Ecological history of Lachlan Nature Reserve, Centennial Park, Sydney, Australia: a palaeoecological approach to conservation
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SUMMARY
Reconstructing the environmental history of protected areas permits an empirically-based assessment of the conservation values ascribed to these sites. Ideally, this long-term view can contribute to evidence-based management policy that is both ecologically ‘realistic’ and pragmatically feasible. Lachlan Nature Reserve, a protected wetland in Centennial Park, Sydney, is claimed to be the final remnant of early and pre-European swamplands that were once extensive in the area, and the site is thus considered to have indigenous cultural and natural conservation significance. This study uses palynological techniques to reconstruct vegetation communities at the Reserve from the late Holocene to the present in order to assess whether these values adequately reflect the history, character and development of the site. The findings indicate that the modern site flora is a modified Melaleuca quinquenervia low forest assemblage formed in response to aggregated anthropogenic disturbance since colonial settlement. This assemblage replaces an Epacris-dominated heath-swampland community that was extirpated in the mid-20th century. These results emphasize the value of long-term studies in contributing to a realistic management policy that explicitly reflects the normative basis of conservation, and values the influence of past land-uses on contemporary protected ecosystems.
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INTRODUCTION
Acceptance of the fact that all ecosystems are dynamic, complex, and historically contingent, has led to broad theoretical recognition of the normative nature of conservation practice. This highlights the need to apply value systems in conservation-based decision making to promote meaningful and sustainable policy for protected sites (Barry & Oelschlaeger 1996; Robertson & Hull 2001; Moore et al. 2009).

However, this tends not to occur in practice, with conservation goals often founded upon seemingly positivistic (commonly anecdotal) scientific benchmarks, particularly with respect to preservation of ‘natural’ sites (Wallington et al. 2005; Sutherland et al. 2013). This approach has been applied to the management of Lachlan Nature Reserve, a 1.3 ha protected wetland in Centennial Park, Sydney, which, in the absence of any long-term scientific evidence, is guided by the premise that the contemporary site ecology is representative of the late 18th and early 19th century swamplands, offering a link to the early and pre-European environment (Centennial Park and Moore Park Trust 2006, 2012).

There is concern that adopting this approach to management may result in the formulation of narrowly focused and unrealistic objectives that do not account for site-specific land-use legacies and resilience to future change (Davies & Bunting 2010). Moreover, the reliance on non-evidence-based presumptions of ‘naturalness’ may prevent managers from considering alternative approaches that may be more cost-effective or more appropriate for the site in terms of sustaining ecological services (Sutherland et al. 2004). In order to strengthen conservation praxis, there is a need for research that demonstrates the inherently normative nature of conservation, thus promoting an approach focused upon pragmatic site-specific decision-making that justifies why the preservation of one version of a landscape is valued over another. In order for this to occur, research is required that tests the validity of conservation objectives commonly applied to protected sites, and which provides robust empirical data that can facilitate informed management policy.

The palaeoecological record provides an empirical framework for assessing past ecosystem dynamics, allowing for the validity of conservation goals to be tested, and, where applicable, re-evaluated (Birks 1996; Foster 2002; Willis & Birks 2006; Froyd & Wils 2008). While such research tends to be poorly used at the science/policy interface (Willis et al. 2007; Davies & Bunting 2010), these methods have proven successful in dispelling assumptions about the naturalness (or unnaturalness) of particular species and ecosystems, and demonstrate the importance of land-use legacies in shaping ecosystem expression (see for example Oldfield 1970; Foster et al. 2002; Lynch & Saltonstall 2002; Willis et al. 2004; Brncic et al. 2007; Feurdean & Willis 2008; Feurdean 2010; Hannon et al. 2010).

There are few instances where palaeoecological approaches have been used to explicitly evaluate and inform conservation
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policy in the Southern Hemisphere (with exceptions such as Bennett & Mooney 2003), and fewer still at small-scale sites that have been heavily disturbed by urbanization. Studies assessing the validity of ‘naturalness’ objectives are, however, of particular relevance to conservation approaches in Australia, which has typically reflected ‘new-world’ wilderness ideals that emphasize pristine nature, analogous to Cronan’s (1996) critique of culturally-constructed wilderness ideals in the USA, despite the long history of intensive human modification of natural systems (Bird et al. 2008).

Effective conservation of remnant ecosystems within urban contexts is important, in part because they are so rare, and because the pressures exerted on these systems, tangible or otherwise, may be diverse and intense, making even well-informed management challenging. The absence of connectivity between disjunct ‘patches’ represents a particular challenge in urban areas (Godefroid & Koedam 2003). Rapid global urbanization means disconnected remnant systems, such as the Lachlan Reserve, will become more common in the future (Goddard et al. 2010). Therefore, learning how to properly manage urban ecosystem resilience whilst maximizing ecosystem services in a tightly funded environment is likely to become a preoccupation of urban planners, ecologists and conservationists over coming decades. Here, we provide the missing empirical basis for the management of one such urban conservation area, Lachlan Reserve, within the dense urban core of Sydney. We use palynological techniques to reconstruct the vegetation history of the Reserve and aim to: (1) determine the extent to which the ‘natural’ values assigned to the site accurately represent its pre-European ecological condition; (2) make an empirical evaluation of the current management goals of the site; and (3) on a broader scale, provide a basis for demonstrating the value of using palaeoecological techniques to conceptualize and assist in the development of realistic evidence-based conservation policy.

Study site

Hydrogeological setting
The Lachlan Nature Reserve (Fig. 1) is located within the central precinct of Centennial Park, a 220 ha urban green space c. 4 km south-east of Sydney’s city centre. The Reserve sits at the springhead of what was once a 486–600 ha swampland, the Lachlan Swamps, which drained southwards to Botany Bay in a chain of freshwater wetlands fed by the 18 300 ha Botany Sands aquifer (Ashton & Blackmore 1998; McHugh et al. 1998; Ross et al. 1998).

Site soils are characterized by waterlogged, acidic and skeletal quartz sands, the upper layers of which are discoloured by decayed vegetation. These sediments overlie a Triassic Hawkesbury Sandstone basement, which rises beneath the site causing the aquifer to outcrop at the Reserve springhead (Jankowski & Beck 2000). This spring and the associated swamplands are of historical importance, providing fresh water and other natural resources to the indigenous Gadi clan and European colonists, and becoming the major supply of fresh water to the colony of Sydney from 1820 to 1859 (Ashton & Blackmore 1998).
Site vegetation

The presumed ‘natural’ flora of Sydney at European contact (1788) has been mapped and described by Benson and Howell (1990, 1994). Though even semi-natural vegetation remnants are rare within the study region, the pre-European flora of the area is thought to be relatively localized due to the unique geomorphic conditions afforded by the Botany Sands aquifer. The region is presumed to have once supported a swamp-heathland mosaic of Eastern Suburbs Banksia Scrub (ESBS) growing on sand dunes and ridges, interspersed with estuarine and freshwater wetlands in swales and along drainage lines (Fig 1). The ESBS unit, currently listed as an Endangered Ecological Community (NSW [New South Wales] Scientific Committee 2012), predominantly consists of sclerophyllous shrub species (including Banksia aemula R.Br. and B. serrata L.f.). Natural freshwater swamp units are thought to comprise open-sedge-lands, typified by the presence of Eleocharis sphacelata (R.Br.), Bauarea juncea (R.Br.) and Persicaria decipiens (R.Br.) (Benson & Howell 1994), and Melaleuca quinquenervia [(Cav.) S.T.Blake] low-forest units, of which the Lachlan Reserve vegetation is described as a ‘surviving example’ (Benson & Howell 1994, p. 711).

The dominant species at the Reserve broadly align with the characteristics of the low-forest freshwater swamp unit described by Benson and Howell (1994). Contemporary canopy vegetation overwhelmingly consists of broad-leaved paperbark (M. quinquenervia), with swamp she-oak (Casuarina glauca Sieber ex Sprengel), swamp mahogany (Eucalyptus robusta Sm.), swamp paperbark (Melaleuca ericifolia Sm.), prickly paperbark (Melaleuca styphelioides Sm.), willow bottlebrush (Callistemon salignus [Sm.] Sweet) and Sydney wattle (Acacia longifolia [Andr.] Willd.) present as minor canopy species. The understorey is dominated by saw sedge (Gahnia sieberiana Kunth) and several fern fens, including coral fern (Gleichenia dicarpa R. Br.), hare’s foot fern (Davallia solida [G.Forst.] Sw) and harsh ground fern (Hypolepis muelleri N.A.Wakef.), with some basket grass (Lomandra longifolia Labill.) and narrow-leaved palm lily (Cordyline stricta [Sims] Endl.). Several patches of unidentified grass species grow in the vicinity of the site, particularly around the Reserve margins where canopy cover is less dense. The surrounding parkland is vegetated with lawns, avenues and scattered plantings of palms (Phoenix canariensis Chabaud), tropical figs (Ficus sp.), holm oaks (Quercus ilex), Queensland kauri (Agathis robusta [C.Moore ex F.Muell.] Bailey) and hoop and Norfolk Island pines (Araucaria cunninghamii Aiton ex D.Don; Araucaria heterophylla [Salisb.] Franco). There are some patches of wooded grassland around the artificial ponds to the south of the Reserve.

Management approach

Centennial Park’s Plan of Management specifies that the Lachlan Reserve be managed as ‘a predominantly natural landscape setting of environmental significance with strong cultural significance’ that encourages visitor awareness of its ‘sensitive natural and heritage values’ (Centennial Park and Moore Park Trust 2006, p. 50). These values are elaborated upon in the Tree Management Plan, which explains that the Reserve flora, representing a reconstruction of the indigenous swamp vegetation, is significant in that it demonstrates early 20th century efforts to protect the remnant indigenous vegetation of the Park (Context Landscape Design 2002). The prescribed significance of the site is conveyed to visitors by an interpretive boardwalk that runs through the Reserve, aiming to give ‘insight into what the area was like before the parkland developed’ (Centennial Park and Moore Park Trust 2012).

Historical development of the site

Use of, and attitudes towards the Lachlan Swamps since European settlement have evolved with changing resource demands and shifting perceptions of the natural environment, and are important in the physical and cultural development of the Reserve. The land-use practices of the indigenous Gadi people prior European contact in 1788, which instigated a catastrophic decline in their population, is poorly understood. However, the wetlands are extremely likely to have provided abundant fresh water and other vital resources tied to the customary and dietary practices of this clan (Dickson 1973; Larcombe 1970).

Following colonization, the Lachlan Swamps were left relatively undisturbed by human activity, having been deemed ‘unhealthy’ by the then-Governor of the colony (Philip 1782 [1789]). By 1811, problems associated with the grazing of animals in town gardens resulted in 607 ha of land surrounding the Lachlan Swamps set aside as a Common Ground (Doyle 1978). The establishment of several large industrial enterprises within the northern portion of Botany Bay followed, including wool-washing, flour and paper mill operations (The Sydney Gazette and NSW Advertiser 1818; Dow 1974; Marriott 1982; Ashton & Blackmore 1998). It was throughout this period that the value of the swamplands as a large perennial water supply was recognized. Droughts in the 1820s placed Sydney’s existing water supply under substantial pressure (NSW Committee on the Tunnel 1837; Merrick 1998). This resulted in a portion of the Lachlan Swamps being converted into the Lachlan Water Reserve in 1827, since this natural water supply was ‘nearest to town . . . and most likely to afford an abundant supply of soft, fresh, water’ (NSW Committee on the Tunnel 1837, p. 2). Construction of the water reserve initially involved the installation of a 3.5 km gravity-fed aqueduct, Busby’s Bore, between what is now the western Park entrance and a large reservoir constructed at Hyde Park, Sydney (Public Works Department of NSW 1990). Pressures on this water supply led to further infrastructural works at the swamps, including cutting drains through the swamps (Richards & NSW Sydney Water Supply Commission 1869), installing steam pumps, and constructing dams (now the location of the parkland ponds) and storage reservoirs (Doyle 1978). The Lachlan Water Reserve contributed to Sydney’s water supply until the mid-1880s (Ashton & Blackmore 1998).
In 1887, the decommissioned water reserve was converted into Centennial Park in commemoration of the centenary of the colony’s founding (Doyle 1978). Park construction, being embedded in the gardenesque school of landscape design (Ashton & Blackmore 1998), involved the significant reworking of the environment. This included clearing the ‘pristine, woody shrub, draining the site . . . and breaking up the ground’ (State Records of NSW 1883–1889). It soon became clear that the botanical design of the park was unsuitable for the free-draining, nutrient-poor soils and climatic conditions of the area (State Records of NSW 1883–1889). Recognizing these limitations, the second Park overseer, Joseph Maiden, adopted a pragmatic approach to management that included planting M. quinquenervia wind breaks in 1896 (including the avenues of broad-leaved paperbark that are immediately north of the study area), and preserving ‘remainder indigenous flora’ (Context Landscape Design 2002, p. 9). 

Maintenance of the Park was neglected throughout the first half of the 20th century due to funding and labour shortages associated with World War 1 (Doyle 1978), with the exception of a major tree-planting programme instigated in 1935 (Sydney Morning Herald 1935). In 1964, a Special Committee was established to oversee the improvement of the parklands, including de-silting of the spring-fed ponds and the resumption of tree-planting (Public Works Department of NSW 1990). Responsibility for the park management was handed to a park trust (now the Centennial and Moore Park Trust) in 1983. Management has since been focused on creating a ‘designed landscape in an urban context’ that must ‘continue to expand its role as a conservation park and a refuge for wildlife’ (Centennial and Moore Park Trust Act 1983, part 2, section 9, Functions of Trust available at http://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au).

**METHODS**

**Core extraction**

A hand-auger was used to measure the depth of organic soil and record the stratigraphy at 24 intersections of an *a priori* 30 m sampling grid established across 2.7 ha of the site (Fig. 1). The location with the greatest thickness of organic soil, representing the greatest time-depth and providing the best temporal resolution, (core site B2), was sampled by driving a 60 mm diameter PVC tube into the soil using a sliding hammer and collar device and extracting the core by hand (core LSB2, 63 cm depth).

**Sedimentology**

The volume magnetic susceptibility (κvol) of the core was measured by passing the whole sample at 2 cm intervals through a 100 mm diameter magnetic susceptibility MS2C core-logging sensor attached to a Bartington MS2 meter (Dearing 1999). The core was split in half lengthways, the colour logged (Munsell Colour 1994), and continuous 1 cm thick subsamples (c. 3.78 cm²) taken. Mineral particle size distributions for each subsample were determined by laser diffraction using a Malvern Mastersizer 2000, following oxidation (30% w/w H₂O₂) of 1–1.5 g (wet weight) of material. Soils textures were classified following the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) classification system (Soil Survey Staff 2010).

**Palynology**

Plant microfossils (pollen and spores) were extracted from each subsample following standard procedures (Faegri & Iversen 1989) and identified and enumerated using Zeiss Axioskop II and Olympus BH-2 light microscopes at ×400 to ×1000 magnification. Pollen and spore taxonomy followed online pollen databases (University of Newcastle 2005; Australian National University 2010) and comparison with reference samples collected from vouchered specimens at the New South Wales Herbarium, Royal Botanical Gardens, Sydney (17 species from 13 families and 15 genera). Following enumeration, microfossil absolute abundance (pollen/g wet sediment) was calculated following Stockmarr (1971) and plotted stratigraphically using the software package C2 version 1.4 beta (Juggins 2004). The pollen and spore sequence from each sample was classified using CONISS analysis with the program ZONE (Juggins 1992) based on FORTRAN IV programs (Gordon & Birks 1972), and CONISS FORTRAN 77 (Grimm 1987) (Data counts converted to proportions; dissimilarity coefficient: squared chord distance).

**Chronology**

We extracted 12 sediment samples from the core for ²¹⁷Cs and alpha spectrometry ²¹⁰Pb dating between depths of 0 and 51 cm. These were submitted to the Cosmogenic Isotope and Radiochemistry Laboratory, GNS Science, New Zealand, for dating. ²¹⁰Pb ages were modelled using the constant initial concentration (CIC) model, following Goldberg (1963), and the constant rate of supply (CRS) model, using CRSModel software developed by Higuera (2012), which calculates sample ages following Binford (1990). One sample was extracted from 60–61 cm depth for AMS radiocarbon dating to date the base of the core, which was assumed to be beyond the limit of ²¹⁰Pb and ²¹⁷Cs dating. The result was calibrated to calendar years using the IntCAL09 dataset (Reimer et al. 2009) in the program CALIB 6.0 (Stuiver & Reimer 1986).

Pollen from exotic plants was used to refine the chronology provided by radiometric dating. This approach is particularly useful in the context of Centennial Park because the dates of certain historic plantings in the Lachlan Water Reserve and parkland are accurately known, and their pollen appears in the sedimentary record. The first presence of pollen from *Pinus* (known to be planted in the vicinity of the site c.1865), and *Ficus* (first introduced to the site in 1887–1888 as one
of the first mass parkland plantings), are considered to be of particular value to the chronology.

RESULTS

Sedimentology

Core sediments were comprised of six sand units (I–VI) (Fig. 2). We observed two peaks in $\kappa$vol in units III and IV (at 16 and 32 cm depth), respectively.

Chronology

Excess lead activity consistently decreased with depth in the core to 20–21 cm, below which negative excess $^{210}$Pb values were measured; core depths beyond 21 cm were thus beyond the limit of $^{210}$Pb dating. $^{137}$Cs is apparent at, and above 18–19 cm depth, dating this depth interval at 1955 AD, which is considered to be the earliest appearance of this artificial radioisotope between latitudes of 30–40°S (Leslie & Hancock 2008). There was no clear peak in $^{137}$Cs accumulation, which is commonly the case for the Southern Hemisphere (Olley et al. 1990; Table 1).

The sample extracted at 60–61 cm depth for radiocarbon dating (laboratory number Beta-284319) returned an age of 5450 ± 40 14C yrs before present (BP), calibrated to 4330–4240 calendar yrs BC at 1 SD. Pollen from Pinus appears in the microfossil record at 21–22 cm depth, and Ficus pollen appears for the first time at 20–21 cm, dating these depths at c.1865 and c.1888, respectively (Table 1).

$^{210}$Pb dates modelled using the CIC method appear to correlate better with dates derived from the $^{137}$Cs and microfossil markers, and we thus selected them for use in the chronological depth model. This model was established using a third-order polynomial regression of all dated points, which allows for a ‘best-fit’ for the modelled ages, without being too ‘stiff’ (too many terms) or ‘flexible’ (too few terms) (Bennett & Fuller 2002). Large errors in the age model were apparent above 13 cm depth due to the curvature of the polynomial regression, and the ages of these upper sediments (c. 1991 to 2010) were based upon the absolute $^{210}$Pb CIC calculations.

The chronological model predicts that the age of the extracted sediments increases with depth. The large radiometrically-measured age gap between the core base (60.5 cm at c. 4889 calendar yrs BC) and 21.5 cm (c. 1871 AD) implies either extremely low accumulation rates between these time periods (of the order of 0.064 mm yr$^{-1}$), or an hiatus in the record. The stratigraphic boundary at 24 cm depth between units III and IV may be associated with one such hiatus in sediment accumulation.

Palynology

Fifty-four pollen and spore taxa representing 28 families (excluding the morphological classification of fern spores termed ‘Monolete’ A–C and ‘Trilete’ A and B) were identified from core LSB2. Major arboreal, herb and fern taxa were plotted stratigraphically (Figs 3 and 4).

Twenty-five pollen and spore types, representing 1.5% of the total pollen and spore count, could not be identified and were described and photographed. An average of 174 arboreal (woody plant) specimens and 452 pollen and spore specimens were counted per slide, excluding unknown types. The abundance of pollen and spores reduced with depth, with samples below 39–40 cm depth effectively sterile. Vegetation changes are apparent with increasing depth, from an assemblage dominated by M. quinquenervia, Poaceae, Cyperaceae and Gleichenia to an assemblage characterized by the presence of by Epacris, Casuarina, Cyperaceae, Restionaceae pollen and Trilete A and B spores, which have been identified as Lycopodium spp. (sensu Macphail 2005, p. 1.3; Large & Braggins 1991, p. 15). We provide a detailed description here as an appendix (Appendix 1, see supplementary material at Journals.cambridge.org/ENC).

We classified the microfossil data into six sample zones (A–F) based on the outcomes of stratigraphically constrained cluster analysis. The primary division within the data occurs at 20 cm depth (c. 1896 AD; 2.023 dispersion) followed by a secondary division at 15 cm (c. 1979 AD; 3.613 dispersion), tertiary at 24 cm (c. 1770 AD; 2.935 dispersion) and quaternary at 33 cm (c. 1215 AD; 2.447 dispersion). These divisions represent the zone boundaries (Figs 3 and 4), with zone A representing the deepest, sterile portion of the core (63–40 cm). This classification appears to correlate well with the three major land-use periods of the Lachlan Swamps: (1) pre-European (pre-1788), represented by microfossil zones B and C; (2) early-European, including use of the site as the Sydney Common and the Lachlan Water Reserve (1788–1886), represented by microfossil zone D; and (3)
Table 1  Modelled ages derived from the dating methods applied to core LSB2. Notes: present (×); absent (–). Sources: *Goldberg (1963); bBinford (1990); cLeslie and Hancock (2008); dStuiver and Reimer (1986); Reimer et al. (2009).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample depth (cm)</th>
<th>$^{210}$Pb CIC dates$^a$</th>
<th>$^{210}$Pb CRS dates (95% CI)$^b$</th>
<th>Pinus pollen</th>
<th>Ficus pollen</th>
<th>$^{137}$Cs marker (Bq kg$^{-1}$)$^c$</th>
<th>$^{14}$C$^d$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–1</td>
<td>2007 AD</td>
<td>2010 ± 1 AD</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>8.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5–6</td>
<td>2005 AD</td>
<td>1994 ± 9 AD</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>6.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–11</td>
<td>1996 AD</td>
<td>1969 ± 15 AD</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>5.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12–13</td>
<td>1991 AD</td>
<td>1954 ± 22 AD</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15–16</td>
<td>1985 AD</td>
<td>1914 ± 51 AD</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17–18</td>
<td>1958 AD</td>
<td>1893 ± 154 AD</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–19</td>
<td>1914 AD</td>
<td></td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>0.46 1955 AD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19–20</td>
<td>1855 AD</td>
<td></td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–21</td>
<td>negative excess $^{210}$Pb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1888 AD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21–22</td>
<td>negative excess $^{210}$Pb</td>
<td>1865 AD</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–31</td>
<td>negative excess $^{210}$Pb</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–41</td>
<td>negative excess $^{210}$Pb</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–51</td>
<td>negative excess $^{210}$Pb</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–61</td>
<td>negative excess $^{210}$Pb</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td>4330–4240 BC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3  Absolute arboreal taxa microfossil abundance (pollen g/wet sediment) plotted against core depth (cm).

post-establishment of Centennial Park (1887 AD to present), represented by microfossil zones E and F.

DISCUSSION

Interpretation of microfossil data in the context of past land use

Pre-European swamplands (pre-1788)

The composition of the pre-European arboreal pollen assemblage is dominated by the woody heath plant *Epacris* and the she-oak *Casuarina*, and may be diagnostic of Sydney’s dune heathland communities (Hamilton 1928). When this is considered in conjunction with the dominance of wetland herbs, we conclude that the pre-European community was either a sedge-heath swampland (Beadle 1981) or, if the site was immediately proximal to an elevated dune (D’Costa & Kershaw 1997), a sedge-swamp heathland mosaic. This latter interpretation is plausible given the illustration of ridge-tops punctuated with waterlogged depressions on mid-19th century maps of the Botany Sands region (State Records of NSW 1845, 1847, 1853). Additionally, comparison of the existing site location with georeferenced historical maps of the site indicates that the study area is likely to have been situated along the northern boundary of the water reserve, adjacent to ‘sandy ground covered with brushwood’ (State Records of NSW 1845).

Early European Swamplands (c. 1770 AD–1887 AD)

Zone D represents the vegetation assemblage growing within the vicinity of the site during the use of the swampland as Sydney’s Common Ground and Water Reserve. There is no dramatic change in the dominant heath-swampland assemblage at the interface of zones C and D. The relative stability of the flora across this boundary indicates that, if there is an hiatus in sedimentation at this point, either the uppermost sediments of Units C and D have been mixed,
or there were limited changes to the vegetation across the putative discontinuity. Alternatively, the onset of European arrival increased rates of sediment delivery to and storage in the swamp (potentially associated with the tree felling and soil compaction which occurred after the establishment of the Common in 1811). This is a common characteristic of early European disturbance to the landscape within south-eastern Australia (Gale & Haworth 2002).

Several changes to the palynological assemblage indicate increasing levels of anthropogenic manipulation of the natural environment throughout this period, and are well-aligned with written records of the site. This includes evidence of (1) livestock disturbance (Richards & NSW Sydney Water Supply Commission 1869); (2) drying and reduced filtration of the swamp surface due to over extraction and sediment compaction (Richards & NSW Sydney Water Supply Commission 1869); (3) timber collection at the site prior to the construction of the parkland (Richards & NSW Sydney Water Supply Commission 1869; Lynch & Larcombe 1959); and (4) land clearance in preparation for the Park (State Records of NSW 1883–1889). Some floristic changes are also suggestive of soil nutrient loading, potentially from the dumping of residential waste products and industrial effluents at the water reserve (Johnson et al. 2003). Though anthropogenic disturbances at the site modified the swampland vegetation to a degree, the site still appears to have broadly supported a swamp-heathland community in 1885, comparable to the pre-European flora.

The Centennial Park swamplands and Lachlan Nature Reserve (1887 to the present)

Major changes to the site are observable in the sedimentary record in the century after the establishment of Centennial Park in 1888. Most notably, there is a marked drop in the abundance of Epacris in c. 1954 and a dramatic expansion of M. quinquervia to the present day, which occurs in two distinct steps at c. 1896 and c. 1979. The first of these appears to be correlated with Maiden’s planting of windbreak belts around the Lily Pond between 1895 and 1910 (Ashton & Blackmore 1998). The second, more dramatic rise may be related to the deliberate planting out of the site with M. quinquervia. Increased canopy shading suppresses heath vegetation (der Moral et al. 1978) and therefore the expansion of this canopy species may be responsible for the further reduction in the abundance of Epacris sp. from the mid-1970s to the present day (our 2010 estimate of M. quinquervia canopy cover across the site was > 90%).

Changes to the site hydrology, soils and ecosystem function associated with the expansion of M. quinquervia, site fragmentation and pressures induced from surrounding urban land uses are likely to have caused the distinct vegetation shifts noted in the upper layers of the record. Key changes include the near-complete demise of the original swamp heath species that are adapted to nutrient-poor soils (Lamont 1972), the depletion of Restionaceae, a family highly prone to competition-induced local extinction following the influx of nutrients (Meney & Pate 1999), and the colonization of the Lachlan Swamp Reserve with hardy pollutant- and nutrient-tolerant plants (including Cyperaceae, probably Gahnia sieberiana [Coates 2003], and Gleichenia dicarpa [Clarkson et al. 2004]). The combination of these vegetation changes has caused the pre-parkland swamp-heathland communities to change to a novel Melaleuca quinquervia low-forest unit (Benson & Howell 1994).

Implications for broader conservation practice

The long-term vegetation reconstruction of the Lachlan Swamp Reserve indicates that the modern site flora is a modified late 20th century assemblage that has formed in
response to the aggregated anthropogenic disturbance of the site since colonial settlement. This scientific view of the site is at odds with the image of the landscape presented to public and enshrined in Park policy. The existing conservation values assigned to the Reserve have rather been demonstrated to be a product of contemporary social constructions of ‘nature’ and indigenous culture.

These findings highlight that the natural and cultural histories of the Lachlan Reserve are closely, perhaps inseparably intertwined, and the cultural influences on the site cannot be viewed as an external and undesirable set of perturbations. Our data have shown these to be intrinsic to the site’s present status and conservation value. Indeed, the high historical value of the Lachlan Swamps and associated springhead, as well as the recreational and aesthetic ecosystem services that it currently provides to Park users, are arguably as worthy of conservation as any ecological values that the site may possess. This aspect of the Reserve, in combination with the fact that restoration of the site to ‘natural’ pre-European heathland conditions would be impractical, costly and probably unsustainable in the long term, makes a strong case for the re-evaluation of the contemporary conservation policy. We argue that, in order to realistically express the conservation value of the site, its cultural and social value should be highlighted in the context of its environmental history and contemporary usage, and explicitly incorporated into its management and interpretation.

On a broader scale, the disjunction between the actual and the perceived naturalness of Lachlan Reserve demonstrates, on a practical level, the value of long-term studies in contributing to the management of protected areas. In this, as with other cases around the world, conservation practice is shown to be as much a product of culture as it is of science, and continually evolving to reflect the dominant history and contemporary usage, and explicitly incorporated into its management and interpretation.

The need for informed normative goal setting in conservation practice is of increasing relevance within context of the Anthropocene, particularly in the world’s burgeoning urban environments, where most humans now live. The recognition that humans, non-humans and the physical world are interdependent (Folke et al. 2010) is of particular importance to the management of the ever-increasing number of fragmented protected sites (such as the Lachlan Reserve) because these are typically most influenced by land-use legacies, often have important contemporary cultural, social and recreational value, and are subject to high alternative land-use pressures.
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