Exposure to school children as a risk factor in a community outbreak of hepatitis A in young adults: a case control study
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SUMMARY
To investigate risk factors during a community outbreak of hepatitis A we carried out a case-control study of 35 cases and 49 matched controls using an interviewer-administered questionnaire on clinical history, travel, household details including domestic toilet facilities, infectious contacts, and food history. Of 99 cases notified in the city during the outbreak year, 50 (51%) were young adults age 15–34 years. Hepatitis A infection was independently associated with household contact with a case (\(P=0.0005\)), and sharing a household with children in primary school (OR 3.4, 95% CI 1.2–9.5, \(P=0.008\)) with risk increasing with number of primary-school pupils in the household (\(\chi^2\) for linear trend 6.47, \(P=0.01\)). We concluded that in a population with a low prevalence of hepatitis A, adults who live in the same household as primary-school-age children are at increased risk of acquiring the infection during community outbreaks.

INTRODUCTION
Hepatitis A infection is caused by the HAV picornavirus and is endemic worldwide. Following an incubation period of 2–8 weeks, symptoms include fever, malaise, loss of appetite, nausea and abdominal discomfort, followed by jaundice. Disease is usually mild and lasts 1–2 weeks, but may be severe and last for several months. It is usually asymptomatic in young children, but the likelihood of symptomatic disease, morbidity and mortality increases with age, with a case-fatality rate of 1-5% in those aged >64 years in the United Kingdom from 1980 to 1989 [1].

Man is the reservoir of HAV, which is spread by the faecal–oral route. The virus is most commonly transmitted by direct person-to-person contact, but sometimes by contaminated food and water. Identified risk factors for infection in the United Kingdom include household contact with another diagnosed case, travel abroad, sharing a household with a child aged 3–10 years, and consumption of shellfish harvested from sewage-polluted waters [2, 3]. Outbreaks occur in nurseries [4], infant schools [5] and institutions for the mentally handicapped [6, 7], among intravenous drug users [8–11], men who have sex with men [12–16], and rarely as a result of food contamination [1, 17–19] and public swimming-pool use [20].

Notifications of hepatitis A in the United Kingdom (originally as infective jaundice) fell from 23 580 in 1969 to 3216 in 1979, and incidence has remained low [1, 21]. The number of laboratory reports of hepatitis A in England and Wales has declined every year since 1991, with the exception of 2002, but the trend
resumed in 2003 when there were 984 laboratory reports and 1194 notifications [22]. Therefore, the proportion of susceptible adults is increasing, and studies suggest that most children and young adults in the United Kingdom are now susceptible to hepatitis A infection, as is increasingly the case in Northern Europe [1, 23, 24].

We report a case-control study to investigate risk factors for infection and exclude a point source of infection during an investigation of a community-wide outbreak of hepatitis A mainly affecting young adults in Swansea, Wales.

The outbreak

A total of 99 cases of hepatitis A (53 male and 46 female) were notified among residents of Swansea (population 182,000) between January and December, an attack rate of 54/100,000 per year. Thirty-five (35%) were <15 years, 50 (51%) were age 15–34 years, and 14 (14%) were >34 years. Serological confirmation was reported for 74 cases. No deaths occurred, but 12 cases were admitted to hospital.

A significant proportion of cases (40%) were resident in the city’s most socio-economically deprived ward, which had an annual incidence of 35/10,000, compared to 3.6/10,000 in the rest of the city. The annual incidence among young adults (age 15–34 years) in this ward was 80/10,000.

During the outbreak environmental health officers visited primary schools in the city to investigate pupils’ toilet facilities. Out of 10 school visited, only two had satisfactory facilities. Problems included no hand washbasin adjacent to the toilets (five), no soap (four), no hot water (four), no toilet paper (two) and no toilet seats in the girls’ toilets (one).

METHOD

We conducted a case-control study of notified cases. Initially two controls per case were sought from the same general practitioner’s list, matched by sex and 5-year age band. However, due to limited resources, the target number of controls was reduced to one per case mid-way through the study. A case was defined as any resident who had a clinical or serological diagnosis of hepatitis A, with an onset of jaundice, or clinical illness, in the last 6 months of the outbreak year. Case finding was conducted by contacting all local general practitioners and known cases.

Data on demographic details, clinical history, travel, household details including the presence of hand washbasins in domestic toilets, infectious contacts and food history in the 2 months before the onset of jaundice were collected by questionnaire administered by an interviewer following a standard interview procedure. An infectious contact was assumed to have been the source of infection for a case if the onset of jaundice in the case occurred from 1 week before to 7 weeks after the onset of jaundice in the contact [25]. Subjects were randomly assigned one of three interviewers, and where possible interviews were conducted by telephone.

Odds ratios were calculated for 2 × 2 tables using unmatched analysis, and significance tested using Mantel–Haenszel χ² test, with 95% confidence limits derived using Cornfield’s approximation. Fisher’s exact test was used where an expected cell value was <.5. Stratified analysis was used to indicate whether or not associations on single table unmatched analysis were due to confounding. A deprived area was defined as an electoral ward having a Townsend index >6 [26]. Data were analysed using Epi-Info software [27].

RESULTS

Questionnaires were completed for 35 of the 45 cases and 49 of the 53 controls, a response rate of 78% for cases and 92% for controls (86% overall). Case finding suggested that notification was largely complete. Cases consisted of two pre-school children, seven primary-school pupils, five secondary-school pupils and 21 adults, and were similar to all cases notified that year with regard to sex, age and residential district.

Risk factor analysis

In unmatched univariate analysis (Table 1) infection with hepatitis A was associated with household contact with an infectious case (OR undefined, \(P = 0.0005\)), sharing a household with children in primary school (OR 3.4, \(P = 0.008\)), and stratified analysis showed these associations were independent.

Eight of the 35 cases shared a household with one or more hepatitis A cases during a period when they could have been infected. Of the remaining 27 cases,
17 (63%) lived in a household where there were children in primary school, compared with 15 controls (31%) (OR 3.8, 95% CI 1.3–11.8, \( P = 0.007 \)). Analysis for linear trend in proportions of cases and controls who lived with zero, one or two primary-school pupils (Table 2) showed a significant trend both when all cases were included (\( P = 0.011 \)), and when the 27 cases who did not report close contact with an infectious case were analysed separately (\( P = 0.0062 \)).

### Table 1. Results of unmatched analyses for selected risk factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>( P ) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lives in deprived ward</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2.6 (0.9–7.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel abroad</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0.9 (0.1–8.6)</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household &gt;4 persons</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1.4 (0.5–4.2)</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household with secondary-school child</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0.6 (0.2–2.0)</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household with primary-school child</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3.4 (1.2–9.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household with child in nappies</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1.0 (0.3–2.9)</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household contact with infectious case</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No washbasin in toilet</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0.5 (0.1–3.5)</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating takeaways</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0.6 (0.2–1.5)</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating shellfish</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0.6 (0.3–1.5)</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating watercress</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0.8 (0.2–3.4)</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating pre-prepared salads</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0.5 (0.1–2.3)</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OR, Odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; n.s., not significant.

### Morbidity of cases

The average length of self-defined illness among cases was 20 days, and ranged from 3 to 56 days, the mode was 7–14 days, and the mean number of general practitioner consultations was 2.9. Average length of stay among 10 cases admitted to hospital was 3 days in children (\( n = 3 \)) and 5–6 days in adults (\( n = 7 \)), range 1–7 days.

### DISCUSSION

We found hepatitis A infection was associated with sharing a household with a child in primary school, with risk increasing as the number of primary-school pupils sharing the household increased.

An association with children attending day-care centres has previously been suggested [28]. Two other studies have demonstrated an increased risk of hepatitis A associated with contact with young children, one a large case-control study of sporadic cases in the United Kingdom [3], the other a case control of a community outbreak in the United States [29]. That study was conducted in Florida, where 311 cases occurred over 13 months in three cities. A matched ‘household’ case-control study was conducted which showed significant independent associations between having a case of hepatitis A in the household and three variables: child cared for in a day-care centre, low educational status, and contact with a hepatitis A case outside the household. HAV is spread from pupil to pupil during outbreaks, and a significantly increased risk of illness among those using the same toilet as a case has been demonstrated [4, 5]. There is evidence that our experience of inadequate toilet facilities in school is common in the United Kingdom [30].

Outbreaks of hepatitis A are difficult to study because of the high proportion of asymptomatic cases, and the long incubation makes recall of exposures difficult [31]. Some of the cases and controls interviewed were asked to recall details between 1 and 9 months after the event. Many of the variables of interest, in particular sharing a household with a case...
or primary-school children, were likely to have been recalled accurately, although data on food history may be less reliable. Selection bias was minimized by the good response rate, and interviewers used a standard questionnaire and followed a standard format to minimize interviewer-associated biases. Distribution by sex, age group and district of residence among study cases was similar to all notified cases that year.

The association between hepatitis A and sharing a household with a primary-school child was a prior hypothesis, and not a finding generated by testing multiple associations. A causal association is biologically plausible, because an asymptomatic infected child may expose others in the household to the virus, which then causes a symptomatic infection in an adult or another child. The association we found is, therefore, likely to be of public health importance.

Although advising household contacts of children in primary school that they are at increased risk of hepatitis A infection during community outbreaks is a reasonable precaution, preventing infection in young children though prophylactic immunization raises difficult ethical issues [32]. Although immunization of young children is likely to reduce the risk to other members of the household, it also prevents mild or asymptomatic infection in the immunized child, which would produce long-lasting immunity in that individual. Conversely, the protection provided by a single dose of vaccine would wane before the child entered adult life, leaving that individual susceptible at an age when complications from hepatitis A are more likely. Therefore, while immunization may benefit the household, there may be disbenefits for the individual child, and the decision of whether or not to immunize young children during outbreaks is challenging. This is compounded by a lack of data on severity of illness in children admitted to hospital with hepatitis A, and further research in this area may help define at what age the individual short-term benefits of immunization outweigh the long-term benefits of natural infection with HAV at an early age.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to many individual members of the Communicable Disease Surveillance Centre (Wales), West Glamorgan Department of Public Health Medicine and Swansea City Council Environmental Health Services Department for their help in carrying out this investigation.

DECLARATION OF INTEREST

None.

REFERENCES


