Outbreak of gastroenteritis caused by Yersinia pestis in Afghanistan
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SUMMARY

Plague, which is most often caused by the bite of Yersinia pestis-infected fleas, is a rapidly progressing, serious disease that can be fatal without prompt antibiotic treatment. In late December 2007, an outbreak of acute gastroenteritis occurred in Nimroz Province of southern Afghanistan. Of the 83 probable cases of illness, 17 died (case fatality 20.5%). Being a case was associated with consumption or handling of camel meat (adjusted odds ratio 4.4, 95% confidence interval 2.2–8.8, \( P < 0.001 \)). Molecular testing of patient clinical samples and of tissue from the camel using PCR/electrospray ionization–mass spectrometry revealed DNA signatures consistent with Yersinia pestis. Confirmatory testing using real-time PCR and immunological seroconversion of one of the patients confirmed that the outbreak was caused by plague, with a rare gastrointestinal presentation. The study highlights the challenges of identifying infectious agents in low-resource settings; it is the first reported occurrence of plague in Afghanistan.
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INTRODUCTION

Yersinia pestis, a zoonotic bacterium that is the causative agent of plague, is increasingly recognized as a re-emerging threat to human and animal health [1]. The disease is widely endemic [2]; worldwide, about 1000–5000 cases and 100–200 deaths per year were reported between 1987 and 2001 [3]. In total, 24 countries reported cases in this time period, including from Africa, Asia and the Americas. Because detection and reporting are probably limited in most endemic areas, this is likely to be an underestimation.

During epizootic outbreaks, the main mode of transmission is from animals to humans through the bite of infective fleas exposed to the main zoonotic reservoir(s), which are small mammals and rodents. More rarely, transmission can occur from larger mammals (e.g. camels) or through direct contact with an infectious source or inhalation of infectious respiratory droplets. Plague typically manifests in three
forms—bubonic, septicaemic, and pneumonic, depending on the route of infection [4]. Clinical features vary depending on the form, but generally include fever, chills, malaise, hypotension, lymphadenopathy; fatality rates of 100% in untreated cases have been reported [4]. Plague is a notifiable disease and the bacterium is considered as a potential bioterrorism agent. Although rare, cases of plague have been reported after the consumption of camel meat [5–7]; however, it can often be difficult to determine whether the infection occurred from the consumption of the infected meat or by direct contact with the infectious animal, and/or its fleas, during slaughter [7]. While plague remains endemic in parts of Asia, Africa, and the USA, it has never been reported in Afghanistan [8].

Detecting and responding to outbreaks of acute diseases remains a challenge in low-resource settings, where systems, expertise and resources are lacking. In Afghanistan, there is a range of endemic infectious diseases [9]. A nascent disease surveillance system—the Disease Early Warning System (DEWS)—is currently the formal system for detection of outbreaks. Reports of unusual outbreaks or spikes in the number of cases presenting at clinics are reported from clinics, hospitals and communities to the DEWS. The system is based predominantly on syndromic descriptions for diagnosis of disease because laboratory capacity in Afghanistan is insufficient for reliable identification of rare infectious diseases.

Reports of an outbreak of acute gastroenteritis which included deaths were received by DEWS from the provincial hospital in the southwestern Afghan province of Nimroz in December 2007. The symptoms reported were fever and severe gastroenteritis with rapid onset, in some cases including lymphadenopathy and more rarely, pharyngeal lesions. As reported by hospitalized victims, and confirmed by the community, just prior to the recognition of cases a camel that was clinically ill was slaughtered and distributed among many residents of one village and to some residents of two neighbouring villages. The animal was reported to be visibly ill, in retrospect with an infectious disease, exposing up to 2000 people potentially. Because of this and the severity of symptoms, an outbreak investigation was undertaken. The spectrum of clinical features, and the potential involvement of camel meat, led to an initial hypothesis that Bacillus anthracis was the responsible pathogen. Human cases of gastrointestinal anthrax associated with infected livestock have been widely reported in the past [10].

We describe the epidemiological findings of the outbreak investigation and the molecular detection of *Y. pestis* from human clinical specimens and camel meat.

**METHODS**

**Study site, epidemiological investigation, and case definition**

We conducted an epidemiological investigation consisting, first, of active case detection in three villages, and second, of a cross-sectional survey in two of the affected villages. The three villages were located in Kang district, Nimroz province of southwestern Afghanistan. This province is near the Iranian border and is in an arid desert landscape.

Active case detection involved approaching community leaders and gaining their assistance in surveying the villages for any person who fitted the characteristics of the outbreak—any severe gastroenteritis or associated symptoms; we also enquired if patients had any contact with the camel, and if so, what type of contact (e.g. slaughter, cooking, etc.). Hospitals and clinics in the area and provincial capital were also surveyed for patients from the villages and with a history of symptoms consistent with other cases. Cases were followed up in their homes (or in the clinic or hospital). The number of cases with history of fever, diarrhoea, vomiting, pharyngeal lesions, lymphadenitis and other associated symptoms were noted and reports of any deaths were collected including interviews with close family members in order to ascertain symptoms. Cases were treated with ciprofloxacin, referred to hospital if necessary, and prophylaxis, also with ciprofloxacin, was provided to household members of cases.

For the second phase (the cross-sectional survey), data were systematically collected from all households in the most affected village and a random selection of about 50% of households in the second affected village. Houses were approached and verbal consent was received from all participants, after which trained field surveyors administered a structured questionnaire. This provided information on symptoms, treatment and potential exposure factors. A wide range of symptoms were recorded, but the clinical picture from cases in hospitals included fever, vomiting, diarrhoea and in some cases pharyngeal lesions and lymphadenitis as the primary descriptive symptoms. Exposure factors included in the questionnaire...
were: food consumption history (from the date of the
camel’s slaughter) including normal staple foods (rice,
bread, vegetables) and meats; water source; contact
with the slaughtered camel, including participation in
the slaughter, processing of the carcass, handling and
cooking of meat and the presence of other animals at
home. Data were noted on the forms by the surveyors
and then double-entered using Microsoft Access. Data
were analysed using Stata version 8 (StataCorp, USA).

At the time of the epidemiological investigation
there was no confirmed causative agent so case defi-
nitions were based on the primary symptoms of the
outbreak. For the epidemiological investigation, we
used two definitions of a case. A suspected case was
defined as having any of fever, vomiting, diarrhoea, or
abdominal pain since the day of the camel’s slaugh-
ter; and probable cases were defined as having all of fever,
vomiting and diarrhoea over the same time period.
Since bacterial culture and identification capacity was
low, definitive diagnoses remained unavailable at the
time of the survey.

To test the primary hypothesis that the source of
the outbreak was the camel, we examined a range of
pre-defined exposure factors against the two clinical
outcomes (the case definitions). As a primary analysis,
individual potential risk factors were cross-tabulated
using \( \chi^2 \) tests for association with each of the out-
comes (both case definitions). Multivariate logistic
regression analysis was then conducted using the same
predefined exposure variables to adjust for potential
confounding between them and the outcome.

**Laboratory analysis**

Seven whole-blood and serum samples were collected
from three hospitalized patients. Whole blood was
cultured locally. All seven samples were subjected
to ELISA testing for evidence of Congo-Crimean
haemorrhagic fever (CCHF) and *B. anthracis* at the
United States Army Medical Research Institute of
Infectious Diseases (USAMRIID).

Parts of the camel were located and exhumed. Specimens (skeletal muscle) were extracted and transported to Kabul. Bacterial cultures of the camel meat were conducted at the Central Public Health Laboratory in Kabul, Afghanistan.

Subsequently, sera and whole blood from three of
the non-fatal human cases (patients 1–3), along with
samples of the remaining camel meat were analysed
using broad-range PCR/electrospray ionization–mass
spectrometry (PCR/ESI–MS), followed by real-time
PCR. DNA was extracted from all patient samples
and camel meat using the QIAamp DNA extraction
kit (Qiagen, USA) according to the manufacturer’s
instructions. Extracted DNA from all samples was
analysed using PCR/ESI–MS on the Ibis T5000 plat-
form. This system is a multiplexed detection format
which uses broad-range PCR followed by specific
pathogen identification by mass spectrometry to de-
termine the amplicon’s unique base composition sig-
nature [11]. The specific assay used for this analysis
was the Biodefense Bacterial and Viral Surveillance
kit (Abbott Molecular Inc., USA), which targets 13
bacterial and four viral biothreat agents and dis-
tinguishes them from their near neighbours in a single
assay format.

Confirmatory testing was performed using three
LightCycler (Roche Diagnostics, USA) real-time PCR
assays previously developed for detecting *Y. pestis*
[12]. These assays specifically target the genes for the
pesticin immunity protein (*pim*), plasminogen acti-
vator (*pla*), and the capsular antigen F1 (*caf1*), which
are known virulence factors specific for *Y. pestis*.
IgG and IgM enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays
(ELISA) were performed on patient sera as previously
described [13, 14]. Both ELISAs were designed to
detect antibodies specific for the *Y. pestis* F1 antigen.
Titres reported are equal to the reciprocal of the last
dilution that is above or equal to the optical density
cut-off value, and samples were considered positive if
their titre was \( \geq 100 \).

**RESULTS**

**Epidemiology**

The outbreak was reported over 15 days in the villages
following the slaughter of the camel on 16 December
2007. Camel meat had been distributed to 150–200
families. Fifty cases were found in the active case-
detection phase of the investigation, with eight reported
deaths all of which came from the village where the
camel was slaughtered (Burrida). These deaths re-
portedly included three members of the same family
who had slaughtered the camel, including the butcher.

A total of 607 persons were enrolled in the cross-
sectional survey and included 382 suspected cases and
83 probable cases (Table 1). All residents of Burrida
(comprising 30% of the sample) and 50% of the
second village (Shandro) were surveyed.

Of the probable cases, 17 had died with reported
symptoms consistent with the disease, giving an
in suspected cases; (49.6%) in those who had no history of consumption of camel meat. Age group was negatively associated with being a suspected case and a probable case (Table 2). No association was found with other foodstuffs or handling, cooking or slaughter was associated with being a probable case. Any exposure to camel meat, through eating, cooking or slaughtering was associated with these two factors and being a probable case. Of those who had consumed camel meat, 64/347 (18.4%) were probable cases vs. 129/260 (7.3%) who had no consumption. This association was probably because those who consumed cow meat (beef) were less likely to have consumed camel. Of those who consumed camel meat, 64/347 (18.4%) were probable cases vs. 19/260 (7.3%) who had no history of consumption (χ² = 15.6, P < 0.001).

Other associated exposure factors for suspected cases were cooking camel meat: 79/92 (85.9%) were cases vs. 303/515 (58.8%) who did not cook camel meat (χ² = 24.5, P < 0.001), although there was no association with these two factors and being a probable case. Any exposure to camel meat, through eating, handling, cooking or slaughter was associated with being a suspected case and a probable case (Table 2). No association was found with other foodstuffs or water source. Age group was negatively associated with being a probable case (adjusted odds ratio 0.6, 95% confidence interval 0.5–0.8).

Table 1. Enrolment characteristics of the cross-sectional survey sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Total sample size, N</th>
<th>Male, %</th>
<th>median age, years (IQR)</th>
<th>Age group, years, n (%)</th>
<th>Village, n (%)</th>
<th>No. persons/household (95% CI)</th>
<th>Suspected cases, n/N (%)</th>
<th>Probable cases, n/N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total sample size, N</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>50.6%</td>
<td>13 (5–25)</td>
<td>0–5</td>
<td>Shandro</td>
<td>424 (69.9%)</td>
<td>382/607 (63.0%)</td>
<td>83/607 (13.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, %</td>
<td>50.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6–10</td>
<td>Burrida</td>
<td>424 (69.9%)</td>
<td>382/607 (63.0%)</td>
<td>83/607 (13.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>median age, years (IQR)</td>
<td>13 (5–25)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11–20</td>
<td>Shandro</td>
<td>424 (69.9%)</td>
<td>382/607 (63.0%)</td>
<td>83/607 (13.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group, years, n (%)</td>
<td>0–5</td>
<td>25.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td>6–10</td>
<td>Burrida</td>
<td>424 (69.9%)</td>
<td>382/607 (63.0%)</td>
<td>83/607 (13.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11–20</td>
<td>Shandro</td>
<td>424 (69.9%)</td>
<td>382/607 (63.0%)</td>
<td>83/607 (13.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 21</td>
<td>Burrida</td>
<td>424 (69.9%)</td>
<td>382/607 (63.0%)</td>
<td>83/607 (13.7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IQR, Interquartile range; CI, confidence interval.

estimated case-fatality rate of 20.5%. Exposure variables were examined by univariate and multivariate analysis against each of the case definitions. Among the food consumption risk factors, eating camel meat was the only factor positively associated with being a case. Of those who had consumed camel meat, 253/347 (72.9%) were suspected cases vs. 129/260 (49.6%) in those who had no history of consumption (χ² = 34.6, P < 0.001). Consumption of cow meat (beef) was negatively associated with disease in suspected cases [84/225 (37.3%) in non-cases vs. 83/382 (21.7%) in suspected cases; χ² = 17.3, P < 0.001]. This association is probably because those who consumed cow meat (beef) were less likely to have consumed camel. Of those who consumed camel meat, 64/347 (18.4%) were probable cases vs. 19/260 (7.3%) who had no history of consumption (χ² = 15.6, P < 0.001).

As further confirmation of the presence of Y. pestis in these samples, we used three LightCycler (Roche Diagnostics) real-time PCR assays previously developed for detecting Y. pestis. These assays target the virulence factor genes, pim, pla, and caf, of Y. pestis. As with the PCR/ESI–MS analysis, real-time PCR testing targeting all three genes showed that the acute whole-blood specimen from patient 1 and the camel tissue were strongly positive for the presence of Y. pestis (Table 3). Furthermore, acute blood and convalescent sera (taken ~1 month after the outbreak) from patients 1 and 2 and convalescent serum from patient 3 were tested for the presence of IgM and IgG antibodies specific to the F1 antigen of Y. pestis using ELISAs. While patient 1 did not have any antibodies to Y. pestis, convalescent serum of patient 2 had both IgM and IgG anti-F1 antibodies (Table 3). The acute serum sample from this patient was negative, providing evidence for seroconversion and, thus, recent infection with Y. pestis. Convalescent sera from patient 3 also had positive IgG titres to F1; however, because it was IgM negative and no acute sample was available, we cannot rule out the possibility that this result represents antibody from a previous exposure.
DISCUSSION

In humans, infection with *Y. pestis* typically occurs from the bite of an infected flea and manifests in one or more of three clinical forms: bubonic, septicemic, or pneumonic. We describe the epidemiology of an outbreak of severe gastrointestinal disease in Afghanistan after the consumption of camel meat in late 2007. We provide laboratory evidence that this was a rare outbreak of gastroenteritis caused by the bacterium *Y. pestis*, which probably resulted from the consumption of infected camel meat. Those who had contact with the camel were also at elevated risk, but the primary public health concern was for the widespread distribution of the camel meat where several hundred individuals were found (in our sample) to be exposed. We were not able to identify the infectious agent until several months after the outbreak but the epidemiological data strongly implicated consumption of infected camel meat as the route of transmission. Because the outbreak was limited in time, the response focused on treatment of those affected using active case detection. Plague was not identified in time to put in place conventional control mechanisms (flea and rodent control), although this would not have been appropriate because of the unusual route of transmission.

While human plague is most often acquired from the bites of infected fleas, rare sporadic cases due to exposure to infected carcasses of camels and other mammals have been reported. In this outbreak, we found a number of factors that were significantly associated with disease, including contact with infected camel meat and eating other food (not camel meat) (Table 2). These findings underscore the importance of food safety and hygiene in preventing the spread of plague and other zoonotic diseases.
animals have been documented [15]. In particular, in more recent times, the consumption of camel meat was implicated in human plague cases from, Jordan [5], Saudi Arabia [6] and Libya [7]. Although no severe pharyngitis, tonsillitis or pharyngeal lesions were observed in the Afghan patients, gastrointestinal symptoms such as vomiting, diarrhoea, and abdominal pain suggested ingestion as the route of exposure. Outbreaks of this nature are rare, but are often severe in nature. The infected camel in this case reportedly had an open, ulcerated lesion on its hock, so it seems likely that it contracted plague from the bite of an infected flea somewhere along the route of its journey, possibly days to weeks before the outbreak. It was not possible to track the camel’s journey with any accuracy, so the possible location of infection could not be ascertained. Once infected, the camel had become clinically ill but was slaughtered and the meat distributed widely. Cooking practices may explain differential exposure and thorough cooking almost certainly would have limited the outbreak. A speciality of rare-cooked camel is evident in this region, and while some may have prepared the food in this way, most of it would have been cooked thoroughly. Those who were closely associated with the slaughter and handling of the camel meat, including cooking, had elevated risk of disease and death. The attack rate in patients who had cooked the meat was \( \approx 80\% \).

Detection of the outbreak via the Afghanistan DEWS allowed a rapid response to be mounted. Part of the response was to conduct the investigation and to ensure that patients were adequately treated. The disease was correctly presumed to be infectious by the treating physicians, and specifically bacterial. Thus, cases were appropriately treated with ciprofloxacin and appeared to respond. In light of the rarity of

Table 3. Summary of diagnostic testing on patient specimens and camel tissue from the 2007 Afghan outbreak

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no.</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>PCR/ESI–MS</th>
<th>Real-time PCR (Ct)*</th>
<th>ELISA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>caf1</td>
<td>pim</td>
<td>pla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Whole blood/acute</td>
<td>Pos.</td>
<td>33:6 30:9 30:0</td>
<td>&lt;100  &lt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Serum/convalescent</td>
<td>Neg.</td>
<td>Neg. Neg. Neg.</td>
<td>&lt;100  &lt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Whole blood/acute</td>
<td>Neg.</td>
<td>Neg. Neg. Neg.</td>
<td>&lt;100  &lt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Serum/convalescent</td>
<td>Neg.</td>
<td>Neg. Neg. Neg.</td>
<td>400   6400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Serum/convalescent</td>
<td>Neg.</td>
<td>Neg. Neg. Neg.</td>
<td>&lt;100  1600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

caf1, Capsular antigen F1; pim, pesticin immunity protein; pla, plasminogen activator; n.a., not applicable; n.d., not determined.

* Crossing point.

![Fig. 1. PCR/ESI–MS analysis of patient and camel samples. Spectra of the amplicons resulting from PCR targeting the Y. pestis caf gene from an (a) acute whole-blood specimen collected from patient 1 and (b) camel meat. (c) Base compositions (A, T, G, C) resulting from PCR of the Y. pestis-specific genes, inv, pla, and caf showing identical base compositions within the gene targets between the two samples.](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268810001792)
plague as the cause of such an outbreak, diagnosis of the infectious agent would have been challenging under any circumstances, and was more of a challenge in the context of Afghanistan’s reconstruction. Thus, the working hypothesis that the outbreak was the result of anthrax was not disproved until several months after the initial outbreak. This identifies the challenge of detecting infectious agents in low-resource settings if the disease is uncommon and case presentation is unusual.

All bacterial culture attempts from patients’ blood and camel tissue were negative or only yielded contaminants, and few samples were available for testing; the key to identifying the causative agent in this outbreak was the use of the Ibis T5000 PCR/ESI–MS assay. This diagnostic tool has previously been shown to detect a broad range of bacterial pathogens [16, 17] and several viruses [18–20]. The inclusion of certain key gene targets in the Ibis T5000 biodefence assay allows it to detect and identify a wide range of pathogens in potentially complex clinical or environmental samples with little or no background effect. Specifically, three of the gene targets included in this multiplexed assay are the pim, pla, and caf genes of Y. pestis. All three of these targets were positive in the acute whole-blood specimen from patient 1 and the camel tissue (Fig. 1c). Follow-up testing using real-time PCR confirmed these results, and immunological testing indicated seroconversion to Y. pestis F1 antigen in patient 2.

The presence of Y. pestis has not been reported from Afghanistan previously, despite reports from neighbouring countries [8]. A number of zoonotic diseases causing acute outbreaks of disease threaten the inhabitants of Afghanistan and the surrounding region, including CCHF and now plague. Thus, enhanced disease surveillance efforts and improved laboratory diagnostic capabilities are required to mitigate the effects of outbreaks of acute diseases.

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we described the first reported occurrence of plague in Afghanistan. In this outbreak of disease, illness was strongly associated with consumption of camel meat. Using epidemiological analysis and a novel PCR/ESI–MS assay, real-time PCR, and immunological analysis of diagnostic specimens from both patients and camel tissue, we provided strong evidence that the 2007 Afghanistan outbreak was due to plague and that consumption of Y. pestis-infected camel meat was the source of the infection. Enhanced detection and surveillance mechanisms, including improved laboratory diagnosis capacity, is required for identification of rare outbreaks of acute disease in developing countries.
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