial pressure or cerebrospinal fluid leakage. Another issue arising in the choice of IEEG modalities is related to the hypothesis arrived at before implantation and the expertise of the epileptologist. Because a smaller area is potentially covered with depth electrodes, a more refined hypothesis may be required in SEEG, although typically several depth electrodes are implanted and what is lost in two-dimensional cortical surface coverage may be gained in three-dimensional cortical-subcortical-cortical coverage.

Often forgotten in the discussions surrounding different IEEG modalities is the role of the clinical neurophysiologist interpreting the recordings. Setting aside the potential importance of analyzing broadband IEEG for infraslow activity and high-frequency oscillations, there exist uncertainties regarding IEEG interpretation in the standard clinical 0.5-70 Hz band-pass range—even with respect to defining ictal onset—and evidence to suggest poor interobserver agreement. Furthermore, and particularly relevant for SEEG, basic questions surrounding the use of bipolar and referential montages and whether IEEG contacts record electrical potentials at a distance continue to be a source of debate. For example, it is often stated that IEEG electrode contacts do not “see” activity more than 5-10 mm away, but large cortical fields, such as those responsible for scalp EEG visible epileptiform discharges, produce volume conducted intracranial fields that can be recorded synchronously at multiple IEEG electrodes, which may be situated centimetres away from each other.

Figure 1 highlights some of the particular benefits of SEEG as well as some of the interpretative issues just described. In this patient with post-traumatic epilepsy, SEEG revealed seizure onsets within the right inferior frontal sulcus, a localization that would have been impossible to identify using subdural electrodes overlying the cortical surface. The simultaneous recording from lateral and medial structures showed the onset of leftward head...
version and fencing posture to coincide with ictal propagation to the supplementary motor area, more than 30 s after seizure onset in the lateral frontal cortex, demonstrating the ability of SEEG to delineate the spatiotemporal organization of the epileptogenic zone, defined by its originators as the “site of the beginning and of the primary organization” of focal onset seizures.\textsuperscript{12,22} In this case, SEEG was an ideal IEEG modality, and examination of the field of distribution of the initial ictal spike discharges afforded a general idea about the

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Region} & \textbf{SEEG Leads} & \textbf{LFF} & \textbf{HFF} \\
\hline
\textit{Frontal} & P1, P2, P3 & 0.5 Hz & 400 Hz \\
\hline
\textit{Parietal} & P4, P5, P6 & 0.5 Hz & 400 Hz \\
\hline
\textit{Temporal} & T1, T2, T3 & 0.5 Hz & 400 Hz \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}
extent of the lateral frontal cortex involved in ictal onsets, sufficient to plan a superolateral frontal lobe resection sparing anterior cingulate cortex and supplementary motor area. Nevertheless, it must be admitted that a subdural grid over the lateral frontal convexity would have better demonstrated the surface neocortical extent of the area of ictal onset, and an interhemispheric subdural strip might have provided similar information about the timing of propagation to the supplementary motor area.

Irrespective the favoured modality of the different epilepsy centres across the country, the rebirth of SEEG is a welcome event and its adoption by LHSC an important step in expanding Canadian expertise with this time-honoured technique. As a most valuable member of the IEEG family, increased experience with SEEG and its interpretation are sure to continue Canada’s long history of excellence in epilepsy surgery and EEE.
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Figure 1: Stereotactic EEG (SEEG) recording in a patient with frontal lobe epilepsy. (A) Unilateral right hemispheric implantation of seven multi-contact SEEG and its interpretation are sure to continue Canada’s long history of excellence in epilepsy surgery and EEE.
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