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Abstract

Coupled nonlinear partial differential equations, which describe a nonlinear resonant interaction between the fundamental and its first harmonic on a magnetohydrodynamic jet, are derived by the derivative expansion method. We investigate the spatial behaviour of the amplitude and phases. It is shown that the fluid surface is unstable in the neighbourhood of the first resonant wavenumber. In the steady state, it is observed that the general motion consists of both amplitude and phase modulated waves.

1. Introduction

The capillary instability of a jet has been a subject of considerable interest and has been investigated by a number of workers since the pioneering work of Lord Rayleigh [6]. The effect of nonlinear disturbances on the capillary instability of a hydrodynamic jet was examined by Yuen [8], Wang [7], Nayfeh [3], Nayfeh and Hassan [4] and Kakutani et al. [1]. It was shown by Kakutani et al. [1] that the complex amplitude of a quasimonochromatic travelling wave can be described by a nonlinear Schrödinger equation in a frame of reference moving with the group velocity. Lardner and Trehan [2] extended this result to include the effect of a uniform magnetic field. In fact they were the first to use the full hydromagnetic fluid equations and make no restriction to potential flows to study the modulational instability of a jet. They showed that the modulational instability can not be completely suppressed, and that the presence
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of a magnetic field does greatly increase the range of stable wave numbers. It was observed by them that for a hydromagnetic jet a significant feature of the analysis is the presence of a second harmonic resonance and the usual analysis is not valid in its neighbourhood. This type of resonance has been studied by various authors for capillary gravity waves on deep water, a self gravitating fluid cylinder and the hydromagnetic waves in a cold collisionless plasma. The slowly varying amplitudes of the two waves in resonance are described by a set of dynamical equations involving the fundamental and the first harmonic for a magnetohydrodynamic jet. It is shown that the jet is unstable in the presence of a magnetic field.

2. Formulation of the problem

We examine here a cylindrical fluid column of radius $R$ held together by surface tension; the fluid is assumed to be inviscid, incompressible and perfectly conducting, with a uniform magnetic field in the axial direction. The motion is assumed to be axially symmetric and the outer surface of the jet is distorted to $r = 1 + \eta(z, t)$, where $\eta(z, t)$ is the disturbance in the $r$-direction. In this discussion all lengths are measured in units of the radius $R$ of the cylinder and time is measured in units $R/A_0$, where $A_0$ is the Alfvén speed. The equations in the region $r \leq 1 + \eta$ are:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla)u = -\nabla \Pi + (h \cdot \nabla)h,$$  
$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = (h \cdot \nabla)h - (u \cdot \nabla)h,$$  
$$\nabla \cdot u = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot h = 0, \quad \Pi = \frac{p}{\rho} + .5h^2,$$

where $u$, $(4\pi\rho)^{1/2}h$, $p$ and $\rho$ represent the velocity field, the magnetic field, the pressure and the constant fluid density respectively. In the region $r \geq 1 + \eta$, the magnetic field is expressible in terms of a potential $\phi$, that is,

$$h^{(0)} = A_0 e_z + \nabla \phi, \quad \nabla^2 \phi = 0.$$

The boundary conditions at $r = 1 + \eta$ are

$$u_r = \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} + u_z \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial z}.$$

\[ \text{(3a)} \]
\[ \Pi = \frac{T}{\rho} \left( (1 + \eta)^{-1} \left\{ 1 + \left( \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right\}^{-1/2} - \frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial z^2} \left\{ 1 + \left( \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right\}^{-3/2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} h^{(0)^2}, \] (3b)

where T represents the fluid surface tension and n the unit normal to the surface. We choose units such that \( T/\rho = 1 \). To determine an approximate solution to (1) - (3), we shall use the method of multiple time scales. If \( \varepsilon \) is a small parameter measuring the size of perturbation, we introduce the space and time scales \( z_0 = z, z_1 = \varepsilon z, t_0 = t, t_1 = \varepsilon t \). The partial derivatives are expressed in terms of slow scales according to

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_0} + \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1},
\]

(4a)

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_0} + \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}.
\]

(4b)

Moreover, the various physical variables are assumed to possess the following representations:

\[
f(r, z, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{2} \varepsilon^n f_n(r, z_0, z_1, t_0, t_1) + o(\varepsilon^3),
\]

(5)

where \( f(r, z, t) \) is any of the variables \( u, h, \Pi, \phi, \eta \) with \( (u_0, h_0, \Pi_0, \phi_0, \eta_0) = (0, A_0\varepsilon, p_0/\rho_0 + (1/2) A_0^2, 0, 0) \). Substituting the expansions (4) - (5) into (1) - (3), we obtain in the first and second orders respectively the following equations (in which we use the notation that \( f_\alpha = \partial f/\partial \alpha \)).

a) First order equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{u}_{1,t_0} + \nabla_0 \Pi_1 - A_0 \text{h}_{1,z_0} &= 0, \\
\text{h}_{1,t_0} - A_0 \text{u}_{1,z_0} &= 0, \\
\text{u}_{1,r} + r^{-1} \text{u}_{1r} + \text{u}_{1z,z_0} &= 0, \\
\text{h}_{1,r} + r^{-1} \text{h}_{1r} + \text{h}_{1z,z_0} &= 0, \\
\nabla_0^2 \phi_1 &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

(6a) - (6e)

and the boundary conditions on \( r = 1 \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{u}_{1r} - \eta_{1,t_0} &= 0, \\
\Pi_1 + \eta_{1,z_0} - A_0 \text{h}_{1z}^{(0)} &= 0, \\
\text{h}_{1r} - \text{h}_{1r}^{(0)} &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

(7a) - (7c)
b) Second order equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{u}_{2,0} + \nabla \Pi_2 - A_0 \mathbf{h}_{2,0} &= -\mathbf{u}_{1,t} - \Pi_{1,z} \mathbf{e}_z + A_0 \mathbf{h}_{1,t} - (\mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}) \mathbf{u}_1 + (\mathbf{h}_1 \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}) \mathbf{h}_1, \\
\mathbf{h}_{2,0} - A_0 \mathbf{u}_{2,0} &= -\mathbf{h}_{1,t} + A_0 \mathbf{u}_{1,z} + (\mathbf{h}_1 \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}) \mathbf{u}_1 - (\mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}) \mathbf{h}_1, \\
u_{2r,r} + r^{-1} u_{2r} + u_{2z,z_0} &= -u_{1z,z_1}, \\
h_{2r,r} + r^{-1} h_{2r} + h_{2z,z_0} &= -h_{1z,z_1}, \\
\nabla_0^2 \phi_2 &= -2 \phi_{1,z_0,r},
\end{align*}
\]

and the boundary conditions on \( r = 1 \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{u}_{2r} - \eta_{2,0} &= \eta_{1,t} + u_{1z} \eta_{1,z_0} - \eta_1 u_{1,r}, \\
\Pi_2 + \eta_2 + \eta_{2,z_0} - A_0 h^{(0)}_{2z} &= \eta_1^2 - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{1,z_0}^2 - 2 \eta_{1,z_0_1} - \eta_1 \Pi_{1,r} + A_0 \eta_1 h^{(0)}_{1,r} + \frac{1}{2} h^{(0)}_{1,z}, \\
h_{2r} - h^{(0)}_{2r} &= -\eta_1 (h_{1r,r} - h^{(0)}_{1,r}) + (h_{1z} - h^{(0)}_{1z}) \eta_{1,z_0},
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\nabla_0 = \mathbf{e}_r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \mathbf{e}_z \frac{\partial}{\partial z_0}.
\]

3. Expansions

The sinusoidal travelling wave solution of the first order problem governed by (6) - (7) can be written as

\[
\begin{align*}
[u_{1r}, u_{1z}, \Pi_1, \eta_1] &= \alpha \left[ -i I_1(k r), I_0(k r), \frac{I_0(k r)}{\alpha}, \frac{I_1(k)}{\omega} \right] A(z_1, t_1) e^{i \psi} + c.c., \\
[h_{1r}, h_{1z}, \phi_1] &= \frac{k A_0 \alpha}{\omega} \left[ i I_1(k r), -I_0(k r), \frac{-i I_1(k) K_0(k r)}{k K_1(k)} \right] A(z_1, t_1) e^{i \psi} + c.c.,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \alpha = \omega k / (\omega^2 - k^2 A_0^2) \), \( \psi = k z_0 - \omega t_0 \), \( c.c. \) is the complex conjugate and the frequency \( \omega \) satisfies the dispersion relation

\[
\omega^2 = \frac{k (k^2 - 1)}{I_a} + \frac{k A_0^2}{I_0(k) K_1(k)}, \quad I_a = \frac{I_0(k)}{I_1(k)}.
\]

It is clear that (12) allows for an assigned value of \( A_0 \), a single positive root \( (k_c \neq 0) \). We find that \( \omega^2 < 0 \) for \( 0 < k < k_c \). The jet is, therefore, unstable for
all deformations with wave numbers $k < k_c$, where $k_c$ depends on the strength of the magnetic field $A_0$. It is observed that the presence of a magnetic field ($A_0 \neq 0$) extends the region in which stable linear waves occur below $k_c = 1$, and in fact $\omega^2 > 0$ for all wave numbers for $A_0^2 > 1/2$. In this paper, we assume that $\omega^2 > 0$ so that the first order solutions represent a uniformly travelling wave train.

We now investigate the conditions under which the two waves can interact resonantly. Harmonic resonance will occur for all wave numbers $k$ such that $(k, \omega)$ and $(nk, n\omega)$ for some integer greater than $n = 1$ satisfy (12). The first resonant wave number $k_1$ corresponds to $n = 2$ and is the solution of

$$\frac{(4k_1^2 - 1)}{I_b} - \frac{2(k_1^2 - 1)}{I_a} + A_0^2 \left( \frac{1}{I_0(2k_1)K_1(2k_1)} - \frac{2}{I_0(k_1)K_1(k_1)} \right) = 0, \tag{13a}$$

where

$$I_b = \frac{I_0(2k_1)}{I_1(2k_1)}. \tag{13b}$$

It is found that for all $A_0^2 \neq 0$, (13a) has roots, which leads to the presence of a second harmonic resonance. The variation of $k_1$ with $A_0^2 \neq 0$ has been...
calculated and is shown in Figure 1. To describe the resonant interaction at or near \( k_1 \), we write

\[
[u_{1r}, \ u_{1z}, \ \Pi_1, \ \eta_1] = \sum_{n=1}^{2} \alpha_n \left( -i I_1(k_n r), I_0(k_n r), \frac{I_0(k_n r)}{\alpha_n}, \frac{I_1(k_n r)}{\omega_n} \right) A_n e^{i \psi_n} + c.c., \quad (14a)
\]

\[
[h_{1r}, \ h_{1z}, \ \phi_1] = \sum_{n=1}^{2} \frac{k_n A_0 \alpha_n}{\omega_n} \left( i I_1(k_n r), -I_0(k_n r), -\frac{i I_1(k_n) K_0(k_n r)}{k_n K_1(k_n)} \right) A_n e^{i \psi_n} + c.c., \quad (14b)
\]

where

\[
\omega_n^2 = \frac{k_n}{I_0(k_n)} \left( k_n^2 - 1 \right) I_1(k_n) + \frac{A_0^2}{K_1(k_n)}, \quad (15a)
\]

\[
\psi_n = k_n z_0 - \omega_n t_0, \quad (15b)
\]

\[
\alpha_n = \frac{\omega_n k_n}{\omega_n^2 - k_n^2 A_0^2}, \quad k_2 = 2 k_1, \quad \omega_2 = 2 \omega_1. \quad (15c)
\]

On substituting the first order solutions into the second order problem governed by (8) - (9), we obtain for the second order equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\ u_{2r,t_0} + \Pi_{2,r}^t - A_0 h_{2r,z_0} &= i \sum_{n=1}^{2} \left( \alpha_n I_1(k_n r) (A_{n,t_1} + M_n A_{n,z_1}) e^{i \psi_n} \right) + c.c., \\
\ u_{2z,t_0} + \Pi_{2,z}^t - A_0 h_{2z,z_0} &= -i \sum_{n=1}^{2} \left( \left( \alpha_n I_0(k_n r) (A_{n,t_1} + M_n A_{n,z_1}) + I_0(k_n r) A_{n,z_1} \right) e^{i \psi_n} \right) + c.c., \\
\ h_{2r,t_0} - A_0 u_{2r,z_0} &= -i A_0 \sum_{n=1}^{2} \left( R_n I_1(k_n r) (A_{n,t_1} + W_n A_{n,z_1}) e^{i \psi_n} \right) + c.c., \\
\ h_{2z,t_0} - A_0 u_{2z,z_0} &= A_0 \sum_{n=1}^{2} \left( R_n I_0(k_n r) (A_{n,t_1} + W_n A_{n,z_1}) e^{i \psi_n} \right) + c.c., \\
\ u_{2r,r} + r^{-1} u_{2r} + u_{2z,z_0} &= - \sum_{n=1}^{2} \left( \alpha_n I_0(k_n r) A_{n,z_1} e^{i \psi_n} \right) + c.c., \\
\ h_{2r,r} + r^{-1} h_{2r} + h_{2z,z_0} &= A_0 \sum_{n=1}^{2} \left( R_n I_0(k_n r) A_{n,z_1} e^{i \psi_n} \right) + c.c.,
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\phi_{2r,r} + r^{-1} \phi_{2r} + \phi_{2z,z_0} = -2A_0 \sum_{n=1}^{2} \left( \frac{R_n I_1(k_n)}{K_1(k_n)} K_0(k_n r) A_{n,z_1} e^{i\psi_1} \right) + c.c.,
\]

(16g)

where

\[
\Pi'_2 = \Pi_2 + \frac{1}{2} R_1 \left( I_0^2(k_1 r) - I_1^2(k_1 r) \right) A_1^2 e^{2i\psi_1} + R_1 \left( I_0(k_1 r) I_0(k_2 r) + I_1(k_1 r) I_1(k_2 r) \right) A_2 \bar{A}_1 e^{i\psi_1},
\]

(17a)

\[
M_n = \frac{A_0^2 W_n}{W_n}, \quad R_n = \frac{\alpha_n}{W_n}, \quad W_n = \frac{\omega_n}{k_n}.
\]

(17b)

The boundary conditions reduced to \( r = 1 \) are:

\[
u_{2r} - \eta_{2,0} = -2 \sum_{n=1}^{2} \left( \frac{\alpha_n I_1(k_n)}{\omega_n} A_{n,z_1} e^{i\psi_1} \right) + i\frac{\alpha^2_1}{\omega_1} \left( 2k_1 I_0(k_1) I_1(k_1) - I_1^2(k_1) \right) A_1^2 e^{2i\psi_1} + c.c.,
\]

(18a)

\[
\Pi_2 + \eta_2 + \eta_{2,0} = A_0 h_{2z}^{(0)} = -2i \sum_{n=1}^{2} \left( R_n I_1(k_n) A_{n,z_1} e^{i\psi_1} \right) + \left( \frac{\alpha_1^2 I_1^2(k_1)}{\omega_1^2} \left[ 1 - k_1^2 - 0.5k_1^2 A_0^2(1 - 4K_{a1} K_{a2}) - 2.5 \frac{k_1^2}{R_1} \right] \right) \times I_1(k_1) I_1(k_2) A_2 \bar{A}_1 e^{i\psi_1} + c.c.,
\]

(18b)

\[
h_{2r} - \phi_{2,r} = i \sum_{n=1}^{2} \left( R_n A_0 I_1(k_n) K_{an} e^{i\psi_1} \right) - \frac{2i \alpha_1^2 k_1^2 A_0 I_1^2(k_1)}{\omega_1^2} (K_{a1} - K_{a2}) A_1^2 e^{2i\psi_1} - 5i R_1^2 A_0 (K_{a1} + K_{a2} + I_{a1} + 2I_{a2}) A_2 \bar{A}_1 e^{i\psi_1} + c.c.,
\]

(18c)

where

\[
K_{an} = K_0(k_n)/K_1(k_n), \quad I_{an} = I_0(k_n)/I_1(k_n).
\]

(18d)
After some straightforward reductions we obtain the uniformly valid solution of the second order problem as:

\[
\eta_2 = -i \sum_{n=1}^{2} \left( \frac{\alpha_n}{\omega_n^2} \left\{ I_1(k_n)A_{n,t_1} + \omega_n I_2(k_n)A_{n,z_1} \right\} e^{i\psi_n} \right) \\
+ \frac{\alpha_1^2}{2\omega_1^2} I_1^2(k_1) \left( 2k_1 I_{a1} - 1 \right) A_1^2 e^{2i\psi_1} \\
+ \frac{\alpha_1^2}{2\omega_1^2} \left( k_1 I_1(k_1) I_0(k_2) \left\{ 2 + \frac{I_{a1}}{I_{a2}} \right\} - I_1(k_2) I_1(k_1) \right) A_2 \bar{A}_1 e^{i\psi_1} \\
\text{+c.c.,} \\
\text{(19a)}
\]

\[
u_{2r} = -\sum_{n=1}^{2} (\alpha_n r I_2(k_nr)A_{n,z_1} e^{i\psi_n}) + \text{c.c.,} \\
\text{(19b)}
\]

\[
u_{2z} = i \sum_{n=1}^{2} \left[ \frac{\alpha_n}{k_n} (I_0(k_nr) - k_nr I_1(k_nr)) A_{n,z_1} e^{i\psi_n} \right] + \text{c.c.,} \\
\text{(19c)}
\]

\[
h_{2r} = A_0 \sum_{n=1}^{2} \left[ \frac{\alpha_n}{\omega_n} (I_1(k_nr) \left\{ A_{n,z_1} + W_n^{-1}A_{n,t_1} \right\} + k_nr I_2(k_nr)A_{n,z_1}) \right] e^{i\psi_n} \\
\text{+c.c.,} \\
\text{(19d)}
\]

\[
h_{2z} = i A_0 \sum_{n=1}^{2} \frac{\alpha_n}{\omega_n} \left[ W_n^{-1}I_0(k_nr)A_{n,t_1} + k_nr I_1(k_nr)A_{n,z_1} \right] e^{i\psi_n} + \text{c.c.,} \\
\text{(19e)}
\]

\[
\Pi_2 = \frac{i}{k_n} \sum_{n=1}^{2} \left[ \left( 2\alpha_n W_n A_{n,z_1} + \alpha_n (1 + A_2^2 W_n^{-1})A_{n,t_1} \right) I_0(k_nr) - k_nr I_1(k_nr)A_{n,z_1} \right] e^{i\psi_n} \\
- \frac{5}{R_1} \left( I_1^2(k_1r) - I_1^2(k_1r) \right) A_1^2 e^{2i\psi_1} \\
- \frac{1}{R_1} \left[ I_0(k_1r) I_0(k_2r) + I_1(k_1r) I_1(k_2r) \right] A_2 \bar{A}_1 e^{i\psi_1} + \text{c.c.,} \\
\text{(19f)}
\]

\[
\phi_2 = A_0 \sum_{n=1}^{2} \left[ \frac{\alpha_n I_1(k_nr)}{\omega_n K_1(k_n)} K_1(k_nr)A_{n,z_1} \right] e^{i\psi_n} + \text{c.c.} \\
\text{(19g)}
\]

Equations (19) together with (18b) - (18c) lead to the dynamical equations for the coupled amplitude as

\[
\frac{\partial A_1}{\partial t_1} + U_1 \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial z_1} = i q_1 A_2 \bar{A}_1 e^{i\tau}, \\
\text{(20)}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial A_2}{\partial t_1} + U_2 \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial z_1} = i q_2 A_1^2 e^{i\tau}, \\
\text{(21)}
\]
where

\[ U_i = \frac{d\omega_i}{dk}, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (22) \]

\[ q_1 = -\frac{k_1}{2\alpha_1 l_0(k_1)} \left( \frac{I_1(k_1)I_1(k_2)}{\omega_1^2} \frac{\alpha_1\alpha_2}{\omega_1^2} \right) \times \left[ 1 - k_1^2 \left\{ 1 - 0.5A_0^2(1 + 4K_{a1}K_{a2}) \right\} - 2.5R_1I_1(k_1)I_1(k_2) \right] \]

\[ -(1 - k_1^2) \left( \frac{\alpha_1R_1}{2\omega_1}I_1(k_1)I_1(k_2) \left\{ 2I_2 + \frac{l_{a2}l_1(k_2)}{l_2(k_1)} - \frac{1}{k_1} \right\} \right) \]

\[ + \frac{\alpha_1R_1}{2\omega_1} A_0^2K_{a1} \left\{ \frac{I_1(k_1)}{K_{a1}} + \frac{I_1(k_2)}{K_{a1}} \right\} \]

\[ + R_1I_1(k_1)I_1(k_2) \left\{ 1 + l_{a1} + l_{a2} \right\}, \quad (23) \]

\[ q_2 = -\frac{k_2}{2\alpha_2 l_0(k_2)} \left[ 0.5R_1 \left( l_0^2(k_1) - l_1^2(k_1) \right) \right] \]

\[ + \frac{\alpha_2^2}{\omega_1^2} I_1^2(k_1) \left\{ 1 + 0.5k_1^2 - \omega_1^2 + 0.5k_1^2 A_0^2(K_{a1}^2 - 1) \right\} \]

\[ - \frac{\alpha_1^2}{2\omega_1^2} (1 - k_2^2) \left\{ 2k_1 I_0(k_1)I_1(k_1) - I_1^2(k_1) \right\} \]

\[ + 4\alpha_1 R_2 A_0^2 K_{a2} \frac{l_1(k_2)}{\omega_2 K_{a1}(k_1)} \right], \quad (24) \]

and the detuning parameter

\[ \tau = \frac{(k_2 - 2k_1)x_1}{\varepsilon} - \frac{(\omega_2 - 2\omega_1)t_1}{\varepsilon}. \quad (25) \]

We have calculated the values of \( q_1 \) and \( q_2 \) for various values of \( A_0^2 \neq 0 \) and it has been observed that \( q_1 \) and \( q_2 \) have opposite signs. If we let \( A_m = (a_m/2) \exp(i\theta_m) \) with \( a_m \) and \( \theta_m \) real and slowly varying functions of the slower variables \( z_1 \) and \( t_1 \) in (20) - (21), we get

\[ \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial t_1} + U_1 \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial z_1} = -q_1 \frac{a_1a_2}{2} \sin(\alpha), \quad (26) \]

\[ \frac{\partial a_2}{\partial t_1} + U_2 \frac{\partial a_2}{\partial z_1} = q_2 \frac{a_2^2}{2} \sin(\alpha), \quad (27) \]

\[ \frac{\partial \theta_1}{\partial t_1} + U_1 \frac{\partial \theta_1}{\partial z_1} = \frac{q_1a_2}{2} \cos(\alpha), \quad (28) \]
\[ \frac{\partial \theta_2}{\partial t_1} + U_2 \frac{\partial \theta_2}{\partial z_1} = \frac{q_1 a_1^2}{2a_2} \cos(\alpha), \quad (29) \]

where

\[ \alpha = \theta_2 - 2\theta_1 + \tau. \quad (30) \]

It appears difficult to obtain the solutions of (26) - (29) subject to general initial conditions; we investigate the spatial variations of amplitude and phases in the \( \varepsilon \) neighbourhood of the first resonant wave number, i.e., \( \omega_2 = 2\omega_1 \), \( k_2 = 2k_1 + 0(\varepsilon) \). In this case, we get after some reductions:

\[ a_1^2 + \nu a_2^2 = E \quad (31) \]

\[ (d\chi/dz_1)^2 = G(\chi), \quad (32) \]

where

\[ \nu = U_2 q_1 / (U_1 q_2), \quad (33) \]

\[ G(\chi) = \frac{E q_2^2}{U_2^2} \left( \chi(1 - \nu \chi)^2 \frac{1}{E} \left( \frac{L}{E} - \frac{\sigma U_2}{q_2} \chi \right)^2 \right), \quad (34) \]

\[ \chi = a_2^2 / E, \quad \sigma = (k_2 - 2k_1) / \varepsilon. \quad (35) \]

Here \( E \) and \( L \) are the constants of integration. The stability of the fluid surface is dependent upon the roots of the algebraic equation \( G(\chi) = 0 \) (see Nayfeh and Mook [5]). For the particular initial conditions \( a_1^2 = E, \, a_2 = 0 \) at \( z = z_1 = 0 \), we get \( L = 0 \) and

\[ (d\chi/dz_1)^2 = R \chi \left( (1 - \nu \chi)^2 - 2\Omega \chi \right), \quad (36) \]

where \( R = E q_2^2 / U_2^2 \) and \( \Omega = \sigma^2 U_2^2 / (2E q_2^2) \). Therefore, since \( \nu < 0 \), the motion is stable only if \( \Omega > 2|\nu| \). The fluid surface is unstable and the displacement grows if \( \nu < 0 \) and the detuning parameter \( \sigma \) is small enough so that \( \sigma^2 < 4|\nu| E q_2^2 / U_2^2 \). In particular, at perfect resonance, (i.e., \( \sigma = 0 \) and \( \nu < 0 \), the fluid surface is always unstable. Thus at the second harmonic resonance the fluid motion becomes unbounded and hence results in an instability. Lardner and Trehan [2] calculated the modulationally stable and unstable regions which are shown in Figure 1. We observe that the first resonant wave number where the solutions of Lardner and Trehan [2] are not valid lies in the modulationally stable region for some values of \( A_0^2 \neq 0 \). We have shown that in that region, at perfect resonance, the fluid motion results in an instability at the second harmonic resonance.
We now examine the steady state solutions of (20) - (21) and assume \( A_m = f_m(\xi) \exp(i \mu m z_1), \) \( f_m(\xi) = a_m \exp(i \Phi_m), \) with \( m = 1, 2 \) and \( \xi = t_1 - \lambda z_1, \) where \( \mu \) and \( \lambda \) are real constants. Also \( a_m \) and \( \Phi_m \) are parameters of slow scale \( z_1 \) and \( t_1. \) Equations (20) and (21) with \( \tau = 0 \) leads to

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\beta_1 a_1^2}{a_1} + \frac{\beta_2 a_2^2}{a_2} &= E_0, \\
\frac{\lambda_0}{a_1^2} + a_2^2 \left( \frac{\delta^2}{\beta_1^2} - \frac{E_0}{\beta_1^2 \beta_2} \right) + \frac{a_1^4}{\beta_1 \beta_2} + \frac{2 \lambda_0 \delta}{\beta_1} &= 0, 
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \beta_m = (1 - \lambda U_m)/q_m, \) \( m = 1, 2 \) and \( \delta = \mu \beta_1 [U_1/(q_1 \beta_1) - U_2/(q_2 \beta_2)]. \) \( E_0 \) and \( \lambda_0 \) are the constants of integration. In view of the apparent singularity in (38), where \( a_1 \rightarrow 0, \) \( \lambda_0 = 0, \) it is convenient to express this result in terms of energy \( E_1 \) of the \( A_1 \) oscillator to \( O(1), \) with \( E_1 = a_1^2/2. \) Thus (38) reduces to

\[
E_1'^2 + \lambda_0^2 - \frac{8 E_1^2}{\beta_1 \beta_2} \left( \frac{E_0}{2 \beta_1} - E_1 \right) + \frac{4 \delta E_1}{\beta_1} \left( \lambda_0 + \frac{\delta E_1}{\beta_1} \right) = 0. \tag{39}
\]

The solution of (39) exists only if \( E_1'^2 > 0 \) and is consistent with other integrals of motion for values of \( \lambda_0 \) such that \( \beta_2 \beta_1^{-1} > 0 \) and \( 0 < \lambda_0^2 < 4 E_0^3/(27 \beta_1^4 \beta_2). \)
The values of $\lambda$ for which $\beta_2\beta_1^{-1} > 0$ are calculated for various values of $A^2_0 \neq 0$ and shown in Figure 2. The motion is bounded when $\lambda$ lies within the shaded region. These bounded solutions consist of both amplitude and phase modulated waves (see Nayfeh and Mook [5]).
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