Analysis of phenotype, genotype and serotype distribution in erythromycin-resistant group B streptococci isolated from vaginal flora in Southern Ireland
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SUMMARY

The screening of 2000 women of childbearing age in Cork between 2004 and 2006 produced 37 erythromycin-resistant group B streptococcus (GBS) isolates. PCR analysis was performed to determine the basis for erythromycin resistance. The \textit{erm}TR gene was most frequently expressed (\(n=19\)), followed by the \textit{erm}B gene (\(n=8\)). Four isolates harboured the \textit{mef}A gene. Six isolates yielded no PCR products. Some phenotype–genotype correlation was observed. All isolates expressing the \textit{mef}A gene displayed the M phenotype whilst all those expressing \textit{erm}B displayed the constitutive macrolide resistance (cMLS\textsubscript{B}) phenotype. Of 19 isolates that expressed the \textit{erm}TR gene, 16 displayed the inducible macrolide resistance (iMLS\textsubscript{B}) phenotype. Serotype analysis revealed that serotypes III and V predominated in these isolates. The identification of two erythromycin-resistant serotype VIII isolates among this collection represents the first reported finding of erythromycin resistance in this serotype. A single isolate was non-typable using two latex agglutination serotyping kits.
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INTRODUCTION

\textit{Streptococcus agalactiae}, also known as group B streptococcus (GBS) is the leading cause of meningitis, pneumonia and bacterial sepsis in neonates in the USA and Europe [1]. While this bacterium is known to be carried asymptptomatically in the genitourinary and gastrointestinal tracts of up to 35% of healthy adults, it can adversely affect pregnant women, the immunocompromised and the elderly [2, 3].

\(\beta\)-lactam agents such as penicillin or ampicillin are the antibiotic agents of choice for prophylaxis and treatment of GBS infections. Macrolides are the recommended second-line drugs and the first alternative in cases of \(\beta\)-lactam allergy. Resistance to the alternative drugs of choice for the treatment of GBS infections, including lincosamides such as clindamycin and macrolides such as erythromycin, has increased during the last decade in several countries with some geographical variations [4–9].

There are two frequently encountered erythromycin resistance mechanisms in streptococci. The first, ribosomal modification is encoded by \textit{erm} genes which can be inducibly or constitutively expressed, results in cross-resistance to macrolides, lincosamides and streptogramin-B antibiotics [inducible macrolide resistance (iMLS\textsubscript{B}) and constitutive macrolide resistance (cMLS\textsubscript{B}) phenotypes, respectively]. Such resistance gives rise to one of two phenotypes, the iMLS\textsubscript{B} or cMLS\textsubscript{B} phenotype, respectively. The second mechanism is an active drug efflux pump encoded by a \textit{mef} gene designated M phenotype (resistance to
macrolides only). Seppälä et al. [10] have described a triple disk diffusion test (TDDT) that can be used to characterize GBS isolates into one of these three macrolide resistance phenotypes.

Until recently GBS was classified into nine distinct serotypes based on a capsular polysaccharide antigen, namely Ia, Ib, II, III, IV, V, VI, VII and VIII. In 2007 Slotved et al. proposed a new serotype (ST) designated ST IX [11]. The predominant serotypes have changed over time, vary with geographical region and ethnic origin and can be associated with different diseases [9, 12–17].

This research offers the first insight into the level and types of macrolide resistance in colonizing strains of GBS in Southern Ireland.

METHODS

Bacterial isolates

A total of 324 GBS isolates were collected at the Microbiology Department at Cork University Hospital between 2004 and 2006 by culturing 2000 vaginal swabs from women between the ages of 15 and 54 years on Islam agar (GBS agar base CM755; Oxoid, UK), which was used and interpreted according to the manufacturer’s instructions. S. agalactiae and Enterococcus faecalis ATCC 29212 were used as positive and negative culture control strains, respectively. Colonies presumptive for GBS were confirmed by latex agglutination test using the Remel Streptex kit (Launch Diagnostics, UK). All isolates were stored in glycerol on preservative beads at −70 °C.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

All isolates were tested against erythromycin by disk diffusion using CLSI guidelines for performance and interpretation of susceptibility testing [18]. The zone sizes around each disk were measured using the BIOMIC Vision Microbiology Analyzer automated callipers (Giles Scientific, USA). Erythromycin minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were determined for the 37 resistant isolates using erythromycin E test according to the manufacturer’s instructions (AB Biodisk, Sweden).

Phenotypic characterization

A modified version of the TDDT, as previously described [10], was used to determine the mechanism of erythromycin resistance. Briefly, an erythromycin disk (15 μg) was placed on the centre of the plate with a spiramycin disk (100 μg) 20–25 mm to the left (josamycin was used in the original study) and a clindamycin disk (2 μg) 20–25 mm to the right of it (Oxoid). Plates were incubated for between 20 h and 24 h at 37 °C in 5% CO₂. Isolates were characterized as possessing the M, iMLS B or cMLS B phenotype using the previously described interpretive criteria [10].

Detection of erythromycin resistance genes

All 37 isolates were investigated for the presence of ermB, ermTR and mefA genes by uniplex PCR. DNA extracts were prepared using the High Pure PCR Template Preparation kit (cat. no. 1796828, Roche Diagnostics, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The primers used and the conditions for amplification of the macrolide resistance genes were as previously described [19].

The following isolates were used as positive control strains for each PCR: ermB gene Streptococcus pyogenes no. 8902, ermTR gene S. pyogenes no. 8973 and mefA gene S. pyogenes no. 8979. PCR products were resolved on 1.5% agarose gels.

Serotype analysis

All 37 erythromycin-resistant GBS isolates were serotyped using the latex agglutination test according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Initially, the Essum Probiotics GBS serotyping kit (Sweden) was used. This kit consists of antisera to serotypes Ia–VIII. Isolates that failed to type using this kit were typed using the Statens Serum Institut Strep-B latex kit (Denmark). This kit consists of ten GBS antisera, Ia–IX. Isolates that subsequently failed to type using either serotyping kit were deemed non-typable by latex agglutination.

RESULTS

Macrolide resistance rate

Screening of 2000 vaginal swabs yielded a total of 324 GBS isolates – giving a GBS colonization rate of 16.2%. The erythromycin resistance rate was 11.4% (n = 37/324). Erythromycin MICs ranged from 2 to >256 μg/ml for the 37 isolates tested (see Table 1).
Phenotypic characterization of macrolide resistance

All three macrolide resistance phenotypes were observed as follows: iMLS B \( (n = 20) \), cMLS B \( (n = 13) \) and the M phenotype \( (n = 4) \).

Genotype analysis

The \( \text{ermTR} \) gene was most frequently expressed \( (n = 19) \), followed by the \( \text{ermB} \) gene \( (n = 8) \). A total of four isolates harboured the \( \text{mefA} \) gene. Six isolates yielded no PCR products.

GBS serotype distribution

The data obtained on the genotype, phenotype and serotype for each of the 37 erythromycin-resistant GBS isolates collected is given in Table 1. A single isolate was non-typable following latex agglutination.

**DISCUSSION**

This study yielded a vaginal GBS colonization rate of 16.2\% \( (n = 324/2000) \). These findings are comparable with those seen in other Western European countries, which range between 11\% and 21\% [6].

The erythromycin resistance rate of 11.4\% \( (37/324 \text{ isolates}) \) observed in the current study is within the rate ranges reported in Europe (9.2–22.4\%) [4, 7, 8, 20–22]. In Ireland, the implementation of the Royal College of Obstetrics and Gynaecologists’ chemoprophylaxis guidelines may in part be responsible for the relatively low level of macrolide resistance seen in this study [23]. These guidelines do not recommend universal antenatal GBS screening and advise intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis (IAP) only in instances where there has been a previous infant with GBS disease or in cases of GBS bacteruria or GBS colonization in the current pregnancy.

Phenotypic characterization of macrolide resistance has proven a useful tool in determining the antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of an isolate. The predominance of the iMLS B phenotype \( (54\%) \) found here is in contrast with results reported elsewhere in Western Europe where the cMLS B phenotype is the
most prevalent (cMLSB range 52–89% compared to iMLSB range 6–27%) [8, 21, 22, 24, 25]. However, the iMLSB phenotype is the most common in the USA (48% compared to 27% for cMLSB) and Turkey (80% compared to 20% cMLSB) [4, 26].

The two most studied erythromycin resistance mechanisms are ribosomal modifications and macrolide efflux, encoded by \textit{erm} and \textit{mef} genes, respectively. From a clinical perspective the presence of \textit{erm} genes are a greater cause for concern as the erythromycin ribosomal methylase enzyme can lead to cross-resistant to a wide range of antibiotics due to a mutation at the binding site which alters the affinity of the ribosome for macrolides, lincosamides and streptogramin-B antibiotics. In our study \textit{ermTR} was the most common macrolide resistance gene in the GBS isolates, followed by \textit{ermB}. There are very few studies that report a predominance of the \textit{ermTR} gene in erythromycin-resistant GBS [27]. However, the low frequency of \textit{mefA} observed in this research is consistent with data obtained in other countries [4, 7, 8, 20, 25]. Six isolates yielded no PCR products, phenotypically three were cMLSB and three were iMLSB. Since the discovery of \textit{erm} and \textit{mef} genes, macrolide resistance due to mutations (including deletions, insertions and substitutions) of the 23S rRNA and the ribosomal proteins has been observed in other streptococci [28–30]. These authors propose that macrolide resistance in these six isolates may be attributed to one of these other mechanisms of resistance; however, further study is required for confirmation of this hypothesis.

There was some phenotype–genotype correlation in our study. Genetic analysis showed that the four isolates displaying the M phenotype expressed the \textit{mefA} gene while 8/13 isolates displaying the cMLSB phenotype expressed the \textit{ermB} gene. Seventeen of the 20 isolates displaying the iMLSB phenotype expressed the \textit{ermTR} gene, while two isolates with the cMLSB phenotype expressed the \textit{ermTR} gene. This has also been observed in research in the USA, where Heelan \textit{et al.} [26] found that four isolates displaying the cMLSB phenotype expressed the \textit{ermTR} gene. These authors hypothesize that \textit{ermTR} may have mutated so that it can now be constitutively expressed but this requires further investigation.

Of note in this population of isolates is the predominance of the inducible macrolide resistance phenotype. This phenotype cannot be detected using routine antimicrobial susceptibility testing therefore we recommend that the TDDT should be performed on all GBS isolates from penicillin-allergic patients or from patients whose allergy status is unknown.

Typically higher erythromycin MICs are found for cMLSB phenotypes and the results of our study concur with this [62% (8/13), of the cMLSB isolates had MICs $>256\mu g/ml$] [31]. Correlation between phenotype and genotype has been also reported [32, 33]. Interestingly, in this study 7/8 cMLSB isolates with high MICs harboured the \textit{ermB} gene, with the last isolate remaining uncharacterized for erythromycin resistance determinant. Lower MICs were found for all M phenotype isolates (range 2–6 $\mu g/ml$) and 90% of the iMLSB isolates (2–24 $\mu g/ml$) [31].

In the current study serotypes V and III predominated (32% and 19%, respectively), and there is an association between these serotypes and invasive- ness [12, 14, 32]. These results are not unexpected, however, as they are similar to those seen elsewhere [5, 22, 25].

A surprising finding was that ST IV accounted for 6/37 GBS isolates, a serotype that has not been widely reported globally. ST IV is only commonly seen in colonizing strains in pregnant women in Japan and has infrequently been reported elsewhere [17]. Furthermore, the identification of two erythromycin-resistant ST VIII isolates which to date have not been documented elsewhere represents a novel finding in GBS.

Evidence of a serotype–genotype association was observed in this study as shown in Table 1. Serotype–genotype associations have been reported previously. Dogan \textit{et al.} found that \textit{mefA} was only expressed in ST Ia isolates, a finding also of our research [13]. However, \textit{ermB} was not confined to ST V isolates in this study as has previously been reported [34]. A study by Poyart \textit{et al.} [32] revealed that \textit{ermTR} predominated in ST V isolates and these findings were similar to the results observed in the current study.

Erythromycin resistance in GBS has been demonstrated here to be encouragingly low. This study establishes a baseline for monitoring erythromycin resistance in GBS and also provides an insight into serotype distribution in erythromycin-resistant isolates.

**CONCLUSION**

This study provides an in-depth analysis of the epidemiology of erythromycin-resistant GBS in women of childbearing age in Southern Ireland. Erythromycin resistance in GBS has been demonstrated here
to be encouragingly low (11.4%). This investigation provides data which establishes a baseline for monitoring erythromycin resistance in GBS in this region in the future and also provides an insight into serotype distribution in these erythromycin-resistant isolates. The serotype analysis herein reveals that whilst the most prevalent serotypes are similar to those seen elsewhere (ST III and ST V) that other less common serotypes (such as ST IV) are also present. As our societies become more culturally diverse, continued surveillance of GBS serotype distribution is necessary to aid in the development of an effective GBS serotype-based vaccine.
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