A village-based multidisciplinary study on factors affecting the intensity of cystic echinococcosis in an endemic region of the Tibetan plateau, China
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SUMMARY

We investigated and quantified the factors which may affect the prevalence of cystic echinococcosis caused by Echinococcus granulosus in Rangtang County using a multidisciplinary approach. From a previously performed field survey, epidemiological data were linked with environmental data. Altitude and land surface temperature were extracted from remote-sensing images. Cumulative logistic regression models were used to identify and quantify the potential risk factors. The multiple regression models confirmed that yaks (χ² = 4·0447, P = 0·0443), dogs (χ² = 8·3455, P = 0·0039) and altitude (χ² = 7·6223, P = 0·0058) were positively correlated with the prevalence of cystic echinococcosis, while land surface temperature may have a negative association. The findings showed that dogs and yaks play the most important role in the transmission of cystic echinococcosis, while altitude and land surface temperature may also be involved in the transmission.
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INTRODUCTION

Echinococcus granulosus, a zoonotic disease, which is primarily transmitted between domestic dogs and livestock, is the cause of human cystic echinococcosis (CE) and has a worldwide geographical distribution [1]. Within the endemic zones (whether large or small scale), the occurrence of the parasite varies from sporadic to high [2–4]. It was reported that CE prevalence depends on various biological, social, and environmental risk factors including hosts, livestock husbandry, dog management, customs, as well as natural environment [2, 5]. To get a better understanding on the factors which are involved in the transmission, a multidisciplinary approach is needed [6]. The main challenge is to find ways to connect various fields of science and to consider the transmission systems as a whole.

Satellite sensor data have been used in epidemiology and health science in the past decades [7, 8]. Some reports on the use of remote sensing for
monitoring, mapping and predicting *E. multilocularis* transmission have been published in recent years [9–14]. However, the use of the technology and its associated data when studying *E. granulosus* is less common. Remotely sensed data and spatial analysis provide an opportunity to further the study of CE transmission and this paper reports one aspect of current research for a study conducted in the Tibetan plateau, Sichuan Province, China.

Human CE continues to be a substantial cause of morbidity and mortality in the Tibetan plateau. Surveys have shown that CE prevalence in some villages may reach as high as 12.1% [15–19]. Risk factors associated with *E. granulosus* infections include the number of owned dogs, frequency of contact with dogs, and sources of drinking water. However, there is still no comprehensive understanding of the transmission of the disease in the plateau and the role of the possible risk factors, which are important for predicting CE prevalence and developing a targeted control measure in this area.

It was reported that the prevalence of human echinococcosis was about 1.4% in Rangtang County [20, 21]. In 2009, we conducted a field survey on CE prevalence in yaks in Rangtang County. About 20.6% of yaks died during March–May in this area. Of those dead yaks, infection rate of *E. granulosus* ranged from 0% to 70.5% by village. The analyses results showed that yak mortality in spring was not significantly associated with the prevalence of yak infection [22]. In order to investigate and quantify the factors which may influence the prevalence of yak infection, the present study used satellite-derived environmental variables combined with epidemiological data obtained from our previous work [22]. In this paper, we report the results of our efforts to further explore the factors affecting the variation in CE endemicity.

**METHODS**

**Study area**

As described previously, a field survey regarding CE prevalence in yaks was conducted in 390 households from 35 administrative villages in Rangtang County in July 2009 [22]. Geographically, Rangtang County is located in the southeast part of the Qinghai–Tibetan plateau (longitude 100° 30′–101° 20′ W and latitude 31° 30′–32° 40′ E). It covers an area about 6600 km². The average altitude is ~3280 m. Ethnic Tibetans comprise most of the population; they are primarily engaged in livestock production and herding. The main domestic livestock are yaks. Approval for the survey was given by the academic board of the National Institute of Parasitic Diseases, China CDC and all participants were informed about the objectives and procedures of the study.

**Questionnaires and procedures**

During the field survey which was performed in July 2009, a questionnaire was administered to the herdsmen after obtaining data about the death of yaks in spring and the infection status of CE in the dead yaks. The questionnaire covered items like the number of dogs and the number of yaks. These variables are important for the current study which aims at identifying and quantifying factors potentially affecting the CE transmission.

**Buffer zone definition**

Research on *E. multilocularis*, another *Echinococcus* species, has demonstrated that 2000 m is an important distance from a village centre for landscape variables to influence the transmission of *E. multilocularis*. This is most likely due to the maximum range that domestic dogs will generally forage away from the village centre [10–13]. Considering that domestic dogs are also the main definitive host of *E. granulosus*, a 2000 m buffer was used in this study.

**Annual land surface temperature**

It was reported that the survival of eggs of *Echinococcus* in the environment may be affected by temperature, and lower temperature may prolong the survival of eggs [2]. Considering this, the land surface temperature was considered to be a potential risk factor in this study. To extract land surface temperature of this study area in 2008, images from a moderate-resolution imaging spectroradiometer (MODIS 8-Day L3 1 km; NASA, USA) were used. Since the images for land surface temperature were produced every 8 days, day and night respectively, a total of 92 images (46 images for day, 46 images for night) were obtained for this study. All the images were registered with a Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) coordinate system with the MODIS reprojection tool. This tool was designed to reproject MODIS images into more standard map projections. Annual average land surface
temperature for day and night, respectively, was calculated by the equation:
\[ t = \text{float}(b_1) + \text{float}(b_2) + \cdots + \text{float}(b_{92}) \times 0.02/92 - 273.15. \]

To quantify the land surface temperature around the target villages, circular buffer zones, centred on each village, were defined and data was extracted from these buffer zones with ArcGis software version 8.3 (ESRI, USA) (Figs 1 and 2). The annual average land surface temperature for each village was \[ T = (t(\text{day}) + t(\text{night}))/2. \]

**Altitude**

Considering that altitude may affect CE transmission by influencing the distribution of vegetation and grazing activity, altitude was treated as a risk factor in this study. In order to obtain data on altitude in the study area, topographic data at 90 m resolution from the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) was obtained. The image was transferred to a UTM coordinate system. To quantify the altitude around the target villages, circular buffer zones with radii of 2000 m, centred on each village, were defined and data was extracted from these buffer zones with ArcGis software version 8.3.

**Data management and statistical analysis**

The 35 administrative villages were classified into four types of areas: class I, highly endemic (prevalence >20%); class II, moderately endemic (9% ≤ prevalence ≤ 20%); class III, endemic (0% < prevalence < 9%); class IV, suspected (no infected yaks were found during the research period; however, herdsmen were aware of CE). The average number of dogs per household in each village was calculated with \[ M_1 = \frac{\text{total number of dogs in the households participating}}{\text{number of households participating}}. \]

Data analysis focused on the examination of the correlation between village CE prevalence and potential risk factors. Cumulative logistic regression was used to investigate correlation between risk factors and endemic intensity of CE (classes I, II, III, IV). The dependent variable (endemic intensity of CE) was given a score 1, 2, 3 and 4 for villages in
classes I, II, III and IV, respectively. The lower the scores, the more endemic the area. The model included the following variables: average number of dogs per household in each village, average number of yaks per household in each village, altitude of each village, and annual average land surface temperature of each village (both altitude and land surface temperature were transferred to be ordinal variables). For the multiple regression models, Pearson’s χ² test was used to assess goodness-of-fit.

All statistical analysis was also performed in Epi-Info version 6 (CDC, USA). The level of statistical significance was set at \( P=0.05 \), unless otherwise stated.

**RESULTS**

**Structure of dogs and yaks in Rangtang County**

**Dogs**

According to the survey, about 64% of households did not keep a dog. 31% kept one dog and a few households (5%) kept more than one dog. The average number of dogs per household for classes I, II, III and IV was 0.66±0.544, 0.46±0.243, 0.39±0.266 and 0.39±0.293, respectively. The difference between classes I, II, III and IV was not significant (\( F=1.284, P=0.297 \)). The herdsmen indicated that dogs ate the offal and remains of dead yaks, especially during spring, in which season large numbers of yaks die.

**Yaks**

About 60% of households kept 20–60 yaks. The average number of yaks per household for classes I, II, III and IV was 63.7±32.42, 22.97±11.38, 32.8±15.84 and 29.8±14.53, respectively. The difference between classes I, II, III and IV was significant (\( F=5.834, P=0.003 \)). There were more yaks in class I compared to other classes.

**Annual average land surface temperature**

The annual average land surface temperature in the 35 administrative villages ranged between −0.39 and 5.38 °C. The annual average land surface temperature for classes I, II, III and IV was about 3.82±1.502 °C, 3.44±1.369 °C, 2.84±1.466 °C and 3.49±1.140 °C, respectively. The difference between classes I, II, III and IV was not significant (\( F=0.822, P=0.492 \)).

**Altitude**

The altitude in the 35 administrative villages ranged between 2955 m and 3784 m, with the average altitude about 3510±157.79 m. The average altitude for classes I, II, III and IV were 3572.3±117.49 m, 3449.5±257.79 m, 3518.2±141.81 m and 3489.7±132.76 m, respectively. The difference between classes I, II, III and IV was not significant (\( F=0.547, P=0.854 \)).

**Factors affecting the endemic intensity of CE**

A series of univariate cumulative logistic regression models were constructed to test the individual risk factors on CE prevalence (Table 1). For cumulative logistic regressions, the odds ratio represents the effect of a unit increase in the independent variable on the likelihood of a more adverse categorical outcome on the dependent variable. Thus, yaks were significantly associated with CE [odds ratio (OR) 0.964, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.934–0.995], indicating that, with each unit increase in that variable, the odds of an endemic intensity score of 2, 3 and 4 are 4-6% lower than the odds of a score of 1. This implies that yaks may promote CE prevalence. Due to the proportionality assumption imposed by the logistic regression, the same 4-6% reduction was associated with each other division of endemic intensity score into more and less severe dichotomizations (e.g. scores [3, 4] vs. [1, 2]; [4] vs. [1, 2, 3]). In addition to yaks, altitude also showed a positive association with CE prevalence (OR 0.258, 95% CI 0.080–0.832). However, the positive association between dogs and CE prevalence was not significant in this univariate analysis (OR 0.143, 95% CI 0.019–1.064).

To examine effects in a multiple regression framework, an initial stepwise cumulative logistic regression was conducted, with all four variables as covariates. Results from Table 2 show that altitude, yaks and dogs were all positively correlated with CE prevalence. In this model, dogs were highly significant correlated with the disease with an odds ratio of 0.024 (95% CI 0.002–0.300), indicating that a 1-point increase in the average number of dogs per household in each village was associated with a 97.6% increase in the odds of a lower score. The adjusted \( R^2 \) for this model is 0.4426.

When annual land surface temperature was forced into the regression model (Table 3), it was noted that it was negatively correlated with CE prevalence,
although association was not significant. The adjusted $R^2$ increased to 0.4579.

An additional model was run to examine the interaction effects of altitude and land surface temperature. Results from Table 4 show that yaks and dog were positively correlated with CE prevalence. The interaction of altitude and land surface temperature introduced into this model, made it difficult to understand the role of these variables in the regression model. However, the interaction can improve the prediction of this model by increasing the adjusted $R^2$ to 0.59.

**DISCUSSION**

The field survey performed in July 2009, was retrospective. Data were collected up to 3 months after a large number of yaks began to die in spring. Since many survey participants were not able to recall the exact number of yaks infected with CE, we classified the 35 administrative villages into four classes based on the CE prevalence of yaks and other related information that was obtained from participants. It was inevitable that some information was lost. The current

---

Table 1. *Univariate cumulative logistic regression models*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Dependent variable</th>
<th>Independent variable</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>$P$</th>
<th>Adjusted $R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Score Altitude</td>
<td>−1.3542</td>
<td>0.258 (0.080–0.832)</td>
<td>0.0233</td>
<td>0.1450</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Score Temperature</td>
<td>−0.2147</td>
<td>0.807 (0.359–1.815)</td>
<td>0.6038</td>
<td>0.0082</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Score Dogs</td>
<td>−1.9432</td>
<td>0.143 (0.019–1.064)</td>
<td>0.0576</td>
<td>0.0980</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Score Yaks</td>
<td>−0.0366</td>
<td>0.964 (0.934–0.995)</td>
<td>0.0235</td>
<td>0.1650</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OR, Odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Score: the dependent variable (endemic intensity of CE) was given a score of 1, 2, 3 and 4 for villages in classes I, II, III and IV, respectively.

Altitude: altitude of each village.

Temperature: annual land surface temperature of each village.

Dogs: average number of dogs per household in each village.

Yaks: number of yaks per household in each village.

Table 2. *Multiple regression (stepwise) cumulative logistic regression model*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>D.F.</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Wald $\chi^2$</th>
<th>$Pr &gt; \chi^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.5242</td>
<td>8.7665</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.3015</td>
<td>12.9750</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.9765</td>
<td>16.3604</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altitude</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−2.0786</td>
<td>0.125 (0.029–0.547)</td>
<td>7.6223</td>
<td>0.0058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dogs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−3.7484</td>
<td>0.024 (0.002–0.300)</td>
<td>8.3455</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaks</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−0.0348</td>
<td>0.996 (0.934–0.999)</td>
<td>4.0447</td>
<td>0.0443</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D.F., Degrees of freedom; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Table 3. *Cumulative logistic regression model with full variables*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>D.F.</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Wald $\chi^2$</th>
<th>$Pr &gt; \chi^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.8287</td>
<td>6.0388</td>
<td>0.0140</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.6306</td>
<td>9.8573</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.3246</td>
<td>13.2926</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altitude</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−2.0866</td>
<td>0.124 (0.028–0.542)</td>
<td>7.6960</td>
<td>0.0055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dogs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−4.3618</td>
<td>0.013 (0.001–0.214)</td>
<td>9.1924</td>
<td>0.0024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaks</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−0.0371</td>
<td>0.964 (0.932–0.996)</td>
<td>4.7423</td>
<td>0.0294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.4850</td>
<td>1.624 (0.607–4.344)</td>
<td>0.9338</td>
<td>0.3339</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D.F., Degrees of freedom; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.
study followed on from a previous field survey that identified and quantified the role of dogs (0.43 dog per family) and yaks (36 yaks per family) in CE transmission. The current study confirmed that the survey methods used in that field survey were feasible and reliable.

Our results demonstrate that number of dogs and yaks play the most important role in CE transmission, but altitude and land surface temperature may also be involved in CE transmission. Although the association between dogs and CE prevalence was not significant when univariate analysis was used, the multiple regression models confirmed that dogs were positively correlated with CE prevalence. The multiple regression models also demonstrated that there was a positive association between altitude and CE prevalence, and a negative association between land surface temperature and CE prevalence. One explanation for this finding could be that in higher altitudes, there is more livestock production which may promote CE transmission and that lower temperature may prolong the survival of Echinococcus eggs [2]. With a higher sample size, this relationship may be better confirmed. When interaction of altitude and land surface temperature was entered into the regression model, the prediction of model was improved. The current results show that CE transmission is a complex process, which needs further study.

In order to indentify and quantify the factors which may influence CE transmission, this study combined landscape ecological data with epidemiological data and tried to consider CE transmission as a whole with spatial techniques. In this study, the SRTM image at 90 m resolution and MODIS images at 1 km resolution used for the extraction of altitude and land surface temperature provided meaningful results. If finer spatial resolutions could be obtained, the results could change. Our study tested the role of altitude and land surface temperature in CE transmission. If more related environmental variables could be studied with advanced spatial techniques, a more comprehensive understanding of CE transmission could be obtained.

Limitations
The findings from this study should be interpreted with caution. Some data in this study were obtained by self-report questionnaire and recall bias is inevitable to some extent. The subjects who agreed to participate in this study could be different from those who did not participate. Last, this study is a local survey and cannot represent all Tibetan areas.
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