1400 Claire Neill *et al*

- Theel ES, Harring J, Hilgart H, Granger D. Performance characteristics of four high-throughput immunoassays for detection of IgG antibodies against SARS-CoV-2. J Clin Microbiol 2020;58(8):e01243-20.
- Garcia-Basteiro AL, Moncunill G, Tortajada M, et al. Seroprevalence of antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 among healthcare workers in a large Spanish reference hospital. Nat Commun 2020;11:3500.
- Morcuende M, Guglielminotti J, Landau R. Anesthesiologists' and intensive care providers' exposure to COVID-19 infection in a New York City academic center: a prospective cohort study assessing symptoms and COVID-19 antibody testing. *Anesth Analg* 2020. doi: 10.1213/ANE.0000000000005056.
- 5. Korth J, Wilde B, Dolff S, *et al.* SARS-CoV-2-specific antibody detection in healthcare workers in Germany with direct contact to COVID-19 patients. *J Clin Virol* 2020;128:104437. doi: 10.1016/j.jcv.2020.104437.
- Steensels D, Oris E, Coninx L, et al. Hospital-wide SARS-CoV-2 antibody screening in 3056 staff in a tertiary center in Belgium. JAMA 2020;324: 195–197.
- 7. Dimcheff DE, Schildhouse RJ, Hausman MS, et al. Seroprevalence of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection among VA healthcare system employees suggests higher risk of infection when exposed to SARS-CoV-2 outside of the work environment. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2020 [Epub ahead of print]. doi: 10.1017/ice. 2020.1220.
- Liu J, Guo J, Xu Q, Cai G, Chen D, Shen Y. Detection of IgG antibody during the follow-up in patients with COVID-19 infection. *Crit Care* 2020;24:448.
- Patel MM, Thornburg NJ, Stubblefield WB, et al. Change in antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 over 60 days among health care personnel in Nashville, Tennessee. JAMA 2020. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.18796.
- Ibarrondo FJ, Fulcher JA, Goodman-Meza D, et al. Rapid decay of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in persons with mild COVID-19. N Engl J Med 2020;383:1085–1087.

Surveillance study of asymptomatic and presymptomatic coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in care homes in Northern Ireland

Claire Neill MPH, MFPH¹, Muhammad Sartaj MPH, FFPH¹, Lorna Holcroft MBBS¹, Syed Shahzad Hasan PhD², Barbara R. Conway PhD² and Mamoon A. Aldeyab PhD² ©

¹Public Health Agency, Belfast, Northern Ireland and ²Department of Pharmacy, School of Applied Sciences, University of Huddersfield, Huddersfield, United Kingdom

To the Editor—Recent studies have highlighted the potential for transmission of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) from individuals who are symptomatic, presymptomatic, and asymptomatic of infection.¹⁻³ This is of particular concern in high-risk settings, such as long-term care facilities. Care-home residents may be more vulnerable to infection with an increased likelihood of risk factors, including age and pre-existing comorbidities.^{4,5} Differentiating asymptomatic from presymptomatic infection and the range of possible symptoms associated with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in different populations remains of interest. The potential for an atypical presentation of COVID-19 in care-home residents has been reported.⁶ This study was conducted to identify the rate of asymptomatic and presymptomatic COVID-19 in care-home residents and staff, within settings where recent cases of COVID-19 had been identified, including potential atypical presentations of those testing positive for the virus.

This is a report on the results of an outbreak investigation that was conducted as part of public health practice to manage the outbreak, to support the wider public health surveillance, and to inform policy decisions regarding SARS-CoV-2 testing in care homes. As such, the work did not require Research Ethics

Author for correspondence: Dr Mamoon Aldeyab, E-mail: m.aldeyab@hud.ac.uk

Cite this article: Neill C, et al. (2021). Surveillance study of asymptomatic and
presymptomatic coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in care homes in Northern
Ireland. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology, 42: 1400–1402, https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2020.1284

Committee approval, which is in keeping with the UK Health Research Authority's guidance.

This prospective study with a follow-up review on day 7 was carried out in 5 care homes reporting recent outbreaks of COVID-19 to the Public Health Agency in Northern Ireland. If an individual was symptomatic at the time of sampling, or if they had been symptomatic within the 14 days prior to the test, it was recorded. These data included typical symptoms of cough, fever, or shortness of breath, as well as any atypical symptoms: sore throat, sneezing, nasal discharge/congestion, wheeze, hoarseness, chest pain, acute deterioration, malaise, nausea, confusion, dizziness, diarrhoea, myalgia, headache, chills, or anosmia. A follow-up review was conducted with the care homes 7 days after testing. We conducted this assessment to determine whether anyone who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 while asymptomatic subsequently developed any symptoms during this 7-day period. We sought to help differentiate between asymptomatic and presymptomatic positive cases.

In total, 388 individuals were tested (245 residents and 143 staff). Most residents tested were women (72%). Those testing positive had a mean age of 86.4 years (SD, 8.05). The most common comorbidities reported in the care-home residents were chronic neurological conditions and chronic heart disease. More than half of residents testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 reported having a chronic neurological condition such as dementia (55%). Most staff members in the sample were women (82%), and the mean age of staff members was 43.2 years old (SD, 18.3 years). Moreover, ~36% of the sample were smokers, none of whom tested positive for SARS-CoV-2. The most commonly reported comorbidities among

© The Author(s), 2020. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Table 1. Symptoms Reported for Residents and Staff for Those Testing Positive for SARS-CoV-2, Fr Whom Complete Information Was Obtained (n = 97)

Positive Cases	Total (n = 97), No. (%)	Residents (n = 87), No. (%)	Staff (n = 10), No. (%)	<i>P</i> Value ^a
Symptomatic when tested (or past 14 d)	62 (63.9)	57 (65.5)	5 (50)	<.001
Asymptomatic when tested (or past 14 d)	35 (36.1)	30 (34.5)	5 (50)	
Developed symptoms at 7-day follow up	21 (21.6)	18 (20.7)	3 (30)	
Total symptomatic at 7-d follow-up	83 (85.6)	75 (86.2)	8 (80)	<.001
Total asymptomatic at 7-d follow-up	14 (14.4)	12 (13.8)	2 (20)	
Resident deaths	27 (27.8)	27 (31)	0 (0.0)	<.001
Symptom details (of those symptomatic)				
At least 1 typical symptom	57 (68.7)	49 (65.3)	8 (100)	<.001
Only atypical symptoms	11 (13.3)	11 (14.7)	0 (0)	.050
At least 1 atypical symptom	38 (45.8)	34 (45.3)	4 (50)	<.001
Typical symptoms				
Fever	24 (28.9)	22 (29.3)	2 (25.0)	.005
Cough	40 (48.2)	32 (42.7)	8 (100.0)	<.001
Shortness of breath/hypoxia	17 (20.5)	15 (20.0)	2 (25.0)	.026
Atypical symptoms				
Nasal discharge/Congestion	1 (1.2)	0 (0.0)	1 (12.5)	.632
Sneezing	1 (1.2)	0 (0.0)	1 (12.5)	.632
Sore throat	2 (2.4)	0 (0.0)	2 (25.0)	.496
Hoarseness	1 (1.2)	0 (0.0)	1 (12.5)	.632
Wheeze	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
Chest pain	2 (2.4)	1 (1.3)	1 (12.5)	.496
Acute deterioration	28 (33.7)	28 (37.3)	0 (0.0)	.004
Malaise	6 (7.2)	4 (5.3)	1 (12.5)	.269
Nausea	2 (2.4)	1 (1.3)	1 (12.5)	.535
Confusion	2 (2.4)	2 (2.7)	0 (0.0)	.535
Dizziness	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
Diarrhoea	4 (4.8)	3 (4.0)	1 (12.5)	.444
Myalgia	1 (1.2)	1 (1.3)	0 (0.0)	.632
Headache	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
Chills	1 (1.2)	0 (0.0)	1 (12.5)	.632
Anosmia	2 (2.4)	0 (0.0)	2 (25.0)	.496

 $[\]mbox{}^{a}\chi^{2}$ tests were used to compare categorical data (SPSS version 25 software).

staff members were chronic lung disease (9%) and diabetes mellitus (9%). Of the 245 care-home residents tested for SARS-CoV-2, 87 of 245 (36%) tested positive. Of those who tested positive, 57 of 85 (66%) were symptomatic at the time of testing, or within the 14 days prior to testing. Of 87 residents, 30 (~34%) were asymptomatic at the time of the test, or within the 14 days prior to testing. Of residents who were initially asymptomatic on testing positive for SARS-CoV-2, 12 of 30 (40%) remained asymptomatic 7 days following the test, whereas 18 of 30 (60%) developed symptoms in the week following the test. In total, 7 days after testing positive for SARS-CoV-2, 75 of 87 (86%) of residents had experienced symptoms. Of the 143 members of staff tested for SARS-CoV-2, 10 of 143 (7%) were positive. Of 10 staff members, 5 (50%) who tested positive were symptomatic at the time of the test, or in the 14 days prior to the test. These 5 were thus asymptomatic at the time of

testing or within 14 days prior to the test. At the 7-day follow-up, of these 5 who were asymptomatic at the time of testing positive for SARS-CoV-2, 2 (40%) remained asymptomatic. However, 3 of these 5 staff (60%) who had been asymptomatic upon testing positive went on to develop symptoms during this time.

Overall, most of those who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 reported symptoms between the 14 days prior to the test and the 7 days following (86%). Of these, 69% reported having at least 1 typical symptom out of cough, fever, or shortness of breath, while 13% experienced only atypical symptoms (Table 1). However, 46% of individuals experienced at least 1 atypical symptom (Table 1). Unfortunately, 27 residents (31%) who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 died during the study period.

The findings of this study suggest that individuals infected with SARS-CoV-2 may be asymptomatic at the time of infection or may

1402 Dylan C. Kain *et al*

present with a range of both typical and/or atypical symptoms, outside those included the current case definition for COVID-19^{1-3,7} Additionally, in this study, some individuals developed symptoms up to 1 week after they tested positive for SARS-CoV-2. During this time, individuals may have the potential to transmit the virus unknowingly to others, which may have devastating impacts in high-risk settings such as care homes. Therefore, it is critical that all residents and staff are tested in an outbreak situation to identify COVID-19 asymptomatic and presymptomatic individuals who could transmit SARS-CoV-2 before significant symptoms develop. Once it is known that someone has the infection, particularly in a care-home setting, strict infection control measures are required to contain the spread of infection. During this study, once an outbreak had been confirmed, this was managed in line with the existing public health guidance for outbreaks in a care home.

In conclusion, the findings of this study emphasize the need to identify residents and staff with atypical symptoms and to identify asymptomatic residents and staff through comprehensive and regular screening for SARS-CoV-2.

Acknowledgments.

Financial support. No financial support was provided relevant to this article.

Conflicts of interest. All authors report no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

References

- Arons MM, Hatfield KM, Public Health–Seattle and King County and CDC COVID-19 Investigation Team. Presymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infections and transmission in a skilled nursing facility. N Engl J Med 2020;382: 2081–2090.
- McMichael TM, Currie DW, Public Health–Seattle and King County, EvergreenHealth, and CDC COVID-19 Investigation Team. Epidemiology of COVID-19 in a long-term care facility in King County, Washington. N Engl J Med 2020;382:2005–2011.
- 3. Sakurai A, Sasaki T, Kato S, *et al.* Natural history of asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection. *N Engl J Med* 2020;383:885–886.
- Akner G. Analysis of multimorbidity in individual elderly nursing home residents. Development of a multimorbidity matrix. Arch Gerontol Geriatr 2009;49:413–419.
- Atkins JL, Masoli JAH, Delgado J, et al. Preexisting comorbidities predicting COVID-19 and mortality in the UK Biobank Community Cohort. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2020. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glaa183.
- Rawle MJ, Bertfield DL, Brill SE. Atypical presentations of COVID-19 in care home residents presenting to secondary care: a UK single centre study. Preprint. Aging Med 2020. doi: 10.1002/agm2.12126.
- 7. Graham NSN, Junghans C, Downes R, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection, clinical features and outcome of COVID-19 in United Kingdom nursing homes. J Infect 2020;81:411–419.

Dealing with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreaks in long-term care homes: A protocol for room moving and cohorting

Dylan C. Kain MD¹, Liz J. McCreight BSc² and Jennie Johnstone MD, PhD^{2,3,4}

¹Department of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada, ²Infection Prevention and Control, Sinai Health, Toronto, Canada, ³Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathobiology, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada and ⁴Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

To the Editor—As coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has swiftly moved across the world, it has had an especially large impact in long-term care homes, with many countries reporting >50% of COVID-19 related deaths due to outbreaks in long-term care home. Outbreaks in these homes can rapidly spread with high mortality, and homes with multiple residents per room have been forced to move residents within the home to slow and prevent further spread. Such movements may contribute to the larger impact of COVID-19 in homes with increased multiple-bed rooms. In most long-term care homes, moving people from their room within the home for the purpose of infection control was a completely novel concept. Consequently, those responsible for these room movements often did not have experience with this

Author for correspondence: Dr Jennie Johnstone, E-mail: jennie.johnstone@sinaihealth.ca

Cite this article: Kain DC, McCreight LJ, and Johnstone J. (2021). Dealing with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreaks in long-term care homes: A protocol for room moving and cohorting. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 42: 1402–1403, https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2020.1302

type of movement. To our knowledge, no existing guidance on principles of room movement is available for long-term care homes for the purposes of infection control.⁴

When our infection control team was partnered with several long-term care homes across the Toronto area, we identified this knowledge gap and worked to create a guidance with the goal of providing a tool to help homes with multiple-bed rooms work through logical moves to reduce the risk of COVID-19 transmission (Table 1). Priority of resident moves and cohorting are a key consideration because homes often have limited space. In an outbreak, cleaning staff may not be able to clean rooms at the pace needed to make all room moves simultaneously. Having a better understanding of principles of room movement during a COVID-19 outbreak setting may help minimize the size and scale of the outbreaks in the first wave.

As we prepare for a second wave of disease in many countries, having clear guidance for homes on ways to safely move residents is critical to preventing such large-scale outbreaks. We hope this guidance will serve as a template for long-term care homes moving forward.

© The Author(s), [year]. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.